

2002

**ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CALIFORNIA
DUI MANAGEMENT INFORMATION
SYSTEM**

**ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**IN ACCORD WITH ASSEMBLY BILL 757
CHAPTER 450, 1989 LEGISLATIVE SESSION**

JANUARY 2002

**GRAY DAVIS
Governor**

**MARIA CONTRERAS-SWEET, Secretary
Business, Transportation and Housing Agency**

**STEVEN GOURLEY
Director**

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.			
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE January 2002	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 2002 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System		5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
6. AUTHOR(S) Helen N. Tashima and Clifford J. Helander			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) California Department of Motor Vehicles Research and Development Section P.O. Box 932382 Sacramento, CA 94232-3820		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER CAL-DMV-RSS-02-191	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) In this eleventh annual legislatively mandated report, 1999 and 2000 DUI data from diverse sources were compiled and cross-referenced for the purpose of developing a single comprehensive DUI data reference and monitoring system. This report presents crosstabulated information on DUI arrests, convictions, court sanctions, administrative actions and alcohol-involved accidents. In addition, this report provides an evaluation of the effectiveness of alternative court and administrative sanctions (including alcohol treatment programs and license actions) upon the 1-year postconviction records of first and second DUI offenders over a time period of ten years. The postconviction driving records of second DUI offenders arrested in 1997 and 1999 were evaluated for 3- and 1-year periods, respectively. An additional analysis was conducted on the effectiveness of alcohol education programs upon the 1-year post conviction records of those convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol-related reckless driving.			
14. SUBJECT TERMS drinking drivers, DUI tracking data system, DUI reporting system, DUI countermeasures, DUI recidivism, alcohol education and rehabilitation, driver license disqualification		15. NUMBER OF PAGES 148	
		16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT None

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1990 - 2000

	YEAR										
	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
Total DUI arrests ¹	366834	312571	260150	233673	208844	200754	203794	193250	190098	190286	183955
Felony DUI arrests	12948	11220	9803	8738	7567	6642	6364	5612	5379	5269	5524
Misdemeanor DUI arrests	353886	301351	250347	224935	201277	194112	197430	187638	184719	185017	178431
DUI convictions received to date (by year of arrest) ²	258446 ^r	224904 ^r	189147 ^r	169947 ^r	152261 ^r	149124 ^r	148832 ^r	140827 ^r	141631 ^r	145241 ^r	131271
Percent convicted of DUI as of September 2000	70%	72%	73% ^r	73% ^r	73% ^r	74% ^r	73% ^r	73% ^r	75% ^r	76% ^r	71%
Estimated final DUI convictions ³											
Estimated final DUI conviction rate	259810	225748	188116	168964	151550	148725	147153	139626	139245	143414	139147
Total reckless driving convictions ⁴	70%	72%	72%	72%	72%	73% ⁶	72%	72%	73%	75%	76%
Alcohol-involved reckless	39617	39386	34186	27835	27374	24516	25701	25879	22851	24020	22798
Total mandatory susp / rev ⁵	26960	27093	23675	18645	18246	16329	17446	16867	15945	15514	14465
Admin per se / refusal susp / rev											
Postconviction susp / rev ⁸	233680	373131 ^r	308399	277447	243645	226158 ^r	230600 ^r	205462	238612	236141	240597
	142525	272273 ^r	228790	209006	184045	173696 ^r	180343 ^r	169511	175365	179332	172606
Alcohol-involved fatalities	91155	100858	79609	68441	59600	52462	50257 ^r	35951 ⁷	63247 ⁷	56809	67991
Percent of total fatalities											
Alcohol-involved injuries	2382	2048	1832	1569	1488	1343	1254	1100	1072	1170	1233
Percent of total injuries	46.0	44.1	43.8	37.7	35.3	32.2	31.6	30.0	31.0	32.8	33.1
	63847	55779	48969	42936	39437	36961	35654	31189	30985	29833	30971
	17.5	15.9	14.5	13.6	12.5	12.1	11.9	10.9	10.7	10.3	10.2

¹These totals were reported by the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, and include a small number of duplicates (1.2% in 2000).

²These data represent a DMV master file count of the number of DUI abstracts received (by year of violation), minus duplicates. These totals do not include conviction abstracts not yet received. Thus, for the most recent years, these figures will substantially underestimate the final conviction totals.

³These data include a projected number of abstracts not yet received. This number is based on an empirically derived function of the court abstract reporting rate.

⁴These totals were taken from the Research DUI data file and the DMV annual Suspension and Revocation reports and include late reporting of convictions from prior years.

⁵Since 1991, total mandatory suspension / revocation exceeds the number of DUI arrests because many offenders now receive both an APS and postconviction suspension / revocation.

⁶This estimated final conviction rate has been adjusted for arrest data known to be missing.

⁷The 1997 / 1998 counts reflect backlogged actions from 1997 that were processed in 1998.

⁸These totals include suspension actions that are associated with lack of compliance with statutory requirements.

⁹In 1990 these were .10 Implied Consent refusal suspensions / revocations.

^rRevised from prior reports.

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1990 - 2000 (continued)

	YEAR										
	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION / REVOCATION (S/R) ACTIONS	233680	373131	308399	277447	243645	226158 ^f	230600 ^f	205462 ⁷	238612 ⁷	236141	240597
PRECONVICTION											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	142525 ^f	272273	228790	209006	184045	173696 ^f	180343 ^f	169511	175365	179332	172606
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	9971	8608 ^f	9327 ^f	11517	15640	17775	18185
.08 First-offender suspensions	82503	187527	157545	144321	120582	116636 ^f	122111 ^f	114247	116827	119621	114997
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	34792	74351	62656	57279	47429	43218 ^f	43922 ^f	39636	39024	38487	36147
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	2315	10395	8589	7406	6063	5234 ^f	4983 ^f	4111	3874	3449	3277
Commercial driver actions	3739	7976	6449	5829	5038	4743 ^f	4939 ^f	4496	4609	4471	4139
Chemical test refusal actions	22915	21296 ^f	17963 ^f	15662	13264	11711 ^f	11436 ^f	10110	9935	9435	9433
.01 Test refusal suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	179	170	154	134	229	268	270
.08 Test refusal suspensions ⁹	15128	10901 ^f	9374 ^f	8256	7022	6307 ^f	6299 ^f	5865	5832	5718	5886
.08 Test refusal revocations ⁹	7787	10395 ^f	8589 ^f	7406	6063	5234 ^f	4983 ^f	4111	3874	3449	3277
TOTAL APS / REFUSAL ACTIONS	142525	272273	228790	209006	184045	173696 ^f	180343 ^f	169511	175365	179332	172606
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>											
POSTCONVICTION⁸											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	1478	1576	1202	922	879	677	995	769 ⁷	1026 ⁷	918	741
First-offender suspensions	10408	13575	10673	10208	8696	7266	7229	4847 ⁷	9588 ⁷	15072	29924
Misdemeanor	8467	11547	8989	8607	7188	5806	5753	3834 ⁷	7497 ⁷	13401	28118
Felony	1941	2028	1684	1601	1508	1460	1476	1013 ⁷	2091 ⁷	1671	1806
Second-offender S / R actions	52334	57350	45478	38849	34300	31489	30404	22945 ⁷	40238 ⁷	31940	29097
Misdemeanor	51593	56583	44756	38285	33794	30955	29864	22532 ⁷	39065 ⁷	31455	28571
Felony	741	767	722	564	506	534	540	413 ⁷	633 ⁷	485	526
Third-offender revocations	18650	19963	15553	12908	11193	9471	8728	5569 ⁷	9397 ⁷	6573	6163
Misdemeanor	18219	19595	15233	12644	10974	9261	8550	5471 ⁷	9167 ⁷	6452	6015
Felony	431	368	320	264	219	210	178	98 ⁷	230 ⁷	121	148
Fourth-offender revocations	8285	8394	6703	5554	4532	3559	2901	1821 ⁷	2998 ⁷	2306	2066
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION ACTIONS	91155	100858	79609	68441	59600	52462	50257 ^f	35951 ⁷	63247 ⁷	56809	67991

HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2002 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT

- ! Alcohol-involved traffic fatalities increased by 5.4% in 2000, the second consecutive annual increase after over a decade of continuous decline.
- ! DUI arrests decreased by 3.3% in 2000, after a slight increase in 1999.
- ! The number of persons injured in alcohol-involved accidents rose by 3.8% in 2000, the first increase in 14 years. Since 1990, however, alcohol-involved injuries have dropped by over half (51.5%).
- ! 12.5% of all 1999 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic accident, compared to 12.8% in 1998, 12.3% in 1997, 12.6% in 1996, 12.4% in 1995, 13.2% in 1994 and 13.1% in 1993. Almost half (45.5%) of these accidents involved an injury or fatality.
- ! The average blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of a convicted DUI offender, as reported by law enforcement on APS forms, was .163% in 1999 (same as in the prior 2 years), which is more than double the California illegal per se BAC limit of .08%.
- ! Among 2000 DUI arrestees, Hispanics (44.4%) again constituted the largest racial/ethnic group, as they have each year since 1992 (with the exception of 1999). Hispanics, however, continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated percentage of California's adult population (28.7% in 2000). The ethnic distribution among DUI arrestees who are convicted fairly closely parallels the ethnic distribution of the arrestees.
- ! The average age of an arrested DUI offender in 2000 was 33.6 years. Less than 1% of arrested DUI offenders are juveniles (under age 18).
- ! Among convicted DUI offenders in 1999, 74.4% were first offenders and 25.6% were repeat offenders (one or more prior convictions within the previous 7 years). The proportion of repeat offenders has decreased slightly each year since 1989, when it stood at 37%.
- ! 17.3% of 1999 DUI arrest cases did not show any corresponding conviction on DMV records. This is an increase from 14.4% in 1998 and 15.8% in 1997.
- ! Alcohol treatment, in conjunction with license restriction, continued to be the most effective postconviction sanction in reducing subsequent DUI incidents among DUI offenders. Contrary to last year's findings, second offenders assigned to ignition interlock, in addition to license suspension and alcohol treatment, did not show a significantly different 1-year DUI incident rate from that of the SB 38 alcohol treatment group.
- ! DUI recidivism rates have declined by 27.9% to 43.4% since 1990, regardless of sanction group.
- ! Alcohol-education programs were effective in reducing DUI reoffenses among the alcohol-related reckless offenders (DUI offenders convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol-related reckless driving) by 27.8%.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge with appreciation the many individuals who have contributed to the success of this project. In particular, we wish to acknowledge the cooperation of the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, in providing annual DUI arrest data and documentation. The contributions of Debbie McKenzie, Associate Governmental Program Analyst, in transforming mainframe computer files into readable data tables and figures, as well as her general assistance in the production of this report, are acknowledged with appreciation. We also would like to acknowledge the contributions of Patrice Rogers, Research Program Specialist II, and Kevin L. Nguyen, Staff Programmer Analyst.

Report Authors:

Helen N. Tashima, Research Program Specialist II, Principal Investigator and
Clifford J. Helander, Research Manager III, Project Manager and Chief, Research &
Development Branch.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1990-2000_____	i
HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2002 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT_____	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS_____	iv
INTRODUCTION_____	1
SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS_____	3
SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS_____	9
SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS_____	20
SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS_____	26
EVALUATION METHODS AND RESULTS_____	31
Subject Selection and Data Collection_____	31
Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures_____	34
One-Year Recidivism Rates for First and Second Offenders, by Sanctions, from 1990-1999_____	35
One-Year Accident Rates for First and Second Offenders, by Sanctions, from 1990-1999_____	37
Results of the Alcohol-Related Reckless Program Evaluation_____	38
Results of the Second-Offender Sanction Evaluation_____	38
SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS_____	41
SECTION 6: ACCIDENTS INVOLVING ALCOHOL_____	45
DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS_____	54
HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975_____	54
REFERENCES_____	61
GLOSSARY_____	63

APPENDICES

<u>NUMBER</u>		
A	ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 757 - CHAPTER 450_____	65
B1	2000 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY_____	67
B2	1999 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE_____	82
B3	TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1999 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT_____	91

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

APPENDICES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
B4	1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS_____	101
B5	DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR SECONDDUI OFFENDERS_____	132
B6	DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVERS_____	132

LIST OF TABLES

1	DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1998-2000_____	6
2	2000 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST_____	7
3a	2000 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY_____	8
3b	2000 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY_____	8
4	1999 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX_____	13
5	MATCHABLE 1999 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ETHNICITY, AND SEX_____	14
6	ADJUSTED 1999 DUI CONVICTION RATES AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY_____	14
7	TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1999 DUI ARRESTEES_____	15
8	ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 1999 DUI ARRESTSBY COUNTY_____	17
9a	1999 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS_____	18
9b	1999 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21_____	19
10	1999 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND AVERAGE REPORTED BAC LEVEL_____	19
11	1999 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS_____	22
12	1999 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - FIRST OFFENDERS_____	23
13	1999 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - REPEAT OFFENDERS_____	24

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
14a	ONE-YEAR PERCENTAGES OF DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, BY TYPE OF SANCTION, 1990-1999 _____	36
14b	ONE-YEAR PERCENTAGES OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, BY TYPE OF SANCTION, 1990-1999 _____	37
15a	ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS SANCTION EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS BY YEAR _____	38
15b	SECOND-OFFENDER SANCTION EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS BY YEAR _____	39
16	SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT RESULTS FOR SECOND-OFFENDER SANCTION GROUPS BY OUTCOME MEASURES _____	40
17	MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1990-2000 _____	43
18	ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES _____	44
19	FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1999 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND SOBRIETY CODE _____	48
20	FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1999 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND TYPE OF ARREST _____	48
21	FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1999 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND SOBRIETY CODE _____	49
22	FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1999 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND TYPE OF ARREST _____	49
23	1999 ACCIDENT-INVOLVED DUI ARRESTEES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST _____	50
24a	1999 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY AGE AND SEX _____	51
24b	1999 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY AGE AND SEX (NOT ARRESTED) _____	51
25a	SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 1999 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS _____	52
25b	SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 1999 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS (NOT ARRESTED) _____	52
26a	1999 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS _____	53

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
26b	1999 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED)_____	53
27	1-, 3- AND 5-YEAR TOTAL, FATAL/INJURY AND ALCOHOL- RELATED ACCIDENT MEANS BY OFFENDER STATUS _____	53

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>NUMBER</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
1	DUI management information system_____	2
2	DUI arrests 1990-2000 _____	3
3	Percentage of 2000 DUI arrests and 2000 projected population (age 15 and over) by race / ethnicity _____	5
4	DUI abstracts received by DMV and DUI conviction volume and rate estimates, 1990-2000 _____	11
5	Relative likelihood of conviction by race/ethnicity _____	13
6	Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (1999)_____	21
7a	Adjusted percentages of first-DUI offenders reoffending in a DUI incident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-1999)_____	27
7b	Adjusted percentages of second-DUI offenders reoffending in a DUI incident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-1999)_____	28
8a	Adjusted percentages of first-DUI offenders involved in an accident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-1999)_____	28
8b	Adjusted percentages of second-DUI offenders involved in an accident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-1999)_____	29
9a	Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1999-2000 (fiscal year) alcohol-reckless drivers by type of sanction _____	30
9b	Adjusted 3-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1997 second offenders by type of sanction _____	30
10	Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1999 second offenders by type of sanction _____	31
11	Mandatory DUI license disqualification actions, 1990-2000 _____	42
12	Percentage of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 1990-2000 _____	46

INTRODUCTION

This report is the eleventh Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System, produced in response to Assembly Bill 757 (Friedman), Chapter 450, 1989 legislative session (see Appendix A). This bill required the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to "establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted" of DUI in order to provide "accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics" to enhance "the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions." The need for such a data system had long been documented by numerous authorities, including the 1983 Presidential Commission on Drunk Driving. In responding to this legislative mandate, this report combines and cross-references DUI data from diverse sources and presents them in a single reference. Data sources drawn upon include the California Highway Patrol (CHP) for accident data, Department of Justice (DOJ) for arrest data, and the DMV driver record database. Each of these reporting agencies, however, initially draw their data from diffuse primary sources such as individual law enforcement agencies (arrest and accident reports) and the courts (abstracts of conviction).


The general conceptual design of the California DUI management information system (DUI-MIS) is presented in Figure 1. The basic theme of the DUI-MIS is to track the processing of offenders through the DUI system from the point of arrest and to identify the frequency with which offenders flow through each branch of the system process (from law enforcement through adjudication to treatment and license control actions). Figure 1 also illustrates the relationship between offender flow and data collection at each point of the process. The initiating data source for the DUI-MIS is the DUI arrest report, as compiled by the DOJ Law Enforcement Information Center's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system.

Another major objective of this report is to evaluate the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions on convicted DUI offenders. This is accomplished by examining the postconviction recidivism records (alcohol / drug-related accidents and traffic convictions) of offenders assigned to alternative sanctions, as detailed in Section 4 on "Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness."

It should again be noted that it is not an objective of this report to make recommendations based on the data presented. Rather, the primary purpose of a reporting system such as the DUI-MIS is to provide objective data on the operating and performance characteristics of the system for others to assess in making policy decisions, formulating improvements and conducting more in-depth evaluations.

The DUI-MIS data system and report has led to numerous improvements in the California DUI system, from the identification of inappropriate dismissals in a small central valley court to major initiatives to improve the tracking and reporting of DUI cases. The success of the California DUI-MIS has also contributed to a national initiative to design a model DUI reporting system, developed under contract to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).

Figure 1. DUI management information system



SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS

The information presented below on DUI arrests is based primarily on data collected annually by the Department of Justice (DOJ), Law Enforcement Information Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system. These data are the most current nonaggregated data available on DUI arrests.

Table 1: DUI Arrests by County and Annual Percentage Change from 1998-2000. The number of DUI arrests by county for the years 1998-2000 and the percentage change from 1999-2000 are shown in Table 1.

Table 2: 2000 DUI Arrests by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows a breakdown of 2000 DUI arrests by felony, misdemeanor, and juvenile arrest type, by county. The table also shows county and statewide DUI arrest rates per 100 licensed drivers.

Tables 3a and 3b: 2000 DUI Arrests by Age, Sex, and Race / Ethnicity. Table 3a crosstabulates age by sex and age by race / ethnicity of 2000 DUI arrestees statewide. The same tabulations by county are found in Appendix Table B1. Table 3b shows the same data crosstabulated by sex and age within race / ethnicity.

Figure 2 below displays the trend in DUI arrests from 1990 to 2000.




Figure 2. DUI arrests 1990-2000.

Based on the data shown in Figure 2 and Tables 1, 2, 3a, and 3b, the following statements can be made about DUI arrests in California:

Statewide Parameters:

- ❗ DUI arrests decreased by 3.8% in 2000, after a slight increase in 1999.
- ❗ The per capita DUI arrest rate was 0.8% in 2000 (down from 0.9% in 1997-99). This represents over a 50% reduction from the 1.8 rate at the beginning of the decade (1990).
- ❗ Felony DUI arrests (involving bodily injury or death) continue to constitute a relatively small proportion (3% in 2000) of all DUI arrests.

County Variation:

- ❗ 22.9% of all 2000 California DUI arrests occurred in Los Angeles County. Four counties (Los Angeles, Orange, San Diego, and San Bernardino) had over 10,000 DUI arrests each, accounting for 44.4% of all arrests.
- ❗ The 2000 county per capita DUI arrest rates ranged from 0.3 to 3.0 DUI arrests per 100 licensed drivers. Ten counties had rates of 0.7 or below. These low per capita arrest rate counties were San Francisco (0.3), Alameda (0.6), Calaveras, Contra Costa, Los Angeles, Marin, Orange, San Mateo, Santa Clara and Solano (0.7). Five counties had rates of 2.0 or higher—Alpine (3.0), Colusa (2.6), Trinity (2.4), Del Norte and Sierra (2.0).
- ❗ As in past years, many counties again showed a decline in DUI arrests in 2000. Among the larger counties, the greatest percentage decline occurred in Riverside (-14.5%), Fresno (-13.8%), and Alameda (-12.5%). Among smaller counties, the largest percentage decreases in DUI arrests occurred in Calaveras (-20.7%) Tuolumne (-20.4%), and Imperial (-19.2%). Among counties showing percentage increases in DUI arrests were Sierra (59.4%) and Trinity (39.3%).

Demographic Characteristics:

- ❗ The average age of a DUI arrestee in 2000 was 33.6 years. Roughly half (46.7%) of all arrestees were age 30 or younger and almost three-quarters (74.6%) were age 40 or younger. Less than 1% of all DUI arrests involved juveniles (under age 18). 2.3% of all arrestees were over age 60.
- ❗ Males comprised 85.3% of all 2000 DUI arrests.

- ❗ In 2000, Hispanics (44.4%) again represented the largest ethnic group among DUI arrestees as they have each year since 1992 (with the exception of 1999, while Whites were the largest group at 42.8%). Hispanics, however, continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated 2000 population parity of 28.7% (Department of Finance, Demographic Research and Census Data Center). Blacks were also slightly overrepresented among DUI arrests (6.7% of arrests, 6.3% of the population), while other racial / ethnic groups were underrepresented among DUI arrestees, relative to their estimated 2000 population parity. These underrepresented groups were Whites (42.1% of arrests, 50.4% of the population), and "Other" (6.8% of arrests, 14.6% of the population). Figure 3 below shows the percentages of 2000 DUI arrests and 2000 estimated census adult population by race/ethnicity.
- ❗ Among male 2000 DUI arrestees, 48.4% were Hispanic, 38.3% were White, 6.6% were Black, and 6.7% were "Other." Among female DUI arrestees, 64.1% were White, 21.4% were Hispanic, 7.5% were Black, and 7.0% were "Other." The overrepresentation of Hispanics among DUI offenders is clearly limited to males.
- ❗ In the following 7 counties, Hispanics comprised over 60% of those arrested for DUI during 2000: Tulare (74.9%), Fresno (69.4%), Imperial (68.5%), Merced (65.6%), Madera (65.5%), Monterey (62.5%), and San Benito (62.6%). In most other counties, the majority of arrestees were White.
- ❗ The average age of a DUI arrestee varied considerably by race: Blacks were the oldest with a mean age of 36.1 years, while Hispanics were the youngest, with a mean age of 31.3 years.




Figure 3. Percentage of 2000 DUI arrests and 2000 projected population (age 15 and over) by race / ethnicity. [Note: The corrected projected population proportions for 1996 and 1997 should have been 56.3% and 56% (respectively) for Whites, 26.4% and 26.7% for Hispanics, 6.5% (both years) for Blacks, and 10.7% and 10.8% for Other.]

TABLE 1: DUI ARRESTS* BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1998-2000

COUNTY	1998	1999	2000	% CHANGE 1999-2000
STATEWIDE	188327	188523	181336	-3.8
ALAMEDA	6229	6611	5786	-12.5
ALPINE	28	23	29	26.1
AMADOR	219	207	230	11.1
BUTTE	1117	1407	1406	-0.1
CALAVERAS	319	299	237	-20.7
COLUSA	385	340	316	-7.1
CONTRA COSTA	4303	4829	4619	-4.3
DEL NORTE	293	297	334	12.5
EL DORADO	1051	1203	1272	5.7
FRESNO	6562	6434	5548	-13.8
GLENN	233	285	296	3.9
HUMBOLDT	1359	1248	1357	8.7
IMPERIAL	1658	1659	1341	-19.2
INYO	259	276	260	-5.8
KERN	4590	4497	4493	-0.1
KINGS	996	1000	953	-4.7
LAKE	522	639	701	9.7
LASSEN	249	252	279	10.7
LOS ANGELES	45502	43099	41547	-3.6
MADERA	724	830	756	-8.9
MARIN	1635	1480	1305	-11.8
MARIPOSA	100	88	100	13.6
MENDOCINO	781	851	869	2.1
MERCED	1902	1880	1659	-11.8
MODOC	82	94	116	23.4
MONO	96	110	119	8.2
MONTEREY	3134	3213	3254	1.3
NAPA	1070	1030	1073	4.2
NEVADA	669	755	692	-8.3
ORANGE	14653	15629	14002	-10.4
PLACER	1748	1547	1631	5.4
PLUMAS	259	245	293	19.6
RIVERSIDE	8873	9484	8105	-14.5
SACRAMENTO	7710	7474	6918	-7.4
SAN BENITO	256	398	409	2.8
SAN BERNARDINO	10304	10397	10539	1.4
SAN DIEGO	14263	14461	14443	-0.1
SAN FRANCISCO	1447	1246	1436	15.2
SAN JOAQUIN	4028	3604	3760	4.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2066	2265	2185	-3.5
SAN MATEO	3885	3735	3572	-4.4
SANTA BARBARA	2690	3172	3211	1.2
SANTA CLARA	7816	7660	8185	6.9
SANTA CRUZ	2160	2065	1871	-9.4
SHASTA	1153	1412	1222	-13.5
SIERRA	33	32	51	59.4
SISKIYOU	403	399	398	-0.3
SOLANO	1855	1771	1774	0.2
SONOMA	3040	3345	3254	-2.7
STANISLAUS	2741	2505	2557	2.1
SUTTER	873	767	669	-12.8
TEHAMA	456	512	527	2.9
TRINITY	264	168	234	39.3
TULARE	3366	3127	3157	1.0
TUOLUMNE	353	436	347	-20.4
VENTURA	4122	4169	3983	-4.5
YOLO	1050	1214	1211	-0.2
YUBA	393	348	445	27.9

*DOJ DUI arrest totals with duplicates removed and boat DUI (BUI N = 418) removed.

TABLE 2: 2000 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL		TYPE OF ARREST						DUI ARRESTS PER 100 LICENSED DRIVERS
			FELONY		JUVENILE		MISDEMEANOR		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
STATEWIDE	181336	100.0	5386	3.0	1527	0.8	174423	96.2	0.8
ALAMEDA	5786	3.2	89	1.5	36	0.6	5661	97.8	0.6
ALPINE	29	0.0	6	20.7	0	0.0	23	79.3	3.0
AMADOR	230	0.1	11	4.8	4	1.7	215	93.5	0.9
BUTTE	1406	0.8	20	1.4	24	1.7	1362	96.9	1.0
CALAVERAS	237	0.1	7	3.0	2	0.8	228	96.2	0.7
COLUSA	316	0.2	9	2.8	3	0.9	304	96.2	2.6
CONTRA COSTA	4619	2.5	84	1.8	59	1.3	4476	96.9	0.7
DEL NORTE	334	0.2	12	3.6	4	1.2	318	95.2	2.0
EL DORADO	1272	0.7	82	6.4	20	1.6	1170	92.0	1.1
FRESNO	5548	3.1	201	3.6	62	1.1	5285	95.3	1.2
GLENN	296	0.2	4	1.4	5	1.7	287	97.0	1.7
HUMBOLDT	1357	0.7	38	2.8	20	1.5	1299	95.7	1.5
IMPERIAL	1341	0.7	26	1.9	7	0.5	1308	97.5	1.6
INYO	260	0.1	6	2.3	0	0.0	254	97.7	1.8
KERN	4493	2.5	130	2.9	46	1.0	4317	96.1	1.2
KINGS	953	0.5	27	2.8	20	2.1	906	95.1	1.6
LAKE	701	0.4	25	3.6	20	2.9	656	93.6	1.7
LASSEN	279	0.2	6	2.2	5	1.8	268	96.1	1.5
LOS ANGELES	41547	22.9	1464	3.5	168	0.4	39915	96.1	0.7
MADERA	756	0.4	29	3.8	5	0.7	722	95.5	1.1
MARIN	1305	0.7	39	3.0	8	0.6	1258	96.4	0.7
MARIPOSA	100	0.1	4	4.0	0	0.0	96	96.0	0.8
MENDOCINO	869	0.5	17	2.0	11	1.3	841	96.8	1.4
MERCED	1659	0.9	48	2.9	17	1.0	1594	96.1	1.4
MODOC	116	0.1	3	2.6	2	1.7	111	95.7	1.8
MONO	119	0.1	1	0.8	0	0.0	118	99.2	1.4
MONTEREY	3254	1.8	75	2.3	44	1.4	3135	96.3	1.4
NAPA	1073	0.6	19	1.8	12	1.1	1042	97.1	1.2
NEVADA	692	0.4	19	2.7	8	1.2	665	96.1	0.9
ORANGE	14002	7.7	270	1.9	79	0.6	13653	97.5	0.7
PLACER	1631	0.9	48	2.9	26	1.6	1557	95.5	0.9
PLUMAS	293	0.2	6	2.0	6	2.0	281	95.9	1.8
RIVERSIDE	8105	4.5	225	2.8	65	0.8	7815	96.4	0.9
SACRAMENTO	6918	3.8	301	4.4	72	1.0	6545	94.6	0.9
SAN BENITO	409	0.2	17	4.2	3	0.7	389	95.1	1.2
SAN BERNARDINO	10539	5.8	337	3.2	66	0.6	10136	96.2	1.0
SAN DIEGO	14443	8.0	353	2.4	145	1.0	13945	96.6	0.8
SAN FRANCISCO	1436	0.8	91	6.3	0	0.0	1345	93.7	0.3
SAN JOAQUIN	3760	2.1	99	2.6	39	1.0	3622	96.3	1.1
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2185	1.2	40	1.8	26	1.2	2119	97.0	1.3
SAN MATEO	3572	2.0	69	1.9	37	1.0	3466	97.0	0.7
SANTA BARBARA	3211	1.8	71	2.2	21	0.7	3119	97.1	1.2
SANTA CLARA	8185	4.5	331	4.0	57	0.7	7797	95.3	0.7
SANTA CRUZ	1871	1.0	50	2.7	29	1.5	1792	95.8	1.1
SHASTA	1222	0.7	44	3.6	19	1.6	1159	94.8	1.0
SIERRA	51	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	51	100.0	2.0
SISKIYOU	398	0.2	16	4.0	6	1.5	376	94.5	1.2
SOLANO	1774	1.0	44	2.5	25	1.4	1705	96.1	0.7
SONOMA	3254	1.8	66	2.0	46	1.4	3142	96.6	1.0
STANISLAUS	2557	1.4	101	3.9	35	1.4	2421	94.7	0.9
SUTTER	669	0.4	24	3.6	6	0.9	639	95.5	1.3
TEHAMA	527	0.3	28	5.3	10	1.9	489	92.8	1.4
TRINITY	234	0.1	7	3.0	1	0.4	226	96.6	2.4
TULARE	3157	1.7	72	2.3	37	1.2	3048	96.5	1.6
TUOLUMNE	347	0.2	4	1.2	3	0.9	340	98.0	0.9
VENTURA	3983	2.2	119	3.0	33	0.8	3831	96.2	0.8
YOLO	1211	0.7	33	2.7	21	1.7	1157	95.5	1.2
YUBA	445	0.2	19	4.3	2	0.4	424	95.3	1.2

TABLE 3a: 2000 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX, AND RACE / ETHNICITY*

AGE	TOTAL		SEX (100%)				RACE / ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	181336	100.0	154624	85.3	26712	14.7	76402	42.1	80486	44.4	12177	6.7	12271	6.8
UNDER 18	1527	0.8	1284	84.1	243	15.9	811	53.1	586	38.4	43	2.8	87	5.7
18-20	14145	7.8	12315	87.1	1830	12.9	6061	42.8	6576	46.5	603	4.3	905	6.4
21-30	69168	38.1	60404	87.3	8764	12.7	23759	34.3	36986	53.5	3702	5.4	4721	6.8
31-40	50509	27.9	42327	83.8	8182	16.2	20819	41.2	22392	44.3	3945	7.8	3353	6.6
41-50	30775	17.0	25214	81.9	5561	18.1	15721	51.1	10281	33.4	2585	8.4	2188	7.1
51-60	11084	6.1	9482	85.5	1602	14.5	6592	59.5	2847	25.7	893	8.1	752	6.8
61-70	3184	1.8	2771	87.0	413	13.0	1984	62.3	656	20.6	328	10.3	216	6.8
71 & ABOVE	944	0.5	827	87.6	117	12.4	655	69.4	162	17.2	78	8.3	49	5.2
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	33.6		33.4		34.5		35.5		31.3		36.1		33.7	

*Tabulations for DUI arrests by age, sex, race / ethnicity and county are found in Appendix Table B1.

TABLE 3b: 2000 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE, AND RACE / ETHNICITY

SEX	AGE	TOTAL		RACE / ETHNICITY (100%)							
				WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		181336	100.0	76402	42.1	80486	44.4	12177	6.7	12271	6.8
MALE	UNDER 18	1284	0.8	640	49.8	533	41.5	37	2.9	74	5.8
	18-20	12315	8.0	4882	39.6	6143	49.9	525	4.3	765	6.2
	21-30	60404	39.1	18751	31.0	34601	57.3	3134	5.2	3918	6.5
	31-40	42327	27.4	15581	36.8	20711	48.9	3198	7.6	2837	6.7
	41-50	25214	16.3	11856	47.0	9373	37.2	2106	8.4	1879	7.5
	51-60	9482	6.1	5365	56.6	2631	27.7	800	8.4	686	7.2
	61-70	2771	1.8	1661	59.9	616	22.2	296	10.7	198	7.1
	71 & ABOVE	827	0.5	553	66.9	157	19.0	71	8.6	46	5.6
	TOTAL	154624	100.0	59289	38.3	74765	48.4	10167	6.6	10403	6.7
FEMALE	UNDER 18	243	0.9	171	70.4	53	21.8	6	2.5	13	5.3
	18-20	1830	6.9	1179	64.4	433	23.7	78	4.3	140	7.7
	21-30	8764	32.8	5008	57.1	2385	27.2	568	6.5	803	9.2
	31-40	8182	30.6	5238	64.0	1681	20.5	747	9.1	516	6.3
	41-50	5561	20.8	3865	69.5	908	16.3	479	8.6	309	5.6
	51-60	1602	6.0	1227	76.6	216	13.5	93	5.8	66	4.1
	61-70	413	1.5	323	78.2	40	9.7	32	7.7	18	4.4
	71 & ABOVE	117	0.4	102	87.2	5	4.3	7	6.0	3	2.6
	TOTAL	26712	100.0	17113	64.1	5721	21.4	2010	7.5	1868	7.0

SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS

Data on convictions resulting from court adjudication of DUI arrests are reported directly to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) on court abstracts of conviction. The following tables compile and crosstabulate these conviction data by demographic, geographic, and adjudicative categories. In what follows, expressions like "1999 convictions" refer to DUI offenders arrested in 1999, who were subsequently convicted.

Table 4: 1999 DUI Convictions by Age and Sex. This table crosstabulates statewide DUI conviction information by age and sex. Corresponding county-specific conviction data are presented in Appendix Table B2.

Table 5: Matchable 1999 DUI Convictions by Age, Race / Ethnicity, and Sex. This table displays DUI conviction information by age, race / ethnicity, and sex. "Matchable" DUI convictions are those which are traceable to a DUI arrest appearing on the MACR system. Because not all arrests could be matched to an existing record, these conviction totals underestimate the total number of actual convictions.

Table 6: Adjusted 1999 DUI Conviction Rates and Relative Likelihood of Conviction by Age and Race / Ethnicity. This table shows the relative probability of a DUI arrest leading to a DUI conviction by age and race / ethnicity. DUI conviction totals from categories in Table 5 ("matchable DUI convictions") were increased by the proportion which matchable convictions constituted of "total DUI convictions," shown in Table 7, to arrive at the adjusted DUI conviction rates. As explained above, without this adjustment DUI conviction rates would be underestimated using the conviction data from Table 5 because not all reported convictions are "matchable" to an arrest.


Table 7: Total Conviction Data for 1999 DUI Arrestees. This table portrays county and statewide DUI-related conviction data as reported to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. Corresponding court-specific data are shown in Appendix Table B3. Convictions not reported to DMV are considered nonconvictions for the purposes of this report. Actual nonconvictions include cases where the DUI arrest was not filed, not prosecuted, or resulted in a not guilty verdict. The DUI conviction rates by county were calculated by comparing the county conviction totals with DOJ arrest totals. Because not all 1999 DUI arrests have yet been adjudicated, these conviction totals and rates will slightly underestimate the "final" figures. The DUI conviction rates shown in the "DUI Summary Statistics: 1990-2000" table at the very beginning of this report include an estimate of these late convictions, and thus are slightly higher than those shown in Tables 7 and 8. Conviction variables include felony and misdemeanor DUI convictions, alcohol- and nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions, convictions of "other" lesser offenses, and DUI convictions dismissed or found unconstitutional. DUI arrest dates from the DOJ MACR system were matched to driver record violation dates to identify nonalcohol-related reckless driving and "other" convictions. The average (mean) adjudication time lags from DUI arrest to conviction, and from conviction to update on the DMV database, were calculated for each county.

Table 8: Adjudication Status of 1999 DUI Arrests by County. This table shows the adjudication status (court disposition) of 1999 DUI arrests, by county. Included are the percentages of arrests which have resulted in DUI convictions (misdemeanor or felony), reckless driving convictions (alcohol-related or nonalcohol-related), convictions of "other" offenses, or no reported conviction, as of the date of writing. Again, because not all 1999 DUI arrests have yet been adjudicated, these rates will slightly underestimate the "final" rate for each category, excepting the category "no record of any conviction," which will be slightly reduced (approximately 1-2%) by the eventual adjudication of these few late cases.

Table 9a: 1999 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of DUI Convictions and Table 9b: 1999 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Convicted DUI Offenders Under Age 21. Table 9a shows the frequency of reported positive BAC levels for DUI and alcohol-reckless convictions. Because of more complete reporting of BAC levels on APS reporting forms (79.6%) than on abstracts of conviction, those reports are used to calculate statewide BAC levels. Abstracts of conviction, which were used in prior evaluations, report BAC levels in only 56.4% of cases. Table 9b shows the BAC distribution for convicted arrestees under age 21.

Table 10: 1999 DUI Convictions by Offender Status and Average Reported BAC Level. This table displays the proportions of convicted DUI offenders by offender status (number of prior convictions in seven years), and the average (mean) BAC level from APS reporting forms and abstracts of conviction, for each offense level.

Figure 4 (below) shows, for the years 1990 to 2000, the number of DUI abstracts received to date by DMV from the courts, the estimated final number of DUI convictions which will ultimately be received, and the estimated final DUI conviction rate.



Note. Estimated DUI convictions = see footnote 3 to "DUI Summary Statistics: 1990-2000."

Figure 4. DUI abstracts received by DMV and DUI conviction volume and rate estimates, 1990-2000.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

Statewide Adjudication Parameters:

- ! The estimated DUI conviction rate for 2000 arrestees (76%) increased slightly from previous years.
- ! 9.1% of 1999 DUI arrests resulted in reckless driving convictions, and 21.1% of these were not correctly identified as alcohol-related on the abstracts.
- ! 2.0% of 1999 DUI arrests have resulted in convictions of offenses other than DUI or reckless driving, down slightly from the previous year (2.1%).
- ! 17.3% of 1999 DUI arrests have not yet resulted in any conviction on DMV's records, compared to 14.4% in 1998, 15.8% in 1997, 18.5% in 1996, 16.3% in 1995, 18.0% in 1994, 18.8% in 1993 and 19.2% in 1992. As additional cases are adjudicated and reported by the courts, this figure will decrease slightly.
- ! The average reported BAC level for all convicted DUI offenders in 1999, using APS reporting forms as the data source, was 0.163%, which is the same as the last several years, yet still more than double the illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08%.
- ! Average BAC levels increase as a function of the number of prior DUI convictions, from a 0.158% BAC for a first offense to a 0.185% BAC for a fourth or subsequent offense.
- ! Among 1999 convicted DUI offenders, 74.4% were first offenders, 20.1% were second offenders, 4.3% were third offenders, and 1.2% were on their fourth or more offense. (The statutorily defined time period for counting priors in California is seven years.) The proportion of repeat offenders (25.6%) among all convicted DUI offenders has decreased slightly each year since 1989 (at which time 37% of all convictions were repeat offenses).
- ! The average (mean) adjudication time lags were 3.0 months from DUI arrest to conviction and 2.8 months from conviction to update on the DMV database, totalling almost 6 months from arrest to update on the offender's driving record. This total elapsed time from arrest to update is similar to that in prior years.

Variation by County:

- ! Among the larger counties, 1999 DUI conviction rates varied from highs of 83.6% in Orange and 83% in Ventura to a low of 52.3% in Fresno. Los Angeles County, which accounted for almost a quarter of all DUI arrests in the state, had a DUI conviction rate of 72.4%.
- ! Among the smaller counties, 1999 DUI conviction rates varied from a high of 87.4% in Amador to a low of 34.4% in Sierra.
- ! The rates at which DUI arrests were plea-bargained to alcohol-related reckless driving convictions varied from over 24% in Del Norte County to 0% in Marin and Ventura counties.

- ❗ The percentage of DUI arrests that were improperly adjudicated as nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions varied from 0% to 12.1%. Five counties had rates of 5% or more: Sacramento, Modoc, Yuba, San Francisco, and Imperial.
- ❗ The percentage of DUI arrests adjudicated as minor convictions ("other" convictions) varied from 0% to 4%. Los Angeles, Alameda, San Luis Obispo, and San Bernardino counties had rates of 3% or more.
- ❗ In 8 counties, the proportion of arrestees not showing a conviction of any offense exceeded 30%. These counties were Trinity, Sutter, Imperial, Sierra, Mariposa, Fresno, Humboldt, and Madera. Seven counties had nonconviction rates of less than 10%, with Amador and Yuba at 0%.

Variation by Court:

- ❗ As was true for prior years, the 2000 superior court time lags were generally longer than municipal court time lags, presumably due to the type of DUI case (felony) being adjudicated.
- ❗ Municipal court time lags from arrest to conviction (for courts with more than a handful of reported convictions) varied from a high of 11.0 months in the Indio court (Riverside County) to a low of 1.2 months for the Salinas court (Monterey County). Interestingly, the busiest DUI court in the state, Los Angeles Metro, had a time lag from arrest to conviction of only 1.5 months.
- ❗ Statewide, the proportion of reckless driving convictions (alcohol and nonalcohol), relative to all other dispositions resulting from DUI arrests, was about 9% in 1999 (slightly down from prior years). Several counties adjudicated more than 20% of their DUI arrests as reckless driving convictions, including Del Norte, Nevada, Plumas, and Yuba.
- ❗ Statewide, 21.1% of all DUI-related reckless driving convictions in 1999 are inappropriately designated as nonalcohol, compared to 19.6% in 1998 and 21% in 1997. In Sacramento County, however, the Sacramento Court reported 73% (908 out of 1238) of its DUI-related reckless driving convictions as nonalcohol.

Demographic Characteristics:

- ❗ The average age of a convicted DUI offender in 1999 was 34.7 years.
- ❗ 42% of 1999 DUI convictees were aged 30 years or younger and 72% were 40 years or younger.
- ❗ Females comprised 14.7% of all 1999 convicted DUI offenders. The proportion of females among convicted DUI offenders has risen slightly each year since 1993.
- ❗ The racial / ethnic distribution of 1999 DUI convictions (White = 44.5%; Hispanic = 41.2%; Black = 6.4%; Other = 7.8%) generally paralleled that of 1999 arrests, although Whites were somewhat more likely to be convicted of the offense (as shown in Figure 5 below).




Figure 5. Relative likelihood of conviction by race / ethnicity. (Adjusted conviction rate by ethnicity ÷ overall conviction rate.)

TABLE 4: 1999 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX*

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	134896	100.0	115065	85.3	19831	14.7
UNDER 18	390	0.3	338	86.7	52	13.3
18-20	6870	5.1	5999	87.3	871	12.7
21-30	49426	36.6	43148	87.3	6278	12.7
31-40	40765	30.2	34220	83.9	6545	16.1
41-50	24853	18.4	20475	82.4	4378	17.6
51-60	9201	6.8	7928	86.2	1273	13.8
61-70	2666	2.0	2317	86.9	349	13.1
71 & ABOVE	725	0.5	640	88.3	85	11.7
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	34.7		34.6		35.5	

*County-specific tabulations of 1999 DUI convictions by age and sex are shown in Appendix Table B2.

TABLE 5: MATCHABLE 1999 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE / ETHNICITY, AND SEX

AGE	TOTAL		RACE / ETHNICITY (100%)															
			WHITE				HISPANIC				BLACK				OTHER			
	N	%	MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE	
STATEWIDE	125785	100.0	43958	34.9	12108	9.6	47875	38.1	3861	3.1	6808	5.4	1280	1.0	8473	6.7	1422	1.1
UNDER 18	777	0.6	369	47.5	90	11.6	227	29.2	14	1.8	22	2.8	0	0.0	48	6.2	7	0.9
18-20	8480	6.7	3128	36.9	680	8.0	3396	40.0	277	3.3	285	3.4	47	0.6	569	6.7	98	1.2
21-30	46170	36.7	13818	29.9	3657	7.9	21140	45.8	1548	3.4	1984	4.3	358	0.8	3104	6.7	561	1.2
31-40	36996	29.4	12075	32.6	3880	10.5	14252	38.5	1202	3.2	2180	5.9	495	1.3	2484	6.7	428	1.2
41-50	22118	17.6	8881	40.2	2682	12.1	6376	28.8	634	2.9	1455	6.6	292	1.3	1555	7.0	243	1.1
51-60	8252	6.6	4052	49.1	817	9.9	1940	23.5	153	1.9	606	7.3	69	0.8	558	6.8	57	0.7
61-70	2357	1.9	1243	52.7	240	10.2	448	19.0	25	1.1	232	9.8	19	0.8	129	5.5	21	0.9
71 & ABOVE	635	0.5	392	61.7	62	9.8	96	15.1	8	1.3	44	6.9	0	0.0	26	4.1	7	1.1

TABLE 6: ADJUSTED 1999 DUI CONVICTION RATES¹ AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD² OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE / ETHNICITY

AGE	TOTAL		RACE / ETHNICITY							
			WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD
STATEWIDE	0.72	1.00	0.74	1.04	0.70	0.97	0.67	0.94	0.70	0.98
UNDER 18	0.48	0.67	0.53	0.74	0.40	0.56	0.55	0.77	0.45	0.63
18-20	0.66	0.92	0.70	0.98	0.63	0.87	0.59	0.82	0.61	0.86
21-30	0.69	0.97	0.75	1.05	0.66	0.93	0.65	0.90	0.68	0.96
31-40	0.73	1.02	0.75	1.05	0.73	1.02	0.69	0.96	0.72	1.01
41-50	0.75	1.05	0.75	1.05	0.77	1.07	0.69	0.96	0.73	1.01
51-60	0.77	1.08	0.76	1.06	0.81	1.13	0.73	1.01	0.76	1.06
61-70	0.76	1.06	0.76	1.06	0.80	1.12	0.73	1.01	0.73	1.02
71 & ABOVE	0.69	0.97	0.71	0.99	0.71	1.00	0.59	0.82	0.59	0.82

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1999 DUI ARRESTEES¹

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ²	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSED ³ / UNCONST ⁴	AVERAGE DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	71.6%	132105	2791	13536	3624	3808	859 / 79	3.0	2.8
ALAMEDA	60.7%	3969	42	356	131	205	41 / 4	3.2	2.2
ALPINE	73.9%	17	0	1	0	0	0 / 0	2.3	3.3
AMADOR	87.4%	174	7	18	6	2	3 / 0	2.6	5.1
BUTTE	72.1%	999	16	119	62	21	24 / 7	3.7	3.0
CALAVERAS	58.5%	168	7	23	12	6	12 / 0	2.4	1.3
COLUSA	62.4%	209	3	50	9	6	2 / 0	2.1	2.0
CONTRA COSTA	69.5%	3269	87	444	83	67	17 / 9	4.6	3.2
DEL NORTE	53.9%	155	5	73	5	7	4 / 0	2.7	4.0
EL DORADO	73.7%	864	23	69	11	6	3 / 1	2.8	3.9
FRESNO	52.3%	3263	102	916	69	53	42 / 5	4.6	5.6
GLENN	72.6%	206	1	24	9	2	4 / 0	2.5	2.5
HUMBOLDT	52.7%	639	19	148	31	23	4 / 0	3.3	4.6
IMPERIAL	49.0%	809	4	125	83	11	29 / 0	6.5	1.3
INYO	67.0%	183	2	38	8	1	0 / 0	3.4	3.3
KERN	77.9%	3422	81	330	58	57	29 / 3	2.0	3.2
KINGS	76.0%	749	11	66	3	12	7 / 0	2.6	2.1
LAKE	65.4%	406	12	42	11	10	4 / 0	4.0	5.1
LASSEN	84.1%	209	3	1	7	2	4 / 0	4.0	4.0
LOS ANGELES	72.4%	30799	403	3168	500	1738	65 / 14	2.5	1.8
MADERA	57.8%	469	11	47	27	14	4 / 0	3.7	2.3
MARIN	79.0%	1154	15	0	0	20	3 / 0	2.7	3.1
MARIPOSA ⁵	50.7%	64	4	12	1	0	1 / 0	4.0	2.7
MENDOCINO	69.9%	579	16	109	33	6	15 / 0	2.7	6.8
MERCED	63.5%	1166	27	178	36	27	9 / 2	3.9	3.2
MODOC	61.7%	57	1	8	8	0	1 / 0	2.2	2.2
MONO	79.1%	84	3	14	4	1	1 / 0	2.8	2.4

¹Conviction data by court are found in Appendix Table B3.

²This count includes misdemeanors which carried a felony disposition code. These counts do not include 4th offenses (in seven years) which are statutorily defined as felonies.

³These dismissals were identified in DMV's DUI Audit and Tracking System Summary Report.

⁴These 1999 arrestees showed prior DUIs declared unconstitutional on their records. The counties reported here are those in which the current DUI conviction occurred and not necessarily those in which a prior conviction was declared unconstitutional.

⁵The calculation of the conviction rate was based on total arrests including federal DUI arrests (Yosemite National Park) not reported in the DOJ MACR system.

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1999 DUI ARRESTEES¹ - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ²	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSED ³ / UNCONST ⁴	AVERAGE DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
MONTEREY	70.1%	2209	44	254	79	30	3 / 1	1.5	3.2
NAPA	73.2%	730	24	87	16	9	4 / 0	2.8	4.8
NEVADA	73.2%	533	20	139	14	9	7 / 0	2.0	5.6
ORANGE	83.6%	12898	164	574	190	119	99 / 2	3.1	2.2
PLACER	80.6%	1228	19	49	27	9	3 / 2	2.9	3.0
PLUMAS	74.7%	182	1	46	3	3	4 / 0	2.2	5.7
RIVERSIDE	68.6%	6332	172	280	135	149	43 / 4	3.9	2.5
SACRAMENTO	61.9%	4442	185	330	908	66	25 / 2	3.2	3.6
SAN BENITO	66.8%	259	7	40	2	4	2 / 0	3.2	6.8
SAN BERNARDINO	69.8%	6986	274	611	147	352	94 / 2	4.1	1.8
SAN DIEGO	79.2%	11325	131	874	177	166	34 / 3	3.0	4.4
SAN FRANCISCO	57.4%	704	11	124	62	5	4 / 0	3.0	1.3
SAN JOAQUIN	70.5%	2493	48	233	114	26	15 / 1	2.3	2.1
SAN LUIS OBISPO	69.4%	1522	51	319	45	77	14 / 2	2.3	5.2
SAN MATEO	76.5%	2817	41	344	31	35	7 / 1	2.8	2.7
SANTA BARBARA	78.2%	2447	34	365	62	41	21 / 0	2.0	4.4
SANTA CLARA	78.2%	5791	199	552	111	122	1 / 2	3.2	1.7
SANTA CRUZ	73.2%	1480	31	282	40	26	8 / 2	1.9	4.4
SHASTA	74.5%	993	59	169	24	11	8 / 0	2.8	3.8
SIERRA	34.4%	11	0	1	1	0	1 / 0	3.7	3.0
SISKIYOU	72.9%	269	22	27	12	10	5 / 0	2.5	5.5
SOLANO	75.2%	1299	33	205	9	27	23 / 4	2.5	3.4
SONOMA	65.7%	2083	115	539	43	45	33 / 3	2.8	5.3
STANISLAUS	64.5%	1579	37	235	63	12	0 / 1	2.8	2.4
SUTTER	38.6%	280	16	87	7	3	4 / 0	2.4	2.7
TEHAMA	80.9%	407	7	60	4	9	7 / 0	2.3	4.4
TRINITY	40.5%	68	0	11	7	2	0 / 0	2.8	2.4
TULARE	66.6%	2041	41	58	26	41	16 / 1	2.6	3.3
TUOLUMNE	69.3%	289	13	38	3	7	5 / 0	3.0	1.6
VENTURA	83.0%	3406	54	0	1	86	30 / 1	2.5	2.7
YOLO	57.0%	665	27	157	36	7	0 / 0	3.9	6.2
YUBA	75.0%	255	6	67	18	3	9 / 0	2.8	2.6

TABLE 8: ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 1999 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY¹

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTIONS		RECKLESS DRIVING CONVICTIONS		% OTHER CONVICTIONS	% NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION ²
	% MISDEMEANOR	% FELONY	% ALCOHOL RELATED	% NONALCOHOL RELATED		
STATEWIDE	70.1	1.5	7.2	1.9	2.0	17.3
ALAMEDA	60.0	0.6	5.4	2.0	3.1	28.9
ALPINE	73.9	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	21.7
AMADOR	84.1	3.4	8.7	2.9	1.0	0.0
BUTTE	71.0	1.1	8.5	4.4	1.5	13.5
CALAVERAS	56.2	2.3	7.7	4.0	2.0	27.8
COLUSA	61.5	0.9	14.7	2.6	1.8	18.5
CONTRA COSTA	67.7	1.8	9.2	1.7	1.4	18.2
DEL NORTE	52.2	1.7	24.6	1.7	2.4	17.5
EL DORADO	71.8	1.9	5.7	0.9	0.5	19.1
FRESNO	50.7	1.6	14.2	1.1	0.8	31.6
GLENN	72.3	0.4	8.4	3.2	0.7	15.1
HUMBOLDT	51.2	1.5	11.9	2.5	1.8	31.1
IMPERIAL	48.8	0.2	7.5	5.0	0.7	37.8
INYO	66.3	0.7	13.8	2.9	0.4	15.9
KERN	76.1	1.8	7.3	1.3	1.3	12.2
KINGS	74.9	1.1	6.6	0.3	1.2	15.9
LAKE	63.5	1.9	6.6	1.7	1.6	24.7
LASSEN	82.9	1.2	0.4	2.8	0.8	11.9
LOS ANGELES	71.5	0.9	7.4	1.2	4.0	15.1
MADERA	56.5	1.3	5.7	3.3	1.7	31.6
MARIN	78.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	19.7
MARIPOSA ³	49.6	3.1	9.3	0.8	0.0	37.2
MENDOCINO	68.0	1.9	12.8	3.9	0.7	12.7
MERCED	62.0	1.4	9.5	1.9	1.4	23.7
MODOC	60.6	1.1	8.5	8.5	0.0	21.3
MONO	76.4	2.7	12.7	3.6	0.9	3.6
MONTEREY	68.8	1.4	7.9	2.5	0.9	18.6
NAPA	70.9	2.3	8.4	1.6	0.9	15.9
NEVADA	70.6	2.6	18.4	1.9	1.2	5.3
ORANGE	82.5	1.0	3.7	1.2	0.8	10.8
PLACER	79.4	1.2	3.2	1.7	0.6	13.9
PLUMAS	74.3	0.4	18.8	1.2	1.2	4.1
RIVERSIDE	66.8	1.8	3.0	1.4	1.6	25.5
SACRAMENTO	59.4	2.5	4.4	12.1	0.9	20.6
SAN BENITO	65.1	1.8	10.1	0.5	1.0	21.6
SAN BERNARDINO	67.2	2.6	5.9	1.4	3.4	19.5
SAN DIEGO	78.3	0.9	6.0	1.2	1.1	12.4
SAN FRANCISCO	56.5	0.9	10.0	5.0	0.4	27.3
SAN JOAQUIN	69.2	1.3	6.5	3.2	0.7	19.1
SAN LUIS OBISPO	67.2	2.3	14.1	2.0	3.4	11.1
SAN MATEO	75.4	1.1	9.2	0.8	0.9	12.5
SANTA BARBARA	77.1	1.1	11.5	2.0	1.3	7.0
SANTA CLARA	75.6	2.6	7.2	1.4	1.6	11.6
SANTA CRUZ	71.7	1.5	13.7	1.9	1.3	10.0
SHASTA	70.3	4.2	12.0	1.7	0.8	11.0
SIERRA	34.4	0.0	3.1	3.1	0.0	59.4
SISKIYOU	67.4	5.5	6.8	3.0	2.5	14.8
SOLANO	73.3	1.9	11.6	0.5	1.5	11.2
SONOMA	62.3	3.4	16.1	1.3	1.3	15.5
STANISLAUS	63.0	1.5	9.4	2.5	0.5	23.1
SUTTER	36.5	2.1	11.3	0.9	0.4	48.8
TEHAMA	79.5	1.4	11.7	0.8	1.8	4.9
TRINITY	40.5	0.0	6.5	4.2	1.2	47.6
TULARE	65.3	1.3	1.9	0.8	1.3	29.4
TUOLUMNE	66.3	3.0	8.7	0.7	1.6	19.7
VENTURA	81.7	1.3	0.0	0.0	2.1	14.9
YOLO	54.8	2.2	12.9	3.0	0.6	26.5
YUBA	73.3	1.7	19.3	5.2	0.9	0.0

¹The percentages total to 100 by row (county).

²These include failure-to-appear (FTA) notices; the statewide average is 4.8%.

³The calculation of the conviction rates was based on total arrests including federal DUI arrests (Yosemite National Park) not reported in the DOJ MACR system.

TABLE 9a: 1999 REPORTED* BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION
(BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS

DUI CONVICTIONS			ALCOHOL-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS		
BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.01	72	0.1	.01	11	0.1
.02	52	0.1	.02	6	0.1
.03	77	0.1	.03	19	0.2
.04	81	0.1	.04	16	0.2
.05	318	0.3	.05	51	0.5
.06	393	0.4	.06	114	1.1
.07	625	0.6	.07	440	4.2
.08	1793	1.6	.08	2430	23.1
.09	3354	3.1	.09	3125	29.7
.10	5776	5.3	.10	2138	20.2
.11	7679	7.0	.11	959	9.1
.12	8649	7.9	.12	451	4.3
.13	8870	8.1	.13	234	2.2
.14	8739	8.0	.14	131	1.2
.15	8506	7.8	.15	90	0.9
.16	8043	7.3	.16	85	0.8
.17	7418	6.8	.17	55	0.5
.18	6588	6.0	.18	43	0.4
.19	6044	5.5	.19	30	0.3
.20	5391	4.9	.20	15	0.1
.21	4470	4.1	.21	13	0.1
.22	3649	3.3	.22	11	0.1
.23	2908	2.7	.23	17	0.2
.24	2311	2.1	.24	12	0.1
.25	1861	1.7	.25	6	0.1
.26	1411	1.3	.26	5	0.1
.27	1133	1.0	.27	10	0.1
.28	821	0.8	.28	7	0.2
.29	651	0.6	.29	4	0.0
.30	490	0.5	.30	2	0.0
.31	371	0.3	.32	2	0.0
.32	299	0.3	.34	1	0.0
.33	235	0.2	.35	4	0.0
.34	152	0.1	.40	1	0.0
.35	126	0.1	.44	1	0.0
.36	95	0.1	.46	1	0.0
.37	61	0.1			
.38	50	0.1			
.39	52	0.1			
.40	31	0.0			
.41	19	0.0			
.42	24	0.0			
.43	10	0.0			
.44	7	0.0			
.45	5	0.0			
.46	6	0.0			
.47	2	0.0			
.48	2	0.0			
.49	4	0.0			
.50+	5	0.0			
TOTAL	108655	100.0	TOTAL	10540	100.0
	MEAN BAC .163			MEAN BAC .097	

*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for convicted DUI offenders, rather than the abstract of conviction for those offenders, which was the data source in the earliest reports. This change in data source was made because of the more complete BAC reporting on APS forms (79.6% of total) versus court abstracts (with only 56.4% showing BAC levels). This chart does not include 753 zero BAC levels.

TABLE 9b: 1999 REPORTED* BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC)
LEVELS OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21

BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.01	30	0.5	.21	125	2.1
.02	13	0.2	.22	95	1.6
.03	10	0.2	.23	60	1.0
.04	16	0.3	.24	46	0.8
.05	47	0.8	.25	26	0.4
.06	61	1.0	.26	15	0.3
.07	98	1.7	.27	14	0.2
.08	199	3.4	.28	9	0.2
.09	333	5.7	.29	6	0.1
.10	487	8.3	.30	3	0.1
.11	568	9.7	.31	1	0.0
.12	580	9.9	.33	2	0.0
.13	542	9.2	.34	1	0.0
.14	534	9.1	.35	1	0.0
.15	497	8.5	.41	1	0.0
.16	423	7.2	.		
.17	335	5.7		-----	-----
.18	285	4.9	TOTAL	5869	100.0
.19	242	4.1		MEAN BAC .138	
.20	164	2.8			

*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for arrested DUI offenders. The proportion of BAC levels found for 1999 convicted under age 21 cases is 94.2%.

TABLE 10: 1999 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND
AVERAGE REPORTED BAC LEVEL

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	PERCENT	AVERAGE BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%)	AVERAGE BAC LEVEL FROM CONVICTION ABSTRACT (%)
STATEWIDE	100.0	.162	.161
1ST DUI	74.4	.158	.158
2ND DUI	20.1	.171	.169
3RD DUI	4.3	.179	.174
4TH+ DUI	1.2	.185	.181

SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

Data on court sanctions assigned to convicted DUI offenders were taken from DUI abstracts of conviction for offenders arrested in 1999. Also included are counts of postconviction DMV license actions for selected offender groups, while total counts of all license actions, including administrative per se (APS) license suspensions and revocations, are shown in the Administrative Actions Section. APS actions (effective July 1990) are initiated by law enforcement immediately upon arrest for DUI, and are administered independently of the criminal adjudication process. This section includes the following tables:

Table 11: 1999 DUI Court Sanctions by DUI Offender Status. This table shows the frequency of specific court sanctions statewide by number of prior DUI convictions. The specific court sanctions tallied include percentages of probation, jail, alcohol treatment programs (first offender, SB 38 second offender, and 30-month third offender programs), license restriction, court suspension, and ignition interlock. Crosstabulations of sanctions by county, court, and number of prior convictions appear in Appendix Table B4.

Table 12: 1999 DUI Sanction Combinations by County - First Offenders. This table displays the frequency of commonly assigned court sanction combinations (such as first offender alcohol program plus license restriction) by county for first DUI offenders. License suspensions include both court and DMV postconviction (non-APS) suspensions. The sanction combination groups portrayed in this table, as well as in Table 13, were defined according to the conventions described in the "Evaluation Methods and Results" portion of Section 4: "Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness."

Table 13: 1999 DUI Sanction Combinations by County - Repeat Offenders. This table shows the frequency of commonly assigned court sanction combinations by county for second, third, and fourth (or subsequent) DUI offenders. License actions include both court and DMV postconviction (non-APS) license suspensions and revocations.

From the data in these and the Appendix tables, it is evident that the use of alternative sanctions continued to vary widely by county, court, and offender status in 1999. For example:

Statewide Parameters:

- ❗ The most frequently applied court sanction among all convicted DUI offenders was probation (96.3%), while the least frequently used court sanction was ignition interlock (3.7%). DUI offenders were sentenced to jail in 73.0% of the cases. (However, in many jurisdictions, jail is often served as community service rather than actual jail time.)

Figure 6 (below) graphically displays the statewide data from Table 11 showing the percentage representation of specific types of court-ordered sanctions among all convicted DUI offenders. Because virtually all offenders receive more than one type of sanction, the cumulative percentage adds to much more than 100%.




Figure 6. Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (1999).

County Variation:

- ❗ The proportion of 1999 first-DUI offenders sentenced to jail varied by county from less than 10% in Marin County to almost 100% in Alameda, Calaveras, Kings, Lassen, Mariposa, Monterey, Plumas, San Benito, San Francisco, San Joaquin, San Luis Obispo, San Mateo, Santa Cruz, Stanislaus, Tehama, Trinity, Shasta, Tuolumne, and Ventura counties.
- ❗ Considering sanction combinations, counties such as Calaveras, El Dorado, Kern, Kings, Lake, Mono, Monterey, Napa, and San Joaquin, preferred (over twice as often) to assign first offenders to treatment program and jail rather than treatment program and license restriction. In contrast, Humboldt, Imperial, Los Angeles, Marin and Orange counties assigned treatment program and jail to less than 5% of their first offenders. Inyo and Orange counties assigned treatment program and license restriction to over 70% of first offenders.
- ❗ Counties departing from using typical first offender sanction combinations were Humboldt, Imperial, and Tehama, as shown by relatively high percentages (over 10%) in the "other" category. ("Other" includes license restriction without treatment program assignment, probation only, and other unofficial nonstatutory sanction combinations.)

Court Variation:

- ❗ Statewide, there can be extreme variation by court in the use of available sanctions for DUI offenders. In Santa Barbara County alone, one court (Santa Maria) assigned jail to 87.5% of all convicted DUI offenders ($n = 687$), while another court (Lompoc) in the same county assigned jail to only 38.0% of all convicted DUI offenders ($n = 384$).
- ❗ In Los Angeles County, two municipal courts (Burbank and Lancaster) used jail as a sanction in 95% or more of their DUI sentences. On the other hand, two other courts (Malibu and Bellflower) used jail as a sanction in less than 30% of their DUI sentences.
- ❗ In 1999, Los Angeles was the only county with an active 30-month third offender treatment program. Even within this county, however, assignment of third offenders to this program modality varied by court from highs of 50% of third

offenders sentenced in the Lancaster and Malibu courts to 0% of such offenders in many other municipal courts within Los Angeles County.

- ❗ Statewide, courts required only 3.7% of all convicted DUI offenders to install the ignition interlock device in 1999. This is down from 6.3% in 1997 and 1998, primarily because new legislation shifted the mandatory interlock requirement from all repeat DUI offenders to all suspended or revoked DUI offenders caught driving while disqualified, and data on the new “mandatory” interlock assignments are not captured by the DUI-MIS report.

Variation by Offender Status:

- ❗ Less than 70% of 1999 first-DUI offenders were sentenced to jail, compared to over 90% of all repeat offenders.
- ❗ 88% of first DUI-offenders were assigned to alcohol treatment programs, along with 83% of second offenders, 53% of third offenders, and 26% of fourth or more DUI offenders. (By statute, however, all offenders must eventually complete specified alcohol treatment programs in order to be eligible for license reinstatement.)
- ❗ 4.7% of first-DUI offenders and 9.4% of repeat-DUI offenders received court license suspensions in 1999. Since July 1990, all DUI offenders with BAC levels of 0.08% or more are also subject to a 30-day to 1-year administrative license suspension/revocation under the APS law.
- ❗ Only 13.3% of repeat-DUI offenders were assigned ignition interlock in 1999, down from 22.3% in 1998. In spite of the old mandatory interlock law for all repeat offenders (AB 2851 - Freidman), which took effect on July 1, 1993, judges routinely did not assign interlock to these offenders (over 75% of “mandatory” assignments were not made). This law was repealed in 1998, and a new ignition interlock law (AB 762 - Torlakson) and program was enacted and implemented July 1, 1999, which established mandatory interlock for DUI suspension / revocation violators, while providing incentives for repeat offenders to reinstate early with interlock. Judicial assignments to the new mandatory provisions have steadily risen since the law was implemented, and proportionally more DUI suspension violators are now assigned to interlock than were repeat offenders under the old “mandatory” law.

TABLE 11: 1999 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS*

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROGRAM	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROGRAM	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE	134896	96.3	73.0	66.0	18.6	0.2	59.6	5.9	3.7
1ST DUI	100415	97.2	66.1	85.8	2.1	0.0	59.8	4.7	0.4
2ND DUI	27114	96.1	93.6	9.9	73.3	0.2	69.3	8.5	14.1
3RD DUI	5774	90.7	91.0	3.6	47.1	2.4	26.9	13.2	12.2
4TH+ DUI	1593	63.9	93.7	2.4	22.4	0.8	7.8	10.7	3.5

*Entries represent percentages of 1999 DUI convictees receiving each sanction by offender status. Sanctions within each offender status group (row) are not independent; therefore, row percentages always add to more than 100%. Percentages of sanctions by county and court appear in Appendix Table B4.

TABLE 12: 1999 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - FIRST OFFENDERS

COUNTY	TOTAL (100%)	DMV OR COURT SUSPENSION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG + JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG + RESTRICTION	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG + RESTRICTION*	OTHER
	N	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE	100415	27.2	2.3	21.2	45.0	1.5	2.9
ALAMEDA	2948	30.6	2.5	35.7	26.5	2.0	2.7
ALPINE	13	38.5	0.0	7.7	53.8	0.0	0.0
AMADOR	133	33.1	1.5	39.8	21.1	1.5	3.0
BUTTE	768	28.3	4.4	35.0	28.3	1.0	3.0
CALAVERAS	122	23.0	2.5	51.6	20.5	0.8	1.6
COLUSA	156	29.5	10.3	34.6	21.8	1.9	1.9
CONTRA COSTA	2456	26.4	5.5	33.6	28.2	1.6	4.7
DEL NORTE	119	30.3	1.7	40.3	24.4	1.7	1.7
EL DORADO	631	32.0	2.9	39.6	18.9	4.4	2.2
FRESNO	2238	31.2	1.9	20.5	44.1	1.0	1.3
GLENN	140	31.4	2.1	20.7	40.7	5.0	0.0
HUMBOLDT	477	17.6	0.0	0.0	26.6	9.9	45.9
IMPERIAL	669	19.0	1.3	2.4	65.8	1.3	10.2
INYO	115	20.0	0.9	5.2	70.4	1.7	1.7
KERN	2494	32.0	9.4	38.8	17.1	0.7	2.0
KINGS	517	44.5	4.4	35.4	11.4	1.5	2.7
LAKE	283	31.4	2.1	39.6	19.8	4.6	2.5
LASSEN	161	23.6	1.9	47.2	24.2	0.6	2.5
LOS ANGELES	23784	23.6	1.0	4.7	65.3	1.1	4.3
MADERA	336	42.6	1.2	34.8	18.2	2.4	0.9
MARIN	949	29.6	0.1	0.3	68.0	0.9	1.1
MARIPOSA	46	30.4	8.7	32.6	23.9	2.2	2.2
MENDOCINO	416	37.5	10.8	28.6	17.8	1.7	3.6
MERCED	832	28.0	6.6	36.1	23.3	2.0	4.0
MODOC	51	49.0	0.0	21.6	21.6	2.0	5.9
MONO	61	24.6	1.6	49.2	21.3	1.6	1.6
MONTEREY	1695	42.7	1.4	41.1	12.6	1.4	0.9
NAPA	568	31.5	0.7	43.3	21.0	1.8	1.8
NEVADA	401	24.4	0.2	44.6	25.4	1.0	4.2
ORANGE	10051	24.6	0.3	2.5	70.5	1.0	1.1
PLACER	898	30.3	4.9	28.0	29.8	1.7	5.3
PLUMAS	126	27.8	0.0	28.6	36.5	6.3	0.8
RIVERSIDE	4725	24.4	3.6	22.0	43.6	1.7	4.7
SACRAMENTO	3233	33.5	4.1	39.4	20.7	0.8	1.4
SAN BENITO	178	46.6	4.5	27.5	20.8	0.0	0.6
SAN BERNARDINO	5529	21.7	0.8	9.4	63.5	3.0	1.6
SAN DIEGO	8929	21.6	2.7	23.3	48.2	1.8	2.3
SAN FRANCISCO	564	26.4	0.9	42.2	28.5	1.4	0.5
SAN JOAQUIN	1736	30.9	6.6	41.1	19.8	0.9	0.9
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1136	24.6	2.2	42.8	28.3	0.7	1.5
SAN MATEO	2176	31.3	2.0	40.2	22.7	1.6	2.3
SANTA BARBARA	1862	31.3	1.1	15.0	48.8	0.8	3.1
SANTA CLARA	4322	37.3	1.9	33.2	25.1	1.4	1.1
SANTA CRUZ	1086	33.1	1.5	39.7	23.7	0.8	1.2
SHASTA	728	30.9	1.8	36.7	28.6	0.8	1.2
SIERRA	8	25.0	0.0	12.5	62.5	0.0	0.0
SISKIYOU	208	33.7	8.7	33.2	18.8	1.4	4.3
SOLANO	973	21.8	0.9	38.2	37.6	1.0	0.4
SONOMA	1551	31.6	6.6	36.6	23.0	0.6	1.6
STANISLAUS	1198	28.4	1.2	43.4	23.5	1.8	1.8
SUTTER	203	25.1	0.5	47.3	26.1	0.5	0.5
TEHAMA	299	37.8	24.4	5.4	9.4	1.0	22.1
TRINITY	42	38.1	7.1	26.2	23.8	2.4	2.4
TULARE	1518	31.4	2.0	40.4	20.8	3.6	1.9
TUOLUMNE	211	22.7	1.9	47.4	28.0	0.0	0.0
VENTURA	2662	32.8	2.4	41.6	20.8	1.7	0.7
YOLO	506	36.4	3.0	30.2	24.7	4.0	1.8
YUBA	178	25.8	1.1	46.6	25.8	0.6	0.0

Note: The vast majority of convicted DUI offenders also receive fine and probation.

*Includes referral to alcohol clinics and 30-month programs.

TABLE 13: 1999 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - REPEAT OFFENDERS

COUNTY	TOTAL REPEAT DUI	2ND OFFENDERS				3RD OFFENDERS				4TH+ OFFENDERS			
		LICENSE SUSPENSION	SB 38 PROGRAM + RESTRICTION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL* PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%
		%	%	%	N	%	%	%	N	%	%	%	N
STATEWIDE	34481	22.2	35.9	42.0	27114	48.1	46.5	5.4	5774	72.1	21.5	6.5	1593
ALAMEDA	1046	15.7	46.8	37.5	784	35.6	62.0	2.4	208	72.2	22.2	5.6	54
ALPINE	4	25.0	0.0	75.0	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
AMADOR	48	7.1	54.8	38.1	42	0.0	100.0	0.0	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	1
BUTTE	242	21.7	37.2	41.1	180	39.6	60.4	0.0	48	42.9	57.1	0.0	14
CALAVERAS	54	14.6	41.5	43.9	41	16.7	66.7	16.7	6	100.0	0.0	0.0	7
COLUSA	57	30.4	34.8	34.8	46	54.5	45.5	0.0	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
CONTRA COSTA	902	15.5	38.6	45.9	702	50.7	44.6	4.7	148	75.0	19.2	5.8	52
DEL NORTE	40	19.4	25.8	54.8	31	37.5	62.5	0.0	8	100.0	0.0	0.0	1
EL DORADO	255	23.9	26.9	49.2	197	57.5	37.5	5.0	40	77.8	11.1	11.1	18
FRESNO	1117	22.1	34.5	43.4	824	45.9	45.0	9.1	220	82.2	12.3	5.5	73
GLENN	66	24.5	26.5	49.0	49	38.5	61.5	0.0	13	75.0	25.0	0.0	4
HUMBOLDT	178	59.8	16.7	23.5	132	58.5	39.0	2.4	41	60.0	40.0	0.0	5
IMPERIAL	143	38.9	25.4	35.7	126	78.6	14.3	7.1	14	0.0	100.0	0.0	3
INYO	69	14.3	33.9	51.8	56	45.5	54.5	0.0	11	100.0	0.0	0.0	2
KERN	1045	57.6	21.2	21.2	807	82.3	11.5	6.3	192	97.8	2.2	0.0	46
KINGS	233	49.4	25.3	25.3	166	82.7	9.6	7.7	52	86.7	0.0	13.3	15
LAKE	134	24.0	22.1	53.8	104	52.2	34.8	13.0	23	85.7	14.3	0.0	7
LASSEN	50	21.6	18.9	59.5	37	33.3	66.7	0.0	12	100.0	0.0	0.0	1
LOS ANGELES	7683	16.2	39.0	44.9	6291	42.2	50.4	7.4	1142	78.8	10.8	10.4	250
MADERA	136	15.6	35.4	49.0	96	79.2	20.8	0.0	24	75.0	12.5	12.5	16
MARIN	213	14.6	52.0	33.3	171	73.3	23.3	3.3	30	66.7	33.3	0.0	12
MARIPOSA	21	43.8	31.3	25.0	16	60.0	40.0	0.0	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
MENDOCINO	178	26.8	25.4	47.8	138	55.6	44.4	0.0	36	50.0	25.0	25.0	4
MERCED	346	20.9	41.3	37.8	254	58.9	37.0	4.1	73	89.5	5.3	5.3	19
MODOC	7	50.0	0	50.0	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	100.0	0.0	0.0	1
MONO	25	28.6	47.6	23.8	21	66.7	33.3	0.0	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	1
MONTEREY	546	30.8	32.5	36.8	416	59.6	34.6	5.8	104	88.5	7.7	3.8	26
NAPA	181	11.5	40.5	48.0	148	30.0	66.7	3.3	30	33.3	66.7	0.0	3
NEVADA	148	21.4	35.9	42.7	117	54.2	41.7	4.2	24	85.7	14.3	0.0	7

Note: The vast majority of convicted offenders also receive fine and probation.

*Includes referral to alcohol clinics and 30-month programs.

TABLE 13: 1999 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - REPEAT OFFENDERS - continued

COUNTY	TOTAL REPEAT DUI	2ND OFFENDERS				3RD OFFENDERS				4TH+ OFFENDERS			
		LICENSE SUSPENSION	SB 38 PROGRAM + RESTRICTION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL* PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL* PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%
		%	%	%	N	%	%	%	N	%	%	%	N
ORANGE	2981	10.9	44.6	44.4	2504	19.7	74.9	5.4	406	60.6	31.0	8.5	71
PLACER	347	14.6	52.1	33.3	267	37.5	56.3	6.3	64	75.0	25.0	0.0	16
PLUMAS	55	20.0	44.4	35.6	45	50.0	50.0	0.0	10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
RIVERSIDE	1786	17.0	27.7	55.3	1385	31.0	63.7	5.3	284	45.3	53.0	1.7	117
SACRAMENTO	1389	43.8	27.1	29.2	1005	75.6	22.2	2.3	266	69.5	24.6	5.9	118
SAN BENITO	89	39.0	37.3	23.7	59	69.2	30.8	0.0	26	100.0	0.0	0.0	4
SAN BERNARDINO	1724	17.8	32.4	49.8	1332	52.4	37.4	10.2	294	61.2	28.6	10.2	98
SAN DIEGO	2441	25.9	38.8	35.3	1924	44.9	50.2	4.9	410	73.8	17.8	8.4	107
SAN FRANCISCO	154	18.3	38.9	42.7	131	72.7	22.7	4.5	22	100.0	0.0	0.0	1
SAN JOAQUIN	803	18.9	23.7	57.4	608	69.4	27.9	2.7	147	81.3	16.7	2.1	48
SAN LUIS OBISPO	427	12.6	38.4	48.9	333	31.9	63.9	4.2	72	72.7	27.3	0.0	22
SAN MATEO	664	12.4	50.7	36.9	542	15.2	82.6	2.2	92	56.7	40.0	3.3	30
SANTA BARBARA	615	46.3	25.3	28.4	475	39.2	60.0	0.8	120	60.0	30.0	10.0	20
SANTA CLARA	1620	33.4	36.5	30.1	1258	76.1	17.6	6.2	289	83.6	9.6	6.8	73
SANTA CRUZ	420	37.7	34.1	28.2	308	90.3	4.2	5.6	72	95.0	5.0	0.0	40
SHASTA	341	30.0	23.6	46.4	250	52.8	38.9	8.3	72	84.2	15.8	0.0	19
SIERRA	3	0.0	33.3	66.7	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
SISKIYOU	80	28.6	20.6	50.8	63	40.0	53.3	6.7	15	100.0	0.0	0.0	2
SOLANO	359	13.2	22.5	64.3	280	34.8	59.1	6.1	66	61.5	30.8	7.7	13
SONOMA	663	33.2	21.5	45.3	506	75.5	21.8	2.7	110	89.4	0.0	10.6	47
STANISLAUS	416	19.7	27.5	52.8	309	19.3	76.1	4.5	88	21.1	73.7	5.3	19
SUTTER	92	22.5	39.4	38.0	71	62.5	37.5	0.0	16	80.0	20.0	0.0	5
TEHAMA	113	27.0	33.7	39.3	89	73.7	26.3	0.0	19	100.0	0.0	0.0	5
TRINITY	24	42.9	14.3	42.9	21	50.0	50.0	0.0	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	1
TULARE	554	21.6	36.3	42.1	416	44.0	51.4	4.6	109	65.5	20.7	13.8	29
TUOLUMNE	91	4.0	49.3	46.7	75	54.5	36.4	9.1	11	80.0	0.0	20.0	5
VENTURA	795	17.9	39.3	42.9	644	20.5	74.6	4.9	122	34.5	62.1	3.4	29
YOLO	183	20.5	33.6	45.9	146	37.0	59.3	3.7	27	80.0	10.0	10.0	10
YUBA	85	23.8	46.0	30.2	63	70.0	30.0	0.0	20	50.0	0.0	50.0	2

SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS

This section presents and describes the results of an evaluation assessing the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions applied to, first and second DUI offenders over a time period of ten years,¹ as well as a new analysis of DUI offenders convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol-related reckless driving (with a one-year follow-up period). The effectiveness of alternative sanctions for both the alcohol-reckless and second offenders is evaluated in terms of postconviction driving record as measured by: 1) total accidents and 2) DUI incidents, which include alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions (primarily DUI, also all reckless driving [alcohol or non-alcohol] and hit-and-run), APS (0.08% BAC or chemical test refusal) suspensions and DUI failure-to-appear notices (FTA). Displayed below in Figures 7a and 7b are proportions of DUI recidivist incidents over time from 1990 through 1999; these proportions were derived from the sanction analyses for first and second offenders (grouped by sanction assignment) from previous DUI-MIS annual reports and are based on follow-up time periods of one year. The reoffense rates of the 1989 offenders were not included in these figures because their postconviction driving records were not comparable to those of subsequent years, given the significant impact of the implementation of the APS suspension law in 1990. There are typically three variants of first-offender DUI alcohol education / treatment program sanctions, and these were collapsed together into a single sanction group for ease of viewing and interpretation. Figures 7a and 7b do not address total accidents, which are displayed later in Figures 8a and 8b. They display covariate-adjusted data which is described below.

Figures 9a, 9b and 10 similarly displaying covariate-adjusted data, as described below, show the proportion of total accident- or DUI incident-involved alcohol-reckless offenders for the period 7 / 99-6 / 00 (1-year follow-up period), and second offenders for 1997 and 1999, with subsequent time periods of 3 years and 1 year, respectively. The evaluation of first offenders for these years was not reported at this level of detail because, beginning in 1995, statutory requirements for license reinstatement became homogenous for all first offenders: SB 1295 (1 / 1 / 95) mandates all first offenders to attend alcohol treatment programs in order to reinstate their driving privilege, and, since 1990, all offenders are suspended upon DUI arrest under the administrative per se (APS) license suspension law. However, the evaluation for second-DUI offenders is reported because the ignition interlock sanction is not imposed on all second offenders, and its assessment may contribute to clarifying and perhaps modifying current sanctioning policy. The evaluation for the offenders with alcohol-related reckless convictions was mandated by SB 1176 (Johnson); for these offenders, this bill requires the courts to order enrollment in an alcohol / drug education program as a condition of probation. The figures are followed by a narrative description of the evaluation design, subject selection, data collection, analytical procedures, and evaluation results. The reader is cautioned that license suspension (as assessed in this study) refers to postconviction suspensions only, and does not include preconviction administrative per se license suspensions (which are applied to all offender groups).

Based on the data represented in Figures 7a, 7b, 8a, and 8b, the following conclusions can be drawn about first- and second-offender sanctions from 1990 to 1999:

¹ Third-or-more offenders were not included because a previous study (Tashima & Marelich, 1989) indicated serious confounding due to group differences on prior interventions. In addition, sanctions for these offenders do not vary much, due to the statutorily prescribed sanction requirements.

- ❗ One-year recidivism rates for the first-offender sanction groups continued to remain at the lower rates during the last five years. Comparing these rates to the higher rates of 1990, reductions in DUI reoffenses were equivalent to 43.4% for the suspended group, 33.2% for the jail group, and 27.9% for the combined first-offender DUI treatment group. The recidivism rates of both the jail and suspended groups showed slight increases in 1999.
- ❗ The one-year reoffense rates for the second-offender sanction groups also stabilized within the past four years, with recidivism still decreasing (from 1990 to 1999) by 35.7% for the suspended group, 35.9% for the SB 38 / license restriction group, and 28.9% for the “other” group.
- ❗ Overall, subsequent one-year accident rates have declined from 1990 to 1999 for both first and second offenders, but the rates have leveled out in recent years, with slight increases in the rates of some of the sanction groups in 1999.
- ❗ The relationship between type of sanction and subsequent DUI reoffense rate has remained relatively constant for first offenders since 1990, with the alcohol treatment and license suspension groups exhibiting the lowest reoffense rates, and the jail sanction group showing significantly higher rates than the other two.




Figure 7a. Adjusted percentages of first-DUI offenders reoffending in a DUI incident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-1999).




Figure 7b. Adjusted percentages of second-DUI offenders reoffending in a DUI incident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-1999).




Figure 8a. Adjusted percentages of first-DUI offenders involved in an accident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-1999).




Figure 8b. Adjusted percentages of second-DUI offenders involved in an accident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-1999).

Based on the data portrayed in Figure 9a, the following conclusions can be made about the first evaluation of the alcohol education program upon alcohol-related reckless offenders (those arrested for DUI but convicted of the reduced charge of reckless driving).

- Subsequent one-year accident rates of alcohol-related reckless offenders did not vary significantly between those assigned to an alcohol education program and the non-participants. However, the subsequent DUI offense rate of the program participants was significantly lower (-27.8%) than that of the non-participants.

Based on the data represented in Figures 9b and 10, which address total accidents as well as DUI-related incidents, the following conclusions can be drawn about second-offender sanctions:

- Consistent with nine previous DUI-MIS reports, but contrary to earlier California studies, including the first annual DUI-MIS report (1989 offenders), second offenders suspended in 1999 do not have statistically significant lower total accident rates than do those offenders assigned to SB 38 treatment programs during the first year following suspension or SB 38 assignment. This finding is probably due to the implementation of administrative per se license suspensions beginning in July, 1990, whereby all second offenders are suspended for one year. However, for the longer 3-year follow-up period, the 1997 suspended group had significantly lower total accident rates than those of the SB 38 and "other" second offenders.
- In 1997 - 1999, second offenders who were suspended had statistically significantly higher proportions of DUI incidents in the subsequent 3-year and 1-year periods (respectively) than did those who received the SB 38 program and license

restriction sanction. The percentage increases associated with the license suspension group for the two years (1997 and 1999) were 24.4% and 44.5%, respectively.




Figure 9a. Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1999-2000 (fiscal year) alcohol-related reckless drivers by type of sanction.




Figure 9b. Adjusted 3-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1997 second offenders by type of sanction.




Figure 10. Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1999 second offenders by type of sanction.

- ❗ Similar to findings of previous evaluations, the 1999 SB 38 program / restriction sanction group (with and without the addition of ignition interlock) had significantly lower 1-year subsequent DUI incident rates than those of the other second offender groups. Contrary to last year's evaluation, the recidivism rate of the ignition interlock group was not significantly lower than the rate of the SB 38 group without ignition interlock; this finding continued to be evident in the 3-year follow-up periods of the 1997 and the combined 1993 through 1996 groups.

EVALUATION METHODS AND RESULTS

Subject Selection and Data Collection

Convicted DUI and alcohol-related reckless offenders were identified from monthly abstract update tapes which contain all DUI conviction data reported to DMV by the courts. In the present study, follow-up data for first and second DUI offenders were compiled from nine previous and current DUI-MIS evaluations. Additional follow-up data for another set of second offenders were evaluated for sanction effectiveness:

- 1) A 3-year follow-up period for convicted 1997 second offenders who were previously evaluated in the 2000 DUI-MIS report.
- 2) A 1-year follow-up period for convicted DUI second offenders who were arrested for DUI in 1999.
- 3) A 1-year follow-up period for convicted alcohol-related reckless offenders who were arrested from July, 1999 through June, 2000.

For each year's annual DUI-MIS report, an additional year of DUI data is added to the sanction analyses. In order to simplify the analyses and reporting of results, separate analyses of the 1989 through 1996 and 1998 DUI offenders were not included in this year's evaluation. However, for second offenders, results of the 3-year follow-up data from the 1993, 1994, 1995 and 1996 files were combined last year to increase the size of

the sanction groups; although no new data were added this year, the results are presented again for comparison purposes.

The conviction date in all cases was considered to be the “treatment date” for defining prior and subsequent driving record data, because the penalties and sanctions for the DUI offense are typically effective as of that date.

Since DUI penalties and sanctions are enhanced as a function of the number of prior DUI and alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the previous seven years, subjects were selected based on the number of such convictions within the seven years prior to their entry DUI arrest in 1999. For this year’s report, subjects selected for evaluation were: 1) first-DUI offenders—drivers who had no DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the previous seven years, 2) second-DUI offenders—drivers who had one DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving conviction within the previous seven years, and 3) alcohol-related reckless offenders with no previous DUI offenses in the past seven years. DUI offenders with felony convictions and chemical test refusal suspensions were not included because their license control penalties are more severe than those of the other second-offender groups. Also excluded were drivers who did not have a full one-year subsequent time period because of late conviction dates, drivers with “X” license numbers (meaning that no California license number could be found), and drivers with out-of-state ZIP Codes. Altogether, the excluded cases represented 18.1% of the original convicted-offender file.

Court sanctions are reported to, and recorded by, DMV in the form of disposition codes on the abstract of conviction. Prior to AB 762 (effective 7 / 1 / 99), a convicted DUI offender, especially a first offender, might receive any one of many combinations of individual sanctions, which include jail, fine, license restriction or suspension, alcohol treatment program, or probation. Therefore, in defining postconviction sanction combination groups for the purpose of all previous and current analyses (prior to 7/1/99), the following conventions were used for first offenders:

- 1) if suspension (non-APS) was one of the sanctions imposed by DMV or the court, then the offender was included in the suspension group;
- 2) if suspension (non-APS) was not imposed, but the offender was assigned to an alcohol treatment program, then the offender was included in one of the treatment groups, according to the type of treatment program (first offender or SB 38) and whether they were also sentenced to license restriction or jail; and
- 3) if neither suspension nor treatment was imposed, but the offender was sentenced to jail, then the offender was included in the jail-only group.

Fine and probation are generally imposed on most DUI and alcohol-reckless offenders (except that probation is not usually granted to court-suspended first offenders), and for that reason are not included as sanctions evaluated in this report. Also, since July 1990, virtually all DUI offenders have had their licenses administratively suspended upon DUI arrest, so only non-APS suspension was considered. Beginning July 1, 1999, under AB 762, courts may no longer discretionarily impose a six-month license suspension for first offenders under the probation option with the 48-hour jail term; this suspension is now mandatory. This means that the “jail-only” group will now have their licenses suspended, and license restriction or suspension would be imposed on drivers in the program-jail group. These changes have become evident in this year’s

report as reflected in the diminished size of both the jail and program / jail groups, and an increased number of first offenders in the suspended group.

It should be noted that the definition of the sanction combination groups was not an arbitrary analytical convention, but rather a reflection of the most common naturally occurring sanction combinations assigned by the courts. Based on the above taxonomy, the following five first-offender sanction combination groups were evaluated separately in prior reports: 1) license suspension, 2) jail, 3) first-offender treatment program plus jail, 4) first-offender treatment program plus license restriction, and 5) SB 38 (second offender) treatment program plus license restriction (since some courts assign this sanction combination to a small number of first offenders). For the 1990-1999 overview analysis presented in this year's report, the three treatment program groups were combined into one group. Nevertheless, when compared individually, the subsequent driving records of the separate treatment groups exhibited a very similar pattern, as was evident in prior DUI-MIS reports.

A similar convention was used for grouping second offenders with various sanction combinations. The groups used in this analysis are: 1) (post-conviction) license suspension, 2) SB 38 treatment program plus license restriction, 3) a group of 1997 and 1999 second offenders ("other") who did not meet the selection criteria for groups 1 or 2 but were not ordered to install interlock, and 4) a group of 1997 and 1999 second offenders who were ordered to install an ignition interlock device in their vehicles as mandated by AB 2851 (implemented July 1993, but effectively abolished by AB 762, effective July 1999). This device requires that the offender blow into it prior to starting the vehicle, which will not start if he / she has a BAC above a specified level. The interlock group was identified by certain Vehicle Code designations on abstracts of conviction. In examining these abstract disposition codes, it was found that 82.8% of interlock cases were also referred to SB 38 treatment programs, while 55.3% had their licenses suspended (non-APS); of those that were suspended, 71.5% were assigned to SB 38 treatment programs and less than 1% were assigned to first-offender programs. All second offenders who were assigned to install interlock are included in this evaluation, irrespective of other sanctions and regardless of actual installation. This is reflective of the "real world" conditions under which interlock is assigned, which is an integral part of the total impact of this sanction.²

The group designated as "other" represents offenders who were originally referred to an SB 38 treatment program but were suspended as well, either by intent (court sentence to both treatment program and suspension), or omission (court misreporting of disposition codes and / or the offender's lack of compliance with required procedures, such as failure to provide proof of insurance, program enrollment, pay fees, etc.). Even if the courts amend the abstracts of conviction, the offenders still need to meet the insurance and program enrollment requirements. The final sanctions ultimately received by this group are unclear, which makes interpretation difficult. This difficulty is further exacerbated by strong self-selection biases, such as inability or unwillingness to obtain insurance, which make this group "different" from the others.

Two groups of the alcohol-related reckless convictees were identified including: 1) those who were assigned to an alcohol education program, and 2) those who were not

² It should be noted, however, that a 1993 policy directive from DMV to the courts originally requested that only offenders who had shown proof of installation be reported as assigned to interlock. To the extent that this directive was followed by the courts (and there is evidence that it was not), the present evaluation would be assessing only those cases where the device was actually installed. This DMV policy directive has since been corrected.

assigned to a program. As previously noted for first and second DUI offenders, these sanctions are reported by the courts to DMV via disposition codes on the conviction abstracts. Although courts are mandated to require all alcohol-related reckless drivers to attend an alcohol education program as a condition of probation, it was found that 70% were assigned to the programs while 30% were not assigned. This discrepancy allowed us to compare subsequent accidents and DUI incidents between the two groups.

Prior driver record data were extracted for the 2 years preceding an offender's DUI conviction date. Appendix Tables B5 and B6 list the prior driver record variables for the second DUI offenders and for the alcohol-related reckless offenders, which were used as covariates in the analyses. The evaluation period for the postconviction driving measures, starting from the conviction date, was three years for the 1997 drivers, and one year for both the 1999 second offenders and alcohol-related reckless drivers. A buffer period of four months was allowed between the end of the evaluation period and the data extraction date to allow for processing and reporting of the most recent data to DMV. DUI offenders who had less than the full follow-up time period (from conviction date to the buffer period) were excluded. The outcome driver record measures consisted of the proportion of offenders who were involved in: 1) any accident, and 2) DUI incidents (alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions, APS/refusal suspensions, or DUI failures-to-appear).

Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures

Logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the differences in the proportion of accident- and DUI-incident-involved drivers in each sanction group at the end of the evaluation period. Only the first accident or DUI incident or "failure" was evaluated. This is not an important limitation with these data because the incidence of repeat failures (two or more accidents or DUI incidents) was very low over the study time window. More importantly, analysis of repeat failures would be subject to confounding by court sanctions received in connection with the first failure incident. This type of confounding is avoided because multiple incidents were not included in this analysis.

Since it was not possible to randomly assign drivers to the various sanction groups, potential biases due to preexisting group differences were statistically controlled to the extent possible by entering into the analyses as covariates biographical data, prior driving record data, and ZIP Code indices (accident and traffic conviction averages for each driver's ZIP Code area. ZIP Code variables from the 1990 census data were not included for drivers selected in 1997 and later, since the census data are outdated. All of the traffic conviction averages were used for the 1999 drivers, after the counts of major and moving violations were corrected, but were not used for the 1997 drivers because of an undercount. (Tables B5 and B6 show significant group differences on most of these variables.) While this "quasi-experimental" design is subject to a number of limitations in assessing cause-effect relationships, the attempt at statistical control of group differences removes at least part of the bias in group assignment and provides a more precise estimate of the relationship between type of sanction and subsequent record. It is likely, of course, that the groups also differ on characteristics not measured by, or reflected in, the covariates. The possibility of uncontrolled biases becomes particularly problematic if sanctions are commonly received by atypical offenders through self- or judicial-selectivity (e.g., drivers of higher socio-economic status may be more likely to receive program with restriction and less likely to receive jail than those of lower status).

In all of the second offender analyses and for accidents and DUI incidents, one or two statistically significant ($p < .01$) covariate by sanction interactions were evident in each set of analyses. (Statistical significance at $p < .0x$ means that a differential effect between groups would occur by chance less than $x\%$ of the time.) These significant interactions indicated that the relationship between the covariates and the outcome measure (DUI incidents) varied across sanction groups. However, in all analyses, except the 1999 and combined 1997-1999 accident analyses, where sanction differences were significant ($p < .06$), the interaction effect was less than one-fourth the main effect of sanction (chi-squares were divided by their respective degrees of freedom to provide an approximate measure of effect size). Since the sanction main effect had substantially greater magnitude than the interaction effect, conclusions about sanction differences were based on analyses that did not include the interactions. The interaction effects of the remaining two accident analyses were examined and found not to impact the sanction main effect. There were no interaction effects found in the analyses involving the alcohol-related reckless drivers.

One-Year Recidivism Rates for First and Second Offenders, by Sanctions, from 1990-1999

The one-year subsequent DUI-incident reoffense rates for both first- and second-offender sanction groups were compiled from the nine previous and current annual DUI-MIS evaluations and configured onto two separate graphs to display these rates over time. Figures 7a and 7b show the proportions of first- and second-offender sanction groups, respectively, arrested between 1990 and 1999 who reoffended within one year after conviction. As discussed above, the reoffense rates of these sanction groups were statistically adjusted for group differences related to available covariates. The DUI incidents include alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions (primarily DUI, reckless driving and hit-and-run), APS (0.08% BAC or chemical test refusal) suspensions and DUI failure-to-appear notices (FTA).

Figure 7a and Table 14a reveal a continuous decline in the one-year recidivism rates for all of the first offender sanction groups from 1990 to 1999. The overall decline translates into a 43.4% reduction in recidivism for the suspension group, a 33.2% drop for the jail group, and a 27.9% decrease for the alcohol-treatment group. The recidivism rates of the suspended and alcohol program groups continue to appear quite similar, but the decline over time for the suspended group is actually greater (43.4%) than for the treatment group (27.9%). In the earlier years, the combined alcohol-treatment group exhibited lower reoffense rates than did the suspended group, possibly due to the initial impact of APS suspensions on a group that had previously avoided license suspension. However, midway in 1994, the rates of the two groups merge and the downward trend of both groups diminishes. Over the last four years, the suspended group's rate oscillates, but basically shows a leveling of its rate. In 1999, the rate for the alcohol-treatment group declines, while the rate for the suspended group shows a slight upward trend (the two groups together comprise about 98% of first offenders.)

TABLE 14a: ONE-YEAR PERCENTAGES OF DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, BY TYPE OF SANCTION, 1990-1999

YEAR	FIRST-DUI OFFENDERS			SECOND-DUI OFFENDERS			
	SUSPENDED	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	SUSPENDED	SB 38 RESTRICTED	IGNITION INTERLOCK	OTHER
1990*	7.90	14.00	6.78	11.47	7.96	0	9.30
1991	8.20	14.39	6.48	11.53	7.89	0	9.68
1992	7.69	12.04	5.88	10.86	7.40	0	9.67
1993	6.40	10.03	5.50	10.48	6.62	5.95	8.62
1994	4.78	9.01	5.05	8.27	5.90	5.60	7.24
1995	5.70	10.21	5.31	9.34	5.90	5.78	6.84
1996	4.36	8.97	4.76	7.86	5.31	4.50	6.28
1997	5.18	8.10	4.92	7.56	5.06	5.10	6.03
1998	4.15	8.12	5.18	7.68	5.29	4.50	6.59
1999	4.47	9.35	4.89	7.37	5.10	4.24	6.61
% DIFFERENCE 1990-1999	-43.4%	-33.2%	-27.9%	-35.7%	-35.9%	NA	-28.9%

*All 1990 percentages were revised.

The reoffense rate of the jail group shows a much sharper decline in the earlier years; again this may reflect the more immediate impact of APS suspensions on a group which, before APS, had neither license actions nor treatment program referral. In the more recent years, the recidivism rate declines slightly through 1997, and then turns noticeably upward in 1999; overall, these first offenders perform more poorly than the other sanction groups. This could reflect the fact that jail (or community service) is less effective than other sanctions, but it is also likely that uncontrolled selection biases are operating. After 7 / 1 / 99, this group's size decreased because courts were required to either suspend or restrict the licenses of all first offenders.

A similar overall decline is evident in the one-year reoffense rates for the second-offender groups as displayed in Figure 7b and Table 14a, but the rate of decline is virtually the same for all three groups. From 1996 to 1998, the recidivism rates flattened, and then declined slightly in 1999. Table 14a shows that, from 1990 to 1999, the reoffense rates decreased 35.9% for the SB 38 group, 35.7% for the suspended group, and 28.9% for the "other" group. Obviously, a rate change over the 1990 to 1999 time period is not available for the ignition interlock group since this sanction was rarely applied to second offenders before 1993; the overall reoffense rate for this group is slightly lower than that of the SB 38 program group. The differences in rates between second-offender sanction groups remain relatively steady across the years and, like those for first offenders, may reflect uncontrolled self- or judicial-selection group differences. This is particularly likely for the ignition interlock group, given the cost of installing and maintaining the device. Previous DUI-MIS reports have suggested that, while many factors may be associated with the overall decline in DUI incidents for both first and second offenders, the reduction is probably attributable to the implementation of APS suspensions in 1990. An evaluation (Rogers, 1997) of the California APS Law, in fact, documents recidivism reductions of up to 21.1% for first offenders and 19.5% for repeat offenders which are attributable to the law.

One-Year Accident Rates for First and Second Offenders, by Sanctions, from 1990-1999:
The one-year subsequent accident rates for both first and second offenders were also compiled from previous and current DUI-MIS evaluations and graphically displayed over time, just as the subsequent DUI-incident reoffense rates were portrayed. Figures 8a and 8b show the proportions of 1990-1999 first and second offenders who had accidents within one year after their conviction. Statistical adjustments for group differences were made on these accident rates based on available covariates.

TABLE 14b: ONE-YEAR PERCENTAGES OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, BY TYPE OF SANCTION, 1990-1999

YEAR	FIRST-DUI OFFENDERS			SECOND-DUI OFFENDERS			
	SUSPENDED	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER PROGRAM	SUSPENDED	SB 38 RESTRICTED	IGNITION INTERLOCK	OTHER
1990	4.27	5.66	5.09	3.82	3.78	0.00	3.97
1991	4.17	5.86	4.64	3.51	3.60	0.00	3.57
1992	3.51	5.70	4.36	3.70	3.33	0.00	3.52
1993	3.60	5.12	4.47	3.84	3.23	3.01	3.77
1994	3.52	5.82	4.36	3.24	2.82	2.85	3.32
1995	3.13	5.69	4.53	3.08	2.82	2.62	3.14
1996	2.73	4.79	4.42	2.38	2.42	2.56	2.31
1997	3.09	4.82	4.69	2.70	2.50	2.51	2.90
1998	2.80	4.40	4.82	3.09	2.51	2.12	2.76
1999	4.34	4.13	5.08	3.10	2.54	2.25	2.84
% DIFFERENCE 1990-1999	+3.04%	-26.9%	-0.2%	-18.8%	-32.8%	NA	-28.5%

Among first offenders from 1990 through 1999, Figure 8a and Table 14b show a smaller decline in accident rates overall than was evident among the reoffense rates. Although the accident rate of the suspended group showed the largest decline during the first seven years, surprisingly, its rate increased in 1999 to a level higher than that of the 1990 group (+3.04%). This change may well be related to the law change (AB 762) previously discussed, which changed the judicial sanction structure for first offenders. Similarly, the accident rate of the first offender program participants also increased in 1999 to about the same rate as that of 1990 (-.2%); their accident rate has been gradually increasing since 1996. On the other hand, the accident rate of the jail group continued to decline from 1995 to 1999, resulting in 26.9% fewer accidents since 1990. In the previous nine years before 1999, the suspended group consistently showed the lowest accident rate, while the jail group showed the highest level of accident-involvement. Then in 1999, the accident rates of the two groups reversed in which the jail group now has a lower accident rate than that of the suspended group. Although all groups were suspended / restricted under APS, differences in accident rates probably reflect uncontrolled self- or judicial-selection group differences.

Figure 8b also indicates a declining trend in the overall accident rate of second offenders. The greatest decline was evident among the SB 38 / restricted group with a 32.8% drop in accidents, while the suspended group had the lowest (18.8%) reduction in accidents. The "other" group shows a 28.5% difference in their accident rate from 1990 to 1999. The ignition interlock group displays a consistent decline in accidents since

1993, followed by a greater decline from 1997 to 1998. However, the accident rate for that group increased slightly in 1999. Following a dip in accidents in 1996, the accident rates of the other three groups increased in 1997 and then leveled out by 1999. The range of differences in accident rates between the four groups is smaller than that of first offenders and overall, second offenders have lower accident rates than do first offenders (Table 14b). The fact that second offenders have fewer accidents than first offenders has been well documented in past evaluations; it has been speculated that the lower accident rate of second offenders may be related to the longer-term (one to two years) license (restriction /suspension) actions imposed on second offenders.

Results of the Alcohol-Related Reckless Program Evaluation

Total Accidents: Figure 9a and Table 15a display the results of the first evaluation of the effectiveness of the alcohol education program upon drivers convicted of alcohol-related reckless driving violations. The results show that assignment to the alcohol education program does not have a significant effect on the one-year subsequent accident rates of alcohol-related reckless offenders. The program participants have only a 1% lower accident rate than that of the non-participants. Comparing these rates to those of the 1999 first DUI offenders, it is evident that the alcohol-related reckless drivers have more accidents (6.29, 6.25 per 100 drivers) than do first offenders (4.34, 4.13, 5.08). The lack of license suspension or license restriction for the alcohol-related reckless drivers might explain the higher accident rates. Past studies have documented the effectiveness of license suspension on accident reduction.

TABLE 15a: ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS SANCTION EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS BY YEAR

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{GRP 1 - GRP 2}{GRP 2} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENT GE EFFECT (DIFFEREN E IN FAILURE R TES) $\frac{GRP 1 - GRP 2}{GRP 2} \times 100$
7 / 99-6 / 00	1) No Program	(2,251)	6.29		4.18	
(follow-up period = 1 year)	2) Alcohol-education program	(5,176)	6.25	1.0%	3.27	27.8%

DUI Incidents: In contrast to the accident findings, Figure 9a and Table 15a indicate that program participants have significantly ($p = .05$) fewer DUI incidents in the one year following their assignment to the alcohol-education programs. The reoffense rate of the program participants is 27.8% lower than that of alcohol-reckless offenders not assigned to the programs. This outcome coincides with past and current findings on DUI incidents for alcohol program participants of first and second offenders. There still remains the possibility of uncontrolled biases through self- or judicial-selectivity, even though statistical control of group differences removed part of the biases based on available covariates.

Results of the Second-Offender Sanction Evaluation

Total Accidents: Results of the 1999 one-year analyses (see Figures 9b and 10, Tables 15b and 16) were similar to those of the 1990-1997 one-year analyses (in the previous eight DUI-MIS reports) but different from the 1998 findings, in that significant

differences on total accidents were not evident among the second offender sanction groups. Overall, since 1990, the accident rates of all the groups have been declining, but within the last several years, the suspended group's accident rate increased and then flattened in 1999. The accident rates of the other three groups increased slightly in 1999. Reasons for the increase in accidents among these three groups are not clear but may become more apparent from future analyses.

However, the evaluations of the 3-year follow-up periods show quite different results from those of the 1-year time periods. Similar to the 1994, 1995, and 1996 3-year follow-up evaluations of the last three reports, but in contrast to the 1992 and 1993 3-year analyses, significant ($p = .000$) sanction group differences on accident rates were evident among the 1997 second offenders. The accident rate of the suspended group continues to be significantly lower than that of the SB 38 and "other" groups (but not significantly lower than the rate of the ignition interlock group), suggesting that over a longer period of time (3 years), post-conviction suspension (of 18 months to 2 years duration) has a greater impact in reducing accidents than do other sanctions. In contrast to last year's findings, the accident rate of the ignition interlock group was significantly lower than that of the SB 38 program group.

TABLE 15b: SECOND-OFFENDER SANCTION EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS BY YEAR

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{GRP 1 - GRP 2}{GRP 2} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENT GE EFFECT (DIFFEREN E IN FAILURE R TES) $\frac{GRP 1 - GRP 2}{GRP 2} \times 100$
1997 (follow-up period = 3 years)	1) Suspension	(3,969)	7.56		17.22	
	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(7,016)	9.87	-23.4%	13.84	24.4%
	3) SB 38 program & interlock	(5,939)	8.05		13.27	
	4) Other	(7,629)	10.17		16.45	
1993, 1994, 1995 & 1996 (follow-up period = 3 years)	1) Suspension	(22,134)	7.80		19.21	
	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(35,852)	9.17	-14.9%	15.07	27.5%
	3) SB 38 program & interlock	(16,437)	8.84		14.80	
	4) Other	(29,921)	9.51		17.10	
1999 (follow-up period = 1 year)	1) Suspension	(3,764)	3.10		7.37	
	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(5,938)	2.54	22.0%	5.10	44.5%
	3) SB 38 program & interlock	(3,296)	2.25		4.24	
	4) Other	(8,343)	2.84		6.61	
1997, 1998, & 1999 (follow-up period = 1 year)	1) Suspension	(11,311)	2.96		7.58	
	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(19,191)	2.54	16.5%	5.19	46.1%
	3) SB 38 program & interlock	(15,206)	2.31		4.68	
	4) Other	(22,925)	2.85		6.45	

In order to increase the power of the statistical analysis for detecting the effects of the interlock sanction, an additional analysis was conducted in which the 1997, 1998, and 1999 1-year second-offender files were combined. Results from this analysis are shown

in Tables 15b and 16. Differences in accident rates between sanctions were statistically significant ($p = .001$). The accident rate of the ignition interlock group was significantly lower than those of the suspension and the "other" group. Again, the accident rate difference between the SB 38 program and ignition interlock groups was not significant ($p = .60$).

Also shown in Tables 15b and 16 are the results from the previous year's analysis combining four years (1993, 1994, 1995, and 1996) of 3-year subsequent accidents and DUI incidents. Additional data were not included because data from the subsequent years (after 1996) did not include the outdated census variables. Therefore, no new analyses were conducted. These figures are shown here primarily for comparison purposes.

TABLE 16: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT RESULTS FOR SECOND-OFFENDER SANCTION GROUPS BY OUTCOME MEASURES

YEAR GROUP	SECOND-OFFENDER							
	TOTAL ACCIDENTS				DUI INCIDENTS			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
<u>1997</u> (3-year follow-up)								
(1) Suspension	na	S1	ns	S1	na	S2	S3	S4
(2) SB 38 program & restriction		na	S3	ns		na	ns	S2
(3) SB 38 program & interlock			na	S3			na	S3
(4) Other				na				na
<u>1993, 1994, 1995, & 1996</u> (3-yr follow-up)								
(1) Suspension	na	S1	S1	S1	na	S2	S3	S4
(2) SB 38 program & restriction		na	ns	ns		na	ns	S2
(3) SB 38 program & interlock			na	S3			na	S3
(4) Other				na				na
<u>1999</u> (1-year follow-up)								
(1) Suspension	na	ns	ns	ns	na	S2	S3	ns
(2) SB 38 program & restriction		na	ns	ns		na	ns	S2
(3) SB 38 program & interlock			na	ns			na	S3
(4) Other				na				na
<u>1997, 1998, & 1999</u> (1-year follow-up)								
(1) Suspension	na	ns	S3	ns	na	S2	S3	S4
(2) SB 38 program & restriction		na	ns	ns		na	ns	S2
(3) SB 38 program & interlock			na	S3			na	S3
(4) Other				na				na

Note: A significant ($p < .06$ for 2nd offenders) difference between sanction groups relative to the percentages of accident-involved or DUI incident-involved drivers is represented by an "S." The group number with the "S" indicates the group with the better (lower) rate. A nonsignificant difference is indicated by "ns." "Na" means not applicable. Blanks appear in the lower half of each matrix, since the halves are identical.

DUI Incidents: Figures 9b and 10 and Tables 15b and 16 show that in both years the suspended groups had significantly higher failure rates (by 24.4% and 44.5% for 1997 and 1999, respectively) than corresponding rates for the SB 38 program / restricted participants. Like last year, the group "other" in the 1997 and 1999 analyses had failure rates midway between the suspended group and SB 38 program / restricted group. Replicating last year's findings, failure rates of all four groups in 1997 (3-year follow-up

period) were significantly different from each other except that the recidivism rate of the interlock group was not significantly lower than that of the SB 38 group. Also, the 3-year recidivism rates of the SB 38 group and the interlock group were significantly lower than those of the suspension and “other” groups.

Similar to previous analyses (except last year’s analyses), the 1-year recidivism rate of the interlock group was not significantly lower than that of the SB 38 program group, but both groups had rates that were significantly lower than those of the suspended and “other” groups. Similar results were evident in the combined 1993-1996 analyses over a 3-year follow-up period, including the finding that reoffense rates between the ignition interlock and SB 38 groups were not significantly different.

In summary, findings from the 1999 second-offender analyses were quite similar to previous post-APS one-year evaluations of second offenders in showing no evidence of significant differences between the sanction groups on subsequent total accident rates. Similar to last year’s findings from the 3-year accident analyses, the 3-year accident rate of the 1997 suspended group was significantly lower than those of two groups. The fact that both the 1- and 3-year accident rates in these analyses are still among the lowest could reflect the ongoing impact of APS suspensions over time, since all second offenders since 1990 are suspended under APS for the duration of the one-year follow-up period.

The results on DUI reoffense rates for second offenders continue to be consistent with the findings of prior studies on alcohol-related incidents, indicating that SB 38 programs with license restriction and with interlock are associated with a reduction in subsequent DUI incidents over both follow-up periods.

SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

Data on DMV administrative license disqualification actions (license suspension or revocation—S / R) taken in DUI cases are presented below. These statutorily mandated actions, which are taken in cases of alcohol-impaired driving, are initiated by the receipt of either a law enforcement APS report (.08% BAC, zero tolerance, or chemical test refusal) or court abstract of conviction. It should be noted that multiple actions can result from a single DUI incident—for example, a single DUI arrest frequently will result in both an APS suspension and a (later) mandatory postconviction suspension action. This section includes the following tables and figure:

Table 17: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 1990-2000. This table shows preconviction (APS) and postconviction license disqualification totals from 1990 through 2000. The postconviction totals include juvenile suspensions, first-offender suspensions, second-offender suspensions and revocations, and third- and fourth-offender revocations.

Table 18: Administrative Per Se Process Measures. This table presents APS process measure data for fiscal years 98 / 99 through 00 / 01.

Figure 11: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 1990-2000. This figure graphically portrays mandatory DUI license disqualification totals from 1990 through 2000, both preconviction and postconviction.

The following statements are based on the data shown in Tables 17-18 and Figure 11.

- ! During 1991, the first full calendar year of APS license suspension, the total number of DMV DUI preconviction and postconviction S/R actions increased by 60% over that for 1990. These totals declined each subsequent year through 1995, and have fluctuated up and down each year since 1995. After a 1% decrease in 1999, S/R actions increased by 1.9% in 2000.
- ! In 2000, 172,606 APS license actions were taken. Of these actions, 77.2% were first-offender actions and 22.8% were repeat-offender actions.
- ! In FY00/01, APS actions decreased by 3.5%, following a 2.0% decrease the previous fiscal year.
- ! Chemical test refusal actions decreased by a numerical total of 2 in 2000, following a 5% drop in 1999. The total number of refusal actions has fallen 55.7% from the 1991 totals.
- ! The number of mandatory postconviction license actions increased by 19.7% in 2000, but overall has declined by 32.6% since 1991.
- ! In the 11 years since APS was implemented in July 1990, over two and a quarter million (2,299,629) APS suspension or revocation actions had been taken.
- ! Requests for APS hearings have increased from 7.1% of all APS actions in FY 90/91 to 21.7% in 00/01. The rate at which APS suspension/revocation actions are upheld after hearing has risen to 86.5% in 00/01, after falling to only 67% in 95/96.
- ! During the first 7.5 years after implementation (on January 1, 1994) of the "zero tolerance" law for minors, over 100,000 suspension actions were taken (100,127).




Figure 11. Mandatory DUI license disqualification actions, 1990-2000.

TABLE 17: MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1990-2000

	YEAR										
	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION / REVOCAION (S / R) ACTIONS	233680	373131	308399	277447	243645	226158 ^r	230600 ^r	205462 ³	238612 ³	236141	240597
PRECONVICTION											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	142525 ^r	272273	228790	209006	184045	173696 ^r	180343 ^r	169511	175365	179332	172606
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	n/a	n/ a	n/ a	n/ a	9971	8608 ^r	9327 ^r	11517	15640	17775	18185
.08 First-offender suspensions	82503	187527	157545	144321	120582	116636 ^r	122111 ^r	114247	116827	119621	114997
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	34792	74351	62656	57279	47429	43218 ^r	43922 ^r	39636	39024	38487	36147
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	2315	10395	8589	7406	6063	5234 ^r	4983 ^r	4111	3874	3449	3277
Commercial driver actions	3739	7976	6449	5829	5038	4743 ^r	4939 ^r	4496	4609	4471	4139
Chemical test refusal actions	22915	21296 ^r	17963 ^r	15662	13264	11711 ^r	11436 ^r	10110	9935	9435	9433
.01 Test refusal suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	179	170	154	134	229	268	270
.08 Test refusal suspensions ¹	15128	10901 ^r	9374 ^r	8256	7022	6307 ^r	6299 ^r	5865	5832	5718	5886
.08 Test refusal revocations ¹	7787	10395 ^r	8589 ^r	7406	6063	5234 ^r	4983 ^r	4111	3874	3449	3277
TOTAL APS / REFUSAL ACTIONS	142525	272273	228790	209006	184045	173696 ^r	180343 ^r	169511	175365	179332	172606
POSTCONVICTION²											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	1478	1576	1202	922	879	677	995	769 ³	1026 ³	918	741
First-offender suspensions	10408	13575	10673	10208	8696	7266	7229	4847 ³	9588 ³	15072	29924
Misdemeanor	8467	11547	8989	8607	7188	5806	5753	3834 ³	7497 ³	13401	28118
Felony	1941	2028	1684	1601	1508	1460	1476	1013 ³	2091 ³	1671	1806
Second-offender S / R actions	52334	57350	45478	38849	34300	31489	30404	22945 ³	40238 ³	31940	29097
Misdemeanor	51593	56583	44756	38285	33794	30955	29864	22532 ³	39065 ³	31455	28571
Felony	741	767	722	564	506	534	540	413 ³	633 ³	485	526
Third-offender revocations	18650	19963	15553	12908	11193	9471	8728	5569 ³	9397 ³	6573	6163
Misdemeanor	18219	19595	15233	12644	10974	9261	8550	5471 ³	9167 ³	6452	6015
Felony	431	368	320	264	219	210	178	98 ³	230 ³	121	148
Fourth-offender revocations	8285	8394	6703	5554	4532	3559	2901	1821 ³	2998 ³	2306	2066
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION ACTIONS	91155	100858	79609	68441	59600	52462	50257 ^r	35951 ³	63247 ³	56809	67991

¹From 1989-1990 these were .10 Implied Consent refusal suspensions / revocations.

²These totals include suspension actions that are associated with lack of compliance with statutory requirements.

³The 1997 / 1998 counts reflect backlogged actions from 1997 that were processed in 1998.

^rRevised from prior reports.

TABLE 18. ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES

	7 / 98-6 / 99	7 / 99-6 / 00	7 / 00-6 / 01
Total APS actions taken (including actions later set aside)	194,602	190,720	183,979
Total .08 ¹ APS actions set aside	14,424	13,793	14,510
Total .01 ² suspensions set aside	915	965	1,103
Net total APS actions taken (excluding actions later set aside)	179,263	175,962	168,366
Net total .08 APS actions	162,261	157,945	150,092
Net total .01 suspensions	17,002	18,017	18,274
APS Actions by Offender Status/License Classification:³			
Total APS actions, noncommercial drivers	174,707	171,489	164,370
Total commercial driver (CDL) APS actions taken	4,556	4,473	3,996
Number of APS actions of commercial drivers in commercial vehicles	53	59	42
APS .08 suspensions for drivers with no prior DUI convictions or APS actions ⁴	119,306	117,324	112,158
4-month license suspensions	86,707	87,992	84,960
30-day suspensions plus 3-month restrictions	1,815	1,169	1,117
30-day suspensions plus 5-month COE ⁵ restrictions	21,597	18,738	17,317
First-offender chemical test refusals	5,700	5,941	5,598
CDL first offender suspensions / restrictions	3,486	3,484	3,166
Total APS .08 actions taken for drivers with prior DUI convictions	42,955	40,621	37,934
Suspensions	39,335	37,218	34,779
Revocations	3,620	3,403	3,155
APS Chemical Test Refusal Process Measures:			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions taken (including actions later set aside)	10,225	10,120	9,601
Total .08 refusal actions set aside	623	470	555
Total .01 refusal actions set aside	28	22	18
Net total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions (excluding actions later set aside)	9,574	9,628	9,028
Net total .08 refusal actions	9,320	9,344	8,753
Net total .01 refusal actions	254	284	275
Chemical test refusal rate (excluding actions later set aside)	5.25%	5.31%	5.22%
Net .08 APS refusal (suspension) actions for subjects with no prior DUIs	5,700	5,941	5,598
Net .08 APS refusal (revocation) actions for subjects with prior DUIs	3,620	3,403	3,155
APS Hearings⁶			
Total .08 and .01 inperson or telephone APS hearings scheduled	42,577	41,616	39,959
Proportion of total APS actions resulting in a scheduled hearing ⁷	21.9%	21.8%	21.7%
.08 hearings held and / or completed	38,598	36,286	35,408
.08 actions sustained or upheld following a hearing	33,069	32,040	30,618
Proportion of .08 APS actions sustained / upheld following a hearing	85.7%	88.3%	86.5%
.01 hearings held and / or completed	3,003	3,162	3,183
.01 actions sustained or upheld following a hearing	2,590	2,760	2,698
Proportion of .01 APS actions sustained / upheld following a hearing	86.2%	87.3%	84.8%
APS Chemical Test Refusal Hearings			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal hearings scheduled	2,863	2,713	2,873
.08 APS refusal hearings held and / or completed	2,780	2,542	2,714
.08 APS refusal actions sustained or upheld following a hearing	2,201	2,093	2,202

¹.08 refers to APS actions taken subsequent to obtaining evidence of a BAC equal to or in excess of the .08% per se level or on the basis of a chemical test refusal. Such an action is taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

².01 refers to APS suspensions taken against drivers under the age of 21 with BACs in excess of .01%, or on the basis of a chemical test refusal, and are not necessarily taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

³All entries in this category exclude actions later set aside but, where possible, include actions taken on the basis of either a chemical test refusal or a BAC test result.

⁴Prior DUI convictions or APS actions consist of any such conviction or action where the violation occurred within seven years prior to the current violation.

⁵This restriction allows driving to, from, and during the course-of-employment (enacted 1 / 1 / 95).

⁶These figures include refusal hearings but exclude Driver Safety / Investigation hearings, subsequent APS dismissal hearings and departmental reviews.

⁷Both numerator and denominator include those actions later set aside as a result of the hearing.

SECTION 6: ACCIDENTS INVOLVING ALCOHOL

This section presents data on alcohol-involved accidents, as compiled and reported by the California Highway Patrol, as well as accident data which have been crosstabulated with Department of Justice DUI arrest data. Only accidents involving injury or fatality are assessed, due to incomplete reporting of property-damage-only (PDO) accidents.³ Drivers identified as being under the influence of drugs other than alcohol are also included in the "alcohol-involved accident" category, but typically comprise less than 1% of the total (e.g., only 3 cases for 1994 data). This section includes the following tables:

Table 19: Fatal / Injury Accidents of 1999 DUI Arrestees by Race / Ethnicity and Sobriety Code. This table shows the law enforcement officer's determination of sobriety for accident-involved 1999 DUI arrestees, by race / ethnicity.

Table 20: Fatal / Injury Accidents of 1999 DUI Arrestees by Race / Ethnicity and Type of Arrest. This table portrays the fatal / injury accident involvement of DUI arrestees, by race / ethnicity and type of arrest (felony, juvenile, or misdemeanor).

Table 21: Fatal / Injury Accidents of 1999 DUI Arrestees by Adjudication Status and Sobriety Code. This table crosstabulates accident sobriety codes (from law enforcement accident reports) with the court disposition of the 1999 DUI arrests associated with those accidents.

Table 22: Fatal / Injury Accidents of 1999 DUI Arrestees by Adjudication Status and Type of Arrest. This table displays the adjudication status of fatal and injury accident-involved 1999 DUI arrestees, by type of arrest.

Table 23: 1999 Accident-Involved DUI Arrestees With No Record of Conviction, by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows the number of accident-involved 1999 DUI arrestees without a corresponding recorded conviction, by type of DUI arrest, by county.

Tables 24a-24b: 1999 Alcohol-Involved Fatal / Injury Accidents by Age and Sex (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of 1999 alcohol-involved fatal and injury accidents by age and sex, both total (24a) and those of subjects who were not arrested in conjunction with the accident (24b).

Tables 25a-25b: Sobriety Level by Prior DUI Convictions of 1999 Alcohol-Involved Fatal / Injury Accidents (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of

³Among 1999 DUI arrests, 23,807 were associated with a reported traffic accident, with 10,839 involving an injury or fatality, and 12,968 being PDO.

1999 alcohol-involved fatal and injury accidents by sobriety level and prior conviction status, both total (25a) and those of subjects who were not arrested in conjunction with the accident (25b).

Tables 26a-26b: 1999 Alcohol-Involved Fatal / Injury Accidents by Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of 1999 alcohol-involved fatal and injury accidents by number of prior convictions, both total (26a) and for subjects who were not arrested in conjunction with the accident (26b).

Table 27: 1-, 3-, and 5-Year Total, Fatal / Injury, and Alcohol-Related Accident Means by Offender Status. This table shows the average number of total, fatal / injury, and alcohol-related accidents for 1999, 1997, and 1995 DUI arrestees for time periods of respectively, 1, 3, and 5 years subsequent to their arrests by offender status (number of prior offenses).

Figure 12 (below) shows the annual percentages of traffic injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved from 1990 to 2000. The numerical data for this graph are shown on the DUI summary statistics sheet at the beginning of this report.




Figure 12. Percentage of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 1990-2000.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

- ❗ The number of alcohol-involved traffic fatalities increased by 5.4% in 2000, following a 9.1% increase in 1999, which had been the first increase in over a decade. The proportion of traffic fatalities which are alcohol-involved increased (to 33.1%) for the third year in a row after over a decade of decreases.

- ! The proportion of traffic accident injuries that are alcohol-involved continued to decline in 2000, as it has each year since 1987. However, the actual number of alcohol-involved injuries rose for the first time in 15 years.
 - ! 12.5% of all 1999 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic accident, compared to 12.8% in 1998, 12.3% in 1997, 12.6% in 1996, 12.4% in 1995, 13.2% in 1994, 13.1% in 1993, and 11.1% in 1992 and 1991. 45.5% of these accidents involved an injury or fatality.
 - ! In almost a quarter (21.7%) of cases where a DUI offender was arrested in connection with a fatal / injury traffic accident, there is no record of any corresponding conviction. In 88.8% of these nonconvicted cases, the accident report indicated that the drivers had been drinking and that their ability was impaired.
 - ! Of all 1999 fatal / injury accident-involved DUI arrestees with no record of conviction, 22.4% had been arrested for felony DUI.
 - ! 5.7% (10,839) of 1999 DUI arrests were associated with a fatal or injury accident. Of these fatal / injury accidents, only 31.4% (3,399) led to an arrest for felony DUI, and only 11.7% (1,269) led to a conviction of felony DUI. 78.3% of DUI arrests stemming from a fatal / injury accident resulted in a reported conviction.
 - ! The fatal / injury and total accident risk of DUI offenders generally decreases with the number of prior DUI convictions for periods up to seven years after arrest, while, conversely, the risk of involvement in an alcohol-related accident generally increases with number of priors over the same time periods. This is not surprising because as the number of prior DUIs increases, the time period of the suspension / revocation lengthens, and prior research has demonstrated that suspension / revocation has a larger impact on reducing non-DUI accidents than DUI accidents. In addition, drivers with multiple DUI offenses are more likely to have serious drinking problems.
 - ! Non-arrested drivers in alcohol-involved fatal / injury accidents in 1999 were less likely to have a prior conviction for DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving, and had lower estimated BAC levels than did drivers who were arrested in conjunction with the accident.
- ! Almost three-quarters (74.9%) of drivers in alcohol-involved fatal accidents had no prior DUI or reckless driving conviction.

TABLE 19: FATAL / INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1999 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE / ETHNICITY AND SOBRIETY CODE*

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		RACE / ETHNICITY (100%)							
			WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	10839	100.0	5414	49.9	3749	34.6	765	7.1	911	8.4
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	10107	93.2	4981	49.3	3569	35.3	698	6.9	859	8.5
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	24	0.2	12	50.0	7	29.2	3	12.5	2	8.3
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	193	1.8	94	48.7	69	35.8	21	10.9	9	4.7
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	62	0.6	33	53.2	21	33.9	4	6.5	4	6.5
NOT REPORTED	453	4.2	294	64.9	83	18.3	39	8.6	37	8.2

*For each sobriety code, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 20: FATAL / INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1999 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE / ETHNICITY AND TYPE OF ARREST*

TYPE OF ARREST	TOTAL		RACE / ETHNICITY (100%)							
			WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	10839	100.0	5414	49.9	3749	34.6	765	7.1	911	8.4
FELONY DUI	3399	31.4	1450	42.7	1365	40.2	284	8.4	300	8.8
JUVENILE DUI	162	1.5	101	62.3	43	26.5	2	1.2	16	9.9
MISDEMEANOR DUI	7278	67.1	3863	53.1	2341	32.2	479	6.6	595	8.2

*For each type of arrest, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 21: FATAL / INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1999 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND SOBRIETY CODE*

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		TYPE OF CONVICTION											
			MISDEMEANOR DUI		FELONY DUI		ALCOHOL- RECKLESS		NONALCOHOL- RECKLESS		OTHER CONVICTIONS		NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	10839	100.0	6237	57.5	1487	13.7	397	3.7	150	1.4	214	2.0	2354	21.7
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	10107	93.2	5946	58.8	1409	13.9	371	3.7	124	1.2	167	1.7	2090	20.7
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	24	0.2	2	8.3	4	16.7	0	0.0	1	4.2	2	8.3	15	62.5
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	193	1.8	95	49.2	21	10.9	10	5.2	4	2.1	6	3.1	57	29.5
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	62	0.6	29	46.8	1	1.6	4	6.5	2	3.2	2	3.2	24	38.7
NOT REPORTED	453	4.2	165	36.4	52	11.5	12	2.6	19	4.2	37	8.2	168	37.1

*For each sobriety code, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 22: FATAL / INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1999 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND TYPE OF ARREST*

TYPE OF ARREST	TOTAL		TYPE OF CONVICTION											
			MISDEMEANOR DUI		FELONY DUI		ALCOHOL- RECKLESS		NONALCOHOL- RECKLESS		OTHER CONVICTIONS		NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	10839	100.0	6237	57.5	1487	13.7	397	3.7	150	1.4	214	2.0	2354	21.7
FELONY DUI	3399	31.4	1206	35.5	1269	37.3	55	1.6	36	1.1	70	2.1	763	22.4
JUVENILE DUI	162	1.5	62	38.3	29	17.9	0	0.0	2	1.2	3	1.9	66	40.7
MISDEMEANOR DUI	7278	67.1	4969	68.3	189	2.6	342	4.7	112	1.5	141	1.9	1525	21.0

*For each type of arrest, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 23: 1999 ACCIDENT-INVOLVED* DUI ARRESTEES WITH NO RECORD
OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL (100%)	TYPE OF ARREST					
		FELONY DUI		JUVENILE DUI		MISDEMEANOR DUI	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	4219	695	16.5	132	3.1	3392	80.4
ALAMEDA	210	16	7.6	1	0.5	193	91.9
ALPINE	3	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	100.0
AMADOR	8	0	0.0	1	12.5	7	87.5
BUTTE	25	2	8.0	0	0.0	23	92.0
CALAVERAS	9	1	11.1	0	0.0	8	88.9
COLUSA	6	3	50.0	0	0.0	3	50.0
CONTRA COSTA	109	10	9.2	2	1.8	97	89.0
DEL NORTE	5	2	40.0	0	0.0	3	60.0
EL DORADO	19	5	26.3	5	26.3	9	47.4
FRESNO	210	48	22.9	1	0.5	161	76.7
GLENN	5	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	100.0
HUMBOLDT	53	5	9.4	6	11.3	42	79.2
IMPERIAL	29	2	6.9	0	0.0	27	93.1
INYO	6	1	16.7	0	0.0	5	83.3
KERN	102	16	15.7	4	3.9	82	80.4
KINGS	14	6	42.9	0	0.0	8	57.1
LAKE	22	2	9.1	1	4.5	19	86.4
LASSEN	1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0
LOS ANGELES	954	140	14.7	27	2.8	787	82.5
MADERA	31	6	19.4	1	3.2	24	77.4
MARIN	35	6	17.1	0	0.0	29	82.9
MARIPOSA	2	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	100.0
MENDOCINO	13	3	23.1	0	0.0	10	76.9
MERCED	33	4	12.1	3	9.1	26	78.8
MODOC	1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0
MONO	1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0
MONTEREY	91	8	8.8	6	6.6	77	84.6
NAPA	30	11	36.7	1	3.3	18	60.0
NEVADA	9	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	100.0
ORANGE	234	23	9.8	5	2.1	206	88.0
PLACER	36	8	22.2	2	5.6	26	72.2
PLUMAS	5	1	20.0	0	0.0	4	80.0
RIVERSIDE	231	27	11.7	9	3.9	195	84.4
SACRAMENTO	170	46	27.1	9	5.3	115	67.6
SAN BENITO	23	4	17.4	2	8.7	17	73.9
SAN BERNARDINO	270	56	20.7	2	0.7	212	78.5
SAN DIEGO	320	84	26.2	6	1.9	230	71.9
SAN FRANCISCO	98	36	36.7	0	0.0	62	63.3
SAN JOAQUIN	96	11	11.5	4	4.2	81	84.4
SAN LUIS OBISPO	22	2	9.1	4	18.2	16	72.7
SAN MATEO	65	8	12.3	6	9.2	51	78.5
SANTA BARBARA	22	2	9.1	0	0.0	20	90.9
SANTA CLARA	104	28	26.9	3	2.9	73	70.2
SANTA CRUZ	22	2	9.1	1	4.5	19	86.4
SHASTA	21	3	14.3	0	0.0	18	85.7
SIERRA	1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0
SISKIYOU	8	0	0.0	2	25.0	6	75.0
SOLANO	43	6	14.0	1	2.3	36	83.7
SONOMA	64	4	6.3	0	0.0	60	93.8
STANISLAUS	94	13	13.8	3	3.2	78	83.0
SUTTER	8	0	0.0	0	0.0	8	100.0
TEHAMA	11	1	9.1	0	0.0	10	90.9
TRINITY	2	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0
TULARE	84	10	11.9	4	4.8	70	83.3
TUOLUMNE	5	1	20.0	0	0.0	4	80.0
VENTURA	82	11	13.4	9	11.0	62	75.6
YOLO	30	10	33.3	0	0.0	20	66.7
YUBA	12	1	8.3	0	0.0	11	91.7

*These cases include only arrestees whose accidents showed alcohol or drug-impaired sobriety codes.

TABLE 24a: 1999 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL / INJURY ACCIDENTS*
BY AGE AND SEX

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	18720	100.0	15145	100.0	3575	100.0
UNDER 18	354	1.9	272	1.8	82	2.3
18-20	1678	9.0	1393	9.2	285	8.0
21-30	6182	33.0	5116	33.8	1066	29.8
31-40	4801	25.6	3717	24.5	1084	30.3
41-50	3101	16.6	2424	16.0	677	18.9
51-59	1179	6.3	989	6.5	190	5.3
60-69	498	2.7	409	2.7	89	2.5
70 & ABOVE	302	1.6	241	1.6	61	1.7
AGE UNKNOWN	625	3.3	584	3.9	41	1.1

*These data are derived from the 2000 California Highway Patrol's Annual Report of Fatal and Injury Motor Vehicle Traffic Collisions.

TABLE 24b: 1999 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL / INJURY ACCIDENTS
BY AGE AND SEX (NOT ARRESTED)

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	7403	100.0	5950	80.4	1453	19.6
UNDER 18	114	1.5	93	81.6	21	18.4
18-20	657	8.9	537	81.7	120	18.3
21-30	2605	35.2	2171	83.3	434	16.7
31-40	1934	26.1	1491	77.1	443	22.9
41-50	1221	16.5	958	78.5	263	21.5
51-60	506	6.8	412	81.4	94	18.6
61-70	205	2.8	166	81.0	39	19.0
71 & ABOVE	161	2.2	122	75.8	39	24.2

TABLE 25a: SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 1999 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL / INJURY ACCIDENTS*

SOBRIETY LEVEL	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN SEVEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	17514	100.0	7611	43.5	7662	43.7	1802	10.3	374	2.1	65	0.4
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	13421	76.6	4103	30.6	7192	53.6	1712	12.8	353	2.6	61	0.5
HBD-NO1 IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2830	16.2	2598	91.8	202	7.1	24	0.8	4	0.1	2	0.1
HBD-NO1 KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	1251	7.1	901	72.0	266	21.3	65	5.2	17	1.4	2	0.2
HNBD-HAD NO1 BEEN DRINKING	8	0.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NOT REPORTED	4	0.0	1	25.0	2	50.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

*These data are derived from the California Highway Patrol's alcohol-accident files and include only those cases with available driver license numbers.

TABLE 25b: SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 1999 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL / INJURY ACCIDENTS (NOT ARRESTED)

SOBRIETY LEVEL	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN SEVEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	7403	100.0	4997	67.5	1838	24.8	450	6.1	102	1.4	16	0.2
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	3526	47.6	1576	44.7	1465	41.5	383	10.9	87	2.5	15	0.4
HBD-NO1 IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2805	37.9	2581	92.0	196	7.0	23	0.8	4	0.1	1	0.0
HBD-NO1 KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	1063	14.4	832	78.3	176	16.6	44	4.1	11	1.0	0	0.0
HNBD-HAD NO1 BEEN DRINKING	7	0.1	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NOT REPORTED	2	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

TABLE 26a: 1999 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL / INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS

ACCIDENTS	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN SEVEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	17514	100.0	7611	43.5	7662	43.7	1802	10.3	374	2.1	65	0.4
FATAL	835	4.8	625	74.9	163	19.5	37	4.4	10	1.2	0	0.0
INJURY	16679	95.2	6986	41.9	7499	45.0	1765	10.6	364	2.2	65	0.4

TABLE 26b: 1999 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL / INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED)

ACCIDENTS	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN SEVEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	7403	100.0	4997	67.5	1838	24.8	450	6.1	102	1.4	16	0.2
FATAL	733	9.9	574	78.3	126	17.2	27	3.7	6	0.8	0	0.0
INJURY	6670	90.1	4423	66.3	1712	25.7	423	6.3	96	1.4	16	0.2

TABLE 27: 1-, 3- AND 5-YEAR TOTAL, FATAL / INJURY AND ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENT MEANS BY OFFENDER STATUS

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS			FATAL / INJURY ACCIDENTS			ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENTS		
	1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1999 ARRESTEES)	3-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1997 ARRESTEES)	5-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1995 ARRESTEES)	1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1999 ARRESTEES)	3-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1997 ARRESTEES)	5-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1995 ARRESTEES)	1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1999 ARRESTEES)	3-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1997 ARRESTEES)	5-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1995 ARRESTEES)
ALL	.0416	.1274	.1958	.0132	.0404	.0629	.0098	.0266	.0431
1ST DUI	.0462	.1414	.2105	.0145	.0437	.0655	.0093	.0257	.0402
2ND DUI	.0286	.0988	.1725	.0095	.0336	.0597	.0113	.0287	.0487
3RD DUI	.0238	.0669	.1313	.0087	.0258	.0499	.0109	.0290	.0542
4TH+ DUI	.0138	.0618	.1127	.0069	.0237	.0431	.0089	.0336	.0545

DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

DUI Arrest Data:

Arrest data are reported to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Law Enforcement Information Center, by individual law enforcement agencies throughout the state. As such, these data are subject to reporting errors such as incorrect names, birthdates or arrest dates. Nonreporting of arrest data due to error or omission can also occur; for example, in 1995 the Oakland Police Department reported no DUI arrests, after reporting 960 such arrests in 1994. In addition, when data are entered into DOJ's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system, only the highest-order offense is included. Therefore, in cases where a DUI arrest is made in conjunction with, for example, an auto theft arrest, that DUI arrest will not be included in the database. This results in a slight but systematic underreporting of the number of DUI arrests annually.

DUI Conviction Data:

Abstracts of conviction for DUI and other traffic-related offenses are reported to the DMV by courts throughout the state. As abstracts are received (either hard copy, magnetic tape or through direct electronic access from the courts) they are entered onto the DMV driver record database. Abstracts without an identifying driver license number are run through the automated name index (ANI) system in order to match the abstract with an existing driver record; in cases where no such match can be made, an "X"-numbered record is created to store the abstract. The total number of DUI abstracts of conviction received by DMV from the courts is tallied monthly and annually. Since this workload total includes abstracts which amend, correct or dismiss prior abstracts of conviction, it tends to overestimate the actual number of convictions which have occurred. Conviction data are also subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those for DUI arrests. For example, the 1993 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System documented the fact that thousands of DUI convictions appearing in court records do not appear on the DMV driver record database.

Alcohol-Involved Accident Data:

Accident data are reported to the California Highway Patrol (CHP) by local law enforcement agencies and district offices of the CHP. As such, these data are subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those occurring in both DUI arrest and conviction data. While most local law enforcement agencies will investigate and file reports on accidents involving injury or death, the investigation and reporting of property-damage-only accidents varies widely by local jurisdiction. Data are entered onto CHP's Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) and published in annual reports.

HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975

AB 1078 (Jackson), effective 1 / 1 / 2002, removes the ten-year limit on certain vehicular manslaughter convictions, resulting in the permanent retention of these violations on the driver's record. These convictions would be considered by the court as "priors" for enhancing penalties upon subsequent conviction for DUI.

AB 1650 (Assembly Transportation Committee), effective 1 / 1 / 2000, is a committee bill intended to deal with transportation issues more efficiently by clarifying and making technical changes. This bill authorizes the DMV to impose a driver license

suspension on those convicted of DUI in a water vessel involving injury; this remedies an oversight in existing law which provides for sanctions against drivers convicted of DUI in a water vessel without injury than for those with injury.

- AB 762 (Torlakson), effective 7 / 1 / 99, extends the suspension period for a second-DUI offender from 18 months to two years, but allows the second offender to serve 12 months of the license suspension period, followed by a restricted license with continued enrollment in a treatment program and installation of an ignition interlock device; requires persons convicted of driving with a suspended or revoked license, where that suspension or revocation was based on prior DUI convictions, to install the ignition interlock device for a period not to exceed 3 years or until the driving privilege is reinstated, and requires DMV to study and report on the effectiveness of these devices. Judges are also encouraged to order installation of an ignition interlock device for first-time DUI offenders if there are aggravating factors such as high blood alcohol readings (0.20% or above), chemical test refusal, numerous traffic violations, or injury accidents.
- SB 24 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7 / 1 / 99, cleans up AB 762, AB 1916, and SB 1186. This law requires the DMV to revoke for one year the driving privilege of any ignition interlock device-restricted driver who is convicted of driving a vehicle not equipped with an ignition interlock device (IID) under authority section 23247(g); requires the department to suspend or revoke the driving privilege of any IID-restricted driver [under section 23246(g)] if notified by an installation facility that the driver attempted to bypass, tamper with or remove the device, or has three or more times failed to comply with calibration or servicing requirements of the device; amends certain sections to specify that completion of a program equals enrollment, participation, and completion subsequent to the date of the current violation.
- SB 1186 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7 / 1 / 99, reorganizes specified provisions relating to DUI-related statutes by amending, repealing, and / or renumbering the DUI-related sections without making substantive changes to the statutes.
- SB 1176 (Johnson), effective 1 / 1 / 99, requires that, upon a conviction of an alcohol-related reckless driving charge, the courts order enrollment in an alcohol and drug education program as a condition of probation. This bill also requires an evaluation by the DMV of the effectiveness of the program and a discussion of the findings in its annual report to the Legislature.
- SB 1890 (Hurt), effective 1 / 1 / 99, deletes the choice of the urine test from the options for chemical tests relating to operating a vehicle under the influence of alcohol, unless both the blood and breath tests are unavailable or where there is a condition that warrants the use of the urine test.
- AB 1916 (Torlakson), effective 1 / 1 / 99, provides that the court shall, as a condition of probation, refer a first offender whose BAC level is less than 0.20%, by weight, to participate for at least three months (minimum 30 hours) or longer to a licensed education / counseling program; if the BAC level is equal to 0.20% or more, by weight, or the person refused to take a chemical test, the court shall order the person to participate for at least six months or longer in a program consisting of 45 hours of education / counseling activities; requires the DMV to submit an annual

report to the Legislature on the efficacy of the increased drug and alcohol intervention programs; requires repeat offenders who have twice failed the programs to participate in a county alcohol and drug problem assessment program, and requires each county, beginning 1 / 1 / 2000, to prepare, or contract to be prepared, an alcohol and drug assessment report on each person ordered by the court to participate in an alcohol and drug assessment program.

AB 130 (Battin), effective 1 / 1 / 98, requires that any person guilty of a felony or misdemeanor DUI within 10 years of a prior felony offense be designated as a habitual traffic offender for a three-year period and have their driver license revoked for four years.

SB 1177 (Johnson), effective 1 / 1 / 98, requires that anyone convicted of a second or subsequent DUI within seven years of a separate DUI, alcohol-related reckless driving, or DUI with bodily injury violation, is ordered to enroll in, participate and complete a DUI treatment program, subject to the latest violation, as a condition of probation. The person is not to be given credit for any treatment program activities prior to the date of the current violation.

AB 1985 (Speier), effective 1 / 1 / 97, cited as "Courtney's Law"; provides that a person convicted of gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated and who has one or more prior convictions of vehicular manslaughter or multiple prior DUI convictions shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison for a term of 15 years to life. Also, any person fleeing the scene of a crime after committing specified vehicle offenses which resulted in death, serious injury, or great bodily injury is subject to an additional five-year prison enhancement.

SB 1579 (Leonard), effective 1 / 1 / 97, permits DMV to suspend a driver license on a first FTA for DUI, and establishes an enhanced audit and tracking system to compare DUI arrests with subsequent actions.

SB 833 (Kopp), effective 1 / 1 / 96, permits peace officers to seize and cause the removal of a vehicle, without arresting the driver, when the vehicle was being operated by a person whose driving privilege was suspended or revoked or who had never been issued a license; requires an impounding agency to send a notice by certified, return receipt requested mail, to the legal owner of a vehicle that is impounded, and specifies under what conditions an impounded vehicle may be released to the legal owner.

AB 321 (Connolly), effective 1 / 1 / 95, allows juveniles cited for driving under the influence, with a blood alcohol content (BAC) of 0.05% or more, by weight (Section 23140), to be charged with vehicular manslaughter (PC 192) or gross vehicular manslaughter (PC 191.5) if they violate these vehicular manslaughter laws.

SB 1295 (Lockyer), effective 1 / 1 / 95, requires every person convicted of a first DUI offense to submit proof of completion of a treatment program within a time period set by the department; requires the department to suspend the driving privilege for noncompliance, prohibits reinstatement until proof of completion is received by the department; enhances the required administrative driving privilege revocation for a minor who refuses to take or fails to complete a preliminary alcohol screening (PAS) test, to two years revocation for the second offense in seven years and three years revocation for the third and subsequent offenses; applies the VC section 23140 to

drivers under age 21 (previously under age 18), making it unlawful to drive with a 0.05% BAC level or greater.

SB 1758 (Kopp), effective 1 / 1 / 95, permits a noncommercial driver, 21 years of age or older, who was arrested for a first Administrative Per Se DUI offense, who took a chemical test, and enrolled in an alcohol treatment program, to also obtain a restricted driver license, valid for driving to and from and during the course of that person's employment, after serving 30 days of the suspension period. The total time period for suspension / restriction shall be six months, rather than four months. Suspended / revoked and unlicensed drivers who drive are subject to having their vehicles towed and impounded for 30 days. If the driver is the registered owner of the vehicle and has a prior conviction for driving while unlicensed or suspended /revoked, the vehicle is subject to forfeiture to local authorities.

AB 2639 (Friedman), effective 9 / 30 / 94, repeals the statutes which authorized discretionary ignition interlock device (IID) orders (23235), although part of the repealed statutes were incorporated into the sections establishing mandatory orders (section 23246 et seq.). Previously, the discretionary IID orders applied to all DUI offenders, but now they apply only to first-DUI offenders. For third and subsequent offenders, the statutes are amended to clarify that the court must require proof of installation of the device before issuing an order granting a restricted license. Some of the exemptions to the IID orders were revised.

SB 126 (Lockyer), effective 1 / 1 / 94, amends Vehicle Code section 23161 to provide that if the court orders a 90-day restriction for a first offender, the restriction shall begin on the date of the reinstatement of the person's privilege to drive following the four-month administrative suspension; as part of the sentencing of repeat-DUI offenders, 23161 requires an ignition interlock device to remain on the vehicle for one to three years after restoration of the driving privilege; specifies that the person cannot operate a motor vehicle when the driving privilege is suspended or revoked even if the vehicle is equipped with an ignition interlock device; requires second offenders who have been suspended for 18 months to provide proof of financial responsibility and proof of successful completion of an alcohol or drug program in order to reinstate their license privilege, includes violation of 23140 for administrative suspension for minors driving with 0.05% BAC or greater.

SB 689 (Kopp), effective 1 / 1 / 94, prohibits a person under 21 years of age from driving with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.01% or greater, as measured by a preliminary alcohol screening (PAS) test; violators receive a one-year license suspension. A person under the age of 21 who refuses the PAS test will be suspended for one year.

AB 2851 (Friedman), effective 7 / 1 / 93, requires anyone convicted of a second DUI within seven years of a prior conviction to install an ignition interlock device on all their vehicles. The device must be maintained for a period of one to three years. Proof of installation must be provided to the court or probation officer within 30 days of conviction. If proof is not provided, the DMV will revoke the license for one year. Exceptions to installing a device are for medical problems, use of vehicle in emergencies, and driving the employer's vehicle during employment.

AB 3580 (Farr), effective 7 / 1 / 93, changes the effective date of administrative per se suspension from 45 to 30 days after the notice is given.

SB 1600 (Bergeson), effective 9 / 26 / 92, provides that DMV is required to suspend or revoke the licenses of those who drop out of an alcohol treatment program a second time.

AB 37 (Katz), effective 1 / 1 / 92, combines elements of the formal and informal review hearing into a single hearing for those who were suspended under the administrative per se laws, and provides that DMV need not stay a suspension or revocation pending review, if the hearing followed suspension or revocation for refusing a chemical test for alcohol or for driving with a BAC of 0.08 % or more.

SB 185 (Thompson), effective 1 / 1 / 92, amends Section 14602 to authorize the court to order the motor vehicle impounded for up to six months for a first conviction, and up to 12 months for a second or subsequent conviction of any of the following offenses: driving with a suspended or revoked license, violation of 2800.2 or .3 (evading a peace officer in a reckless manner, causing injury or death), within seven years of a violation of 23103, 23152, 23153, or penal codes 191.5 or 192(c).

AB 2040 (Farr), effective 9 / 28 / 90, repeals previous statutes authorizing the installation of ignition interlock devices in DUI cases. This urgency statute authorizes the installation of such devices in all DUI cases, permits the court to grant subjects revoked for 3 or more DUI-related violations a restricted license after 24 months of the revocation have passed. The restricted license is conditioned on satisfactory completion of 18 months of an alcohol treatment program, submission of proof of financial responsibility, and agreement to have an ignition interlock device installed in their vehicles. Courts are authorized to reduce the minimum DUI fine to allow the person to pay the costs of the device.

SB 1150 (Lockyer), effective 7 / 26 / 90, provides clean-up legislation for APS; lowers the BAC level from .10 to .08, requires proof of financial responsibility to reinstate from any APS suspension or revocation action, increases sanctions for implied consent refusals (one-year license suspension for no priors or APS actions, two-year license revocation for one prior or APS action, and three-year revocation for two or more prior DUI offenses or APS actions), and authorizes suspension or revocation actions taken under 13353 and 13353.2 CVC to be considered as priors.

SB 1623 (Lockyer), effective 7 / 1 / 90, establishes authority for a peace officer to serve a notice of suspension or revocation (administrative per se or APS) personally on a person arrested for a DUI offense, to take possession of the driver license for forwarding to the department, and to issue a 45-day temporary operating permit; provides for an administrative review of the order, for an administrative hearing, and for a judicial review of the hearing, and provides for a fee, not to exceed \$100, to be assessed upon the return of the driver license.

AB 757 (Friedman), effective 1 / 1 / 90, requires the DMV to establish and maintain a DUI data and recidivism tracking system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of DUI. Annual reports are to be made to the Legislature.

SB 310 (Seymour), effective 1 / 1 / 90, authorizes the courts to sell the vehicles of those registered owners who are found in violation of Penal Code 191.5 or 192 (C3), CVC 23152 which occurred within seven years of two or more convictions of 23152 or

23153, or a violation of 23153 which occurred within seven years of one or more convictions of 23152 or 23153 or the cited Penal Code sections.

SB 408 (Leonard), effective 1 / 1 / 90, modifies AB 7 (Hart) to establish a BAC level of .08% or higher as per se evidence of impaired driving.

SB 1119 (Seymour), effective 1 / 1 / 90 for vessel provisions and 1 / 1 / 92 for commercial driver provisions, prohibits the operation of a commercial vehicle by a person with a BAC of .04% or above; requires a commercial vehicle driver to be ordered out of service for 24 hours if found with a BAC at or above .01%, but less than .04%; establishes separate penalties for refusing to take or complete a chemical test based on the type of vehicle involved. Under this bill, a conviction of operating a vessel while under the influence of alcohol or drugs would also be treated as a DUI prior for driver license sanctions.

SB 1344 (Seymour), effective 1 / 1 / 90, requires statewide implementation of 12-week (30-hour) first-offender alcohol education and counseling programs, and requires state licensing of such programs. This bill also adds 6 months of monitoring and follow-up to second offender programs, resulting in 18-month programs. It requires that DMV evaluate program effects on recidivism and report the findings to the Legislature.

SB 1902 (Davis), effective 1 / 1 / 90, prohibits DMV from issuing or renewing a driver license unless the applicant agrees in writing to comply with a blood, breath, or urine test. This bill also designates drivers convicted of a third or subsequent DUI within 7 years as "habitual traffic offenders."

AB 3134 (Harris), effective 1 / 1 / 89, allows the 4th DUI within 7 years to be charged as a felony or misdemeanor. The term of imprisonment to state prison or county jail is not less than 180 days and not more than one year. Allows for second offenders to attend either a one year or 30-month treatment program.

AB 3563 (Killea), effective 1 / 1 / 89, authorizes the court to order DMV to suspend, revoke, or delay the driving privilege of a minor failing to show proof of completion of a court-ordered alcohol education program when convicted of Section 23140 CVC.

SB 1300 (Campbell), effective 1 / 1 / 89, amends CVC 13202.5 to allow courts to suspend the license of a person under the age of 21 (changed from age 18) for one year, or delay the driving privilege of those 13 years or older, upon conviction of various alcohol and drug offenses, including open container violations.

SB 1964 (Robbins), effective 1 / 1 / 89, requires all first-DUI offenders to file proof of insurance when applying for a restricted license or for reinstatement of the driving privilege following a period of license suspension.

SB 885 (Royce), effective 1 / 1 / 88, requires that a person who was granted probation for a second DUI offense must show proof of financial responsibility in order to be eligible for the one-year restricted license.

SB 1365 (Seymour), effective 1 / 1 / 88, establishes a 30-month alcohol treatment program as an alternative to the 12-month program for third and subsequent DUI offenders,

in counties where such a program exists. In these cases, imprisonment in the county jail shall be imposed for at least 30 days, but not more than one year, in lieu of the 120-day minimum jail term.

AB 2558 (Duffy), effective 1 / 1 / 87, provides that gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated is punishable in the state prison for 4, 6, or 10 years. Former Section 192(c3) was deleted and incorporated into 191.5(a).

AB 2831 (Killea), effective 1 / 1 / 87, makes it unlawful for a minor to drive with a BAC of .05% or more (Section 23140 CVC). A conviction of this violation requires completion of an alcohol education program or alcohol-related community service program.

SB 2206 (Watson), effective 1 / 1 / 87, authorizes a county to develop and administer an alcohol and drug problem-assessment program, which could include a pre-sentence alcohol and drug problem-assessment report for persons convicted under CVC 23152 or 23153, and referral to treatment program with follow-up tracking.

SB 2344 (Lockyer), effective 1 / 1 / 87, extends the sentencing period for prior DUIs from five to seven years, and specifies a 3- to 5- year probation term for a DUI conviction.

SB 3939 (Farr), effective 1 / 1 / 87, authorizes courts to order the installation of ignition interlock devices for repeat offenders in four counties, and establishes a pilot project to evaluate the effectiveness of the devices.

SB 925 (Seymour), effective 7 / 1 / 86, extends the period of license suspension for second-misdemeanor offenders from one year to 18 months, and also requires that offenders with three or more DUI convictions show proof of treatment completion in order to have their licenses reinstated.

AB 144 (Naylor), effective 9 / 29 / 85, requires the court to take into consideration in a DUI case a blood alcohol concentration of 0.20 percent or above, or a refusal to take a chemical test, as special factors in the enhancing of penalties for sentencing or to impose additional terms and conditions of probation.

SB 1441 (Petris), effective 1 / 1 / 85, requires a 3-year license revocation for persons with two or more DUI or alcohol-related reckless convictions within five years of refusing a chemical test.

SB 1522 (Alquist), effective 1 / 1 / 85, retains existing law for first offenders, which authorizes courts to impound a vehicle at the registered owner's expense for up to 30 days if the driver was convicted of DUI pursuant to CVC 23152 or 23153. The same time period for impoundment is required for second offenses within five years. For third and subsequent offenses, the vehicle can be impounded at the registered owner's expense for up to 90 days. Exceptions to the required impoundment arise "where the interests of justice would best be served by not ordering impoundment." Another limitation is that no vehicle driven by a class 3 or 4 licensee is subject to impoundment if another person has a community property interest in the vehicle, and it is the only vehicle available to the driver's family.

AB 624 (Moorhead), effective 1 / 1 / 84, requires a one-year license revocation for minors (up to age 18) for a DUI conviction (Sections 23152, 23153 CVC).

SB 1601 (Sieroty), effective 7 / 1 / 82, modifies AB 541 provisions by requiring that SB 38 participants establish proof of insurance in order to remove the license restriction at the end of six months. In addition, SB 38 participants who dropped out of the program are given two more opportunities to reenroll, instead of receiving an immediate license suspension. Program providers are also required to report dropouts directly to DMV.

AB 7 (Hart), effective 1 / 1 / 82, makes it a misdemeanor under CVC 23152(b) to drive a vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) level of .10% or higher. Drivers with lower BAC levels (.05 - .09%) can be convicted of DUI when sufficient behavioral evidence of impairment is apparent.

AB 541 (Moorhead), effective 1 / 1 / 82, establishes that under CVC 23152(a), driving under the influence of an alcoholic beverage or drugs or their combined influence is a misdemeanor, while felony charges are filed under CVC 23153, and alcohol-related reckless charges are filed under CVC 23103.5. A conviction under 23103.5 constitutes a prior for a second offense (but not for third offenses). The penalties imposed are a 90-day license restriction (work- and treatment-related driving only) and referral to an alcohol education program for most first offenders; a 1-year license restriction for second offenders who enroll in an approved 12-month alcohol treatment (SB 38) program. First offenders not placed on probation receive a 6-month license suspension. Second offenders not assigned to an alcohol program are suspended for one year. A minimum jail term of 48 hours is mandatory for all repeat offenders, and a minimum fine of \$390 is assessed for all DUI offenses. Offenders with three or more DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions receive a 3-year license revocation along with a jail term and fine, and a small proportion are referred to a 12-month SB 38 program. Enrollment in the program cannot be substituted for license revocation. The period defining prior DUIs changes from seven to five years. Convictions of a DUI offense with bodily injury or fatality, when prosecuted as a felony, continue to result in more severe penalties (such as longer license actions and jail terms) than the misdemeanor offenses. The only change in the 1982 law for felony second offenders is that those participating in the SB 38 program will receive a license suspension for one year and a license restriction for two years.

SB 38 (Gregorio), effective 1 / 1 / 78, extends the pilot 12-month alcohol treatment program for repeat offenders statewide.

SB 330 (Gregorio), effective 1 / 1 / 76, permits repeat DUI offenders in four counties to participate in a 12-month pilot alcohol treatment program in lieu of the usual 12-month suspension or 3-year revocation.

REFERENCES

Automobile Club of Southern California, California Highway Patrol, California State Automobile Association, & Department of Motor Vehicles. (1999). *Digest of Traffic Legislation*. Sacramento: Authors.

California Department of Finance. (1991). *California statistical abstract, 1991*. Sacramento: Author.

- California Department of Motor Vehicles. (1984-87). *An evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system. Volumes 1-8*. Sacramento: Author.
- California Highway Patrol. (2000). *2000 annual report of fatal and injury motor vehicle traffic collisions*. Sacramento: Author.
- DeYoung, D. J. (1997). *An evaluation of the specific deterrent effect of vehicle impoundment on suspended, revoked and unlicensed drivers in California* (Report No. 171). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- DeYoung, D. J., Peck, R. C., & Helander, C. J. (1999). Estimating the exposure and fatal crash rates of suspended / revoked and unlicensed drivers in California. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 29(1), 17-23.
- Helander, C. J. (1989). *Development of a California DUI management information system*. Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Los Angeles County Municipal Courts Planning and Research Unit. (1995, September). DUI legislation 1982-1995. *The 1995 Judicial DUI Seminar*. Presented by the Committee on Drinking Drivers of the Municipal Court Judges Association and the Los Angeles County Municipal Courts Planning and Research Unit. Oxnard, California.
- Rogers, P. N. (1997). *The specific deterrent impact of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws. Volume 2 of an evaluation of the effectiveness of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws* (Report No. 167). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Sadler, D. D., & Perrine, M. W. (1984). The long-term traffic safety impact of a pilot alcohol abuse treatment as an alternative to license suspensions: Volume 2 of An evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system (Report No. 90). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2001). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 188). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2000). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 185). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1999). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 179). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1998). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 169). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1997). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 165). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1996). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 159). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1995). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 145). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1994). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 143). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., Marowitz, L. A., DeYoung, D. J., & Helander, C. J. (1993). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 138). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

GLOSSARY

ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE (APS)

Administrative per se ("on-the-spot") license suspension or revocation occurs immediately pursuant to lawful arrest of a person driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08% or more, or one who refuses a chemical test. Upon arrest, the driver's license is immediately confiscated by the law enforcement officer and an order of suspension or revocation served. The driver is issued a temporary license and allowed due process through administrative review. In July 1990, California became the 28th state to implement APS. In January 1994, California enacted a "zero tolerance" statute which requires the administrative suspension of any driver under age 21 with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, or who refuses to be tested.

ALCOHOL-INVOLVED ACCIDENT

Alcohol-involved accidents are those in which the investigating law enforcement officer indicates on the accident report that the driver "had been drinking (HBD)." Accidents involving drivers who are determined to be under the influence of drugs other than alcohol (typically less than 1% of all accidents) are also included in the alcohol-involved accident category.

ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING

Commonly called a "wet" reckless, alcohol-related reckless driving refers to an arrest / conviction incident which originated as a DUI arrest. DUI arrests involving drugs which are reduced to reckless driving are also referred to as alcohol-involved or "wet" reckless driving. "Wet" reckless convictions count as priors for the purposes of enhanced penalties upon subsequent conviction of DUI.

ALPHA

Alpha is the investigator's acceptable risk or probability level of making a Type 1 error (generally chosen to be small—e.g., 1% or .01, 5% or .05). There is always some risk of a Type 1 error, so alpha cannot be zero. Alpha is also called the significance level, because it is the criterion for claiming statistical significance.

BAC

Blood alcohol concentration, or BAC, is a measure of the percent, by weight, of alcohol in a person's blood. Statutorily, BAC is based upon grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood or per 210 liters of breath.

CONVICTION

Conviction of an offense, as used in this report, refers to the receipt by DMV of a court abstract of conviction. In a small proportion of cases, an offender may be convicted of an offense but that conviction is not reported to DMV. Such cases would functionally be treated by DMV as though the offender had not been convicted. Because convictions can be amended, corrected, dismissed or simply not reported at all, the conviction totals reported herein are dynamic and subject to change.

COVARIATE

A variable used to statistically adjust the results of an analysis for differences (on that variable) existing among subjects prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

DUI

DUI is an acronym for "driving under the influence" of alcohol and / or drugs, a violation of Sections 23152 or 23153 of the California Vehicle Code.

LOGISTIC REGRESSION

Logistic regression analysis is a statistical procedure evaluating the linear relationship between various factors and the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an outcome event. In this study, the procedure was used to explain the relationship between the various sanctions and the proportion of DUI offenders who incurred accidents and/or DUI incidents.

MAJOR CONVICTION

Major convictions include primarily DUI convictions, but also reckless driving and hit-and-run convictions.

p

p stands for probability. For example, if $p < .05$, the probability is less than 5 chances in 100 that the difference you found is by chance alone.

QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS

Quasi-experimental designs refer to analyses where the comparison groups are not equivalent on characteristics other than the treatment conditions because random assignment was not used. Caution should be exercised when interpreting the results because of possible confounding of group bias with treatment effects. Covariates are used to statistically reduce group differences prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

SIGNIFICANT (STATISTICALLY)

If the result of a statistical test is significant, this means that the difference found is very unlikely by chance alone. How unlikely is determined by alpha.

APPENDIX A

Assembly Bill No. 757

CHAPTER 450

An act to add Section 1821 to the Vehicle Code. relating to driving offenses.

(Approved by Governor September 14, 1989. Filed with Secretary of State September 15, 1989.)

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 757, Friedman. Driving offenses: intervention programs: evaluation.

Under existing law, the Department of Motor Vehicles maintains records of driver's offenses reported by the courts. Including violations of the prohibitions against driving while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, any drug, or both, driving with an excessive blood-alcohol concentration, or driving while addicted to any drug.

This bill would, additionally, require the department to establish and maintain a data and monitoring system, as specified, to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of those violations relating to alcohol and drugs, and to report thereon annually to the Legislature.

The bill would declare legislative findings.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares as follows:

(a) Drivers under the influence of drugs or alcohol continue to present a grave danger to the citizens of this state.

(b) The Legislature has taken stern action to deter this crime and punish its offenders and has provided a range of sanctions available to the courts to use at their discretion.

(c) No system exists to monitor and evaluate the efficacy of these measures or to determine the achievement of the Legislature's goals.

(d) This lack of accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics hampers the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions.

(e) It is essential that the Legislature acquire this information, from available resources, as soon as practicable, and that this information be updated and transmitted annually to the Legislature.

SEC. 2. Section 1821 is added to the Vehicle Code, to read:

1821: The department shall establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The system may include a recidivism tracking system. The recidivism tracking system may include, but not be limited to, jail sentencing, license restriction, license suspension. Level I (first offender) and II (multiple offender) alcohol and drug education and treatment program assignment, alcohol and drug education treatment program readmission and dropout rates, adjudicating court, length of jail term, actual jail or alternative sentence served, type of treatment program assigned, actual program compliance status, subsequent

accidents related to driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, and subsequent convictions of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The department shall submit an annual report of its evaluations to the Legislature. The evaluations shall include a ranking of the relative efficacy of criminal penalties, other sanctions, and intervention programs and the various combinations thereof.

O

APPENDIX B

TABLE B1: 2000 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE / ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		181336	154624	85.3	26712	14.7	76402	42.1	80486	44.4	12177	6.7	12271	6.8
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	36	30	83.3	6	16.7	19	52.8	7	19.4	7	19.4	3	8.3
	18-20	392	352	89.8	40	10.2	150	38.3	146	37.2	49	12.5	47	12.0
	21-30	2093	1840	87.9	253	12.1	671	32.1	871	41.6	349	16.7	202	9.7
	31-40	1653	1364	82.5	289	17.5	676	40.9	501	30.3	336	20.3	140	8.5
	41-50	1080	894	82.8	186	17.2	534	49.4	200	18.5	224	20.7	122	11.3
	51-60	391	345	88.2	46	11.8	191	48.8	71	18.2	84	21.5	45	11.5
	61-70	99	85	85.9	14	14.1	53	53.5	8	8.1	28	28.3	10	10.1
	71 & ABOVE	42	38	90.5	4	9.5	22	52.4	4	9.5	13	31.0	3	7.1
	TOTAL	5786	4948	85.5	838	14.5	2316	40.0	1808	31.2	1090	18.8	572	9.9
ALPINE	18-20	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	11	9	81.8	2	18.2	8	72.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	27.3
	41-50	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3
	TOTAL	29	25	86.2	4	13.8	25	86.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	13.8
AMADOR	UNDER 18	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	7	58.3	3	25.0	2	16.7	0	0.0
	21-30	65	50	76.9	15	23.1	52	80.0	6	9.2	0	0.0	7	10.8
	31-40	74	56	75.7	18	24.3	65	87.8	6	8.1	3	4.1	0	0.0
	41-50	42	31	73.8	11	26.2	40	95.2	1	2.4	0	0.0	1	2.4
	51-60	24	19	79.2	5	20.8	21	87.5	1	4.2	0	0.0	2	8.3
	61-70	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	230	180	78.3	50	21.7	195	84.8	20	8.7	5	2.2	10	4.3
BUTTE	UNDER 18	24	20	83.3	4	16.7	20	83.3	3	12.5	1	4.2	0	0.0
	18-20	185	154	83.2	31	16.8	145	78.4	33	17.8	3	1.6	4	2.2
	21-30	491	406	82.7	85	17.3	359	73.1	100	20.4	18	3.7	14	2.9
	31-40	303	227	74.9	76	25.1	253	83.5	28	9.2	12	4.0	10	3.3
	41-50	253	191	75.5	62	24.5	220	87.0	26	10.3	2	0.8	5	2.0
	51-60	107	88	82.2	19	17.8	94	87.9	8	7.5	0	0.0	5	4.7
	61-70	35	30	85.7	5	14.3	32	91.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	8.6
	71 & ABOVE	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	7	87.5	1	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1406	1123	79.9	283	20.1	1130	80.4	199	14.2	36	2.6	41	2.9

TABLE B1: 2000 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE / ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
CALAVERAS	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	20	18	90.0	2	10.0	19	95.0	1	5.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	47	43	91.5	4	8.5	42	89.4	5	10.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	64	49	76.6	15	23.4	55	85.9	7	10.9	0	0.0	2	3.1
	41-50	72	60	83.3	12	16.7	69	95.8	2	2.8	0	0.0	1	1.4
	51-60	23	17	73.9	6	26.1	23	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	237	197	83.1	40	16.9	219	92.4	15	6.3	0	0.0	3	1.3	
COLUSA	UNDER 18	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	36	33	91.7	3	8.3	13	36.1	22	61.1	1	2.8	0	0.0
	21-30	84	76	90.5	8	9.5	30	35.7	46	54.8	1	1.2	7	8.3
	31-40	81	68	84.0	13	16.0	49	60.5	31	38.3	1	1.2	0	0.0
	41-50	66	56	84.8	10	15.2	42	63.6	18	27.3	1	1.5	5	7.6
	51-60	28	27	96.4	1	3.6	22	78.6	6	21.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	13	13	100.0	0	0.0	10	76.9	1	7.7	0	0.0	2	15.4
	71 & ABOVE	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	316	280	88.6	36	11.4	171	54.1	127	40.2	4	1.3	14	4.4	
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	59	50	84.7	9	15.3	41	69.5	15	25.4	1	1.7	2	3.4
	18-20	400	340	85.0	60	15.0	223	55.8	101	25.2	20	5.0	56	14.0
	21-30	1600	1383	86.4	217	13.6	719	44.9	532	33.3	123	7.7	226	14.1
	31-40	1308	1053	80.5	255	19.5	687	52.5	352	26.9	130	9.9	139	10.6
	41-50	820	659	80.4	161	19.6	504	61.5	172	21.0	70	8.5	74	9.0
	51-60	306	247	80.7	59	19.3	217	70.9	42	13.7	21	6.9	26	8.5
	61-70	100	86	86.0	14	14.0	65	65.0	17	17.0	10	10.0	8	8.0
	71 & ABOVE	26	22	84.6	4	15.4	16	61.5	5	19.2	3	11.5	2	7.7
TOTAL	4619	3840	83.1	779	16.9	2472	53.5	1236	26.8	378	8.2	533	11.5	
DEL NORTE	UNDER 18	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	27	17	63.0	10	37.0	20	74.1	3	11.1	1	3.7	3	11.1
	21-30	96	68	70.8	28	29.2	66	68.8	17	17.7	1	1.0	12	12.5
	31-40	84	60	71.4	24	28.6	69	82.1	8	9.5	1	1.2	6	7.1
	41-50	75	54	72.0	21	28.0	66	88.0	4	5.3	0	0.0	5	6.7
	51-60	36	29	80.6	7	19.4	35	97.2	1	2.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
TOTAL	334	243	72.8	91	27.2	270	80.8	34	10.2	3	0.9	27	8.1	

TABLE B1: 2000 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE / ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	20	15	75.0	5	25.0	18	90.0	1	5.0	0	0.0	1	5.0
	18-20	118	106	89.8	12	10.2	101	85.6	13	11.0	2	1.7	2	1.7
	21-30	343	296	86.3	47	13.7	287	83.7	50	14.6	3	0.9	3	0.9
	31-40	325	264	81.2	61	18.8	288	88.6	27	8.3	5	1.5	5	1.5
	41-50	324	235	72.5	89	27.5	308	95.1	11	3.4	1	0.3	4	1.2
	51-60	110	90	81.8	20	18.2	102	92.7	6	5.5	0	0.0	2	1.8
	61-70	26	19	73.1	7	26.9	25	96.2	1	3.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	1272	1031	81.1	241	18.9	1135	89.2	109	8.6	11	0.9	17	1.3	
FRESNO	UNDER 18	62	57	91.9	5	8.1	20	32.3	38	61.3	1	1.6	3	4.8
	18-20	494	444	89.9	50	10.1	127	25.7	343	69.4	9	1.8	15	3.0
	21-30	2374	2163	91.1	211	8.9	421	17.7	1838	77.4	58	2.4	57	2.4
	31-40	1409	1209	85.8	200	14.2	338	24.0	956	67.8	68	4.8	47	3.3
	41-50	831	695	83.6	136	16.4	260	31.3	498	59.9	42	5.1	31	3.7
	51-60	280	242	86.4	38	13.6	118	42.1	141	50.4	13	4.6	8	2.9
	61-70	76	67	88.2	9	11.8	40	52.6	30	39.5	3	3.9	3	3.9
	71 & ABOVE	22	22	100.0	0	0.0	12	54.5	9	40.9	1	4.5	0	0.0
TOTAL	5548	4899	88.3	649	11.7	1336	24.1	3853	69.4	195	3.5	164	3.0	
GLENN	UNDER 18	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	3	60.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	28	26	92.9	2	7.1	15	53.6	13	46.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	98	85	86.7	13	13.3	33	33.7	61	62.2	1	1.0	3	3.1
	31-40	83	65	78.3	18	21.7	50	60.2	30	36.1	0	0.0	3	3.6
	41-50	58	45	77.6	13	22.4	43	74.1	15	25.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	12	10	83.3	2	16.7	9	75.0	2	16.7	0	0.0	1	8.3
	61-70	9	9	100.0	0	0.0	8	88.9	1	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	296	247	83.4	49	16.6	164	55.4	124	41.9	1	0.3	7	2.4	
HUMBOLDT	UNDER 18	20	14	70.0	6	30.0	18	90.0	1	5.0	0	0.0	1	5.0
	18-20	123	84	68.3	39	31.7	101	82.1	11	8.9	3	2.4	8	6.5
	21-30	535	407	76.1	128	23.9	445	83.2	43	8.0	13	2.4	34	6.4
	31-40	297	210	70.7	87	29.3	237	79.8	20	6.7	8	2.7	32	10.8
	41-50	255	195	76.5	60	23.5	223	87.5	3	1.2	5	2.0	24	9.4
	51-60	99	83	83.8	16	16.2	88	88.9	5	5.1	2	2.0	4	4.0
	61-70	23	21	91.3	2	8.7	21	91.3	1	4.3	0	0.0	1	4.3
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	1357	1019	75.1	338	24.9	1138	83.9	84	6.2	31	2.3	104	7.7	

TABLE B1: 2000 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE / ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	1	14.3	6	85.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	111	104	93.7	7	6.3	23	20.7	83	74.8	3	2.7	2	1.8
	21-30	417	374	89.7	43	10.3	107	25.7	273	65.5	15	3.6	22	5.3
	31-40	387	341	88.1	46	11.9	78	20.2	283	73.1	10	2.6	16	4.1
	41-50	273	252	92.3	21	7.7	62	22.7	198	72.5	6	2.2	7	2.6
	51-60	98	85	86.7	13	13.3	38	38.8	52	53.1	3	3.1	5	5.1
	61-70	39	33	84.6	6	15.4	16	41.0	22	56.4	1	2.6	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	9	9	100.0	0	0.0	6	66.7	2	22.2	0	0.0	1	11.1
TOTAL	1341	1203	89.7	138	10.3	331	24.7	919	68.5	38	2.8	53	4.0	
INYO	18-20	18	15	83.3	3	16.7	15	83.3	0	0.0	1	5.6	2	11.1
	21-30	73	61	83.6	12	16.4	37	50.7	17	23.3	0	0.0	19	26.0
	31-40	71	55	77.5	16	22.5	51	71.8	5	7.0	0	0.0	15	21.1
	41-50	68	56	82.4	12	17.6	52	76.5	4	5.9	2	2.9	10	14.7
	51-60	25	21	84.0	4	16.0	19	76.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	4	16.0
	61-70	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	260	213	81.9	47	18.1	179	68.8	27	10.4	4	1.5	50	19.2
KERN	UNDER 18	46	41	89.1	5	10.9	24	52.2	19	41.3	2	4.3	1	2.2
	18-20	455	407	89.5	48	10.5	213	46.8	221	48.6	19	4.2	2	0.4
	21-30	1674	1474	88.1	200	11.9	620	37.0	978	58.4	52	3.1	24	1.4
	31-40	1159	955	82.4	204	17.6	479	41.3	594	51.3	69	6.0	17	1.5
	41-50	769	632	82.2	137	17.8	399	51.9	311	40.4	47	6.1	12	1.6
	51-60	292	258	88.4	34	11.6	150	51.4	115	39.4	23	7.9	4	1.4
	61-70	74	69	93.2	5	6.8	54	73.0	18	24.3	2	2.7	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	24	18	75.0	6	25.0	15	62.5	6	25.0	2	8.3	1	4.2
TOTAL	4493	3854	85.8	639	14.2	1954	43.5	2262	50.3	216	4.8	61	1.4	
KINGS	UNDER 18	20	17	85.0	3	15.0	7	35.0	12	60.0	0	0.0	1	5.0
	18-20	122	111	91.0	11	9.0	38	31.1	78	63.9	4	3.3	2	1.6
	21-30	408	351	86.0	57	14.0	106	26.0	247	60.5	34	8.3	21	5.1
	31-40	216	175	81.0	41	19.0	62	28.7	121	56.0	24	11.1	9	4.2
	41-50	128	112	87.5	16	12.5	56	43.8	46	35.9	20	15.6	6	4.7
	51-60	48	44	91.7	4	8.3	21	43.8	21	43.8	5	10.4	1	2.1
	61-70	11	9	81.8	2	18.2	5	45.5	5	45.5	1	9.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	953	819	85.9	134	14.1	295	31.0	530	55.6	88	9.2	40	4.2

TABLE B1: 2000 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE / ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
LAKE	UNDER 18	20	17	85.0	3	15.0	19	95.0	1	5.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	64	58	90.6	6	9.4	52	81.3	8	12.5	0	0.0	4	6.3
	21-30	183	157	85.8	26	14.2	117	63.9	52	28.4	4	2.2	10	5.5
	31-40	190	136	71.6	54	28.4	153	80.5	26	13.7	2	1.1	9	4.7
	41-50	157	119	75.8	38	24.2	143	91.1	7	4.5	5	3.2	2	1.3
	51-60	64	53	82.8	11	17.2	54	84.4	6	9.4	1	1.6	3	4.7
	61-70	20	14	70.0	6	30.0	19	95.0	0	0.0	1	5.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	701	557	79.5	144	20.5	560	79.9	100	14.3	13	1.9	28	4.0	
LASSEN	UNDER 18	5	2	40.0	3	60.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	25	21	84.0	4	16.0	21	84.0	2	8.0	0	0.0	2	8.0
	21-30	79	64	81.0	15	19.0	63	79.7	12	15.2	2	2.5	2	2.5
	31-40	75	52	69.3	23	30.7	63	84.0	2	2.7	2	2.7	8	10.7
	41-50	66	57	86.4	9	13.6	55	83.3	4	6.1	3	4.5	4	6.1
	51-60	21	19	90.5	2	9.5	20	95.2	0	0.0	1	4.8	0	0.0
	61-70	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	279	221	79.2	58	20.8	234	83.9	21	7.5	8	2.9	16	5.7	
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	168	146	86.9	22	13.1	57	33.9	94	56.0	9	5.4	8	4.8
	18-20	2383	2087	87.6	296	12.4	551	23.1	1525	64.0	146	6.1	161	6.8
	21-30	16439	14404	87.6	2035	12.4	3243	19.7	10816	65.8	1155	7.0	1225	7.5
	31-40	12748	11109	87.1	1639	12.9	3042	23.9	7328	57.5	1461	11.5	917	7.2
	41-50	6711	5722	85.3	989	14.7	1891	28.2	3282	48.9	936	13.9	602	9.0
	51-60	2311	2045	88.5	266	11.5	866	37.5	888	38.4	340	14.7	217	9.4
	61-70	628	568	90.4	60	9.6	245	39.0	183	29.1	133	21.2	67	10.7
	71 & ABOVE	159	140	88.1	19	11.9	78	49.1	44	27.7	27	17.0	10	6.3
TOTAL	41547	36221	87.2	5326	12.8	9973	24.0	24160	58.2	4207	10.1	3207	7.7	
MADERA	UNDER 18	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	1	20.0	3	60.0	0	0.0	1	20.0
	18-20	73	67	91.8	6	8.2	25	34.2	47	64.4	1	1.4	0	0.0
	21-30	334	313	93.7	21	6.3	57	17.1	265	79.3	6	1.8	6	1.8
	31-40	179	159	88.8	20	11.2	60	33.5	108	60.3	4	2.2	7	3.9
	41-50	111	95	85.6	16	14.4	51	45.9	49	44.1	5	4.5	6	5.4
	51-60	37	32	86.5	5	13.5	17	45.9	18	48.6	1	2.7	1	2.7
	61-70	15	15	100.0	0	0.0	9	60.0	4	26.7	1	6.7	1	6.7
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	756	687	90.9	69	9.1	221	29.2	495	65.5	18	2.4	22	2.9	

TABLE B1: 2000 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE / ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
MARIN	UNDER 18	8	4	50.0	4	50.0	6	75.0	2	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	96	77	80.2	19	19.8	54	56.3	34	35.4	4	4.2	4	4.2
	21-30	398	332	83.4	66	16.6	211	53.0	146	36.7	15	3.8	26	6.5
	31-40	364	268	73.6	96	26.4	254	69.8	72	19.8	21	5.8	17	4.7
	41-50	258	188	72.9	70	27.1	201	77.9	29	11.2	12	4.7	16	6.2
	51-60	126	106	84.1	20	15.9	121	96.0	1	0.8	1	0.8	3	2.4
	61-70	42	35	83.3	7	16.7	37	88.1	2	4.8	2	4.8	1	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	13	10	76.9	3	23.1	12	92.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	7.7
TOTAL	1305	1020	78.2	285	21.8	896	68.7	286	21.9	55	4.2	68	5.2	
MARIPOSA	18-20	9	7	77.8	2	22.2	8	88.9	1	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	19	16	84.2	3	15.8	14	73.7	4	21.1	0	0.0	1	5.3
	31-40	29	24	82.8	5	17.2	26	89.7	2	6.9	0	0.0	1	3.4
	41-50	25	20	80.0	5	20.0	25	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	16	15	93.8	1	6.3	14	87.5	1	6.3	1	6.3	0	0.0
	61-70	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	100	83	83.0	17	17.0	89	89.0	8	8.0	1	1.0	2	2.0
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	11	11	100.0	0	0.0	7	63.6	1	9.1	0	0.0	3	27.3
	18-20	82	66	80.5	16	19.5	56	68.3	21	25.6	0	0.0	5	6.1
	21-30	284	244	85.9	40	14.1	175	61.6	87	30.6	5	1.8	17	6.0
	31-40	223	172	77.1	51	22.9	158	70.9	48	21.5	4	1.8	13	5.8
	41-50	176	133	75.6	43	24.4	151	85.8	14	8.0	4	2.3	7	4.0
	51-60	60	54	90.0	6	10.0	54	90.0	3	5.0	0	0.0	3	5.0
	61-70	19	17	89.5	2	10.5	17	89.5	1	5.3	0	0.0	1	5.3
	71 & ABOVE	14	12	85.7	2	14.3	14	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	869	709	81.6	160	18.4	632	72.7	175	20.1	13	1.5	49	5.6	
MERCED	UNDER 18	17	16	94.1	1	5.9	5	29.4	11	64.7	1	5.9	0	0.0
	18-20	160	153	95.6	7	4.4	46	28.7	105	65.6	5	3.1	4	2.5
	21-30	667	631	94.6	36	5.4	127	19.0	506	75.9	15	2.2	19	2.8
	31-40	436	369	84.6	67	15.4	131	30.0	276	63.3	20	4.6	9	2.1
	41-50	264	225	85.2	39	14.8	94	35.6	136	51.5	20	7.6	14	5.3
	51-60	84	79	94.0	5	6.0	33	39.3	46	54.8	2	2.4	3	3.6
	61-70	25	25	100.0	0	0.0	12	48.0	8	32.0	3	12.0	2	8.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	4	66.7	1	16.7	1	16.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1659	1504	90.7	155	9.3	452	27.2	1089	65.6	67	4.0	51	3.1

TABLE B1: 2000 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE / ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
MODOC	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	15	12	80.0	3	20.0	13	86.7	2	13.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	14	13	92.9	1	7.1	8	57.1	3	21.4	0	0.0	3	21.4
	31-40	36	29	80.6	7	19.4	31	86.1	3	8.3	0	0.0	2	5.6
	41-50	29	23	79.3	6	20.7	27	93.1	2	6.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	14	9	64.3	5	35.7	13	92.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	7.1
	61-70	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	116	94	81.0	22	19.0	100	86.2	10	8.6	0	0.0	6	5.2	
MONO	18-20	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	7	77.8	2	22.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	33	30	90.9	3	9.1	24	72.7	7	21.2	0	0.0	2	6.1
	31-40	33	29	87.9	4	12.1	27	81.8	3	9.1	1	3.0	2	6.1
	41-50	32	26	81.3	6	18.8	28	87.5	4	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	119	103	86.6	16	13.4	98	82.4	16	13.4	1	0.8	4	3.4
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	44	38	86.4	6	13.6	15	34.1	28	63.6	1	2.3	0	0.0
	18-20	290	268	92.4	22	7.6	74	25.5	203	70.0	9	3.1	4	1.4
	21-30	1463	1326	90.6	137	9.4	307	21.0	1081	73.9	32	2.2	43	2.9
	31-40	756	630	83.3	126	16.7	251	33.2	456	60.3	22	2.9	27	3.6
	41-50	449	359	80.0	90	20.0	212	47.2	195	43.4	18	4.0	24	5.3
	51-60	182	152	83.5	30	16.5	113	62.1	50	27.5	13	7.1	6	3.3
	61-70	50	45	90.0	5	10.0	30	60.0	14	28.0	3	6.0	3	6.0
	71 & ABOVE	20	15	75.0	5	25.0	11	55.0	7	35.0	2	10.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	3254	2833	87.1	421	12.9	1013	31.1	2034	62.5	100	3.1	107	3.3	
NAPA	UNDER 18	12	12	100.0	0	0.0	8	66.7	4	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	93	83	89.2	10	10.8	44	47.3	43	46.2	2	2.2	4	4.3
	21-30	407	359	88.2	48	11.8	189	46.4	203	49.9	8	2.0	7	1.7
	31-40	262	209	79.8	53	20.2	157	59.9	93	35.5	6	2.3	6	2.3
	41-50	195	159	81.5	36	18.5	140	71.8	47	24.1	2	1.0	6	3.1
	51-60	74	66	89.2	8	10.8	59	79.7	11	14.9	2	2.7	2	2.7
	61-70	24	21	87.5	3	12.5	21	87.5	3	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	1073	914	85.2	159	14.8	624	58.2	404	37.7	20	1.9	25	2.3	

TABLE B1: 2000 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE / ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
NEVADA	UNDER 18	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	7	87.5	1	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	43	32	74.4	11	25.6	39	90.7	4	9.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	205	170	82.9	35	17.1	177	86.3	23	11.2	3	1.5	2	1.0
	31-40	193	152	78.8	41	21.2	163	84.5	23	11.9	3	1.6	4	2.1
	41-50	158	119	75.3	39	24.7	149	94.3	3	1.9	6	3.8	0	0.0
	51-60	60	48	80.0	12	20.0	57	95.0	1	1.7	1	1.7	1	1.7
	61-70	17	16	94.1	1	5.9	17	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	692	551	79.6	141	20.4	617	89.2	55	7.9	13	1.9	7	1.0	
ORANGE	UNDER 18	79	68	86.1	11	13.9	48	60.8	26	32.9	0	0.0	5	6.3
	18-20	818	685	83.7	133	16.3	409	50.0	350	42.8	15	1.8	44	5.4
	21-30	5440	4640	85.3	800	14.7	2206	40.6	2754	50.6	100	1.8	380	7.0
	31-40	4143	3498	84.4	645	15.6	1942	46.9	1779	42.9	102	2.5	320	7.7
	41-50	2392	1902	79.5	490	20.5	1389	58.1	744	31.1	75	3.1	184	7.7
	51-60	852	686	80.5	166	19.5	584	68.5	184	21.6	19	2.2	65	7.6
	61-70	216	179	82.9	37	17.1	157	72.7	35	16.2	5	2.3	19	8.8
	71 & ABOVE	62	48	77.4	14	22.6	52	83.9	6	9.7	1	1.6	3	4.8
TOTAL	14002	11706	83.6	2296	16.4	6787	48.5	5878	42.0	317	2.3	1020	7.3	
PLACER	UNDER 18	26	20	76.9	6	23.1	21	80.8	5	19.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	160	139	86.9	21	13.1	127	79.4	25	15.6	2	1.2	6	3.8
	21-30	536	472	88.1	64	11.9	398	74.3	103	19.2	20	3.7	15	2.8
	31-40	438	347	79.2	91	20.8	349	79.7	69	15.8	9	2.1	11	2.5
	41-50	299	228	76.3	71	23.7	262	87.6	21	7.0	4	1.3	12	4.0
	51-60	124	96	77.4	28	22.6	118	95.2	3	2.4	2	1.6	1	0.8
	61-70	31	26	83.9	5	16.1	27	87.1	3	9.7	1	3.2	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	17	16	94.1	1	5.9	17	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	1631	1344	82.4	287	17.6	1319	80.9	229	14.0	38	2.3	45	2.8	
PLUMAS	UNDER 18	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	28	21	75.0	7	25.0	22	78.6	4	14.3	1	3.6	1	3.6
	21-30	53	48	90.6	5	9.4	45	84.9	6	11.3	0	0.0	2	3.8
	31-40	75	57	76.0	18	24.0	69	92.0	5	6.7	0	0.0	1	1.3
	41-50	74	57	77.0	17	23.0	66	89.2	2	2.7	2	2.7	4	5.4
	51-60	42	38	90.5	4	9.5	39	92.9	2	4.8	0	0.0	1	2.4
	61-70	9	5	55.6	4	44.4	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	293	236	80.5	57	19.5	261	89.1	20	6.8	3	1.0	9	3.1	

TABLE B1: 2000 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE / ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	65	50	76.9	15	23.1	32	49.2	29	44.6	2	3.1	2	3.1
	18-20	647	588	90.9	59	9.1	267	41.3	347	53.6	22	3.4	11	1.7
	21-30	2974	2681	90.1	293	9.9	944	31.7	1843	62.0	127	4.3	60	2.0
	31-40	2238	1911	85.4	327	14.6	899	40.2	1154	51.6	128	5.7	57	2.5
	41-50	1416	1181	83.4	235	16.6	714	50.4	572	40.4	99	7.0	31	2.2
	51-60	501	425	84.8	76	15.2	307	61.3	151	30.1	29	5.8	14	2.8
	61-70	196	167	85.2	29	14.8	131	66.8	37	18.9	20	10.2	8	4.1
	71 & ABOVE	68	59	86.8	9	13.2	49	72.1	14	20.6	3	4.4	2	2.9
TOTAL	8105	7062	87.1	1043	12.9	3343	41.2	4147	51.2	430	5.3	185	2.3	
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	72	58	80.6	14	19.4	32	44.4	15	20.8	2	2.8	23	31.9
	18-20	621	530	85.3	91	14.7	225	36.2	120	19.3	55	8.9	221	35.6
	21-30	2577	2124	82.4	453	17.6	864	33.5	686	26.6	319	12.4	708	27.5
	31-40	1903	1524	80.1	379	19.9	709	37.3	346	18.2	331	17.4	517	27.2
	41-50	1160	932	80.3	228	19.7	506	43.6	140	12.1	168	14.5	346	29.8
	51-60	418	346	82.8	72	17.2	209	50.0	55	13.2	52	12.4	102	24.4
	61-70	130	108	83.1	22	16.9	65	50.0	12	9.2	16	12.3	37	28.5
	71 & ABOVE	37	31	83.8	6	16.2	16	43.2	3	8.1	6	16.2	12	32.4
TOTAL	6918	5653	81.7	1265	18.3	2626	38.0	1377	19.9	949	13.7	1966	28.4	
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	40	39	97.5	1	2.5	16	40.0	24	60.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	150	135	90.0	15	10.0	36	24.0	111	74.0	3	2.0	0	0.0
	31-40	107	89	83.2	18	16.8	34	31.8	69	64.5	2	1.9	2	1.9
	41-50	70	60	85.7	10	14.3	39	55.7	30	42.9	1	1.4	0	0.0
	51-60	29	25	86.2	4	13.8	14	48.3	13	44.8	1	3.4	1	3.4
	61-70	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	2	33.3	4	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	409	360	88.0	49	12.0	143	35.0	256	62.6	7	1.7	3	0.7	
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	66	57	86.4	9	13.6	29	43.9	33	50.0	2	3.0	2	3.0
	18-20	863	769	89.1	94	10.9	355	41.1	452	52.4	35	4.1	21	2.4
	21-30	3931	3513	89.4	418	10.6	1247	31.7	2328	59.2	246	6.3	110	2.8
	31-40	2991	2506	83.8	485	16.2	1089	36.4	1526	51.0	276	9.2	100	3.3
	41-50	1823	1536	84.3	287	15.7	854	46.8	731	40.1	179	9.8	59	3.2
	51-60	608	540	88.8	68	11.2	322	53.0	187	30.8	72	11.8	27	4.4
	61-70	192	174	90.6	18	9.4	119	62.0	40	20.8	28	14.6	5	2.6
	71 & ABOVE	65	58	89.2	7	10.8	53	81.5	6	9.2	4	6.2	2	3.1
TOTAL	10539	9153	86.8	1386	13.2	4068	38.6	5303	50.3	842	8.0	326	3.1	

TABLE B1: 2000 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE / ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	145	113	77.9	32	22.1	88	60.7	47	32.4	5	3.4	5	3.4
	18-20	1308	1127	86.2	181	13.8	712	54.4	471	36.0	62	4.7	63	4.8
	21-30	5808	5006	86.2	802	13.8	2766	47.6	2357	40.6	364	6.3	321	5.5
	31-40	3825	3184	83.2	641	16.8	1846	48.3	1528	39.9	288	7.5	163	4.3
	41-50	2306	1869	81.0	437	19.0	1299	56.3	713	30.9	187	8.1	107	4.6
	51-60	772	660	85.5	112	14.5	493	63.9	190	24.6	44	5.7	45	5.8
	61-70	217	188	86.6	29	13.4	144	66.4	42	19.4	19	8.8	12	5.5
	71 & ABOVE	62	53	85.5	9	14.5	44	71.0	12	19.4	2	3.2	4	6.5
TOTAL	14443	12200	84.5	2243	15.5	7392	51.2	5360	37.1	971	6.7	720	5.0	
SAN FRANCISCO	18-20	62	47	75.8	15	24.2	20	32.3	13	21.0	11	17.7	18	29.0
	21-30	611	536	87.7	75	12.3	290	47.5	131	21.4	59	9.7	131	21.4
	31-40	438	376	85.8	62	14.2	223	50.9	74	16.9	72	16.4	69	15.8
	41-50	204	173	84.8	31	15.2	128	62.7	15	7.4	36	17.6	25	12.3
	51-60	93	81	87.1	12	12.9	55	59.1	7	7.5	22	23.7	9	9.7
	61-70	23	19	82.6	4	17.4	13	56.5	2	8.7	5	21.7	3	13.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	3	60.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1436	1237	86.1	199	13.9	731	50.9	242	16.9	208	14.5	255	17.8
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	39	32	82.1	7	17.9	18	46.2	16	41.0	4	10.3	1	2.6
	18-20	357	324	90.8	33	9.2	118	33.1	193	54.1	22	6.2	24	6.7
	21-30	1406	1264	89.9	142	10.1	389	27.7	861	61.2	89	6.3	67	4.8
	31-40	995	798	80.2	197	19.8	406	40.8	441	44.3	98	9.8	50	5.0
	41-50	618	513	83.0	105	17.0	298	48.2	219	35.4	69	11.2	32	5.2
	51-60	242	218	90.1	24	9.9	138	57.0	66	27.3	26	10.7	12	5.0
	61-70	84	67	79.8	17	20.2	49	58.3	27	32.1	6	7.1	2	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	19	16	84.2	3	15.8	12	63.2	4	21.1	0	0.0	3	15.8
TOTAL	3760	3232	86.0	528	14.0	1428	38.0	1827	48.6	314	8.4	191	5.1	
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	26	23	88.5	3	11.5	21	80.8	5	19.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	246	210	85.4	36	14.6	172	69.9	65	26.4	5	2.0	4	1.6
	21-30	833	720	86.4	113	13.6	552	66.3	240	28.8	22	2.6	19	2.3
	31-40	520	416	80.0	104	20.0	361	69.4	133	25.6	13	2.5	13	2.5
	41-50	387	285	73.6	102	26.4	311	80.4	62	16.0	8	2.1	6	1.6
	51-60	122	87	71.3	35	28.7	110	90.2	8	6.6	3	2.5	1	0.8
	61-70	33	27	81.8	6	18.2	28	84.8	4	12.1	0	0.0	1	3.0
	71 & ABOVE	18	16	88.9	2	11.1	16	88.9	2	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	2185	1784	81.6	401	18.4	1571	71.9	519	23.8	51	2.3	44	2.0	

TABLE B1: 2000 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE / ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	37	34	91.9	3	8.1	21	56.8	13	35.1	0	0.0	3	8.1
	18-20	228	198	86.8	30	13.2	80	35.1	111	48.7	9	3.9	28	12.3
	21-30	1244	1088	87.5	156	12.5	435	35.0	567	45.6	33	2.7	209	16.8
	31-40	1022	856	83.8	166	16.2	521	51.0	333	32.6	46	4.5	122	11.9
	41-50	682	554	81.2	128	18.8	401	58.8	142	20.8	43	6.3	96	14.1
	51-60	274	236	86.1	38	13.9	187	68.2	39	14.2	18	6.6	30	10.9
	61-70	64	54	84.4	10	15.6	47	73.4	8	12.5	8	12.5	1	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	21	19	90.5	2	9.5	15	71.4	1	4.8	4	19.0	1	4.8
	TOTAL	3572	3039	85.1	533	14.9	1707	47.8	1214	34.0	161	4.5	490	13.7
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	21	19	90.5	2	9.5	7	33.3	12	57.1	1	4.8	1	4.8
	18-20	331	258	77.9	73	22.1	173	52.3	146	44.1	7	2.1	5	1.5
	21-30	1351	1124	83.2	227	16.8	610	45.2	674	49.9	31	2.3	36	2.7
	31-40	808	656	81.2	152	18.8	379	46.9	387	47.9	26	3.2	16	2.0
	41-50	463	364	78.6	99	21.4	275	59.4	157	33.9	24	5.2	7	1.5
	51-60	173	133	76.9	40	23.1	120	69.4	40	23.1	8	4.6	5	2.9
	61-70	47	39	83.0	8	17.0	35	74.5	11	23.4	1	2.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	17	13	76.5	4	23.5	13	76.5	4	23.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3211	2606	81.2	605	18.8	1612	50.2	1431	44.6	98	3.1	70	2.2
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	57	47	82.5	10	17.5	21	36.8	28	49.1	1	1.8	7	12.3
	18-20	530	454	85.7	76	14.3	157	29.6	298	56.2	22	4.2	53	10.0
	21-30	3293	2898	88.0	395	12.0	875	26.6	1914	58.1	109	3.3	395	12.0
	31-40	2317	1976	85.3	341	14.7	915	39.5	1011	43.6	108	4.7	283	12.2
	41-50	1354	1147	84.7	207	15.3	623	46.0	473	34.9	90	6.6	168	12.4
	51-60	471	418	88.7	53	11.3	262	55.6	138	29.3	23	4.9	48	10.2
	61-70	134	116	86.6	18	13.4	77	57.5	36	26.9	10	7.5	11	8.2
	71 & ABOVE	29	24	82.8	5	17.2	23	79.3	5	17.2	0	0.0	1	3.4
	TOTAL	8185	7080	86.5	1105	13.5	2953	36.1	3903	47.7	363	4.4	966	11.8
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	29	26	89.7	3	10.3	16	55.2	11	37.9	0	0.0	2	6.9
	18-20	192	156	81.3	36	18.8	113	58.9	68	35.4	6	3.1	5	2.6
	21-30	708	585	82.6	123	17.4	379	53.5	293	41.4	14	2.0	22	3.1
	31-40	446	357	80.0	89	20.0	311	69.7	113	25.3	10	2.2	12	2.7
	41-50	347	274	79.0	73	21.0	251	72.3	77	22.2	5	1.4	14	4.0
	51-60	111	85	76.6	26	23.4	91	82.0	18	16.2	2	1.8	0	0.0
	61-70	30	28	93.3	2	6.7	22	73.3	8	26.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	5	62.5	3	37.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1871	1519	81.2	352	18.8	1188	63.5	591	31.6	37	2.0	55	2.9

TABLE B1: 2000 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE / ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SHASTA	UNDER 18	19	14	73.7	5	26.3	16	84.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	15.8
	18-20	108	85	78.7	23	21.3	93	86.1	5	4.6	2	1.9	8	7.4
	21-30	347	276	79.5	71	20.5	301	86.7	30	8.6	7	2.0	9	2.6
	31-40	341	256	75.1	85	24.9	317	93.0	14	4.1	5	1.5	5	1.5
	41-50	261	195	74.7	66	25.3	242	92.7	8	3.1	3	1.1	8	3.1
	51-60	113	98	86.7	15	13.3	109	96.5	2	1.8	0	0.0	2	1.8
	61-70	17	15	88.2	2	11.8	15	88.2	0	0.0	1	5.9	1	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	16	15	93.8	1	6.3	14	87.5	1	6.3	1	6.3	0	0.0
TOTAL	1222	954	78.1	268	21.9	1107	90.6	60	4.9	19	1.6	36	2.9	
SIERRA	18-20	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	11	9	81.8	2	18.2	9	81.8	2	18.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	16	11	68.8	5	31.3	16	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	8	80.0	2	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	51	41	80.4	10	19.6	47	92.2	4	7.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	3	50.0	1	16.7	0	0.0	2	33.3
	18-20	29	26	89.7	3	10.3	28	96.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.4
	21-30	76	59	77.6	17	22.4	58	76.3	12	15.8	2	2.6	4	5.3
	31-40	117	89	76.1	28	23.9	89	76.1	14	12.0	8	6.8	6	5.1
	41-50	107	93	86.9	14	13.1	94	87.9	8	7.5	1	0.9	4	3.7
	51-60	46	41	89.1	5	10.9	38	82.6	4	8.7	2	4.3	2	4.3
	61-70	15	14	93.3	1	6.7	15	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	398	329	82.7	69	17.3	327	82.2	39	9.8	13	3.3	19	4.8	
SOLANO	UNDER 18	25	22	88.0	3	12.0	14	56.0	7	28.0	3	12.0	1	4.0
	18-20	171	143	83.6	28	16.4	77	45.0	63	36.8	18	10.5	13	7.6
	21-30	584	506	86.6	78	13.4	235	40.2	213	36.5	104	17.8	32	5.5
	31-40	446	371	83.2	75	16.8	225	50.4	115	25.8	86	19.3	20	4.5
	41-50	361	280	77.6	81	22.4	203	56.2	67	18.6	75	20.8	16	4.4
	51-60	136	116	85.3	20	14.7	87	64.0	13	9.6	30	22.1	6	4.4
	61-70	45	38	84.4	7	15.6	22	48.9	6	13.3	14	31.1	3	6.7
	71 & ABOVE	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	4	66.7	0	0.0	2	33.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1774	1482	83.5	292	16.5	867	48.9	484	27.3	332	18.7	91	5.1

TABLE B1: 2000 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE / ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SONOMA	UNDER 18	46	38	82.6	8	17.4	36	78.3	9	19.6	0	0.0	1	2.2
	18-20	296	252	85.1	44	14.9	175	59.1	107	36.1	6	2.0	8	2.7
	21-30	1229	1075	87.5	154	12.5	620	50.4	543	44.2	38	3.1	28	2.3
	31-40	792	645	81.4	147	18.6	506	63.9	246	31.1	21	2.7	19	2.4
	41-50	579	436	75.3	143	24.7	469	81.0	80	13.8	18	3.1	12	2.1
	51-60	246	195	79.3	51	20.7	220	89.4	21	8.5	2	0.8	3	1.2
	61-70	51	42	82.4	9	17.6	46	90.2	3	5.9	1	2.0	1	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	15	14	93.3	1	6.7	13	86.7	1	6.7	0	0.0	1	6.7
TOTAL	3254	2697	82.9	557	17.1	2085	64.1	1010	31.0	86	2.6	73	2.2	
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	35	27	77.1	8	22.9	17	48.6	17	48.6	0	0.0	1	2.9
	18-20	296	268	90.5	28	9.5	134	45.3	143	48.3	6	2.0	13	4.4
	21-30	1010	905	89.6	105	10.4	361	35.7	588	58.2	33	3.3	28	2.8
	31-40	618	509	82.4	109	17.6	286	46.3	281	45.5	25	4.0	26	4.2
	41-50	379	306	80.7	73	19.3	190	50.1	149	39.3	20	5.3	20	5.3
	51-60	145	121	83.4	24	16.6	91	62.8	42	29.0	6	4.1	6	4.1
	61-70	63	57	90.5	6	9.5	41	65.1	16	25.4	2	3.2	4	6.3
	71 & ABOVE	11	10	90.9	1	9.1	8	72.7	2	18.2	1	9.1	0	0.0
TOTAL	2557	2203	86.2	354	13.8	1128	44.1	1238	48.4	93	3.6	98	3.8	
SUTTER	UNDER 18	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	64	57	89.1	7	10.9	35	54.7	20	31.3	4	6.3	5	7.8
	21-30	255	228	89.4	27	10.6	150	58.8	87	34.1	2	0.8	16	6.3
	31-40	169	143	84.6	26	15.4	95	56.2	57	33.7	4	2.4	13	7.7
	41-50	105	84	80.0	21	20.0	68	64.8	22	21.0	5	4.8	10	9.5
	51-60	55	50	90.9	5	9.1	42	76.4	8	14.5	1	1.8	4	7.3
	61-70	12	8	66.7	4	33.3	11	91.7	1	8.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	1	33.3	1	33.3	0	0.0	1	33.3
TOTAL	669	577	86.2	92	13.8	407	60.8	197	29.4	16	2.4	49	7.3	
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	10	10	100.0	0	0.0	6	60.0	3	30.0	0	0.0	1	10.0
	18-20	31	28	90.3	3	9.7	22	71.0	9	29.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	167	145	86.8	22	13.2	109	65.3	54	32.3	2	1.2	2	1.2
	31-40	136	109	80.1	27	19.9	107	78.7	28	20.6	0	0.0	1	0.7
	41-50	129	101	78.3	28	21.7	108	83.7	15	11.6	3	2.3	3	2.3
	51-60	34	30	88.2	4	11.8	30	88.2	1	2.9	1	2.9	2	5.9
	61-70	15	14	93.3	1	6.7	13	86.7	1	6.7	0	0.0	1	6.7
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	527	442	83.9	85	16.1	400	75.9	111	21.1	6	1.1	10	1.9	

TABLE B1: 2000 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE / ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TRINITY	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	17	16	94.1	1	5.9	17	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	41	35	85.4	6	14.6	36	87.8	2	4.9	1	2.4	2	4.9
	31-40	70	55	78.6	15	21.4	66	94.3	1	1.4	1	1.4	2	2.9
	41-50	58	45	77.6	13	22.4	54	93.1	0	0.0	1	1.7	3	5.2
	51-60	31	27	87.1	4	12.9	31	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	234	195	83.3	39	16.7	221	94.4	3	1.3	3	1.3	7	3.0
TULARE	UNDER 18	37	33	89.2	4	10.8	12	32.4	25	67.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	340	323	95.0	17	5.0	73	21.5	253	74.4	3	0.9	11	3.2
	21-30	1364	1273	93.3	91	6.7	206	15.1	1122	82.3	14	1.0	22	1.6
	31-40	831	732	88.1	99	11.9	196	23.6	607	73.0	12	1.4	16	1.9
	41-50	416	351	84.4	65	15.6	133	32.0	267	64.2	5	1.2	11	2.6
	51-60	121	107	88.4	14	11.6	41	33.9	73	60.3	2	1.7	5	4.1
	61-70	37	34	91.9	3	8.1	18	48.6	14	37.8	3	8.1	2	5.4
	71 & ABOVE	11	10	90.9	1	9.1	5	45.5	5	45.5	1	9.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3157	2863	90.7	294	9.3	684	21.7	2366	74.9	40	1.3	67	2.1
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	33	29	87.9	4	12.1	33	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	74	61	82.4	13	17.6	68	91.9	5	6.8	0	0.0	1	1.4
	31-40	98	72	73.5	26	26.5	92	93.9	4	4.1	1	1.0	1	1.0
	41-50	92	73	79.3	19	20.7	92	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	29	24	82.8	5	17.2	29	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	13	13	100.0	0	0.0	13	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	347	280	80.7	67	19.3	334	96.3	10	2.9	1	0.3	2	0.6
VENTURA	UNDER 18	33	27	81.8	6	18.2	16	48.5	15	45.5	0	0.0	2	6.1
	18-20	287	246	85.7	41	14.3	122	42.5	156	54.4	4	1.4	5	1.7
	21-30	1541	1312	85.1	229	14.9	571	37.1	882	57.2	40	2.6	48	3.1
	31-40	1146	944	82.4	202	17.6	569	49.7	504	44.0	42	3.7	31	2.7
	41-50	659	526	79.8	133	20.2	402	61.0	215	32.6	26	3.9	16	2.4
	51-60	226	193	85.4	33	14.6	153	67.7	60	26.5	5	2.2	8	3.5
	61-70	70	63	90.0	7	10.0	52	74.3	16	22.9	0	0.0	2	2.9
	71 & ABOVE	21	20	95.2	1	4.8	15	71.4	5	23.8	1	4.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3983	3331	83.6	652	16.4	1900	47.7	1853	46.5	118	3.0	112	2.8

TABLE B1: 2000 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE / ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
YOLO	UNDER 18	21	17	81.0	4	19.0	11	52.4	10	47.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	121	102	84.3	19	15.7	63	52.1	52	43.0	2	1.7	4	3.3
	21-30	482	422	87.6	60	12.4	206	42.7	238	49.4	6	1.2	32	6.6
	31-40	293	251	85.7	42	14.3	152	51.9	121	41.3	13	4.4	7	2.4
	41-50	188	154	81.9	34	18.1	121	64.4	60	31.9	4	2.1	3	1.6
	51-60	77	67	87.0	10	13.0	53	68.8	16	20.8	5	6.5	3	3.9
	61-70	24	23	95.8	1	4.2	13	54.2	10	41.7	0	0.0	1	4.2
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	1211	1041	86.0	170	14.0	623	51.4	508	41.9	30	2.5	50	4.1	
YUBA	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	50.0
	18-20	33	30	90.9	3	9.1	18	54.5	13	39.4	0	0.0	2	6.1
	21-30	144	123	85.4	21	14.6	81	56.3	51	35.4	4	2.8	8	5.6
	31-40	121	91	75.2	30	24.8	89	73.6	23	19.0	5	4.1	4	3.3
	41-50	84	68	81.0	16	19.0	67	79.8	11	13.1	3	3.6	3	3.6
	51-60	43	36	83.7	7	16.3	31	72.1	7	16.3	3	7.0	2	4.7
	61-70	17	9	52.9	8	47.1	17	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	445	360	80.9	85	19.1	304	68.3	106	23.8	15	3.4	20	4.5	

TABLE B2: 1999 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		134896	100.0	115065	100.0	19831	100.0
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	12	0.3	12	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	180	4.5	161	4.7	19	3.3
	21-30	1351	33.7	1196	34.8	155	26.8
	31-40	1240	30.9	1025	29.9	215	37.1
	41-50	815	20.3	669	19.5	146	25.2
	51-60	295	7.4	264	7.7	31	5.4
	61-70	91	2.3	80	2.3	11	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	27	0.7	25	0.7	2	0.3
TOTAL		4011	100.0	3432	100.0	579	100.0
ALPINE	21-30	3	17.6	3	18.8	0	0.0
	31-40	5	29.4	5	31.3	0	0.0
	41-50	9	52.9	8	50.0	1	100.0
	TOTAL	17	100.0	16	100.0	1	100.0
AMADOR	UNDER 18	3	1.7	3	2.1	0	0.0
	18-20	8	4.4	8	5.6	0	0.0
	21-30	32	17.7	27	18.8	5	13.5
	31-40	46	25.4	31	21.5	15	40.5
	41-50	55	30.4	41	28.5	14	37.8
	51-60	19	10.5	18	12.5	1	2.7
	61-70	10	5.5	8	5.6	2	5.4
	71 & ABOVE	8	4.4	8	5.6	0	0.0
TOTAL		181	100.0	144	100.0	37	100.0
BUTTE	UNDER 18	6	0.6	2	0.2	4	2.0
	18-20	89	8.8	80	9.8	9	4.5
	21-30	407	40.1	326	40.0	81	40.7
	31-40	217	21.4	170	20.8	47	23.6
	41-50	195	19.2	156	19.1	39	19.6
	51-60	70	6.9	58	7.1	12	6.0
	61-70	26	2.6	21	2.6	5	2.5
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.5	3	0.4	2	1.0
TOTAL		1015	100.0	816	100.0	199	100.0
CALAVERAS	UNDER 18	1	0.6	1	0.7	0	0.0
	18-20	12	6.9	10	6.5	2	9.1
	21-30	40	22.9	38	24.8	2	9.1
	31-40	47	26.9	37	24.2	10	45.5
	41-50	44	25.1	39	25.5	5	22.7
	51-60	22	12.6	19	12.4	3	13.6
	61-70	8	4.6	8	5.2	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.6	1	0.7	0	0.0
TOTAL		175	100.0	153	100.0	22	100.0
COLUSA	UNDER 18	4	1.9	3	1.6	1	3.7
	18-20	16	7.5	14	7.6	2	7.4
	21-30	73	34.4	70	37.8	3	11.1
	31-40	49	23.1	44	23.8	5	18.5
	41-50	48	22.6	38	20.5	10	37.0
	51-60	17	8.0	12	6.5	5	18.5
	61-70	4	1.9	3	1.6	1	3.7
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.5	1	0.5	0	0.0
TOTAL		212	100.0	185	100.0	27	100.0
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	8	0.2	7	0.3	1	0.2
	18-20	178	5.3	152	5.5	26	4.4
	21-30	1144	34.1	993	36.0	151	25.4
	31-40	991	29.5	788	28.5	203	34.2
	41-50	660	19.7	511	18.5	149	25.1
	51-60	283	8.4	234	8.5	49	8.2
	61-70	70	2.1	56	2.0	14	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	22	0.7	21	0.8	1	0.2
TOTAL		3356	100.0	2762	100.0	594	100.0

TABLE B2: 1999 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
DEL NORTE	18-20	10	6.3	7	5.4	3	10.0
	21-30	41	25.6	33	25.4	8	26.7
	31-40	45	28.1	35	26.9	10	33.3
	41-50	39	24.4	32	24.6	7	23.3
	51-60	16	10.0	15	11.5	1	3.3
	61-70	6	3.8	5	3.8	1	3.3
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.9	3	2.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	160	100.0	130	100.0	30	100.0
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	2	0.2	2	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	64	7.2	54	7.7	10	5.4
	21-30	224	25.3	183	26.0	41	22.3
	31-40	266	30.0	212	30.2	54	29.3
	41-50	210	23.7	150	21.3	60	32.6
	51-60	96	10.8	81	11.5	15	8.2
	61-70	20	2.3	17	2.4	3	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.6	4	0.6	1	0.5
TOTAL	887	100.0	703	100.0	184	100.0	
FRESNO	UNDER 18	6	0.2	6	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	190	5.6	167	5.7	23	5.1
	21-30	1286	38.2	1138	39.1	148	32.7
	31-40	982	29.2	825	28.3	157	34.7
	41-50	620	18.4	526	18.1	94	20.8
	51-60	219	6.5	195	6.7	24	5.3
	61-70	45	1.3	41	1.4	4	0.9
	71 & ABOVE	17	0.5	15	0.5	2	0.4
TOTAL	3365	100.0	2913	100.0	452	100.0	
GLENN	18-20	14	6.8	12	6.7	2	7.4
	21-30	60	29.0	52	28.9	8	29.6
	31-40	59	28.5	54	30.0	5	18.5
	41-50	40	19.3	31	17.2	9	33.3
	51-60	16	7.7	15	8.3	1	3.7
	61-70	17	8.2	15	8.3	2	7.4
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.5	1	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	207	100.0	180	100.0	27	100.0
HUMBOLDT	18-20	39	5.9	34	6.7	5	3.4
	21-30	254	38.6	199	38.9	55	37.4
	31-40	169	25.7	125	24.5	44	29.9
	41-50	130	19.8	100	19.6	30	20.4
	51-60	47	7.1	37	7.2	10	6.8
	61-70	13	2.0	12	2.3	1	0.7
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.9	4	0.8	2	1.4
	TOTAL	658	100.0	511	100.0	147	100.0
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	2	0.2	2	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	53	6.5	47	6.4	6	7.5
	21-30	241	29.6	221	30.2	20	25.0
	31-40	245	30.1	219	29.9	26	32.5
	41-50	163	20.0	143	19.5	20	25.0
	51-60	70	8.6	66	9.0	4	5.0
	61-70	36	4.4	32	4.4	4	5.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.4	3	0.4	0	0.0
TOTAL	813	100.0	733	100.0	80	100.0	
INYO	18-20	8	4.3	6	3.9	2	6.1
	21-30	50	27.0	39	25.7	11	33.3
	31-40	52	28.1	43	28.3	9	27.3
	41-50	47	25.4	38	25.0	9	27.3
	51-60	20	10.8	18	11.8	2	6.1
	61-70	4	2.2	4	2.6	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	2.2	4	2.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	185	100.0	152	100.0	33	100.0

TABLE B2: 1999 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
KERN	UNDER 18	20	0.6	19	0.6	1	0.2
	18-20	265	7.6	232	7.6	33	7.2
	21-30	1255	35.8	1117	36.7	138	30.1
	31-40	1018	29.1	858	28.2	160	34.9
	41-50	625	17.8	522	17.1	103	22.4
	51-60	242	6.9	222	7.3	20	4.4
	61-70	62	1.8	59	1.9	3	0.7
	71 & ABOVE	16	0.5	15	0.5	1	0.2
	TOTAL	3503	100.0	3044	100.0	459	100.0
KINGS	UNDER 18	2	0.3	2	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	53	7.0	50	7.4	3	3.6
	21-30	292	38.4	262	38.8	30	35.7
	31-40	217	28.6	193	28.6	24	28.6
	41-50	135	17.8	114	16.9	21	25.0
	51-60	42	5.5	38	5.6	4	4.8
	61-70	14	1.8	12	1.8	2	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.7	5	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	760	100.0	676	100.0	84	100.0
LAKE	UNDER 18	3	0.7	3	0.9	0	0.0
	18-20	15	3.6	14	4.2	1	1.1
	21-30	102	24.4	85	25.8	17	19.3
	31-40	135	32.3	103	31.2	32	36.4
	41-50	105	25.1	80	24.2	25	28.4
	51-60	42	10.0	32	9.7	10	11.4
	61-70	14	3.3	11	3.3	3	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.5	2	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	418	100.0	330	100.0	88	100.0
LASSEN	UNDER 18	3	1.4	3	1.8	0	0.0
	18-20	10	4.7	8	4.9	2	4.2
	21-30	49	23.1	41	25.0	8	16.7
	31-40	76	35.8	53	32.3	23	47.9
	41-50	46	21.7	32	19.5	14	29.2
	51-60	18	8.5	17	10.4	1	2.1
	61-70	7	3.3	7	4.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.4	3	1.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	212	100.0	164	100.0	48	100.0
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	21	0.1	20	0.1	1	0.0
	18-20	1120	3.6	988	3.6	132	3.5
	21-30	11812	37.9	10458	38.1	1354	36.1
	31-40	10168	32.6	8943	32.6	1225	32.6
	41-50	5500	17.6	4733	17.2	767	20.4
	51-60	1951	6.3	1746	6.4	205	5.5
	61-70	519	1.7	461	1.7	58	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	111	0.4	99	0.4	12	0.3
	TOTAL	31202	100.0	27448	100.0	3754	100.0
MADERA	UNDER 18	1	0.2	1	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	37	7.7	37	8.3	0	0.0
	21-30	170	35.4	161	36.0	9	27.3
	31-40	145	30.2	131	29.3	14	42.4
	41-50	83	17.3	75	16.8	8	24.2
	51-60	33	6.9	31	6.9	2	6.1
	61-70	8	1.7	8	1.8	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.6	3	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	480	100.0	447	100.0	33	100.0

TABLE B2: 1999 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
MARIN	UNDER 18	6	0.5	6	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	51	4.4	45	4.8	6	2.7
	21-30	377	32.2	313	33.1	64	28.6
	31-40	322	27.5	265	28.0	57	25.4
	41-50	230	19.7	173	18.3	57	25.4
	51-60	141	12.1	111	11.7	30	13.4
	61-70	32	2.7	25	2.6	7	3.1
	71 & ABOVE	10	0.9	7	0.7	3	1.3
	TOTAL	1169	100.0	945	100.0	224	100.0
MARIPOSA	18-20	2	2.9	2	3.8	0	0.0
	21-30	17	25.0	14	26.9	3	18.8
	31-40	19	27.9	13	25.0	6	37.5
	41-50	16	23.5	13	25.0	3	18.8
	51-60	12	17.6	8	15.4	4	25.0
	61-70	2	2.9	2	3.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	68	100.0	52	100.0	16	100.0
	MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	8	1.3	7	1.4	1
18-20		34	5.7	28	5.8	6	5.5
21-30		193	32.4	171	35.3	22	20.0
31-40		161	27.1	125	25.8	36	32.7
41-50		122	20.5	94	19.4	28	25.5
51-60		53	8.9	39	8.0	14	12.7
61-70		18	3.0	16	3.3	2	1.8
71 & ABOVE		6	1.0	5	1.0	1	0.9
TOTAL		595	100.0	485	100.0	110	100.0
MERCED	18-20	65	5.4	60	5.6	5	4.3
	21-30	440	36.9	418	38.8	22	18.8
	31-40	354	29.7	306	28.4	48	41.0
	41-50	218	18.3	184	17.1	34	29.1
	51-60	82	6.9	74	6.9	8	6.8
	61-70	22	1.8	22	2.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	12	1.0	12	1.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1193	100.0	1076	100.0	117	100.0
MODOC	18-20	3	5.2	2	3.8	1	16.7
	21-30	13	22.4	12	23.1	1	16.7
	31-40	17	29.3	15	28.8	2	33.3
	41-50	16	27.6	14	26.9	2	33.3
	51-60	7	12.1	7	13.5	0	0.0
	61-70	1	1.7	1	1.9	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1.7	1	1.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	58	100.0	52	100.0	6	100.0
MONO	18-20	5	5.7	4	5.1	1	11.1
	21-30	26	29.9	23	29.5	3	33.3
	31-40	18	20.7	18	23.1	0	0.0
	41-50	28	32.2	24	30.8	4	44.4
	51-60	8	9.2	7	9.0	1	11.1
	61-70	2	2.3	2	2.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	87	100.0	78	100.0	9	100.0
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	1	0.0	1	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	141	6.3	116	5.9	25	8.7
	21-30	953	42.3	874	44.4	79	27.6
	31-40	621	27.6	532	27.0	89	31.1
	41-50	360	16.0	290	14.7	70	24.5
	51-60	147	6.5	128	6.5	19	6.6
	61-70	24	1.1	20	1.0	4	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.3	6	0.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2253	100.0	1967	100.0	286	100.0

TABLE B2: 1999 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
NAPA	UNDER 18	2	0.3	2	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	50	6.6	45	7.2	5	4.0
	21-30	288	38.2	260	41.3	28	22.4
	31-40	205	27.2	160	25.4	45	36.0
	41-50	124	16.4	93	14.8	31	24.8
	51-60	64	8.5	50	7.9	14	11.2
	61-70	17	2.3	15	2.4	2	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.5	4	0.6	0	0.0
TOTAL	754	100.0	629	100.0	125	100.0	
NEVADA	UNDER 18	7	1.3	6	1.4	1	0.9
	18-20	34	6.1	18	4.1	16	14.3
	21-30	141	25.5	121	27.4	20	17.9
	31-40	148	26.8	117	26.5	31	27.7
	41-50	147	26.6	114	25.9	33	29.5
	51-60	49	8.9	40	9.1	9	8.0
	61-70	23	4.2	22	5.0	1	0.9
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.7	3	0.7	1	0.9
TOTAL	553	100.0	441	100.0	112	100.0	
ORANGE	UNDER 18	23	0.2	17	0.2	6	0.3
	18-20	550	4.2	463	4.2	87	4.2
	21-30	5035	38.5	4287	39.1	748	35.7
	31-40	4106	31.4	3432	31.3	674	32.2
	41-50	2263	17.3	1873	17.1	390	18.6
	51-60	815	6.2	664	6.1	151	7.2
	61-70	216	1.7	186	1.7	30	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	54	0.4	45	0.4	9	0.4
TOTAL	13062	100.0	10967	100.0	2095	100.0	
PLACER	UNDER 18	11	0.9	8	0.8	3	1.2
	18-20	85	6.8	76	7.6	9	3.6
	21-30	389	31.2	323	32.5	66	26.2
	31-40	366	29.4	283	28.4	83	32.9
	41-50	253	20.3	183	18.4	70	27.8
	51-60	100	8.0	84	8.4	16	6.3
	61-70	33	2.6	29	2.9	4	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	10	0.8	9	0.9	1	0.4
TOTAL	1247	100.0	995	100.0	252	100.0	
PLUMAS	UNDER 18	1	0.5	1	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	3	1.6	3	1.9	0	0.0
	21-30	46	25.1	35	22.7	11	37.9
	31-40	40	21.9	36	23.4	4	13.8
	41-50	53	29.0	45	29.2	8	27.6
	51-60	25	13.7	21	13.6	4	13.8
	61-70	12	6.6	10	6.5	2	6.9
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.6	3	1.9	0	0.0
TOTAL	183	100.0	154	100.0	29	100.0	
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	13	0.2	13	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	369	5.7	332	5.9	37	4.3
	21-30	2277	35.0	2023	35.8	254	29.6
	31-40	1884	29.0	1607	28.5	277	32.2
	41-50	1256	19.3	1047	18.5	209	24.3
	51-60	468	7.2	417	7.4	51	5.9
	61-70	169	2.6	146	2.6	23	2.7
	71 & ABOVE	68	1.0	60	1.1	8	0.9
TOTAL	6504	100.0	5645	100.0	859	100.0	

TABLE B2: 1999 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	12	0.3	8	0.2	4	0.4
	18-20	211	4.6	165	4.4	46	5.1
	21-30	1633	35.3	1342	36.0	291	32.2
	31-40	1448	31.3	1128	30.3	320	35.4
	41-50	892	19.3	713	19.1	179	19.8
	51-60	311	6.7	270	7.3	41	4.5
	61-70	95	2.1	78	2.1	17	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	25	0.5	20	0.5	5	0.6
TOTAL	4627	100.0	3724	100.0	903	100.0	
SAN BENITO	18-20	20	7.5	19	7.9	1	4.0
	21-30	107	40.2	100	41.5	7	28.0
	31-40	76	28.6	66	27.4	10	40.0
	41-50	40	15.0	36	14.9	4	16.0
	51-60	19	7.1	16	6.6	3	12.0
	61-70	4	1.5	4	1.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	266	100.0	241	100.0	25	100.0
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	16	0.2	15	0.2	1	0.1
	18-20	356	4.9	313	5.0	43	4.3
	21-30	2579	35.5	2300	36.7	279	28.2
	31-40	2218	30.6	1867	29.8	351	35.5
	41-50	1374	18.9	1155	18.4	219	22.1
	51-60	497	6.8	429	6.8	68	6.9
	61-70	179	2.5	157	2.5	22	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	41	0.6	35	0.6	6	0.6
TOTAL	7260	100.0	6271	100.0	989	100.0	
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	41	0.4	30	0.3	11	0.6
	18-20	638	5.6	562	5.8	76	4.3
	21-30	4544	39.7	3927	40.5	617	35.3
	31-40	3442	30.0	2842	29.3	600	34.3
	41-50	1905	16.6	1583	16.3	322	18.4
	51-60	660	5.8	567	5.8	93	5.3
	61-70	182	1.6	159	1.6	23	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	44	0.4	37	0.4	7	0.4
TOTAL	11456	100.0	9707	100.0	1749	100.0	
SAN FRANCISCO	UNDER 18	1	0.1	1	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	21	2.9	16	2.7	5	4.1
	21-30	266	37.2	217	36.5	49	40.5
	31-40	235	32.9	203	34.2	32	26.4
	41-50	130	18.2	106	17.8	24	19.8
	51-60	47	6.6	38	6.4	9	7.4
	61-70	13	1.8	11	1.9	2	1.7
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.3	2	0.3	0	0.0
TOTAL	715	100.0	594	100.0	121	100.0	
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	16	0.6	11	0.5	5	1.3
	18-20	187	7.4	170	7.8	17	4.6
	21-30	857	33.7	742	34.2	115	31.0
	31-40	753	29.6	626	28.8	127	34.2
	41-50	455	17.9	375	17.3	80	21.6
	51-60	187	7.4	166	7.6	21	5.7
	61-70	69	2.7	64	2.9	5	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	17	0.7	16	0.7	1	0.3
TOTAL	2541	100.0	2170	100.0	371	100.0	

TABLE B2: 1999 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	8	0.5	8	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	118	7.5	103	7.9	15	5.5
	21-30	613	39.0	526	40.5	87	31.8
	31-40	394	25.0	321	24.7	73	26.6
	41-50	299	19.0	227	17.5	72	26.3
	51-60	94	6.0	77	5.9	17	6.2
	61-70	34	2.2	25	1.9	9	3.3
	71 & ABOVE	13	0.8	12	0.9	1	0.4
TOTAL	1573	100.0	1299	100.0	274	100.0	
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	6	0.2	6	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	124	4.3	112	4.7	12	2.6
	21-30	936	32.8	799	33.3	137	30.0
	31-40	880	30.8	745	31.0	135	29.6
	41-50	574	20.1	460	19.2	114	25.0
	51-60	228	8.0	187	7.8	41	9.0
	61-70	91	3.2	76	3.2	15	3.3
	71 & ABOVE	19	0.7	17	0.7	2	0.4
TOTAL	2858	100.0	2402	100.0	456	100.0	
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	9	0.4	8	0.4	1	0.2
	18-20	171	6.9	152	7.4	19	4.5
	21-30	971	39.1	822	39.9	149	35.3
	31-40	698	28.1	573	27.8	125	29.6
	41-50	428	17.3	341	16.6	87	20.6
	51-60	145	5.8	119	5.8	26	6.2
	61-70	45	1.8	33	1.6	12	2.8
	71 & ABOVE	14	0.6	11	0.5	3	0.7
TOTAL	2481	100.0	2059	100.0	422	100.0	
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	31	0.5	29	0.6	2	0.2
	18-20	274	4.6	247	4.8	27	3.2
	21-30	2326	38.8	2058	39.9	268	32.1
	31-40	1785	29.8	1500	29.1	285	34.2
	41-50	1029	17.2	851	16.5	178	21.3
	51-60	427	7.1	368	7.1	59	7.1
	61-70	96	1.6	84	1.6	12	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	22	0.4	19	0.4	3	0.4
TOTAL	5990	100.0	5156	100.0	834	100.0	
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	10	0.7	7	0.6	3	1.1
	18-20	101	6.7	83	6.7	18	6.6
	21-30	530	35.1	458	37.0	72	26.5
	31-40	447	29.6	352	28.4	95	34.9
	41-50	313	20.7	245	19.8	68	25.0
	51-60	88	5.8	74	6.0	14	5.1
	61-70	15	1.0	13	1.0	2	0.7
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.5	7	0.6	0	0.0
TOTAL	1511	100.0	1239	100.0	272	100.0	
SHASTA	UNDER 18	11	1.0	10	1.2	1	0.4
	18-20	83	7.9	74	8.9	9	4.0
	21-30	331	31.5	265	32.0	66	29.3
	31-40	277	26.3	201	24.3	76	33.8
	41-50	241	22.9	184	22.2	57	25.3
	51-60	64	6.1	53	6.4	11	4.9
	61-70	31	2.9	28	3.4	3	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	14	1.3	12	1.5	2	0.9
TOTAL	1052	100.0	827	100.0	225	100.0	

TABLE B2: 1999 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SIERRA	21-30	2	18.2	2	20.0	0	0.0
	31-40	5	45.5	5	50.0	0	0.0
	41-50	2	18.2	1	10.0	1	100.0
	51-60	1	9.1	1	10.0	0	0.0
	61-70	1	9.1	1	10.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	11	100.0	10	100.0	1	100.0
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	2	0.7	2	0.9	0	0.0
	18-20	21	7.2	15	6.7	6	9.0
	21-30	70	24.1	59	26.3	11	16.4
	31-40	81	27.8	62	27.7	19	28.4
	41-50	73	25.1	46	20.5	27	40.3
	51-60	32	11.0	30	13.4	2	3.0
	61-70	8	2.7	7	3.1	1	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	4	1.4	3	1.3	1	1.5
	TOTAL	291	100.0	224	100.0	67	100.0
SOLANO	UNDER 18	5	0.4	4	0.4	1	0.4
	18-20	77	5.8	66	6.1	11	4.5
	21-30	448	33.6	392	36.1	56	22.7
	31-40	384	28.8	308	28.4	76	30.8
	41-50	264	19.8	192	17.7	72	29.1
	51-60	108	8.1	85	7.8	23	9.3
	61-70	39	2.9	32	2.9	7	2.8
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.5	6	0.6	1	0.4
	TOTAL	1332	100.0	1085	100.0	247	100.0
SONOMA	UNDER 18	16	0.7	13	0.7	3	0.8
	18-20	121	5.5	105	5.8	16	4.1
	21-30	752	34.2	653	36.1	99	25.4
	31-40	595	27.1	478	26.4	117	30.1
	41-50	454	20.7	349	19.3	105	27.0
	51-60	193	8.8	150	8.3	43	11.1
	61-70	43	2.0	39	2.2	4	1.0
	71 & ABOVE	24	1.1	22	1.2	2	0.5
	TOTAL	2198	100.0	1809	100.0	389	100.0
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	16	1.0	15	1.1	1	0.4
	18-20	117	7.2	108	7.8	9	3.8
	21-30	620	38.4	550	39.8	70	29.8
	31-40	433	26.8	351	25.4	82	34.9
	41-50	299	18.5	241	17.5	58	24.7
	51-60	87	5.4	77	5.6	10	4.3
	61-70	34	2.1	29	2.1	5	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	10	0.6	10	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1616	100.0	1381	100.0	235	100.0
SUTTER	UNDER 18	4	1.4	4	1.6	0	0.0
	18-20	24	8.1	23	9.0	1	2.5
	21-30	107	36.1	97	37.9	10	25.0
	31-40	72	24.3	57	22.3	15	37.5
	41-50	52	17.6	43	16.8	9	22.5
	51-60	25	8.4	21	8.2	4	10.0
	61-70	10	3.4	9	3.5	1	2.5
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.7	2	0.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	296	100.0	256	100.0	40	100.0
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	3	0.7	3	0.9	0	0.0
	18-20	24	5.8	22	6.3	2	3.2
	21-30	105	25.4	99	28.2	6	9.5
	31-40	122	29.5	90	25.6	32	50.8
	41-50	122	29.5	107	30.5	15	23.8
	51-60	25	6.0	19	5.4	6	9.5
	61-70	9	2.2	8	2.3	1	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	4	1.0	3	0.9	1	1.6
	TOTAL	414	100.0	351	100.0	63	100.0

TABLE B2: 1999 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
TRINITY	18-20	2	2.9	2	4.1	0	0.0
	21-30	12	17.6	10	20.4	2	10.5
	31-40	22	32.4	14	28.6	8	42.1
	41-50	19	27.9	14	28.6	5	26.3
	51-60	9	13.2	8	16.3	1	5.3
	61-70	4	5.9	1	2.0	3	15.8
	TOTAL	68	100.0	49	100.0	19	100.0
TULARE	UNDER 18	10	0.5	10	0.5	0	0.0
	18-20	156	7.5	139	7.6	17	6.5
	21-30	786	37.8	705	38.7	81	30.9
	31-40	600	28.8	507	27.9	93	35.5
	41-50	372	17.9	318	17.5	54	20.6
	51-60	112	5.4	98	5.4	14	5.3
	61-70	38	1.8	35	1.9	3	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.4	8	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2082	100.0	1820	100.0	262	100.0
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	3	1.0	3	1.2	0	0.0
	18-20	23	7.6	19	7.6	4	7.8
	21-30	65	21.5	53	21.1	12	23.5
	31-40	90	29.8	77	30.7	13	25.5
	41-50	77	25.5	58	23.1	19	37.3
	51-60	33	10.9	30	12.0	3	5.9
	61-70	6	2.0	6	2.4	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	1.7	5	2.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	302	100.0	251	100.0	51	100.0
VENTURA	UNDER 18	2	0.1	2	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	182	5.3	148	5.1	34	6.2
	21-30	1322	38.2	1150	39.5	172	31.5
	31-40	1027	29.7	846	29.0	181	33.2
	41-50	620	17.9	504	17.3	116	21.2
	51-60	233	6.7	204	7.0	29	5.3
	61-70	56	1.6	46	1.6	10	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	18	0.5	14	0.5	4	0.7
	TOTAL	3460	100.0	2914	100.0	546	100.0
YOLO	UNDER 18	2	0.3	2	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	58	8.4	53	9.2	5	4.4
	21-30	272	39.3	225	38.9	47	41.6
	31-40	178	25.7	141	24.4	37	32.7
	41-50	107	15.5	95	16.4	12	10.6
	51-60	62	9.0	50	8.6	12	10.6
	61-70	12	1.7	12	2.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.1	1	0.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	692	100.0	579	100.0	113	100.0
YUBA	18-20	8	3.1	8	3.6	0	0.0
	21-30	91	34.9	81	36.5	10	25.6
	31-40	70	26.8	57	25.7	13	33.3
	41-50	57	21.8	46	20.7	11	28.2
	51-60	25	9.6	23	10.4	2	5.1
	61-70	7	2.7	4	1.8	3	7.7
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.1	3	1.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	261	100.0	222	100.0	39	100.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1999 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ²	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	71.6%		132105	2791	13536	3624	3808	859 / 79	3.0	2.8
ALAMEDA	60.7%	SUP OAKLAND	74	12	0	0	11	0 / 0	4.0	3.1
		JUV SN LEANDRO	32	2	3	0	0	0 / 0	3.1	2.9
		ALAMEDA	111	1	19	4	2	0 / 0	1.8	2.4
		BERKELEY	199	1	11	8	8	2 / 0	2.5	1.5
		FREMONT	646	3	43	19	28	12 / 1	2.6	2.9
		PLEASANTON	810	2	61	48	49	5 / 0	3.6	2.0
		OAKLAND	821	12	106	30	27	15 / 2	3.5	1.9
		HAYWARD	1275	9	113	22	80	7 / 1	3.1	2.2
		SN LEANDRO	1	0	0	0	0	0 / 0	12.1	2.1
TOTAL	3969	42	356	131	205	41 / 4	---	---		
ALPINE	73.9%	SUP MARKLEVLLE	13	0	1	0	0	0 / 0	2.2	3.9
		MARKLEEVILLE	4	0	0	0	0	0 / 0	2.8	1.4
		TOTAL	17	0	1	0	0	0 / 0	---	---
AMADOR	87.4%	JUV AMADOR	2	0	0	0	0	0 / 0	4.7	2.1
		JACKSON	172	7	18	6	2	3 / 0	2.6	5.1
		TOTAL	174	7	18	6	2	3 / 0	---	---
BUTTE	72.1%	SUP OROVILLE	17	10	2	1	2	3 / 0	8.5	2.1
		JUV OROVILLE	10	1	0	0	1	0 / 0	2.3	1.6
		CHICO	700	1	113	27	9	16 / 5	3.3	3.3
		GRIDLEY	7	1	0	1	0	2 / 0	2.0	1.3
		OROVILLE	265	3	4	33	9	3 / 2	4.3	2.3
		TOTAL	999	16	119	62	21	24 / 7	---	---
CALAVERAS	58.5%	SUP CALAVERAS	4	2	2	0	0	0 / 0	10.5	1.5
		JUV CALAVERAS	2	0	0	0	0	1 / 0	1.8	1.0
		SAN ANDREAS	162	5	21	12	6	11 / 0	2.1	1.3
		TOTAL	168	7	23	12	6	12 / 0	---	---
COLUSA	62.4%	SUP COLUSA	2	1	0	1	0	0 / 0	3.5	4.5
		JUV COLUSA	6	0	0	0	0	0 / 0	2.8	0.5
		COLUSA	201	2	50	8	6	2 / 0	2.1	2.0
		TOTAL	209	3	50	9	6	2 / 0	---	---
CONTRA COSTA	69.5%	SUP C COSTA	40	69	1	0	3	0 / 0	7.6	2.7
		JUV C COSTA	16	1	2	2	0	0 / 0	3.0	2.5
		CONCORD	830	5	108	10	14	2 / 0	4.4	4.4
		RICHMOND	755	3	111	24	12	11 / 1	4.8	2.1
		PITTSBURG	799	5	101	20	22	0 / 1	5.1	3.4
		WALNUT CREEK	829	4	121	27	16	4 / 7	3.9	3.0
		TOTAL	3269	87	444	83	67	17 / 9	---	---
DEL NORTE	53.9%	SUP DEL NORTE	1	2	0	0	0	0 / 0	2.1	8.3
		CRESCENT CITY	154	3	73	5	7	4 / 0	2.7	3.9
		TOTAL	155	5	73	5	7	4 / 0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1999 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ²	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
EL DORADO	73.7%	SUP EL DORADO	230	7	10	3	2	0/0	3.5	4.6
		SUPJUV PLCRVILE	1	1	0	0	0	0/0	13.8	2.5
		CAMERON PARK	3	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.4	9.0
		SO LAKE TAHOE	216	3	15	5	0	1/0	2.7	5.5
		PLACERVILLE	414	12	44	3	4	2/1	2.8	3.0
		TOTAL	864	23	69	11	6	3/1	---	---
FRESNO	52.3%	SUP FRESNO	17	28	0	1	0	0/0	8.9	4.5
		JUV FRESNO	18	2	0	1	0	0/0	3.1	2.4
		FRESNO	1902	57	634	52	31	26/3	4.7	5.7
		CLOVIS	318	0	100	8	1	2/2	4.4	6.2
		COALINGA	124	5	14	0	2	4/0	3.6	6.0
		FIREBAUGH	167	1	44	2	3	3/0	3.3	6.3
		FOWLER	190	3	23	0	6	0/0	4.6	4.8
		KERMAN	89	2	18	0	2	1/0	4.0	5.9
		KINGSBURG	86	2	21	0	4	0/0	5.2	7.7
		REEDLEY MUNI	106	1	26	1	0	1/0	3.9	4.9
		SANGER	117	0	12	1	1	0/0	5.5	4.3
		SELMA MUNI	129	1	24	3	3	5/0	4.3	3.3
TOTAL	3263	102	916	69	53	42/5	---	---		
GLENN	72.6%	SUP WILLOWS	51	1	10	3	0	0/0	3.4	4.0
		JUV GLENN	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.2	1.6
		ORLAND	86	0	8	2	1	0/0	1.9	2.1
		WILLOWS	67	0	6	4	1	4/0	2.4	1.7
		TOTAL	206	1	24	9	2	4/0	---	---
HUMBOLDT	52.7%	SUPJUV HMBLDT	604	19	141	29	22	4/0	3.3	4.6
		EUREKA	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	---	---
		GARBRVLE SPMUN	33	0	6	2	1	0/0	3.8	3.8
		HOOPA SUPMUN	1	0	1	0	0	0/0	9.2	4.9
		TOTAL	639	19	148	31	23	4/0	---	---
IMPERIAL	49.0%	SUP IMPERIAL	2	2	1	0	0	0/0	5.2	0.4
		JUV IMPERIAL	7	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.3	7.2
		BRAWLEY	202	1	22	9	4	3/0	4.9	1.7
		CALEXICO	391	1	69	39	2	22/0	7.5	0.6
		EL CENTRO	207	0	33	35	4	4/0	6.6	2.0
		WINTRHAVEN MUN	0	0	0	0	1	0/0	---	---
TOTAL	809	4	125	83	11	29/0	---	---		
INYO	67.0%	SUP INYO	4	1	0	0	0	0/0	5.3	5.4
		BISHOP	178	1	38	8	1	0/0	3.4	3.2
		INDEPENDENCE	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	5.1	3.2
		TOTAL	183	2	38	8	1	0/0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1999 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ²	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
KERN	77.9%	SUP KERN	39	23	0	0	2	1 / 0	3.2	2.3
		JUV KERN	43	1	4	0	0	0 / 0	2.2	1.8
		ARVIN LAMONT	269	3	32	4	4	1 / 0	1.8	3.7
		BAKERSFIELD	1881	17	162	28	26	6 / 3	2.1	3.3
		DELANO	240	13	11	0	4	0 / 0	2.7	4.1
		LAKE ISABELLA	105	4	6	4	2	1 / 0	1.6	2.6
		TAFT	188	4	20	3	3	2 / 0	1.6	2.4
		SHAFTER	247	8	33	2	7	11 / 0	1.8	3.0
		MOJAVE	222	5	51	11	4	2 / 0	1.9	2.5
		RIDGECREST	186	3	10	6	3	5 / 0	1.9	2.9
		TC EDW AFB	2	0	1	0	2	0 / 0	7.5	10.8
TOTAL	3422	81	330	58	57	29 / 3	---	---		
KINGS	76.0%	SUP KINGS	29	7	0	0	0	0 / 0	2.9	1.8
		JUV HANFORD	3	0	0	0	0	0 / 0	1.8	1.1
		HANFORD	418	4	44	1	10	3 / 0	2.4	2.0
		AVENAL	67	0	7	1	1	0 / 0	2.5	1.9
		CORCORAN	64	0	1	0	0	0 / 0	2.9	2.5
		LEMOORE	168	0	14	1	1	4 / 0	2.8	2.2
TOTAL	749	11	66	3	12	7 / 0	---	---		
LAKE	65.4%	SUP LAKE	7	1	0	0	0	2 / 0	3.6	5.4
		JUV LAKEPORT	4	0	0	1	0	0 / 0	3.2	1.4
		CLEARLAKE	189	5	21	8	5	1 / 0	4.6	5.2
		LAKEPORT	206	6	21	2	5	1 / 0	3.4	5.1
		TOTAL	406	12	42	11	10	4 / 0	---	---
LASSEN	84.1%	JUV LASSEN	3	0	0	0	0	0 / 0	2.4	2.4
		SUSANVILLE	206	3	1	7	2	4 / 0	4.0	4.1
		TOTAL	209	3	1	7	2	4 / 0	---	---
LOS ANGELES	72.4%	SUP LA	136	58	2	0	1	/ 0	4.7	1.0
		SUP POMONA	82	29	1	0	4	/ 0	4.1	1.1
		SUP LANCASTER	14	10	0	0	0	/ 0	4.1	0.6
		SUP VAN NUYS	89	26	1	2	2	/ 0	3.6	0.6
		SUP PASADENA	55	12	0	1	3	/ 0	5.9	0.7
		SUP VAN NUYS	48	13	1	0	0	/ 0	4.9	1.0
		SUP LONG BEACH	29	8	0	0	0	/ 0	4.2	1.1
		SUP COMPTON	22	6	0	0	0	/ 0	4.4	0.9
		SUP NORWALK	70	20	0	0	0	/ 0	4.8	1.4
		SUP TORRANCE	22	5	1	0	1	0 / 0	5.4	1.4
		SUP SANTA MONICA	22	9	0	0	0	0 / 0	6.3	2.1
		JUV LA	7	0	0	0	3	0 / 0	2.5	4.9
		LA JUV CENTRAL	18	2	0	0	0	0 / 0	5.7	2.8
		LA MUNI	83	1	15	0	5	0 / 0	2.8	1.0
ALHAMBRA	636	2	90	4	17	0 / 0	3.0	2.2		

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1999 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ²	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
LOS ANGELES (cont.)		LANCASTER	765	3	111	16	22	4 / 0	2.8	1.6
		BEVERLY HILLS	250	2	25	1	6	0 / 0	3.4	1.0
		BURBANK	311	0	32	4	5	0 / 0	2.5	1.7
		WEST COVINA	1764	19	58	1	41	0 / 0	2.7	1.5
		COMPTON	1406	10	128	41	36	5 / 1	3.3	2.3
		CULVER CITY	121	5	3	2	0	0 / 0	3.6	0.8
		DOWNEY	803	7	41	5	14	2 / 0	2.8	3.5
		EAST LA	1237	2	109	24	34	1 / 0	4.0	1.8
		EL MONTE	1027	12	29	2	22	3 / 0	2.8	1.6
		GLENDALE	433	0	33	2	14	1 / 0	3.2	2.9
		INGLEWOOD	817	11	96	38	19	0 / 0	2.6	2.3
		LONG BEACH	1320	8	110	94	36	1 / 1	2.4	2.9
		LA MUNI	1	0	0	0	0	0 / 0	---	---
		LA METRO	6363	15	687	36	796	2 / 3	1.5	1.4
		BELLFLOWER	424	2	10	1	17	1 / 0	2.8	1.9
		VALENCIA	874	14	88	14	14	6 / 0	2.1	2.0
		PASADENA	555	8	91	40	21	3 / 1	2.9	1.4
		MALIBU	403	1	83	28	11	1 / 1	4.5	2.0
		POMONA	927	16	51	11	17	7 / 0	2.6	1.5
		HUNTINGTON PK	543	8	15	3	17	1 / 0	2.3	1.0
		MONROVIA	364	1	33	8	13	0 / 1	3.2	2.6
		SANTA MONICA	267	1	91	19	14	0 / 0	2.7	1.4
		TORRANCE	1212	8	246	52	39	3 / 5	2.6	1.2
		REDONDO BCH	0	0	0	0	0	4 / 0	---	---
		SOUTH GATE	474	1	23	1	11	1 / 0	2.8	1.8
		WHITTIER	971	8	32	8	23	1 / 0	3.4	3.2
		HOLLYWOOD	328	0	38	7	24	0 / 0	2.0	1.3
		SAN FERNANDO	1861	14	229	22	55	4 / 0	1.4	1.5
	SAN PEDRO	405	2	42	2	28	0 / 1	1.7	1.6	
	VAN NUYS	2775	23	454	8	310	14 / 0	2.0	1.7	
	LOS ANGELES	457	1	69	3	41	0 / 0	2.2	1.5	
	AVALON	8	0	0	0	0	0 / 0	4.5	0.8	
	TOTAL	30799	403	3168	500	1738	65 / 14	---	---	
MADERA	57.8%	SUP MADERA	43	8	3	1	1	/ 0	3.7	3.7
		JUV MADERA	3	2	2	0	0	0 / 0	1.9	1.3
		CHOWCHILLA	336	0	26	22	10	2 / 0	3.8	2.1
		MADERA	1	1	0	0	0	2 / 0	2.0	2.0
		BASS LAKE	86	0	16	4	3	0 / 0	3.1	2.2
		TOTAL	469	11	47	27	14	4 / 0	---	---
MARIN	79.0%	SAN RAFAEL	1154	15	0	0	20	3 / 0	2.7	3.1
		TOTAL	1154	15	0	0	20	3 / 0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1999 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ²	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
MARIPOSA	50.7%	SUP MARIPOSA	2	2	1	0	0	1 / 0	6.9	2.1
		MARIPOSA	50	2	0	1	0	0 / 0	3.9	3.1
		USMAG YOSEMITE	12	0	11	0	0	0 / 0	3.7	0.9
		TOTAL	64	4	12	1	0	1 / 0	---	---
MENDOCINO	69.9%	SUP UKIAH	11	3	0	2	0	0 / 0	3.8	3.0
		JUV UKIAH	6	0	0	0	0	0 / 0	1.3	4.6
		WILLITS	138	3	29	1	0	0 / 0	3.2	7.6
		UKIAH	281	5	51	25	5	15 / 0	2.3	6.8
		BOONVILLE	7	0	1	0	0	0 / 0	1.6	2.7
		PT. ARENA	13	0	1	0	0	0 / 0	1.7	5.5
		LEGGETT	16	0	8	2	0	0 / 0	4.6	7.4
		COVELO	10	0	2	0	0	0 / 0	2.6	7.2
		FORT BRAGG	97	5	17	3	1	0 / 0	2.7	6.6
		TOTAL	579	16	109	33	6	15 / 0	---	---
MERCED	63.5%	SUP MERCED	37	14	2	0	1	0 / 0	4.5	2.8
		JUV MERCED	2	0	0	0	3	0 / 0	4.0	7.0
		MERCED	850	11	122	29	14	4 / 0	4.0	3.1
		LOS BANOS	277	2	54	7	9	5 / 2	3.6	3.3
		TOTAL	1166	27	178	36	27	9 / 2	---	---
MODOC	61.7%	SUP MODOC	27	1	4	5	0	0 / 0	2.5	3.0
		ALTURAS	30	0	4	3	0	1 / 0	2.0	1.4
		TOTAL	57	1	8	8	0	1 / 0	---	---
MONO	79.1%	BRIDGEPORT	20	1	9	1	0	0 / 0	3.0	4.7
		MAMMOTH LAKES	64	2	5	3	1	1 / 0	2.7	1.7
		TOTAL	84	3	14	4	1	1 / 0	---	---
MONTEREY	70.1%	SUP MONTEREY	26	35	0	0	0	0 / 0	1.9	2.3
		JUV SALINAS	2	0	0	1	0	0 / 0	1.8	1.3
		MARINA MUNI	571	4	65	39	13	0 / 1	2.3	2.5
		SALINAS	1246	4	158	30	11	1 / 0	1.2	2.5
		KING CITY	364	1	31	9	6	2 / 0	1.4	6.7
		TOTAL	2209	44	254	79	30	3 / 1	---	---
NAPA	73.2%	NAPA SUP	37	10	1	0	1	1 / 0	8.3	5.4
		NAPA JUV	7	2	1	0	2	0 / 0	4.2	2.3
		NAPA MUNI	686	12	85	16	6	3 / 0	2.5	4.8
		TOTAL	730	24	87	16	9	4 / 0	---	---
NEVADA	73.2%	NEVADA CITY	4	11	2	0	1	0 / 0	4.9	2.0
		NEVADA COUNTY	4	1	0	0	0	0 / 0	2.3	1.6
		NEVADA CITY MUN	292	4	87	7	3	2 / 0	2.0	5.3
		TRUCKEE MUNI	233	4	50	7	5	5 / 0	1.8	6.3
		TOTAL	533	20	139	14	9	7 / 0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1999 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ²	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
ORANGE	83.6%	SUP SANTA ANA	132	32	1	1	2	/0	3.9	3.4
		JUV ORANGE	64	2	0	0	0	/0	4.2	2.8
		FULLERTON	3487	37	82	122	36	14 /0	2.9	2.9
		WESTMINSTER	2795	43	99	21	22	23 /0	2.8	1.2
		LAGUNA HILLS	1639	16	115	7	23	27 /0	3.5	0.9
		LAGUNA NIGUEL	0	0	0	0	0	27 /0	---	---
		NEWPORT BEACH	2269	22	191	26	19	0 /2	2.8	1.8
		SANTA ANA MUNI	2512	12	86	13	17	8 /0	3.6	3.3
TOTAL	12898	164	574	190	119	99 /2	---	---		
PLACER	80.6%	SUP AUBURN	952	16	29	24	6	0 /1	2.9	3.1
		JUV AUBURN	14	0	0	0	0	0 /1	3.5	4.4
		AUBURN SUPMUNI	9	0	0	1	0	0 /0	1.3	3.9
		ROSEVILLE SUPMU	0	0	0	0	1	1 /0	---	---
		TAHOE CITY	253	3	20	2	2	2 /0	2.7	2.1
		TOTAL	1228	19	49	27	9	3 /2	---	---
PLUMAS	74.7%	SUP PLUMAS	1	0	0	0	0	0 /0	7.1	1.2
		PORTOLA MUNI	0	0	0	0	1	1 /0	---	---
		QUINCY	181	1	46	3	2	3 /0	2.2	5.7
		TOTAL	182	1	46	3	3	4 /0	---	---
RIVERSIDE	68.6%	SUP RIVERSIDE	3665	119	78	70	73	14 /4	2.9	2.2
		SUP INDIO	1465	30	148	29	53	8 /0	3.0	1.1
		JUV INDIO	1	0	0	0	0	1 /0	1.0	4.2
		CORONA	50	0	2	0	1	1 /0	6.0	5.9
		HEMET MUNI	160	1	9	5	3	7 /0	7.3	5.6
		BANNING	122	2	6	3	0	1 /0	7.3	5.7
		INDIO CONSOLID	238	3	15	11	5	1 /0	11.0	4.1
		MORENO VLY RIV	338	14	8	8	5	0 /0	8.4	5.4
		PALM SPRINGS	0	0	1	0	0	0 /0	---	---
		BLYTHE SUPMUN	20	0	7	1	0	3 /0	5.5	11.7
		PERRIS CNSOLID	272	3	6	8	9	7 /0	8.1	5.2
		RUBIDOUX	1	0	0	0	0	0 /0	---	---
		TOTAL	6332	172	280	135	149	43 /4	---	---
SACRAMENTO	61.9%	SUP SACRAMENTO	153	84	2	1	4	0 /0	4.3	4.2
		JUV SACTO TRF	19	1	1	1	1	0 /0	3.3	3.0
		SACRAMENTO	4067	99	284	889	48	22 /2	3.2	3.5
		ELK GROVE	116	1	28	11	6	1 /0	2.5	6.7
		GALT	61	0	6	6	4	1 /0	2.0	4.2
		WALNUT GROVE	20	0	9	0	3	1 /0	2.6	5.8
		SACTO US MAG	6	0	0	0	0	0 /0	4.7	6.0
		TOTAL	4442	185	330	908	66	25 /2	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1999 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ²	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN BENITO	66.8%	SUP SAN BENITO	12	1	2	0	0	1 / 0	8.3	10.4
		JUV HOLLISTER	2	1	0	0	0	0 / 0	2.8	6.4
		HOLLISTER	245	5	38	2	4	1 / 0	2.9	6.6
		TOTAL	259	7	40	2	4	2 / 0	---	---
SAN BERNARDINO	69.8%	SAN BERN SUPMUN	1325	70	118	18	78	12 / 0	3.2	1.4
		SUP R CUCAMNGA	1103	36	45	18	36	12 / 0	3.4	1.3
		VCTRVL SUPMUN	371	23	44	15	29	1 / 0	3.7	1.3
		BARSTOW SUPMUN	149	17	18	13	5	4 / 0	4.1	6.3
		JOSHTRIE SUPMUN	176	19	23	1	7	1 / 0	2.5	2.1
		JUV TF SN BERN	37	0	0	0	4	0 / 0	2.0	0.5
		JUV SAN BERENDO	2	0	0	0	0	0 / 0	3.6	2.8
		CHINO SUPMUN	332	6	13	7	6	3 / 1	3.3	1.0
		BARSTOW	138	2	15	9	4	6 / 0	5.0	3.0
		REDLNDS SUPMUN	413	22	52	4	26	7 / 0	4.1	1.5
		SAN BERNARDINO	457	14	50	11	46	9 / 0	7.3	2.2
		FONTANA SUPMUN	928	40	43	9	13	3 / 1	4.1	1.2
		VICTORVILLE	397	6	55	12	27	5 / 0	6.6	3.6
		RNCHO CUCMNGA	688	4	35	8	30	22 / 0	5.0	2.2
		BGBEAR LK SPMU	100	6	27	1	8	0 / 0	3.1	2.5
		TWNPEKS SUPMUN	160	3	27	7	9	4 / 0	3.7	1.8
		NEEDLES SUPMUN	89	4	26	6	22	3 / 0	4.2	1.8
JOSHUATREE	121	2	20	8	2	2 / 0	4.4	4.1		
TOTAL	6986	274	611	147	352	94 / 2	---	---		
SAN DIEGO	79.2%	SUP SAN DIEGO	143	16	2	0	0	0 / 0	3.6	5.3
		SUP VISTA	71	3	10	0	0	0 / 0	2.6	11.7
		SUP CHULA VSTA	49	4	0	0	0	0 / 0	3.0	4.6
		SUP EL CAJON	29	0	0	0	0	0 / 0	2.8	3.0
		JUV SAN DIEGO	106	4	0	0	2	0 / 0	3.6	3.0
		EL CAJON	1802	48	296	60	30	10 / 0	2.7	5.1
		VISTA	3507	23	175	24	1	12 / 1	2.1	4.0
		SAN MARCOS MUNI	0	0	0	0	4	2 / 0	2.4	2.5
		SAN DIEGO MUNI	3922	11	283	63	108	8 / 2	3.9	3.9
		CHULA VISTA	1696	22	108	30	21	2 / 0	2.9	5.5
TOTAL	11325	131	874	177	166	34 / 3	---	---		
SAN FRANCISCO	57.4%	JUV SAN FRAN	2	1	0	0	0	0 / 0	3.3	9.1
		SAN FRANCISCO	702	10	124	62	4	4 / 0	3.0	1.3
		TOTAL	704	11	124	62	5	4 / 0	---	---
SAN JOAQUIN	70.5%	SUP FRNCH CAMP	19	0	0	1	0	0 / 0	1.9	3.0
		JUV FRNCH CAMP	14	1	1	1	0	0 / 0	5.4	1.7
		LODI	489	10	64	13	6	0 / 0	2.2	1.6
		MANTECA	399	9	40	1	4	3 / 0	2.3	1.5
		TRACY	236	8	38	2	5	0 / 0	2.4	2.6
		STCKTON SUPMUN	1336	20	90	96	11	12 / 1	2.3	2.3
TOTAL	2493	48	233	114	26	15 / 1	---	---		

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1999 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ²	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN LUIS OBISPO	69.4%	SUP S L OBISPO	22	44	5	0	0	0/0	3.7	0.8
		JUV S L OBISPO	16	0	2	0	2	0/0	2.4	1.0
		SL OBSPO SUPMN	1484	7	312	44	75	6/2	2.2	5.5
		GROVER BEACH	0	0	0	0	0	5/0	---	---
		PASO ROBLES SUP	0	0	0	0	0	3/0	---	---
		TOTAL	1522	51	319	45	77	14/2	---	---
SAN MATEO	76.5%	RDWDCTY SUPMUN	87	14	2	1	1	0/0	5.0	2.5
		SNMATEO SUPMUN	26	1	1	0	0	4/0	3.5	1.4
		SO SF SUPMUN	1600	15	131	10	17	0/0	2.8	2.6
		REDWOOD CITY	1104	11	210	20	16	3/1	2.6	2.8
		TOTAL	2817	41	344	31	35	7/1	---	---
SANTA BARBARA	78.2%	SUP SNTA BARB	22	7	0	0	0	0/0	4.1	3.9
		SUP SNTA MARIA	39	6	1	2	2	0/0	2.5	1.5
		JUV SNTA BARB	14	0	1	0	0	0/0	1.7	5.3
		JUV LOMPOC	11	2	0	1	0	0/0	2.7	1.8
		SANTA BARBARA	1299	10	261	56	25	18/0	2.3	4.3
		SANTA MARIA	683	4	57	2	8	2/0	1.4	4.6
		LOMPOC	379	5	45	1	5	1/0	1.8	4.8
TOTAL	2447	34	365	62	41	21/0	---	---		
SANTA CLARA	78.2%	SUP SNTA CLARA	113	106	1	0	39	0/0	4.7	3.2
		JUV SNTA CLARA	57	8	1	0	1	0/0	3.1	4.8
		PALO ALTO MUNI	516	3	49	9	7	1/1	3.3	1.6
		SAN JOSE	3992	51	383	88	57	0/1	3.0	1.7
		SAN JOSE TRAFMUN	0	0	0	0	3	0/0	---	---
		SNTA CLARA MUN	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	0.3	0.5
		SUNNYVALE	534	3	61	7	9	0/0	3.4	1.0
		GILROY	578	28	57	7	6	0/0	3.5	1.6
		TOTAL	5791	199	552	111	122	1/2	---	---
SANTA CRUZ	73.2%	SUP SANTA CRUZ	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	21.8	1.3
		JUV SANTA CRUZ	20	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.2	1.5
		SANTA CRUZ	1046	28	237	29	21	8/1	1.9	4.5
		WATSONVILLE	413	3	45	11	5	0/1	1.7	4.5
		TOTAL	1480	31	282	40	26	8/2	---	---
SHASTA	74.5%	SUP REDDING	1	9	1	0	0	0/0	6.5	0.9
		JUV SHASTA	14	3	0	0	0	0/0	2.5	1.2
		ANDERSON	0	1	0	0	0	2/0	3.1	0.1
		BURNEY	27	0	8	0	0	0/0	3.0	4.7
		REDDING	951	46	160	24	11	6/0	2.8	3.8
		TOTAL	993	59	169	24	11	8/0	---	---
SIERRA	34.4%	DOWNIEVILLE	11	0	1	1	0	1/0	3.7	3.0
		TOTAL	11	0	1	1	0	1/0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1999 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ²	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SISKIYOU	72.9%	SUP SISKIYOU	1	5	0	0	1	0/0	4.2	0.8
		WEED	131	0	17	1	3	4/0	2.5	4.9
		YREKA JUD DIST	137	17	10	11	6	1/0	2.4	6.2
		TOTAL	269	22	27	12	10	5/0	---	---
SOLANO	75.2%	SUP SOLANO	26	17	2	0	0	0/1	3.4	4.4
		JUV SOLANO	12	1	0	0	1	0/0	3.4	3.3
		FAIRFIELD	887	12	154	5	18	19/0	2.2	3.1
		BENICIA	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	9.5	4.1
		VLEJO BENICIA	372	3	49	4	8	4/3	3.1	4.1
TOTAL	1299	33	205	9	27	23/4	---	---		
SONOMA	65.7%	SUP SONOMA	6	54	1	0	0	0/0	5.0	5.2
		JUV SONOMA	22	2	0	2	5	0/0	2.7	1.0
		SANTA ROSA	2055	59	538	41	40	33/3	2.7	5.3
		TOTAL	2083	115	539	43	45	33/3	---	---
STANISLAUS	64.5%	SUP STANISLAUS	1464	36	228	61	12	0/0	2.8	2.4
		JUV STANISLAUS	37	0	1	0	0	0/0	3.4	3.1
		MODESTO	14	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.2	1.8
		TURLOCK	64	1	6	2	0	0/1	2.2	1.1
		TOTAL	1579	37	235	63	12	0/1	---	---
SUTTER	38.6%	YUBA CTY SUPMUN	8	11	0	0	0	0/0	3.4	1.0
		YUBA CITY JUV	4	0	2	0	0	1/0	1.0	1.4
		YUBA CITY	268	5	85	7	3	3/0	2.4	2.9
		TOTAL	280	16	87	7	3	4/0	---	---
TEHAMA	80.9%	SUP TEHAMA	7	4	0	0	1	0/0	3.9	1.8
		JUV TEHAMA	7	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.7	1.1
		CORNING	143	0	26	1	4	1/0	2.6	5.1
		RED BLUFF	250	3	34	3	4	6/0	2.2	4.2
		TOTAL	407	7	60	4	9	7/0	---	---
TRINITY	40.5%	SUP TRINITY	53	0	8	7	2	0/0	2.7	2.6
		WEAVERVILLE	15	0	3	0	0	0/0	3.0	1.7
		TOTAL	68	0	11	7	2	0/0	---	---
TULARE	66.6%	SUP TULARE	12	3	0	0	0	0/0	8.1	3.5
		JUV TULARE	21	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.1	2.9
		DINUBA MUNI	268	2	12	3	4	2/0	2.3	5.2
		LINDSY EXETER	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.0	0.1
		PORTERVILLE	549	12	5	3	15	2/0	2.4	3.5
		TULARE	302	10	14	3	8	7/1	3.0	2.5
		VISALIA	887	14	27	17	14	5/0	2.6	2.9
		TOTAL	2041	41	58	26	41	16/1	---	---
TUOLUMNE	69.3%	SUP TUOLUMNE	59	8	13	0	4	0/0	5.2	2.2
		SUP SONORA	230	5	25	3	3	5/0	2.4	1.4
		TOTAL	289	13	38	3	7	5/0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1999 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ²	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
VENTURA	83.0%	JUV VENTURA	4	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.5	3.8
		VENTURA	3402	54	0	1	86	30/1	2.5	2.7
		TOTAL	3406	54	0	1	86	30/1	---	---
YOLO	57.0%	SUP WOODLAND	10	1	1	0	0	0/0	5.9	9.4
		WOODLND SUPMUN	655	26	156	36	7	0/0	3.9	6.1
		TOTAL	665	27	157	36	7	0/0	---	---
YUBA	75.0%	SUP YUBA	0	2	0	0	1	0/0	5.1	2.8
		MARYSVILLE	255	4	67	18	2	9/0	2.8	2.6
		TOTAL	255	6	67	18	3	9/0	---	---

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS*

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE			134896	96.3	73.0	66.0	18.6	0.2	59.6	5.9	3.7
ALAMEDA	SUP OAKLAND	1 ST DUI	27	85.2	100.0	11.1	11.1	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	12	91.7	100.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	12	66.7	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	35	88.6	97.1	0.0	8.6	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.0
		TOTAL	86	84.9	98.8	3.5	10.5	0.0	3.5	2.3	0.0
	JUV SAN LEANDRO	1 ST DUI	32	84.4	3.1	71.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.9	0.0
		2 ND DUI	2	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	34	85.3	2.9	73.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	73.5	0.0
	ALAMEDA	1 ST DUI	83	100.0	98.8	86.7	1.2	0.0	32.5	3.6	1.2
		2 ND DUI	22	100.0	100.0	22.7	68.2	0.0	81.8	13.6	0.0
		3 RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	112	100.0	99.1	69.6	18.8	0.0	41.1	8.0	0.9
	BERKELEY	1 ST DUI	166	99.4	95.2	86.7	3.6	0.0	55.4	0.6	0.0
		2 ND DUI	22	100.0	100.0	13.6	72.7	0.0	81.8	9.1	0.0
		3 RD DUI	11	100.0	100.0	0.0	27.3	0.0	9.1	18.2	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	200	99.5	96.0	73.5	12.5	0.0	55.5	2.5	0.0
	FREMONT	1 ST DUI	485	99.6	98.8	92.0	3.9	0.0	41.9	0.2	0.0
		2 ND DUI	137	100.0	97.8	7.3	86.1	0.0	67.2	1.5	8.8
		3 RD DUI	23	95.7	95.7	0.0	78.3	0.0	8.7	0.0	4.3
		4 TH + DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0
		TOTAL	649	99.5	98.3	70.3	24.5	0.0	45.9	0.5	2.2
	PLEASANTON	1 ST DUI	608	99.8	96.9	83.9	1.6	0.0	63.3	3.5	0.0
		2 ND DUI	159	100.0	98.7	8.8	80.5	0.0	92.5	1.9	0.0
		3 RD DUI	44	97.7	100.0	2.3	75.0	0.0	54.5	18.2	2.3
		4 TH + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	812	99.8	97.4	64.7	21.2	0.0	68.6	3.9	0.1
	OAKLAND	1 ST DUI	620	99.5	98.1	88.5	2.6	0.0	49.2	2.4	2.1
2 ND DUI		157	99.4	94.3	12.1	76.4	0.0	85.4	1.9	33.1	
3 RD DUI		52	98.1	84.6	5.8	44.2	0.0	63.5	23.1	25.0	
4 TH + DUI		4	75.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	
TOTAL		833	99.3	96.4	68.5	19.1	0.0	56.8	3.7	9.4	
HAYWARD	1 ST DUI	927	98.5	98.9	85.8	2.8	0.0	30.2	5.2	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	289	99.3	99.3	8.3	85.5	0.0	85.1	3.1	3.8	
	3 RD DUI	62	98.4	98.4	4.8	79.0	0.0	19.4	6.5	3.2	
	4 TH + DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	1284	98.6	99.0	64.0	25.3	0.0	42.0	4.8	1.0	
SAN LEANDRO	2 ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	

*Entries represent percentages of 1999 DUI convictees receiving each sanction by county, court and offender status.

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
ALPINE	SUP MARKLEEVLE	1 ST DUI	10	90.0	40.0	90.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	13	92.3	53.8	69.2	15.4	0.0	53.8	0.0	0.0
	MARKLEEVILLE	1 ST DUI	3	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
AMADOR	JUV AMADOR	1 ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	50.0	0.0
	JACKSON	1 ST DUI	131	96.9	80.9	86.3	2.3	0.0	41.2	5.3	3.1
		2 ND DUI	42	95.2	92.9	7.1	88.1	0.0	69.0	21.4	21.4
		3 RD DUI	5	100.0	80.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	40.0	60.0	20.0
		4 TH + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
TOTAL	179	96.6	83.8	64.8	25.1	0.6	47.5	10.6	8.4		
BUTTE	SUP OROVLE	1 ST DUI	17	82.4	100.0	52.9	17.6	0.0	29.4	11.8	0.0
		2 ND DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	27	74.1	100.0	33.3	29.6	0.0	22.2	14.8	0.0
	JUV OROVLE	1 ST DUI	11	90.9	72.7	45.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	11	90.9	72.7	45.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	CHICO MUNI	1 ST DUI	549	97.6	95.6	95.4	0.7	0.0	41.3	8.6	0.0
		2 ND DUI	120	98.3	99.2	15.0	77.5	0.0	83.3	5.8	0.8
		3 RD DUI	26	96.2	96.2	3.8	61.5	0.0	26.9	61.5	3.8
		4 TH + DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	701	97.7	96.3	77.5	17.0	0.0	47.8	10.6	0.3
	GRIDLEY	1 ST DUI	6	100.0	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	100.0	100.0	62.5	12.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0
	OROVILLE	1 ST DUI	183	95.6	95.6	62.8	2.7	0.0	37.2	2.2	0.0
		2 ND DUI	59	91.5	100.0	20.3	67.8	0.0	50.8	10.2	0.0
		3 RD DUI	20	85.0	100.0	10.0	55.0	0.0	20.0	15.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	265	93.6	96.6	48.7	21.5	0.0	38.5	4.9	0.0
	OROVILLE-87	1 ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	100.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
	CALAVERAS	SUP CALAVERAS	1 ST DUI	3	100.0	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
2 ND DUI			1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3 RD DUI			1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
4 TH + DUI			1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL			6	100.0	83.3	33.3	16.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	16.7

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
CALAVERAS (cont.)	JUV CALAVERAS	1 ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	SAN ANDREAS	1 ST DUI	117	99.1	100.0	92.3	1.7	0.0	29.1	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	39	97.4	100.0	5.1	84.6	0.0	69.2	0.0	10.3
		3 RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	167	97.6	100.0	65.9	23.4	0.0	37.1	0.6	2.4		
COLUSA	SUP COLUSA	1 ST DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV COLUSA	1 ST DUI	6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
	COLUSA	1 ST DUI	148	90.5	98.0	79.1	1.4	0.0	29.1	2.7	0.0
		2 ND DUI	44	90.9	100.0	4.5	68.2	0.0	68.2	6.8	0.0
		3 RD DUI	11	81.8	100.0	0.0	45.5	0.0	18.2	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	203	90.1	98.5	58.6	18.2	0.0	36.9	3.4	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	SUP CONTRA COSTA	1 ST DUI	42	78.6	92.9	33.3	21.4	0.0	9.5	7.1	0.0
		2 ND DUI	23	56.5	100.0	4.3	30.4	0.0	13.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	13	76.9	100.0	0.0	46.2	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	31	48.4	96.8	0.0	16.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	109	65.1	96.3	13.8	24.8	0.0	7.3	2.8	0.0	
	JUV CONTRA COSTA	1 ST DUI	16	31.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	56.3	0.0
		2 ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	29.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	52.9	0.0
	CONCORD	1 ST DUI	620	99.7	95.6	71.3	1.8	0.0	34.7	8.5	0.0
		2 ND DUI	178	99.4	99.4	4.5	86.0	0.0	67.4	18.5	0.6
		3 RD DUI	28	100.0	100.0	3.6	21.4	0.0	7.1	28.6	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	9	88.9	100.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	11.1	22.2	0.0
		TOTAL	835	99.5	96.6	54.0	20.5	0.0	40.5	11.5	0.1
	RICHMOND	1 ST DUI	543	99.4	98.2	85.8	2.2	0.0	45.7	2.8	0.6
		2 ND DUI	168	98.2	97.0	16.1	75.6	0.0	70.8	7.7	7.7
		3 RD DUI	41	100.0	97.6	2.4	61.0	0.0	24.4	7.3	2.4
		4 TH + DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	758	99.2	97.9	65.2	21.8	0.0	49.9	4.1	2.2
	PITTSBURG	1 ST DUI	580	97.4	98.8	84.7	2.6	0.0	41.2	2.4	0.5
		2 ND DUI	179	95.5	98.9	11.7	80.4	0.0	86.0	4.5	2.2
		3 RD DUI	41	56.1	100.0	2.4	41.5	0.0	36.6	41.5	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	804	94.7	98.9	63.8	22.1	0.0	50.7	4.9	0.9
	WALNUT CREEK	1 ST DUI	655	99.5	92.5	91.8	0.9	0.0	53.0	3.1	0.0
2 ND DUI		151	99.3	99.3	11.9	81.5	0.0	49.0	31.1	2.0	
3 RD DUI		25	100.0	100.0	0.0	68.0	0.0	0.0	56.0	4.0	
4 TH + DUI		25	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	
TOTAL		833	99.5	94.0	74.3	17.6	0.0	50.5	9.8	0.5	

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
DEL NORTE	SUP DEL NORTE	1 ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	66.7	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CRESCENT CITY	1 ST DUI	118	92.4	97.5	83.1	2.5	0.0	31.4	4.2	0.0
		2 ND DUI	31	93.5	100.0	16.1	71.0	0.0	61.3	3.2	0.0
		3 RD DUI	8	75.0	100.0	0.0	62.5	0.0	12.5	12.5	0.0
		TOTAL	157	91.7	98.1	65.6	19.1	0.0	36.3	4.5	0.0
		ELDORADO	SUP EL DORADO	1 ST DUI	188	97.9	92.0	77.7	13.8	0.0	55.3
		2 ND DUI	37	100.0	91.9	24.3	67.6	0.0	54.1	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	8	100.0	87.5	12.5	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	4	50.0	100.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	237	97.5	92.0	66.2	24.5	0.0	53.2	0.8	0.0
	JUV SUP	1 ST DUI	2	50.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
	PLACERVILLE	TOTAL	2	50.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
	CAMERON PARK	1 ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
	SO LAKE TAHOE	1 ST DUI	163	98.2	93.9	85.9	4.3	0.0	18.4	10.4	1.2
		2 ND DUI	47	95.7	93.6	25.5	68.1	0.0	48.9	12.8	14.9
		3 RD DUI	7	71.4	71.4	0.0	42.9	0.0	14.3	14.3	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	219	96.8	93.2	69.4	20.1	0.0	25.1	11.4	4.1
	PLACERVILLE	1 ST DUI	277	98.6	98.9	88.1	1.4	0.0	25.3	2.5	0.0
		2 ND DUI	112	100.0	97.3	14.3	70.5	0.0	69.6	1.8	28.6
		3 RD DUI	25	100.0	100.0	8.0	28.0	0.0	20.0	36.0	12.0
		4 TH + DUI	12	25.0	100.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	426	96.9	98.6	61.7	21.1	0.0	35.9	5.2	8.2
FRESNO	SUP FRESNO	1 ST DUI	24	79.2	87.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	12	66.7	83.3	0.0	25.0	0.0	33.3	8.3	0.0
		3 RD DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	7	71.4	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	45	75.6	84.4	6.7	6.7	0.0	13.3	4.4	0.0
	JUV FRESNO	1 ST DUI	19	100.0	10.5	31.6	0.0	0.0	5.3	78.9	0.0
		2 ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	20	100.0	10.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	80.0	0.0
	FRESNO	1 ST DUI	1288	97.3	87.3	86.3	1.6	0.0	60.5	0.2	0.0
		2 ND DUI	487	96.3	95.1	14.2	71.7	0.0	77.4	0.6	0.4
		3 RD DUI	137	86.1	86.1	5.8	51.8	0.0	24.1	1.5	1.5
		4 TH + DUI	47	85.1	85.1	8.5	17.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1959	96.0	89.1	60.8	22.9	0.0	60.8	0.4	0.2

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
FRESNO (cont.)	CLOVIS	1 ST DUI	213	99.5	95.8	94.8	0.0	0.0	61.5	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	81	100.0	98.8	13.6	72.8	0.0	82.7	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	21	95.2	95.2	14.3	33.3	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	318	99.1	96.2	67.9	21.1	0.0	64.2	0.0	0.0
	COALINGA	1 ST DUI	92	97.8	93.5	93.5	1.1	0.0	44.6	1.1	0.0
		2 ND DUI	34	100.0	97.1	8.8	85.3	0.0	85.3	2.9	50.0
		3 RD DUI	3	100.0	33.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	129	98.4	93.0	69.0	24.8	0.0	55.0	1.6	13.2
	FIREBAUGH	1 ST DUI	111	99.1	96.4	85.6	3.6	0.0	64.9	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	42	95.2	100.0	4.8	64.3	0.0	57.1	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	13	84.6	92.3	0.0	7.7	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	168	97.0	97.0	57.7	19.0	0.0	57.7	0.0	0.0	
	FOWLER	1 ST DUI	131	98.5	100.0	92.4	2.3	0.0	36.6	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	42	100.0	100.0	19.0	76.2	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	13	92.3	100.0	15.4	23.1	0.0	15.4	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	7	71.4	71.4	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	193	97.4	99.0	67.9	20.2	0.0	44.0	0.0	0.0	
	KERMAN	1 ST DUI	64	98.4	96.9	92.2	3.1	0.0	84.4	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	21	100.0	95.2	4.8	90.5	0.0	90.5	0.0	9.5
		3 RD DUI	6	100.0	66.7	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	16.7
		TOTAL	91	98.9	94.5	65.9	26.4	0.0	83.5	0.0	3.3
	KINGSBURG	1 ST DUI	59	98.3	96.6	94.9	0.0	0.0	50.8	8.5	0.0
		2 ND DUI	26	96.2	92.3	7.7	80.8	0.0	69.2	11.5	0.0
		3 RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	88	97.7	95.5	65.9	25.0	0.0	54.5	10.2	0.0
	REEDLEY	1 ST DUI	80	96.3	97.5	88.8	2.5	0.0	17.5	55.0	2.5
		2 ND DUI	20	100.0	100.0	5.0	90.0	0.0	40.0	30.0	35.0
		3 RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	107	97.2	98.1	68.2	21.5	0.0	20.6	47.7	8.4	
	SANGER	1 ST DUI	78	100.0	93.6	91.0	2.6	0.0	29.5	2.6	0.0
		2 ND DUI	32	96.9	100.0	12.5	65.6	0.0	68.8	12.5	3.1
		3 RD DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	117	99.1	95.7	65.0	22.2	0.0	38.5	8.5	0.9	
	SELMA	1 ST DUI	79	97.5	98.7	84.8	2.5	0.0	26.6	2.5	0.0
		2 ND DUI	36	91.7	97.2	2.8	86.1	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	11	100.0	90.9	0.0	72.7	0.0	18.2	9.1	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	130	96.2	97.7	52.3	31.5	0.0	26.9	2.3	0.0	

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
GLENN	SUP WILLOWS	1 ST DUI	35	100.0	54.3	88.6	8.6	0.0	65.7	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	10	100.0	80.0	10.0	90.0	0.0	80.0	10.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	16.7	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	52	96.2	65.4	61.5	30.8	0.0	63.5	3.8	0.0
	JUV GLENN	1 ST DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	ORLAND	1 ST DUI	60	98.3	66.7	93.3	3.3	0.0	63.3	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	23	95.7	100.0	26.1	69.6	0.0	73.9	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	86	97.7	76.7	72.1	23.3	0.0	66.3	0.0	0.0
	WILLOWS	1 ST DUI	43	100.0	81.4	81.4	11.6	0.0	44.2	11.6	0.0
		2 ND DUI	17	100.0	94.1	23.5	70.6	0.0	52.9	5.9	0.0
		3 RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	67	98.5	86.6	59.7	29.9	0.0	46.3	9.0	0.0
HUMBOLDT	SUP JUV HUMBLT EUREKA	1 ST DUI	453	98.7	12.6	33.6	11.3	0.0	95.8	1.1	0.0
		2 ND DUI	126	96.8	80.2	4.0	34.1	0.0	91.3	2.4	0.0
		3 RD DUI	40	92.5	75.0	2.5	35.0	5.0	55.0	15.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	5	80.0	80.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	40.0	0.0
		TOTAL	624	97.8	30.8	25.3	17.6	0.3	91.8	2.6	0.0
	GARBERVILLE	1 ST DUI	23	100.0	4.3	60.9	4.3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	9	100.0	88.9	11.1	33.3	11.1	88.9	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	33	100.0	27.3	48.5	12.1	3.0	97.0	0.0	0.0
	HOOPA	1 ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	IMPERIAL	SUP IMPERIAL	1 ST DUI	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4 TH + DUI			1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL			4	75.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
JUV IMPERIAL		1 ST DUI	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	0.0
		TOTAL	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	0.0
BRAWLEY		1 ST DUI	151	98.7	45.7	79.5	2.6	0.0	75.5	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	47	97.9	83.0	12.8	66.0	0.0	83.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	5	100.0	80.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	203	98.5	55.2	63.1	17.7	0.0	76.8	0.0	0.0
CALEXICO		1 ST DUI	338	99.7	9.2	80.8	0.6	0.0	92.0	1.2	0.0
		2 ND DUI	49	98.0	57.1	38.8	55.1	0.0	93.9	2.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
	4 TH + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	392	99.5	16.3	74.7	8.2	0.0	92.1	1.3	0.0	

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
IMPERIAL (cont.)	EL CENTRO	1 ST DUI	170	99.4	30.6	82.9	2.9	0.0	87.1	0.6	0.0
		2 ND DUI	31	100.0	54.8	35.5	41.9	0.0	80.6	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	5	100.0	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	207	99.5	35.7	73.9	9.2	0.0	84.1	0.5	0.0
INYO	SUP INYO	1 ST DUI	4	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	100.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
	BISHOP	1 ST DUI	111	97.3	19.8	88.3	3.6	0.0	83.8	2.7	0.0
		2 ND DUI	56	92.9	82.1	5.4	80.4	0.0	75.0	3.6	1.8
		3 RD DUI	10	80.0	80.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	10.0	20.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	179	94.4	43.0	56.4	30.7	0.0	76.0	3.9	0.6	
	INDEPENDENCE	2 ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
KERN	SUP KERN	1 ST DUI	42	26.2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.9	0.0
		2 ND DUI	12	50.0	91.7	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	62	29.0	98.4	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.1	0.0
	JUV KERN	1 ST DUI	43	100.0	0.0	93.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	2.3	0.0
		2 ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	44	100.0	0.0	93.2	0.0	0.0	2.3	2.3	0.0
	ARVIN-LAMONT	1 ST DUI	182	97.8	87.9	84.6	2.7	0.0	29.1	6.0	1.1
		2 ND DUI	66	100.0	92.4	12.1	62.1	0.0	37.9	12.1	21.2
		3 RD DUI	20	95.0	65.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	30.0	30.0	30.0
		4 TH + DUI	4	50.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	272	97.4	87.1	60.3	17.6	0.0	30.9	9.6	8.1
	BAKERSFIELD	1 ST DUI	1345	97.9	97.8	77.6	0.2	0.0	29.1	0.1	1.5
		2 ND DUI	422	97.4	69.0	10.4	22.5	0.0	57.8	0.5	37.9
		3 RD DUI	104	93.3	55.8	3.8	0.0	0.0	46.2	0.0	40.4
		4 TH + DUI	27	55.6	77.8	7.4	0.0	0.0	25.9	3.7	18.5
		TOTAL	1898	96.9	88.8	57.6	5.2	0.0	36.4	0.3	12.0
	DELANO	1 ST DUI	173	97.7	91.3	84.4	1.7	0.0	25.4	3.5	0.6
		2 ND DUI	64	95.3	93.8	3.1	71.9	0.0	50.0	6.3	3.1
3 RD DUI		15	86.7	86.7	0.0	40.0	0.0	26.7	13.3	0.0	
4 TH + DUI		1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		253	96.4	91.7	58.5	21.7	0.0	31.6	4.7	1.2	
LAKE ISABELLA	1 ST DUI	84	100.0	96.4	45.2	2.4	0.0	22.6	0.0	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	21	95.2	90.5	4.8	28.6	0.0	42.9	0.0	9.5	
	3 RD DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	25.0	
	TOTAL	109	99.1	94.5	35.8	8.3	0.0	27.5	0.0	2.8	

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
KERN (cont.)	TAFT	1 ST DUI	142	98.6	97.2	89.4	2.1	0.0	38.0	16.9	2.1
		2 ND DUI	40	95.0	97.5	15.0	70.0	0.0	52.5	25.0	52.5
		3 RD DUI	9	77.8	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3
		4 TH + DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	192	96.4	96.9	69.3	19.3	0.0	40.6	17.7	14.1
	SHAFTER	1 ST DUI	167	98.8	98.2	77.8	2.4	0.0	10.8	1.8	0.0
		2 ND DUI	61	95.1	93.4	3.3	65.6	0.0	34.4	3.3	3.3
		3 RD DUI	21	85.7	90.5	9.5	38.1	0.0	0.0	14.3	4.8
		4 TH + DUI	6	66.7	83.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	16.7
		TOTAL	255	96.1	96.1	52.5	20.8	0.0	15.3	3.9	1.6
	MOJAVE	1 ST DUI	172	99.4	97.7	73.3	0.6	0.0	16.9	5.8	0.0
		2 ND DUI	46	95.7	97.8	6.5	54.3	0.0	60.9	2.2	6.5
		3 RD DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	227	98.7	97.8	56.8	11.9	0.0	26.4	4.8	1.3
	RIDGECREST	1STDUI	143	96.5	98.6	78.3	0.7	0.0	28.7	0.0	0.0
		2NDDUI	38	94.7	100.0	2.6	39.5	0.0	36.8	0.0	0.0
		3RDDUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	189	95.8	98.9	59.8	8.5	0.0	29.1	0.0	0.0
	EDWARDS AFB	1 ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3 RD DUI		1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
KINGS	SUP KINGS	1 ST DUI	17	41.2	88.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.8	11.8	0.0
		2 ND DUI	7	14.3	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	11	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0
		TOTAL	36	22.2	91.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	8.3	0.0
	JUV HANFORD	1 ST DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	HANFORD	1 ST DUI	293	89.4	99.7	73.0	1.0	0.0	19.1	20.5	0.0
		2 ND DUI	100	85.0	100.0	8.0	54.0	0.0	59.0	23.0	15.0
		3 RD DUI	25	68.0	100.0	8.0	4.0	0.0	12.0	24.0	4.0
		4 TH + DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	422	86.5	99.8	53.1	13.7	0.0	28.0	21.3	3.8
	AVENAL	1 ST DUI	50	100.0	100.0	86.0	4.0	0.0	26.0	4.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	14	92.9	92.9	14.3	50.0	0.0	64.3	21.4	14.3
		3 RD DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	67	97.0	98.5	67.2	16.4	0.0	35.8	7.5	4.5
	CORCORAN	1 ST DUI	48	87.5	100.0	77.1	2.1	0.0	22.9	14.6	0.0
		2 ND DUI	8	62.5	87.5	12.5	25.0	0.0	37.5	0.0	25.0
		3 RD DUI	8	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	12.5	37.5
		TOTAL	64	82.8	98.4	59.4	7.8	0.0	25.0	12.5	7.8

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
KINGS (cont.)	LEMOORE	1 ST DUI	107	90.7	98.1	79.4	2.8	0.0	30.8	10.3	0.9
		2 ND DUI	46	76.1	100.0	34.8	32.6	0.0	63.0	21.7	2.2
		3 RD DUI	15	46.7	100.0	26.7	6.7	0.0	13.3	13.3	0.0
		TOTAL	168	82.7	98.8	62.5	11.3	0.0	38.1	13.7	1.2
LAKE	SUP LAKE	1 ST DUI	5	100.0	100.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	87.5	100.0	62.5	12.5	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV LAKEPORT	1 ST DUI	4	100.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
	CLEARLAKE	1 ST DUI	126	95.2	97.6	66.7	7.9	0.0	21.4	0.8	0.0
		2 ND DUI	51	98.0	100.0	11.8	58.8	0.0	17.6	3.9	0.0
		3 RD DUI	14	100.0	100.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0	7.1	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	194	96.4	98.5	46.4	23.7	0.0	18.6	2.1	0.0
	LAKEPORT	1 ST DUI	148	97.3	68.2	91.9	4.1	0.0	41.2	0.7	0.0
2 ND DUI		52	100.0	98.1	13.5	80.8	0.0	63.5	0.0	0.0	
3 RD DUI		8	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	
4 TH + DUI		4	25.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		212	94.8	77.4	67.5	25.0	0.0	44.8	0.5	0.0	
LASSEN	JUV LASSEN	1 ST DUI	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	SUSANVILLE	1 ST DUI	158	98.7	97.5	89.9	1.9	0.0	34.8	1.9	0.0
		2 ND DUI	38	97.4	100.0	21.1	76.3	0.0	63.2	2.6	0.0
		3 RD DUI	12	100.0	75.0	8.3	58.3	8.3	33.3	0.0	0.0
4 TH + DUI		1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL	209	98.1	96.7	72.2	18.7	0.5	39.7	1.9	0.0		
LOS ANGELES	SUP LA CENTRAL	1 ST DUI	97	67.0	86.6	21.6	0.0	1.0	12.4	8.2	0.0
		2 ND DUI	40	50.0	85.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	10.0	7.5	2.5
		3 RD DUI	12	50.0	91.7	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	194	53.6	88.1	10.8	1.0	2.1	8.2	6.7	0.5
	SUP POMONA	1 ST DUI	56	53.6	96.4	21.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.4	0.0
		2 ND DUI	35	28.6	91.4	0.0	11.4	5.7	5.7	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	16	31.3	93.8	0.0	12.5	6.3	0.0	6.3	0.0
		TOTAL	111	41.4	94.6	10.8	6.3	2.7	1.8	3.6	0.0
	SUP LANCASTR	1 ST DUI	15	53.3	66.7	13.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	0.0
		2 ND DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4 TH + DUI		4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		24	37.5	79.2	8.3	4.2	0.0	0.0	20.8	0.0	

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	SUP VAN NUYS	1 ST DUI	96	61.5	79.2	9.4	0.0	0.0	2.1	4.2	0.0
		2 ND DUI	30	46.7	96.7	0.0	13.3	0.0	3.3	6.7	0.0
		3 RD DUI	20	25.0	90.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	30	6.7	96.7	0.0	0.0	3.3	0.0	10.0	0.0
		TOTAL	176	45.5	86.4	5.1	2.3	0.6	1.7	6.3	0.0
	SUP PASADENA	1 ST DUI	34	79.4	88.2	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.0
		2 ND DUI	16	56.3	100.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	13	38.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	67	64.2	94.0	3.0	1.5	0.0	1.5	1.5	0.0
	SUP LONG BEACH	1 ST DUI	17	52.9	82.4	5.9	0.0	0.0	5.9	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	17	29.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	37	43.2	91.9	2.7	0.0	0.0	2.7	5.4	0.0
	SUP COMPTON	1 ST DUI	8	75.0	62.5	25.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	8	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	5	20.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	7	14.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	28	35.7	89.3	7.1	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0
	SUP NORWALK	1 ST DUI	45	71.1	84.4	6.7	2.2	0.0	11.1	4.4	0.0
		2 ND DUI	24	75.0	79.2	0.0	8.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	7	14.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	14	35.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	90	62.2	86.7	3.3	3.3	0.0	10.0	2.2	0.0
	SUP TORRANCE	1 ST DUI	12	75.0	91.7	16.7	0.0	0.0	16.7	8.3	0.0
		2 ND DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	9	22.2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0
		TOTAL	27	51.9	96.3	7.4	3.7	0.0	7.4	7.4	0.0
SUP SANTA MONICA	1 ST DUI	21	90.5	71.4	52.4	0.0	0.0	33.3	14.3	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	5	60.0	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	
	3 RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
	4 TH + DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	31	83.9	74.2	41.9	0.0	3.2	29.0	16.1	0.0	
JUV LA	1 ST DUI	7	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	
	TOTAL	7	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	
LA JUV CENTRAL	1 ST DUI	18	100.0	38.9	5.6	5.6	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	20	100.0	45.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	LA MUNI	1 ST DUI	63	100.0	17.5	77.8	0.0	0.0	92.1	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	14	100.0	92.9	7.1	85.7	0.0	78.6	7.1	21.4
		3 RD DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	16.7
		4 TH + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	84	100.0	36.9	59.5	19.0	0.0	86.9	1.2	4.8
	ALHAMBRA	1 ST DUI	490	93.7	40.4	80.2	1.2	0.2	69.6	0.0	0.4
		2 ND DUI	124	91.1	93.5	7.3	59.7	0.0	60.5	0.8	7.3
		3 RD DUI	19	89.5	78.9	5.3	10.5	15.8	10.5	0.0	5.3
		4 TH + DUI	5	60.0	80.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	638	92.8	52.2	63.3	13.0	0.6	65.7	0.2	1.9
	LANCASTER	1 ST DUI	604	98.2	99.2	89.1	1.0	0.3	38.1	3.3	0.2
		2 ND DUI	133	98.5	94.7	3.8	88.7	4.5	50.4	5.3	25.6
		3 RD DUI	28	92.9	46.4	3.6	17.9	53.6	3.6	0.0	3.6
		4 TH + DUI	3	100.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	768	98.0	96.2	70.8	16.9	3.3	38.8	3.5	4.7
	BEVERLY HILLS	1 ST DUI	207	100.0	92.3	94.2	1.4	0.0	92.8	3.4	0.0
		2 ND DUI	37	100.0	97.3	2.7	89.2	2.7	78.4	13.5	0.0
		3 RD DUI	8	87.5	75.0	0.0	62.5	25.0	0.0	37.5	0.0
		TOTAL	252	99.6	92.5	77.8	16.3	1.2	87.7	6.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	236	99.2	96.6	91.1	1.7	0.0	96.6	0.8	0.0
	BURBANK	2 ND DUI	57	98.2	98.2	3.5	86.0	0.0	89.5	7.0	8.8
		3 RD DUI	18	88.9	66.7	0.0	16.7	27.8	22.2	27.8	0.0
		TOTAL	311	98.4	95.2	69.8	18.0	1.6	91.0	3.5	1.6
	WEST COVINA	1 ST DUI	1390	97.8	60.0	90.0	1.5	0.1	87.0	2.5	0.3
		2 ND DUI	338	95.9	93.2	12.1	80.8	0.0	88.8	1.5	26.3
		3 RD DUI	52	88.5	88.5	0.0	78.8	5.8	26.9	3.8	19.2
		4 TH + DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	1783	97.1	67.2	72.5	19.0	0.2	85.5	2.4	5.8
	COMPTON	1 ST DUI	1059	97.7	94.5	90.3	1.4	0.1	92.4	0.5	0.0
		2 ND DUI	290	97.9	96.9	14.5	75.2	1.0	92.1	1.7	0.0
		3 RD DUI	60	95.0	85.0	5.0	48.3	13.3	21.7	1.7	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	7	57.1	100.0	14.3	14.3	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1416	97.5	94.6	70.8	18.6	0.8	88.9	0.8	0.0
	CULVER CITY	1 ST DUI	100	100.0	32.0	91.0	0.0	0.0	76.0	1.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	23	100.0	82.6	13.0	65.2	4.3	78.3	4.3	0.0
		3 RD DUI	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	126	100.0	42.1	74.6	11.9	1.6	74.6	1.6	0.0
		1 ST DUI	630	99.4	26.8	90.2	1.1	0.0	91.4	1.3	0.0
	DOWNEY	2 ND DUI	152	99.3	86.2	12.5	78.9	0.0	92.1	2.0	2.6
		3 RD DUI	23	95.7	100.0	0.0	73.9	0.0	43.5	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	5	100.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	810	99.3	40.1	72.5	18.0	0.2	89.9	1.4	0.5

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	EAST LA	1 ST DUI	934	99.4	26.2	92.8	1.6	0.0	93.1	0.4	0.0
		2 ND DUI	257	97.3	92.6	10.5	79.0	0.0	88.7	3.1	0.0
		3 RD DUI	45	100.0	82.2	0.0	20.0	17.8	4.4	2.2	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1239	99.0	42.2	72.2	18.4	0.6	88.9	1.0	0.0
	EL MONTE	1 ST DUI	793	98.6	42.9	93.9	1.4	0.0	74.7	3.4	0.4
		2 ND DUI	204	98.5	92.6	9.3	81.4	0.5	83.3	6.4	18.6
		3 RD DUI	39	100.0	87.2	0.0	51.3	12.8	33.3	0.0	7.7
		4 TH + DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	1039	98.6	54.5	73.5	19.0	0.6	74.6	3.9	4.2
	GLENDALE	1 ST DUI	332	98.8	23.8	91.0	1.8	0.0	94.3	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	87	100.0	87.4	14.9	78.2	2.3	88.5	0.0	5.7
		3 RD DUI	11	100.0	72.7	0.0	54.5	27.3	27.3	9.1	9.1
		4 TH + DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	433	98.8	38.3	72.7	18.5	1.2	91.2	0.2	1.4
	INGLEWOOD	1 ST DUI	591	95.3	18.3	85.4	0.5	0.2	88.8	2.4	0.0
		2 ND DUI	196	96.9	75.0	13.8	75.5	0.0	93.9	2.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	35	88.6	60.0	0.0	45.7	22.9	37.1	5.7	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	6	33.3	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	828	94.9	34.1	64.3	20.3	1.1	87.2	2.4	0.0
	LONG BEACH	1 ST DUI	980	98.6	24.9	79.5	0.7	0.1	73.3	0.5	0.0
		2 ND DUI	285	100.0	62.5	11.2	70.5	0.7	68.4	1.8	0.0
		3 RD DUI	57	98.2	71.9	7.0	19.3	8.8	19.3	5.3	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1328	98.8	35.3	61.4	16.5	0.6	69.6	1.0	0.0
	LA METRO	1 ST DUI	5034	99.2	51.0	88.8	1.5	0.0	89.5	0.4	0.8
		2 ND DUI	1144	99.0	95.2	7.9	87.4	0.3	90.4	1.0	43.6
		3 RD DUI	186	96.8	90.9	3.2	61.3	1.1	50.0	2.2	43.5
		4 TH + DUI	15	66.7	86.7	6.7	33.3	0.0	46.7	0.0	46.7
		TOTAL	6379	99.0	60.2	71.6	18.7	0.1	88.4	0.6	9.8
	BELLFLOWER	1 ST DUI	331	99.4	8.2	94.0	0.0	0.0	89.1	5.4	0.0
		2 ND DUI	83	96.4	88.0	9.6	73.5	0.0	77.1	12.0	6.0
		3 RD DUI	11	100.0	81.8	0.0	36.4	18.2	18.2	9.1	18.2
		4 TH + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	426	98.8	25.8	74.9	15.3	0.5	84.7	6.8	1.6
	VALENCIA	1 ST DUI	674	99.4	25.2	90.1	1.2	0.3	81.8	0.1	0.1
2 ND DUI		192	97.4	94.8	7.8	82.8	0.5	82.8	0.0	26.0	
3 RD DUI		17	94.1	58.8	11.8	29.4	41.2	29.4	0.0	17.6	
4 TH + DUI		5	60.0	100.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		888	98.6	41.3	70.5	19.5	1.1	80.6	0.1	6.1	
PASADENA	1 ST DUI	418	99.0	37.3	91.4	1.7	0.0	91.1	3.1	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	121	96.7	91.7	6.6	81.8	0.0	89.3	2.5	0.0	
	3 RD DUI	24	87.5	100.0	4.2	20.8	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	563	98.0	51.7	69.4	19.7	0.0	87.9	2.8	0.0	

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	MALIBU	1 ST DUI	311	97.7	11.6	92.3	1.3	0.0	92.6	1.6	0.0
		2 ND DUI	78	98.7	80.8	3.8	80.8	2.6	74.4	21.8	3.8
		3 RD DUI	10	100.0	20.0	0.0	10.0	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	5	60.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	404	97.5	25.7	71.8	16.8	3.0	86.1	5.4	0.7
	POMONA	1 ST DUI	739	99.1	27.1	86.5	1.6	0.0	83.5	1.1	0.3
		2 ND DUI	173	97.1	75.7	12.1	80.9	0.6	87.9	1.2	12.7
		3 RD DUI	28	89.3	75.0	3.6	35.7	7.1	28.6	0.0	3.6
		4 TH + DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	943	98.4	37.6	70.1	17.4	0.3	82.6	1.2	2.7
	HUNTINGTON PARK	1 ST DUI	432	97.9	33.3	85.9	1.2	0.0	89.1	3.7	0.0
		2 ND DUI	94	94.7	89.4	13.8	75.5	0.0	71.3	13.8	0.0
		3 RD DUI	25	100.0	84.0	8.0	64.0	12.0	20.0	16.0	0.0
		TOTAL	551	97.5	45.2	70.1	16.7	0.5	82.9	6.0	0.0
	MONROVIA	1 ST DUI	283	100.0	17.0	86.9	1.4	0.0	88.3	7.8	0.0
		2 ND DUI	70	98.6	95.7	4.3	78.6	0.0	81.4	12.9	0.0
		3 RD DUI	10	100.0	80.0	10.0	30.0	10.0	20.0	40.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	365	99.5	34.2	68.5	17.0	0.3	84.7	9.9	0.0
	SANTA MONICA	1 ST DUI	213	99.1	15.0	95.8	2.3	0.0	92.5	1.9	0.0
		2 ND DUI	47	97.9	95.7	8.5	89.4	0.0	95.7	2.1	2.1
		3 RD DUI	8	100.0	87.5	0.0	50.0	12.5	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	268	98.9	31.3	77.6	19.0	0.4	91.8	1.9	0.4
	TORRANCE	1 ST DUI	919	98.7	25.7	91.1	0.8	0.0	91.0	1.3	0.0
		2 ND DUI	243	99.2	87.7	10.7	79.0	1.2	84.8	3.7	0.0
		3 RD DUI	53	92.5	79.2	5.7	39.6	9.4	30.2	13.2	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1220	98.5	40.7	71.0	18.1	0.7	86.8	2.3	0.0
	SOUTHGATE	1 ST DUI	376	98.1	18.4	94.7	1.9	0.0	83.5	0.3	0.0
		2 ND DUI	80	98.8	90.0	12.5	86.3	0.0	97.5	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	18	100.0	72.2	0.0	66.7	27.8	55.6	11.1	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	475	98.3	32.6	77.1	18.7	1.1	84.8	0.6	0.0
	WHITTIER	1 ST DUI	767	96.2	20.6	83.1	1.7	0.0	89.0	4.4	0.1
		2 ND DUI	172	94.2	89.0	13.4	74.4	0.0	86.0	7.6	2.3
		3 RD DUI	38	92.1	81.6	7.9	39.5	7.9	26.3	21.1	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	979	95.7	35.1	67.7	15.9	0.3	85.9	5.7	0.5
	HOLLYWOOD	1 ST DUI	270	100.0	30.7	75.2	3.0	0.0	91.9	0.4	0.4
		2 ND DUI	50	100.0	80.0	10.0	76.0	0.0	96.0	0.0	34.0
		3 RD DUI	7	100.0	85.7	0.0	85.7	0.0	71.4	0.0	57.1
		4 TH + DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL		328	100.0	39.3	63.7	15.9	0.0	92.1	0.3	6.7	

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	SAN FERNANDO	1 ST DUI	1400	99.6	39.0	87.6	1.9	0.1	86.7	1.1	0.0
		2 ND DUI	385	99.5	93.5	10.4	82.1	0.0	80.5	2.9	2.3
		3 RD DUI	88	98.9	86.4	2.3	48.9	12.5	22.7	0.0	3.4
		4 TH + DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1875	99.5	52.5	67.6	20.6	0.7	82.3	1.4	0.6
	SAN PEDRO	1 ST DUI	324	99.4	33.6	88.9	1.9	0.0	74.4	1.9	0.0
		2 ND DUI	68	98.5	97.1	7.4	77.9	0.0	63.2	5.9	1.5
		3 RD DUI	15	100.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	20.0	6.7	0.0
		TOTAL	407	99.3	46.7	72.0	17.4	0.0	70.5	2.7	0.2
	VAN NUYS	1 ST DUI	2099	99.1	33.4	86.0	2.6	0.0	76.6	3.9	0.4
		2 ND DUI	571	98.6	96.0	5.8	89.5	0.4	82.1	8.2	11.2
		3 RD DUI	124	92.7	91.1	2.4	42.7	8.1	23.4	4.0	6.5
		4 TH + DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
	TOTAL	2798	98.6	48.8	65.8	22.1	0.4	75.3	4.8	2.9	
	LOS ANGELES	1 ST DUI	352	100.0	25.3	85.5	1.1	0.0	89.5	0.9	0.3
		2 ND DUI	89	100.0	92.1	11.2	84.3	0.0	85.4	4.5	30.3
		3 RD DUI	17	100.0	100.0	0.0	35.3	0.0	11.8	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	458	100.0	41.0	67.9	18.6	0.0	85.8	1.5	6.1
	AVALON	1 ST DUI	7	100.0	28.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL		8	100.0	37.5	87.5	12.5	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	
MADERA	SUP MADERA	1 ST DUI	31	80.6	96.8	58.1	3.2	0.0	9.7	19.4	0.0
		2 ND DUI	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	6	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	8	75.0	100.0	12.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0
		TOTAL	51	72.5	98.0	37.3	11.8	0.0	9.8	17.6	0.0
	JUV MADERA	1 ST DUI	5	100.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	40.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	100.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	40.0	0.0
	CHOWCHILLA	1 ST DUI	242	94.2	95.5	84.7	4.5	0.4	20.2	24.4	0.4
		2 ND DUI	74	98.6	95.9	9.5	79.7	0.0	78.4	20.3	2.7
		3 RD DUI	15	86.7	100.0	6.7	26.7	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	336	94.6	95.8	63.4	22.0	0.3	32.7	22.9	0.9
	MADERA	1 ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BASS LAKE	1 ST DUI	57	100.0	86.0	98.2	0.0	0.0	47.4	7.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	23	100.0	100.0	13.0	82.6	0.0	78.3	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	86	98.8	90.7	68.6	24.4	0.0	52.3	7.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MARIN	SAN RAFAEL	1 ST DUI	949	99.2	8.9	93.6	1.1	0.0	91.1	7.6	0.3
		2 ND DUI	178	98.9	89.3	7.3	81.5	0.0	91.0	7.9	39.3
		3 RD DUI	30	96.7	73.3	3.3	26.7	0.0	40.0	53.3	36.7
		4 TH + DUI	12	83.3	91.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	16.7	33.3
		TOTAL	1169	98.9	23.6	77.2	14.3	0.0	89.2	8.9	7.5
MARIPOSA	SUP MARIPOSA	1 ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	75.0	100.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
	MARIPOSA	1 ST DUI	39	94.9	97.4	87.2	2.6	0.0	30.8	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	10	100.0	90.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	50.0	20.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	52	96.2	96.2	69.2	17.3	0.0	36.5	3.8	0.0
	US MAG YOSEMITE	1 ST DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	12	100.0	91.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	8.3
MENDOCINO	UKIAH CONSLD	1 ST DUI	11	81.8	45.5	36.4	0.0	0.0	9.1	9.1	0.0
		2 ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	14	78.6	57.1	35.7	0.0	0.0	7.1	14.3	0.0
	JUV UKIAH	1 ST DUI	6	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.3	0.0
		TOTAL	6	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.3	0.0
	WILLITS	1 ST DUI	102	92.2	92.2	65.7	5.9	0.0	36.3	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	27	88.9	100.0	7.4	70.4	0.0	66.7	7.4	0.0
		3 RD DUI	10	90.0	90.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	10.0	20.0	10.0
		4 TH + DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	141	91.5	93.6	48.9	20.6	0.0	39.7	2.8	0.7
	UKIAH	1 ST DUI	193	83.9	92.2	57.5	3.6	0.0	20.2	0.5	0.0
		2 ND DUI	75	90.7	100.0	5.3	62.7	0.0	53.3	5.3	0.0
		3 RD DUI	17	100.0	94.1	11.8	41.2	0.0	0.0	5.9	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	286	86.7	94.4	40.9	21.7	0.0	27.6	2.1	0.0
	BOONVILLE	1 ST DUI	4	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
3 RD DUI		1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		7	100.0	100.0	57.1	14.3	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0	
PT. ARENA	1 ST DUI	10	100.0	100.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	10.0	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	4 TH + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	13	100.0	92.3	61.5	7.7	0.0	15.4	7.7	0.0	

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MENDOCINO (cont.)	LEGGETT	1 ST DUI	8	100.0	100.0	62.5	0.0	0.0	25.0	12.5	0.0
		2 ND DUI	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	71.4	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	16	93.8	100.0	31.3	43.8	0.0	43.8	12.5	0.0
	COVELO	1 ST DUI	8	87.5	75.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	80.0	80.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
	FORT BRAGG	1 ST DUI	74	97.3	91.9	82.4	1.4	0.0	33.8	2.7	0.0
		2 ND DUI	23	100.0	100.0	13.0	78.3	0.0	56.5	8.7	0.0
		3 RD DUI	5	80.0	80.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	20.0	40.0	20.0
		TOTAL	102	97.1	93.1	62.7	21.6	0.0	38.2	5.9	1.0
MERCED	SUP MERCED	1 ST DUI	18	66.7	77.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	12	25.0	100.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	10	60.0	90.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	11	72.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	51	56.9	90.2	2.0	3.9	0.0	3.9	0.0	0.0
	JUV MERCED	1 ST DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	MERCED	1 ST DUI	615	99.7	98.5	80.2	2.4	0.0	37.2	1.8	0.0
		2 ND DUI	196	100.0	99.0	12.8	78.6	0.0	79.6	3.6	0.0
		3 RD DUI	46	97.8	100.0	2.2	45.7	0.0	30.4	28.3	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	861	99.5	98.7	60.3	22.2	0.0	46.3	3.8	0.0
LOS BANOS	1 ST DUI	197	98.5	80.2	91.9	3.0	0.0	27.4	2.5	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	61	98.4	98.4	13.1	80.3	0.0	75.4	0.0	0.0	
	3 RD DUI	17	100.0	100.0	0.0	29.4	0.0	11.8	5.9	0.0	
	4 TH + DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	279	98.6	85.3	67.7	21.9	0.0	36.6	2.5	0.0	
MODOC	SUP MODOC	1 ST DUI	25	92.0	76.0	68.0	0.0	0.0	56.0	20.0	4.0
		2 ND DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	28	85.7	78.6	60.7	3.6	0.0	53.6	17.9	3.6
	ALTURAS	1 ST DUI	26	84.6	61.5	73.1	3.8	0.0	34.6	15.4	0.0
		TOTAL	30	83.3	66.7	70.0	6.7	0.0	33.3	13.3	0.0
MONO	BRIDGEPORT	1 ST DUI	15	100.0	53.3	86.7	6.7	0.0	53.3	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	6	100.0	83.3	50.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	21	100.0	61.9	76.2	14.3	0.0	47.6	0.0	0.0
	MAMMOTH LAKES	1 ST DUI	46	100.0	78.3	95.7	0.0	0.0	26.1	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	16	100.0	100.0	6.3	75.0	0.0	81.3	12.5	18.8
		3 RD DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	66	97.0	84.8	68.2	19.7	0.0	39.4	4.5	4.5

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MONTEREY	SUP MONTEREY	1 ST DUI	25	84.0	100.0	32.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	64.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	11	90.9	90.9	0.0	18.2	0.0	18.2	54.5	9.1	
		3 RD DUI	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	
		4 TH + DUI	18	77.8	100.0	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	
		TOTAL	61	82.0	98.4	14.8	4.9	0.0	3.3	63.9	1.6	
	JUV SALINAS	1 ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MARINA MUNI	1 ST DUI	463	99.8	98.3	88.3	1.9	0.0	37.1	6.7	2.2	
		2 ND DUI	90	98.9	96.7	5.6	81.1	0.0	60.0	10.0	31.1	
		3 RD DUI	20	100.0	100.0	0.0	85.0	0.0	45.0	20.0	35.0	
		4 TH + DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	
		TOTAL	575	99.7	98.1	72.0	17.2	0.0	40.9	7.8	8.0	
	SALINAS	1 ST DUI	950	99.7	97.4	85.2	2.7	0.0	15.4	16.8	2.0	
		2 ND DUI	246	99.6	91.5	9.3	63.0	0.0	39.4	15.0	45.5	
		3 RD DUI	51	96.1	86.3	2.0	25.5	0.0	29.4	37.3	52.9	
		4 TH + DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	
		TOTAL	1250	99.5	95.8	66.6	15.6	0.0	20.7	17.4	12.6	
	KING CITY	1 ST DUI	255	100.0	98.0	83.9	2.7	0.0	20.4	14.5	7.8	
		2 ND DUI	81	100.0	96.3	7.4	65.4	0.0	51.9	27.2	63.0	
		3 RD DUI	26	96.2	69.2	3.8	30.8	0.0	53.8	26.9	73.1	
4 TH + DUI		3	100.0	100.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	33.3		
TOTAL		365	99.7	95.6	61.1	18.9	0.0	29.6	18.4	24.9		
NAPA	NAPA	1 ST DUI	568	97.2	93.1	89.4	1.6	0.0	37.5	1.1	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	153	97.4	97.4	6.5	85.6	0.0	87.6	0.7	2.0	
		3 RD DUI	30	96.7	90.0	3.3	70.0	0.0	83.3	3.3	10.0	
		4 TH + DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	754	97.1	93.9	68.8	21.6	0.0	49.5	1.1	0.8	
NEVADA	NEVADA CITY	1 ST DUI	224	98.2	92.9	90.6	0.4	0.0	38.4	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	67	97.0	100.0	7.5	73.1	0.0	64.2	0.0	13.4	
		3 RD DUI	20	95.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	5.0	
		4 TH + DUI	5	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	
		TOTAL	316	96.8	94.3	65.8	18.4	0.3	43.0	0.0	3.5	
	TRUCKEE	1 ST DUI	177	99.4	97.2	90.4	1.7	0.0	36.2	8.5	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	54	100.0	98.1	18.5	77.8	0.0	75.9	14.8	20.4	
		3 RD DUI	4	100.0	75.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	
		4 TH + DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	237	98.7	97.0	72.6	19.8	0.0	45.6	9.7	5.5	
ORANGE	SUP SANTA ANA	1 ST DUI	88	77.3	87.5	12.5	4.5	0.0	17.0	11.4	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	37	67.6	86.5	5.4	24.3	2.7	24.3	8.1	2.7	
		3 RD DUI	15	40.0	100.0	0.0	26.7	0.0	13.3	0.0	0.0	
		4 TH + DUI	24	29.2	87.5	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	
		TOTAL	164	64.6	88.4	7.9	11.6	0.6	15.9	9.1	0.6	

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
ORANGE (cont.)	JUV ORANGE	1 ST DUI	63	98.4	39.7	77.8	3.2	0.0	22.2	54.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	3	100.0	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	66	98.5	40.9	77.3	3.0	0.0	22.7	54.5	0.0
	FULLERTON	1 ST DUI	2730	99.5	27.2	93.0	1.6	0.0	86.6	8.8	0.4
		2 ND DUI	678	99.9	91.3	6.8	84.4	0.1	72.3	21.5	21.2
		3 RD DUI	108	95.4	97.2	2.8	81.5	0.0	11.1	16.7	3.7
		4 TH + DUI	8	87.5	75.0	0.0	62.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3524	99.4	41.8	73.5	20.1	0.1	81.3	11.4	4.5
	WESTMINSTER	1 ST DUI	2158	99.1	13.5	96.0	0.7	0.0	95.8	1.9	0.1
		2 ND DUI	570	99.1	92.3	8.1	84.9	0.0	89.8	5.4	24.2
		3 RD DUI	97	89.7	99.0	2.1	83.5	0.0	19.6	7.2	14.4
		4 TH + DUI	13	53.8	100.0	0.0	53.8	0.0	0.0	7.7	0.0
		TOTAL	2838	98.6	32.7	74.7	20.7	0.0	91.5	2.8	5.5
	LAGUNA HILLS	1 ST DUI	1273	99.8	12.5	97.3	1.0	0.0	90.3	5.7	0.0
		2 ND DUI	321	99.7	92.5	9.0	88.2	0.0	91.9	1.6	0.0
		3 RD DUI	55	100.0	92.7	1.8	67.3	0.0	16.4	9.1	1.8
		4 TH + DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1655	99.8	31.0	76.6	20.3	0.0	87.9	5.0	0.1
	NEWPORT BEACH	1 ST DUI	1807	99.4	19.2	95.2	1.1	0.0	88.4	8.5	0.1
		2 ND DUI	409	99.3	92.2	8.3	83.4	0.2	44.3	47.4	2.4
		3 RD DUI	58	94.8	96.6	0.0	82.8	0.0	5.2	12.1	1.7
		4 TH + DUI	17	52.9	100.0	0.0	41.2	0.0	0.0	17.6	0.0
		TOTAL	2291	99.0	34.8	76.6	18.2	0.0	77.7	15.6	0.5
	SANTA ANA	1 ST DUI	1932	99.8	31.6	97.0	1.7	0.0	78.1	4.1	1.9
2 ND DUI		516	99.2	94.2	8.3	90.1	0.0	83.5	1.6	40.5	
3 RD DUI		73	95.9	91.8	2.7	86.3	1.4	54.8	0.0	52.1	
4 TH + DUI		3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		2524	99.6	46.2	76.1	22.3	0.0	78.5	3.4	11.3	
PLACER	SUP AUBURN	1 ST DUI	682	98.4	96.2	76.5	2.8	0.0	46.0	3.4	0.9
		2 ND DUI	217	97.2	98.6	7.4	82.0	0.0	87.1	0.9	38.7
		3 RD DUI	53	84.9	96.2	0.0	56.6	0.0	28.3	1.9	35.8
		4 TH + DUI	16	43.8	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	18.8
		TOTAL	968	96.5	96.8	55.6	23.9	0.0	53.9	2.7	11.6
	JUV AUBURN	1 ST DUI	14	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1	42.9	0.0
		TOTAL	14	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1	42.9	0.0
	AUBURN MUNI	1 ST DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	33.3
		3 RD DUI	3	100.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	9	100.0	77.8	11.1	44.4	0.0	77.8	0.0	44.4
	TAHOE CITY	1 ST DUI	199	99.5	91.5	86.9	4.5	0.0	61.8	3.5	0.5
		2 ND DUI	49	100.0	98.0	2.0	87.8	0.0	73.5	8.2	4.1
		3 RD DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	256	99.6	93.0	68.0	23.4	0.0	62.1	4.3	1.2

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
PLUMAS	SUP PLUMAS	1 ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	QUINCY	1 ST DUI	125	100.0	99.2	89.6	7.2	0.0	50.4	5.6	0.0
		2 ND DUI	47	100.0	100.0	14.9	78.7	0.0	87.2	2.1	2.1
		3 RD DUI	10	80.0	90.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	10.0
TOTAL	182	98.9	98.9	65.4	28.0	0.0	59.9	4.4	1.1		
RIVERSIDE	SUP RIVERSIDE	1 ST DUI	2860	97.1	75.2	81.9	2.4	0.0	42.7	5.4	0.0
		2 ND DUI	756	95.4	95.5	10.4	79.5	0.1	54.1	5.3	7.3
		3 RD DUI	122	87.7	96.7	9.0	70.5	0.0	15.6	5.7	5.7
		4 TH + DUI	46	65.2	95.7	4.3	45.7	0.0	4.3	4.3	0.0
		TOTAL	3784	96.1	80.2	64.3	20.5	0.1	43.6	5.4	1.7
	SUP INDIO	1 ST DUI	1106	97.4	17.8	88.6	2.1	0.0	90.6	1.6	0.0
		2 ND DUI	291	95.9	91.1	10.0	78.4	0.0	85.6	4.5	0.7
		3 RD DUI	61	95.1	98.4	6.6	54.1	0.0	23.0	57.4	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	37	64.9	94.6	8.1	29.7	0.0	2.7	37.8	2.7
		TOTAL	1495	96.2	37.3	68.0	19.7	0.0	84.7	5.4	0.2
	JUV INDIO	1 ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	CORONA	1 ST DUI	27	92.6	88.9	85.2	0.0	0.0	70.4	3.7	0.0
		2 ND DUI	16	93.8	100.0	18.8	68.8	0.0	68.8	12.5	6.3
		3 RD DUI	7	85.7	71.4	0.0	57.1	0.0	57.1	0.0	28.6
		TOTAL	50	92.0	90.0	52.0	30.0	0.0	68.0	6.0	6.0
	HEMET	1 ST DUI	89	93.3	87.6	89.9	0.0	0.0	62.9	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	48	93.8	93.8	12.5	77.1	0.0	39.6	10.4	0.0
		3 RD DUI	18	77.8	88.9	0.0	72.2	0.0	22.2	0.0	5.6
		4 TH + DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	161	91.3	90.1	53.4	34.2	0.0	49.1	3.1	0.6	
	BANNING	1 ST DUI	75	97.3	77.3	85.3	6.7	0.0	57.3	8.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	34	100.0	94.1	23.5	73.5	0.0	47.1	8.8	0.0
		3 RD DUI	14	100.0	92.9	14.3	64.3	0.0	50.0	7.1	7.1
		4 TH + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	124	98.4	83.9	59.7	32.3	0.0	53.2	8.1	0.8	
	INDIO	1 ST DUI	149	94.6	61.7	77.2	4.0	0.0	85.9	3.4	0.0
2 ND DUI		61	96.7	86.9	9.8	73.8	0.0	80.3	6.6	1.6	
3 RD DUI		19	100.0	100.0	0.0	73.7	0.0	36.8	47.4	5.3	
4 TH + DUI		12	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	8.3	33.3	0.0	
TOTAL	241	95.9	73.0	50.2	32.0	0.0	76.8	9.1	0.8		
MORENO VLY	1 ST DUI	224	80.4	64.7	63.4	5.8	0.0	44.2	5.8	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	95	90.5	90.5	10.5	65.3	0.0	49.5	2.1	0.0	
	3 RD DUI	26	92.3	96.2	3.8	76.9	0.0	26.9	3.8	0.0	
	4 TH + DUI	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL	352	84.1	74.7	43.5	28.4	0.0	43.5	4.5	0.0		

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
RIVERSIDE (cont.)	BLYTHE	1 ST DUI	13	100.0	38.5	76.9	0.0	0.0	76.9	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	5	100.0	80.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	20	95.0	55.0	55.0	25.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
	PERRIS	1 ST DUI	181	95.6	78.5	88.4	3.9	0.0	61.9	3.9	0.0
		2 ND DUI	72	97.2	94.4	9.7	83.3	0.0	37.5	2.8	5.6
		3 RD DUI	14	85.7	92.9	14.3	71.4	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	275	95.6	84.0	61.5	30.9	0.0	51.3	3.3	1.5	
	RUBIDOUX	3 RD DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	SACRAMENTO	SUP SACRAMENTO	1 ST DUI	91	74.7	94.5	39.6	11.0	0.0	3.3	38.5
2 ND DUI			44	59.1	97.7	4.5	34.1	0.0	13.6	29.5	2.3
3 RD DUI			36	69.4	97.2	5.6	36.1	0.0	2.8	38.9	2.8
4 TH + DUI			66	53.0	97.0	1.5	31.8	0.0	3.0	22.7	1.5
TOTAL			237	65.0	96.2	17.3	24.9	0.0	5.1	32.5	1.3
JUV SACTO		1 ST DUI	20	90.0	60.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	45.0	0.0
		TOTAL	20	90.0	60.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	45.0	0.0
SACRAMENTO		1 ST DUI	2963	98.9	93.8	84.8	1.2	0.0	31.5	9.8	0.0
		2 ND DUI	933	98.6	96.2	7.8	53.2	0.0	51.7	29.9	0.3
		3 RD DUI	219	97.7	98.2	1.8	20.5	0.0	6.4	11.9	0.5
		4 TH + DUI	51	94.1	98.0	0.0	17.6	0.0	3.9	9.8	2.0
		TOTAL	4166	98.7	94.6	62.2	14.0	0.0	34.4	14.4	0.1
ELK GROVE		1 ST DUI	91	100.0	97.8	95.6	1.1	0.0	30.8	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	19	100.0	100.0	21.1	68.4	0.0	68.4	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	117	99.1	98.3	77.8	12.0	0.0	35.0	0.9	0.0
GALT		1 ST DUI	48	100.0	87.5	87.5	2.1	0.0	16.7	4.2	0.0
		2 ND DUI	9	88.9	88.9	11.1	77.8	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	61	98.4	88.5	70.5	14.8	0.0	23.0	3.3	0.0
WALNUT GROVE		1 ST DUI	16	100.0	100.0	81.3	6.3	0.0	18.8	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	20	100.0	100.0	65.0	25.0	0.0	35.0	0.0	0.0
SACTO US MAG	1 ST DUI	4	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	3 RD DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	6	66.7	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN BENITO	SUP SAN BENITO	1 ST DUI	7	85.7	100.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
		2 ND DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	60.0	20.0	20.0
		4 TH + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	13	92.3	100.0	7.7	23.1	0.0	23.1	15.4	7.7
	JUV HOLLISTR	1 ST DUI	3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	HOLLISTER	1 ST DUI	168	95.8	98.8	70.2	0.0	0.0	28.6	29.2	0.0
		2 ND DUI	53	98.1	86.8	11.3	50.9	0.0	62.3	17.0	35.8
		3 RD DUI	26	100.0	88.5	0.0	30.8	0.0	19.2	7.7	15.4
		4 TH + DUI	3	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	250	96.8	94.4	49.6	14.0	0.0	35.2	24.0	9.6
	SAN BERNARDINO	SUP MUN SAN BERNARDINO	1 ST DUI	1090	96.9	61.3	90.4	3.4	0.0	68.2	1.9
2 ND DUI			242	97.9	90.9	12.0	76.4	0.8	68.2	11.6	2.9
3 RD DUI			46	91.3	82.6	8.7	47.8	0.0	41.3	4.3	6.5
4 TH + DUI			17	58.8	58.8	0.0	41.2	0.0	11.8	0.0	0.0
TOTAL			1395	96.4	67.1	73.0	18.0	0.1	66.6	3.7	0.7
SUP RANCHO CUCAMONGA		1 ST DUI	893	98.3	31.7	94.6	1.8	0.0	81.6	0.9	0.1
		2 ND DUI	192	97.9	91.7	11.5	83.3	0.0	87.5	2.1	0.0
		3 RD DUI	40	92.5	92.5	5.0	45.0	0.0	50.0	15.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	14	85.7	100.0	7.1	35.7	0.0	35.7	7.1	0.0
		TOTAL	1139	97.9	44.8	76.4	17.5	0.0	80.9	1.7	0.1
SUP MUN VICTORVILLE		1 ST DUI	336	97.9	64.3	89.9	1.2	0.0	64.3	1.2	0.0
		2 ND DUI	40	95.0	85.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	57.5	2.5	0.0
		3 RD DUI	11	100.0	100.0	18.2	45.5	0.0	63.6	9.1	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	7	85.7	85.7	0.0	28.6	0.0	28.6	42.9	0.0
		TOTAL	394	97.5	67.8	81.2	6.9	0.0	62.9	2.3	0.0
SUP MUN BARSTOW		1 ST DUI	234	96.2	53.0	63.2	29.1	0.0	82.1	1.7	0.0
		2 ND DUI	50	92.0	86.0	18.0	72.0	2.0	76.0	4.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	18	88.9	88.9	0.0	66.7	0.0	44.4	11.1	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	4	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	306	94.4	60.5	51.3	38.6	0.3	78.1	2.9	0.0
SUP MUN JOSHUA TREE		1 ST DUI	157	96.2	41.4	85.4	2.5	0.0	77.7	3.2	0.0
		2 ND DUI	25	92.0	76.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	80.0	4.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	8	87.5	87.5	0.0	25.0	0.0	12.5	12.5	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	20.0	0.0
	TOTAL	195	94.4	49.2	71.3	11.8	0.0	74.4	4.1	0.0	
JUV S. BERENDO	1 ST DUI	39	2.6	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.8	0.0	
	TOTAL	39	2.6	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.8	0.0	
SUP MUN CHINO	1 ST DUI	262	100.0	23.3	95.8	2.7	0.0	90.8	2.3	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	57	98.2	89.5	7.0	89.5	0.0	86.0	7.0	0.0	
	3 RD DUI	16	87.5	87.5	0.0	43.8	0.0	18.8	37.5	0.0	
	4 TH + DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	338	99.1	38.2	75.4	19.8	0.0	86.4	4.7	0.0	

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN BERNARDINO (cont.)	SUP MUN REDLAND	1 ST DUI	312	97.8	63.5	89.1	6.4	0.0	75.3	1.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	102	96.1	93.1	10.8	81.4	0.0	79.4	3.9	0.0
		3 RD DUI	14	78.6	85.7	0.0	50.0	0.0	35.7	7.1	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	7	57.1	57.1	0.0	42.9	0.0	28.6	14.3	0.0
		TOTAL	435	96.1	71.0	66.4	26.0	0.0	74.3	2.1	0.0
	SAN BERNARDO	1 ST DUI	304	98.0	55.9	87.5	3.9	0.0	79.9	1.3	0.3
		2 ND DUI	132	95.5	88.6	13.6	72.7	0.0	57.6	6.8	2.3
		3 RD DUI	26	100.0	96.2	3.8	42.3	0.0	34.6	15.4	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	9	77.8	88.9	11.1	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	471	97.0	67.9	60.7	25.5	0.0	69.6	3.6	0.8
	SUP MUN FONTANA	1 ST DUI	720	98.2	34.3	91.9	2.6	0.0	86.3	3.3	0.0
		2 ND DUI	189	99.5	89.9	14.8	82.0	0.0	93.7	0.5	2.1
		3 RD DUI	48	93.8	89.6	4.2	31.3	0.0	33.3	6.3	2.1
		4 TH + DUI	11	54.5	54.5	0.0	27.3	0.0	18.2	9.1	0.0
		TOTAL	968	97.7	48.1	71.5	19.8	0.0	84.3	3.0	0.5
	VICTORVILLE	1 ST DUI	284	95.4	48.9	85.9	5.3	0.0	70.1	2.1	0.0
		2 ND DUI	83	100.0	84.3	18.1	71.1	3.6	80.7	2.4	1.2
		3 RD DUI	26	96.2	92.3	3.8	53.8	0.0	65.4	7.7	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	10	90.0	100.0	10.0	50.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	403	96.3	60.3	64.8	23.1	0.7	71.7	2.5	0.2
	SUP MUN RNCHO CUCAMONGA	1 ST DUI	528	99.1	31.1	94.5	0.9	0.0	79.5	1.5	0.0
		2 ND DUI	134	97.8	91.0	11.9	83.6	0.0	76.1	3.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	27	100.0	92.6	3.7	33.3	0.0	29.6	18.5	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	692	98.8	45.4	74.6	18.2	0.0	76.6	2.5	0.0
	BIG BEAR LAKE	1 ST DUI	75	98.7	72.0	92.0	2.7	0.0	89.3	4.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	26	100.0	88.5	3.8	80.8	0.0	53.8	34.6	0.0
		3 RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	106	98.1	76.4	66.0	23.6	0.0	78.3	11.3	0.0
TWINPEAKS	1 ST DUI	129	99.2	29.5	97.7	1.6	0.0	96.1	0.8	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	28	100.0	89.3	10.7	89.3	0.0	71.4	25.0	0.0	
	3 RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	
	4 TH + DUI	3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	163	97.5	41.1	79.1	17.8	0.0	89.0	6.1	0.0	
SUP MUN NEEDLES	1 ST DUI	74	97.3	37.8	90.5	0.0	0.0	78.4	5.4	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	15	100.0	86.7	26.7	73.3	0.0	80.0	13.3	0.0	
	3 RD DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
	4 TH + DUI	3	33.3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	
	TOTAL	93	95.7	46.2	78.5	11.8	0.0	76.3	7.5	0.0	
JOSHUA TREE	1 ST DUI	92	98.9	35.9	93.5	3.3	0.0	92.4	1.1	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	24	100.0	75.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	83.3	4.2	0.0	
	3 RD DUI	6	100.0	66.7	33.3	66.7	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	
	4 TH + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	123	99.2	45.5	76.4	21.1	0.0	90.2	1.6	0.0	

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN DIEGO	SUP SAN DIEGO	1 ST DUI	98	70.4	95.9	12.2	1.0	0.0	8.2	2.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	32	62.5	100.0	6.3	15.6	0.0	12.5	0.0	6.3
		3 RD DUI	10	60.0	100.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	19	57.9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	159	66.7	97.5	9.4	3.8	0.0	7.5	1.3	1.3
	SUP VISTA	1 ST DUI	32	59.4	96.9	15.6	3.1	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	16	62.5	100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	8	75.0	100.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	18	72.2	94.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	0.0	5.6
		TOTAL	74	64.9	97.3	8.1	4.1	0.0	2.7	0.0	1.4
	SUP CHULA VISTA	1 ST DUI	38	78.9	94.7	28.9	0.0	0.0	18.4	7.9	0.0
		2 ND DUI	7	42.9	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	53	69.8	94.3	20.8	0.0	0.0	13.2	5.7	0.0
	SUP EL CAJON	1 ST DUI	12	41.7	91.7	16.7	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	9	44.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	29	41.4	96.6	6.9	3.4	0.0	6.9	0.0	0.0
	JUV SAN DIEGO	1 ST DUI	108	0.9	5.6	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.9	0.0
		2 ND DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	110	0.9	5.5	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.9	0.0
	EL CAJON	1 ST DUI	1406	96.9	47.0	82.4	2.6	0.0	53.3	2.0	0.5
		2 ND DUI	360	93.3	86.7	4.2	62.2	0.0	68.1	1.9	16.9
		3 RD DUI	72	94.4	76.4	0.0	29.2	0.0	47.2	34.7	16.7
		4 TH + DUI	12	58.3	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	8.3	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1850	95.9	56.2	63.4	15.4	0.0	55.7	3.4	4.3
	VISTA	1 ST DUI	2696	97.6	59.4	81.1	4.5	0.0	44.5	4.0	0.8
		2 ND DUI	665	96.8	90.4	11.9	68.1	0.0	38.5	4.4	14.3
3 RD DUI		148	91.9	92.6	5.4	48.0	0.0	20.9	0.7	14.2	
4 TH + DUI		21	90.5	95.2	0.0	28.6	0.0	4.8	0.0	4.8	
TOTAL		3530	97.1	66.9	64.4	18.4	0.0	42.2	3.9	3.9	
SAN DIEGO	1 ST DUI	3143	98.5	98.3	91.5	3.1	0.0	89.8	1.1	2.5	
	2 ND DUI	649	98.2	98.5	14.3	78.4	0.0	89.7	0.6	62.4	
	3 RD DUI	125	96.0	94.4	3.2	77.6	0.8	66.4	1.6	66.4	
	4 TH + DUI	16	87.5	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	43.8	0.0	37.5	
	TOTAL	3933	98.3	98.2	75.6	18.2	0.0	88.8	1.0	14.5	
CHULA VISTA	1 ST DUI	1396	97.2	52.6	90.3	2.5	0.0	52.6	2.7	0.4	
	2 ND DUI	276	94.9	76.4	12.7	67.8	0.0	63.0	17.8	15.6	
	3 RD DUI	39	94.9	97.4	7.7	71.8	0.0	38.5	38.5	12.8	
	4 TH + DUI	7	57.1	100.0	14.3	28.6	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	1718	96.6	57.6	75.7	14.7	0.0	53.8	5.9	3.1	

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN FRANCISCO	JUV SAN FRAN	1 ST DUI	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
	SAN FRANCISCO	1 ST DUI	561	96.8	99.1	93.2	2.0	0.0	41.7	0.2	0.7
		2 ND DUI	128	96.9	97.7	14.8	75.0	0.8	70.3	0.0	24.2
		3 RD DUI	22	90.9	100.0	4.5	27.3	0.0	31.8	0.0	36.4
		4 TH + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	712	96.6	98.9	76.3	15.9	0.1	46.5	0.1	6.0		
SAN JOAQUIN	STOCKTON	1 ST DUI	937	97.9	97.7	83.4	2.1	0.0	23.9	6.3	0.0
		2 ND DUI	335	99.1	99.4	9.0	84.8	0.0	46.6	24.8	5.1
		3 RD DUI	74	94.6	94.6	4.1	16.2	4.1	4.1	56.8	1.4
		4 TH + DUI	29	75.9	100.0	3.4	13.8	0.0	0.0	27.6	6.9
		TOTAL	1375	97.5	98.0	59.3	23.3	0.2	27.9	14.0	1.5
		FRENCH CAMP	1 ST DUI	14	92.9	71.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	7.1
	2 ND DUI		1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	TOTAL		15	93.3	73.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	13.3	0.0
	LODI	1 ST DUI	337	99.7	99.4	87.2	0.3	0.0	32.3	16.9	0.3
		2 ND DUI	114	99.1	96.5	7.9	74.6	0.0	82.5	14.0	24.6
		3 RD DUI	37	97.3	89.2	0.0	18.9	0.0	27.0	70.3	18.9
		4 TH + DUI	11	90.9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	90.9	0.0
		TOTAL	499	99.2	98.0	60.7	18.6	0.0	42.7	21.8	7.2
	MANTECA	1 ST DUI	283	99.3	97.9	89.0	0.7	0.0	32.9	4.2	0.4
		2 ND DUI	96	99.0	96.9	13.5	60.4	0.0	43.8	24.0	24.0
		3 RD DUI	23	95.7	91.3	13.0	47.8	4.3	26.1	43.5	21.7
		4 TH + DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	16.7	16.7	16.7
		TOTAL	408	99.0	97.3	65.7	18.1	0.2	34.8	11.3	7.4
	TRACY	1 ST DUI	165	99.4	97.0	62.4	1.2	0.0	29.1	12.7	0.0
		2 ND DUI	64	98.4	96.9	6.3	68.8	1.6	53.1	6.3	21.9
		3 RD DUI	13	76.9	92.3	0.0	61.5	7.7	23.1	23.1	15.4
		4 TH + DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	244	97.5	96.7	43.9	22.5	0.8	35.2	11.5	7.0
	SAN LUIS OBISPO	SUP MUN SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 ST DUI	29	89.7	96.6	41.4	0.0	0.0	10.3	0.0
2 ND DUI			11	63.6	100.0	0.0	54.5	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0
3 RD DUI			6	33.3	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
4 TH + DUI			20	65.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL			66	72.7	98.5	18.2	16.7	0.0	7.6	0.0	0.0
JUV S L OBISPO		1 ST DUI	16	93.8	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	16	93.8	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	50.0	0.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO		1 ST DUI	1091	97.7	97.6	91.9	0.9	0.0	42.2	3.5	0.1
		2 ND DUI	332	98.8	98.8	9.0	82.5	0.0	84.9	1.2	1.8
		3 RD DUI	66	95.5	98.5	1.5	71.2	0.0	10.6	6.1	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1491	97.9	97.9	69.3	22.3	0.0	50.2	3.1	0.5

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN MATEO	SUP REDWOOD CITY	1 ST DUI	48	64.6	97.9	20.8	16.7	0.0	12.5	0.0	2.1
		2 ND DUI	25	68.0	100.0	4.0	36.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	7	57.1	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	21	71.4	95.2	0.0	28.6	0.0	4.8	0.0	4.8
		TOTAL	101	66.3	98.0	10.9	24.8	0.0	6.9	0.0	2.0
	SUP SAN MATEO	1 ST DUI	27	96.3	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	27	96.3	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	66.7	0.0
	SO SAN FRANCISCO	1 ST DUI	1253	99.0	98.8	91.4	2.7	0.0	39.8	0.3	1.6
		2 ND DUI	304	98.7	99.3	3.0	91.8	0.0	53.3	3.0	62.2
		3 RD DUI	50	96.0	98.0	0.0	86.0	0.0	40.0	2.0	68.0
		4 TH + DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	37.5	0.0	75.0
		TOTAL	1615	98.9	98.9	71.5	22.4	0.0	42.4	0.9	15.4
	REDWOOD CITY	1 ST DUI	848	92.9	98.2	83.6	2.0	0.0	36.7	0.0	1.1
		2 ND DUI	231	95.7	98.3	6.9	80.5	0.4	48.5	1.7	42.4
		3 RD DUI	35	100.0	100.0	0.0	94.3	0.0	42.9	11.4	54.3
		4 TH + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL		1115	93.7	98.3	65.0	21.3	0.1	39.3	0.7	11.3	
SANTA BARBARA	SUP SANTA BARBARA	1 ST DUI	14	85.7	100.0	7.1	0.0	0.0	7.1	7.1	0.0
		2 ND DUI	9	88.9	100.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	11.1	11.1	11.1
		3 RD DUI	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3
		4 TH + DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	29	79.3	96.6	3.4	6.9	0.0	10.3	10.3	6.9
	SUP SANTA MARIA	1 ST DUI	17	82.4	94.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	11	45.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	8	12.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	9	22.2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	45	48.9	97.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV SANTA BARBARA	1 ST DUI	13	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	46.2	0.0
		2 ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	14	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1	50.0	0.0
	JUV LOMPOC	1 ST DUI	10	70.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	13	76.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.1	0.0
SANTA BARBARA	1 ST DUI	1007	97.7	67.7	92.8	0.9	0.0	85.9	12.1	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	248	97.2	98.0	7.7	39.5	0.0	47.2	50.8	3.6	
	3 RD DUI	48	91.7	100.0	6.3	79.2	0.0	20.8	72.9	2.1	
	4 TH + DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	
	TOTAL	1309	97.4	74.8	73.0	11.5	0.0	75.8	22.0	0.8	
SANTA MARIA	1 ST DUI	514	98.8	84.0	69.8	2.9	0.0	28.2	27.4	0.4	
	2 ND DUI	125	97.6	97.6	4.8	64.0	0.0	34.4	56.0	13.6	
	3 RD DUI	46	95.7	97.8	0.0	60.9	0.0	10.9	82.6	26.1	
	4 TH + DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	687	98.3	87.5	53.1	17.9	0.0	28.1	36.4	4.5	

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SANTA BARBARA (cont.)	LOMPOC	1 ST DUI	287	98.3	19.2	88.9	1.4	0.0	33.1	8.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	82	100.0	92.7	4.9	86.6	0.0	63.4	22.0	30.5
		3 RD DUI	15	100.0	100.0	0.0	46.7	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0
		TOTAL	384	98.7	38.0	67.4	21.4	0.0	39.1	13.0	7.3
SANTA CLARA	SUP SANTA CLARA	1 ST DUI	100	76.0	92.0	44.0	1.0	0.0	15.0	13.0	1.0
		2 ND DUI	64	64.1	100.0	6.3	20.3	0.0	7.8	12.5	1.6
		3 RD DUI	15	40.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	40	35.0	100.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	219	62.6	96.3	21.9	8.7	0.0	9.1	10.5	0.9	
	JUV SANTA CLARA	1 ST DUI	65	84.6	55.4	70.8	0.0	0.0	3.1	87.7	0.0
	TOTAL	65	84.6	55.4	70.8	0.0	0.0	3.1	87.7	0.0	
	PALO ALTO	1 ST DUI	412	98.1	94.7	91.7	1.2	0.0	45.6	9.0	1.0
		2 ND DUI	92	93.5	98.9	5.4	80.4	0.0	72.8	12.0	27.2
		3 RD DUI	15	100.0	93.3	13.3	26.7	6.7	13.3	6.7	6.7
		TOTAL	519	97.3	95.4	74.2	16.0	0.2	49.5	9.4	5.8
	SAN JOSE	1 ST DUI	2933	86.5	91.1	78.5	2.2	0.0	39.7	11.3	0.9
2 ND DUI		898	79.2	97.0	5.7	58.7	0.0	61.2	16.4	24.4	
3 RD DUI		194	78.4	86.6	4.1	19.6	0.0	21.6	5.7	23.2	
4 TH + DUI		18	50.0	100.0	0.0	5.6	0.0	5.6	16.7	11.1	
TOTAL	4043	84.3	92.3	58.4	15.6	0.0	43.5	12.2	7.2		
SANTA CLARA	3 RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	
	TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	
SUNNYVALE	1 ST DUI	399	99.0	88.2	92.2	2.5	0.0	49.9	6.8	0.0	
	2 ND DUI	109	100.0	99.1	13.8	74.3	0.9	67.9	21.1	11.0	
	3 RD DUI	23	100.0	91.3	4.3	30.4	0.0	17.4	4.3	17.4	
	4 TH + DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	
TOTAL	537	99.3	90.7	71.5	18.4	0.2	51.6	9.7	3.0		
GILROY	1 ST DUI	413	95.6	94.7	79.4	1.7	0.0	21.8	31.2	0.7	
	2 ND DUI	143	96.5	97.9	5.6	75.5	0.0	30.1	49.0	18.2	
	3 RD DUI	41	92.7	90.2	2.4	12.2	0.0	14.6	4.9	14.6	
	4 TH + DUI	9	66.7	88.9	0.0	33.3	0.0	11.1	0.0	22.2	
TOTAL	606	95.2	95.0	55.6	20.3	0.0	23.1	33.2	6.1		
SANTA CRUZ	SUP SANTA CRUZ	4 TH + DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	JUV SANTA CRUZ	1 ST DUI	20	95.0	0.0	90.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	80.0	0.0
		TOTAL	20	95.0	0.0	90.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	80.0	0.0
	SANTA CRUZ	1 ST DUI	783	99.4	96.9	93.2	0.9	0.0	47.9	0.3	0.0
		2 ND DUI	209	98.6	98.1	11.0	64.1	0.0	70.3	0.5	0.5
		3 RD DUI	46	95.7	97.8	2.2	6.5	0.0	2.2	2.2	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	36	77.8	83.3	0.0	2.8	0.0	2.8	19.4	0.0
	TOTAL	1074	98.3	96.7	70.2	13.5	0.0	48.8	1.0	0.1	

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SANTA CRUZ (cont.)	WATSONVILLE	1 ST DUI	283	99.6	100.0	88.7	1.1	0.0	43.8	3.9	0.0
		2 ND DUI	104	100.0	97.1	6.7	44.2	1.0	45.2	1.9	0.0
		3 RD DUI	26	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	416	99.5	99.3	62.0	12.0	0.2	41.1	3.4	0.0
SHASTA	SUP REDDING	1 ST DUI	6	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	50.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	10	60.0	100.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	40.0	0.0
	JUV SHASTA	1 ST DUI	17	47.1	35.3	41.2	0.0	0.0	5.9	76.5	0.0
		TOTAL	17	47.1	35.3	41.2	0.0	0.0	5.9	76.5	0.0
	ANDERSON	1 ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BURNEY	1 ST DUI	18	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	62.5	25.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	27	100.0	100.0	66.7	25.9	0.0	51.9	7.4	0.0
REDDING	1 ST DUI	686	96.9	97.1	90.2	1.5	0.0	40.1	8.5	0.1	
	2 ND DUI	225	92.4	95.1	12.4	68.0	0.0	73.8	6.7	2.7	
	3 RD DUI	70	85.7	92.9	2.9	45.7	0.0	20.0	22.9	7.1	
	4 TH + DUI	16	37.5	93.8	6.3	18.8	0.0	0.0	18.8	0.0	
	TOTAL	997	94.2	96.3	65.2	19.9	0.0	45.6	9.2	1.2	
SIERRA	DOWNIEVILLE	1 ST DUI	8	100.0	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	11	100.0	72.7	72.7	27.3	0.0	72.7	0.0	0.0
SISKIYOU	SUP SISKIYOU	1 ST DUI	5	40.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	50.0	50.0	33.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
	WEED	1 ST DUI	98	99.0	86.7	74.5	0.0	0.0	39.8	4.1	0.0
		2 ND DUI	29	96.6	100.0	10.3	75.9	0.0	72.4	0.0	3.4
		3 RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	131	98.5	90.1	58.0	19.8	0.0	47.3	3.1	0.8
	YREKA JUDIST	1 ST DUI	105	98.1	94.3	71.4	3.8	0.0	19.0	2.9	1.9
		2 ND DUI	36	100.0	97.2	22.2	58.3	0.0	55.6	2.8	11.1
		3 RD DUI	11	81.8	90.9	9.1	45.5	0.0	27.3	0.0	18.2
4 TH + DUI		2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		154	96.1	94.8	54.5	19.5	0.0	27.9	2.6	5.2	
SOLANO	SUP SOLANO	1 ST DUI	19	94.7	100.0	73.7	10.5	0.0	26.3	21.1	0.0
		2 ND DUI	10	60.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	6	33.3	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	8	37.5	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0
		TOTAL	43	67.4	100.0	32.6	20.9	0.0	14.0	14.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SOLANO (cont.)	JUV SOLANO	1 ST DUI	13	76.9	15.4	53.8	0.0	0.0	7.7	38.5	0.0
		TOTAL	13	76.9	15.4	53.8	0.0	0.0	7.7	38.5	0.0
	FAIRFIELD	1 ST DUI	660	98.8	97.9	94.4	1.7	0.0	42.6	0.6	0.0
		2 ND DUI	192	98.4	99.0	8.3	88.0	0.5	27.6	2.1	0.0
		3 RD DUI	42	78.6	100.0	4.8	71.4	0.0	4.8	26.2	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	899	97.6	98.2	71.3	23.6	0.1	37.4	2.2	0.0
	BENICIA	2 ND DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	VALLEJO	1 ST DUI	281	98.9	79.7	96.4	1.4	0.0	50.9	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	76	98.7	94.7	21.1	77.6	0.0	88.2	0.0	1.3
		3 RD DUI	18	83.3	88.9	16.7	61.1	0.0	61.1	0.0	5.6
		TOTAL	375	98.1	83.2	77.3	19.7	0.0	58.9	0.0	0.5
	SONOMA	SUP SONOMA	1 ST DUI	25	72.0	96.0	28.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	0.0
2 ND DUI			11	63.6	100.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0
3 RD DUI			6	16.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4 TH + DUI			18	72.2	94.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL			60	65.0	96.7	11.7	1.7	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0
JUV SONOMA		1 ST DUI	23	43.5	0.0	34.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	24	41.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SANTA ROSA		1 ST DUI	1503	97.5	93.8	84.0	0.7	0.0	41.0	0.1	0.0
		2 ND DUI	478	95.6	99.0	8.8	64.0	0.0	60.9	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	104	91.3	98.1	1.9	23.1	0.0	18.3	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	29	75.9	93.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2114	96.5	95.2	61.8	16.1	0.0	43.8	0.0	0.0
STANISLAUS		SUP STANISLAUS	1 ST DUI	1103	98.5	97.0	91.3	2.9	0.1	34.5	1.9
	2 ND DUI		295	97.3	99.0	8.1	76.9	0.0	48.8	14.9	5.4
	3 RD DUI		83	97.6	97.6	2.4	79.5	1.2	15.7	19.3	8.4
	4 TH + DUI		19	89.5	100.0	0.0	73.7	0.0	5.3	5.3	10.5
	TOTAL		1500	98.1	97.5	68.9	22.6	0.1	35.9	5.5	1.7
	JUV STANISLAUS	1 ST DUI	37	29.7	27.0	24.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.1	0.0
		TOTAL	37	29.7	27.0	24.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.1	0.0
	MODESTO	1 ST DUI	7	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	14	100.0	100.0	57.1	42.9	0.0	78.6	0.0	0.0
	TURLOCK	1 ST DUI	51	100.0	100.0	98.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	10	100.0	100.0	10.0	90.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	10.0
		3 RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL		65	100.0	100.0	78.5	20.0	0.0	9.2	1.5	1.5	

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SUTTER	SUP MUN YUBA	1 ST DUI	6	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	7	57.1	100.0	28.6	28.6	0.0	14.3	14.3	14.3	
		3 RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	
		4 TH + DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	19	78.9	100.0	42.1	15.8	0.0	5.3	47.4	5.3	
	JUV YUBA CITY	1 ST DUI	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	YUBA CITY	1 ST DUI	193	97.9	96.9	96.4	1.0	0.0	34.7	3.1	1.0	
		2 ND DUI	65	96.9	100.0	16.9	73.8	0.0	73.8	3.1	13.8	
		3 RD DUI	14	100.0	100.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	14.3	14.3	28.6	
		4 TH + DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	273	97.4	97.8	72.2	20.5	0.0	42.9	3.7	5.5	
	TEHAMA	SUP TEHAMA	1 ST DUI	4	25.0	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
			2 ND DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
			4 TH + DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL			11	18.2	100.0	9.1	9.1	0.0	0.0	27.3	0.0	
JUV TEHAMA		1 ST DUI	6	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	7	100.0	100.0	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	
CORNING		1 ST DUI	103	94.2	98.1	90.3	1.0	0.0	26.2	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	33	84.8	93.9	3.0	66.7	0.0	45.5	6.1	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4 TH + DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	143	89.5	97.2	65.7	17.5	0.0	29.4	1.4	0.0	
RED BLUFF		1 ST DUI	186	89.8	97.3	1.6	1.6	0.0	51.6	3.2	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	53	81.1	100.0	0.0	71.7	0.0	75.5	3.8	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	13	53.8	100.0	0.0	23.1	0.0	7.7	7.7	0.0	
	4 TH + DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	TOTAL	253	85.8	98.0	1.2	17.4	0.0	54.2	3.6	0.0		
TRINITY	SUP TRINITY	1 ST DUI	32	100.0	100.0	78.1	3.1	0.0	40.6	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	20	95.0	80.0	20.0	45.0	0.0	55.0	15.0	0.0	
		4 TH + DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	53	96.2	92.5	54.7	18.9	0.0	45.3	5.7	0.0	
	WEAVERVILLE TRINITY	1 ST DUI	10	90.0	100.0	90.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	
TOTAL	15	93.3	100.0	60.0	26.7	0.0	26.7	13.3	0.0			
TULARE	SUP TULARE	1 ST DUI	10	50.0	100.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	
		4 TH + DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	15	53.3	100.0	6.7	6.7	0.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	
	JUV TULARE	1 ST DUI	21	33.3	0.0	9.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	
		TOTAL	21	33.3	0.0	9.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
TULARE (cont.)	DINUBA	1 ST DUI	193	94.8	96.4	75.1	0.5	0.0	49.2	0.0	0.5	
		2 ND DUI	53	96.2	94.3	18.9	50.9	0.0	75.5	0.0	13.2	
		3 RD DUI	23	87.0	78.3	0.0	21.7	0.0	47.8	0.0	30.4	
		4 TH + DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	270	94.1	94.1	57.4	12.2	0.0	54.1	0.0	5.6	
	LNDSAY- EXETER	1 ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	
	PORTERVILLE	1 ST DUI	398	97.2	98.0	86.2	4.8	0.3	15.1	0.5	0.3	
		2 ND DUI	122	96.7	99.2	18.0	72.1	0.0	33.6	0.8	11.5	
		3 RD DUI	33	90.9	100.0	3.0	78.8	0.0	27.3	6.1	24.2	
		4 TH + DUI	8	75.0	100.0	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	561	96.4	98.4	65.2	24.2	0.2	19.6	0.9	4.1	
	TULARE	1 ST DUI	231	99.1	76.2	88.7	4.3	0.0	32.9	0.9	0.4	
		2 ND DUI	61	100.0	98.4	9.8	86.9	0.0	80.3	1.6	1.6	
		3 RD DUI	17	82.4	94.1	11.8	52.9	0.0	35.3	5.9	5.9	
		4 TH + DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	312	98.4	81.7	68.3	23.1	0.0	42.0	1.3	1.0	
	VISALIA	1 ST DUI	664	97.9	94.7	87.2	5.7	0.0	34.6	0.8	1.1	
		2 ND DUI	185	97.3	98.9	16.8	76.2	0.0	70.8	1.6	12.4	
3 RD DUI		36	94.4	97.2	5.6	55.6	0.0	30.6	8.3	0.0		
4 TH + DUI		16	62.5	100.0	0.0	18.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
TOTAL		901	97.0	95.8	67.9	22.4	0.0	41.3	1.2	3.3		
TUOLUMNE	SUP SONORA	1 ST DUI	211	94.8	97.2	91.5	0.0	0.0	33.6	7.6	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	75	96.0	100.0	0.0	94.7	0.0	90.7	2.7	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	11	90.9	100.0	9.1	45.5	0.0	45.5	0.0	9.1	
		4 TH + DUI	5	20.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	302	93.7	98.0	64.2	25.2	0.0	47.7	6.0	0.3	
VENTURA	JUV VENTURA	1 ST DUI	4	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	4	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	VENTURA MUNI	1 ST DUI	2658	97.4	98.7	87.5	2.0	0.0	31.9	8.9	0.3	
		2 ND DUI	647	97.1	99.2	12.7	77.0	0.0	84.2	4.6	16.5	
		3 RD DUI	122	92.6	100.0	0.8	78.7	0.0	72.1	13.1	13.9	
4 TH + DUI	29	75.9	100.0	3.4	62.1	0.0	41.4	0.0	0.0			
TOTAL	3456	97.0	98.9	69.7	19.3	0.0	43.2	8.2	3.8			
YOLO	SUP WOODLAND	1 ST DUI	5	100.0	100.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	
		4 TH + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	11	90.9	100.0	18.2	72.7	0.0	63.6	0.0	9.1	
	WOODLAND	1 ST DUI	501	98.0	94.0	83.8	4.6	0.0	40.3	2.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	146	96.6	97.3	17.8	69.9	0.0	71.9	1.4	3.4	
		3 RD DUI	25	100.0	96.0	0.0	56.0	4.0	24.0	28.0	0.0	
		4 TH + DUI	9	66.7	100.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	
		TOTAL	681	97.4	94.9	65.6	20.4	0.1	46.0	2.9	0.7	

TABLE B4: 1999 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
YUBA	SUP YUBA	1 ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
	MARYSVILLE	1 ST DUI	177	99.4	94.4	93.2	1.1	0.0	36.7	3.4	0.0
		2 ND DUI	61	98.4	100.0	14.8	70.5	0.0	63.9	9.8	0.0
		3 RD DUI	20	100.0	100.0	5.0	30.0	0.0	20.0	15.0	0.0
		4 TH + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	259	99.2	96.1	68.0	19.7	0.0	41.7	5.8	0.0

TABLE B5: DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES
BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR SECOND DUI OFFENDERS

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	PERCENT FEMALE	MEAN AGE	PERCENT COMMERCIAL DRIVERS	2-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS PER 100 DRIVERS				ZIP CODE ACCIDENT AND CONVICTION INDICES**			
					TOTAL ACCIDENTS	ALCOHOL ACCIDENTS	MAJOR CONVICTIONS	MINOR CONVICTIONS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS	INJURY ACCIDENTS	MAJOR*** VIOLATIONS	MOVING*** VIOLATIONS
1997 (3-year follow up)												
Suspension	3,969	10.3	35.6	3.0	2.53	1.64	3.32	6.41	1.45	.41	.06	2.63
SB 38 program & restriction	7,016	12.2	36.4	3.1	2.44	1.57	2.23	5.32	1.53	.42	.05	2.66
SB 38 program & Interlock	5,939	9.4	36.1	2.5	2.67	1.73	2.28	5.63	1.52	.42	.05	2.76
Other	7,629	11.2	36.2	2.3	2.56	1.74	3.35	6.12	1.50	.42	.05	2.68
Statistical significance test		$X^2 = 27.9^*$	$F = 5.9^*$	$X^2 = 10.7^*$	$F = 2.3^*$	$F = 2.86^*$	$F = 110.7^*$	$F = 14.5^*$	$F = 50.6^*$	$F = 10.4^*$	$F = 84.6^*$	$F = 36.6^*$
1999 (1-year follow up)												
Suspension	3,764	10.3	35.8	2.3	2.86	1.79	4.11	7.62	1.57	.44	.49	2.08
SB 38 program & restriction	5,938	12.8	36.1	2.9	3.21	1.79	3.58	7.48	1.64	.44	.45	2.16
SB 38 program & Interlock	3,296	10.6	36.1	2.4	3.11	1.90	3.42	6.78	1.63	.44	.45	2.15
Other	8,343	11.3	36.4	2.3	3.18	1.88	3.62	7.18	1.63	.44	.47	2.17
Statistical significance test		$X^2 = 17.7^*$	$F = 3.7^*$	$X^2 = 6.3$	$F = 3.1^*$	$F = .97$	$F = 13.5^*$	$F = 4.5^*$	$F = 40.9^*$	$F = 8.7^*$	$F = 34.4^*$	$F = 26.8^*$

*Statistical significance at $p < .05$, (two-tailed).

**The ZIP Code indices for the 1997 and 1999 cases are based on 3.25 years of driver record data.

***These violations are undercounted for the 1997 cases due to an error in the program that tallies these violations. The counts for the 1999 cases are correct.

TABLE B6: DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR
AND SANCTION GROUP FOR ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVERS

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	PERCENT FEMALE	MEAN AGE	PERCENT COMMERCIAL DRIVERS	2-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS PER 100 DRIVERS				ZIP CODE ACCIDENT AND CONVICTION INDICES**			
					TOTAL ACCIDENTS	ALCOHOL ACCIDENTS	MAJOR CONVICTIONS	MINOR CONVICTIONS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS	INJURY ACCIDENTS	MAJOR VIOLATIONS	MOVING VIOLATIONS
7/99-6/00												
No program	2,251	20.3	34.2	4.2	2.68	1.00	.28	9.4	1.54	.42	.45	2.02
Alcohol education program	5,176	19.0	33.6	3.3	2.60	.87	.16	9.6	1.63	.44	.43	2.13
Statistical significance test		$X^2 = 1.7$	$F = 4.6^*$	$X^2 = 3.2^*$	$F = .37$	$F = 3.5^*$	$F = 12.3^*$	$F = .3$	$F = 110.2^*$	$F = 20.5^*$	$F = 34.3^*$	$F = 50.7^*$