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DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1988-1998

|  | YEAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| Total DUI arrests ${ }^{1}$ | 327186 | 336059 | 366834 | 312571 | 260150 | 233673 | 208844 | 200754 | 203794 | 193250 | 190098 |
| Felony DUI arrests | 8604 | 10448 | 12948 | 11220 | 9803 | 8738 | 7567 | 6642 | 6364 | 5612 | 5379 |
| Misdemeanor DUI arrests | 318582 | 325611 | 353886 | 301351 | 250347 | 224935 | 201277 | 194112 | 197430 | 187638 | 184719 |
| DUI convictions received to date (by year of arrest) ${ }^{2}$ | $219842^{\text {r }}$ | $226318{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $258346{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $224709{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $188853{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $169471{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $151574{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $148103{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $146981{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $137809{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 133889 |
| Percent convicted of DUI as of September 1999 | 67\% | 67\% | 70\% | 72\% | $73 \%{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $73 \%{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $73 \%{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $73 \%{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $72 \%{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 71\% | 70\% |
| Estimated final DUI convictions ${ }^{3}$ | 219767 | 226135 | 259810 | 225748 | 188116 | 168964 | 151550 | 148725 | 147153 | 139626 | 139245 |
| Estimated final DUI conviction rate | 67\% | 67\% | 70\% | 72\% | 72\% | 72\% | 72\% | $73 \%{ }^{6}$ | 72\% | 72\% | 73\% |
| Total reckless driving convictions ${ }^{4}$ | 39926 | 40456 | 39617 | 39386 | 34186 | 27835 | 27374 | 24516 | 25701 | 25879 | 22851 |
| Alcohol-involved reckless | 24013 | 25646 | 26960 | 27093 | 23675 | 18645 | 18246 | 16329 | 17446 | 16867 | 15945 |
| Total mandatory susp/rev ${ }^{5}$ | 101779 | 111703 | 233680 | $373131{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 308399 | 277447 | 243645 | $226158{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $230600^{\text {r }}$ | 205462 | 238612 |
| Admin per se/refusal susp/rev | 22757 | 21466 | 142525 | $272273{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 228790 | 209006 | 184045 | $173696{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $180343{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 169511 | 175365 |
| Postconviction susp/rev | 79022 | 90237 | 91155 | 100858 | 79609 | 68441 | 59600 | 52462 | $50257^{\text {r }}$ | $35951{ }^{7}$ | $63247{ }^{7}$ |
| Alcohol-involved fatalities | 2510 | 2509 | 2382 | 2048 | 1832 | 1569 | 1488 | 1343 | 1254 | 1100 | 1072 |
| \% of total fatalities | 46.6 | 46.6 | 46.0 | 44.1 | 43.8 | 37.7 | 35.3 | 32.2 | 31.6 | 30.0 | 31.0 |
| Alcohol-involved injuries | 65033 | 63937 | 63847 | 55779 | 48969 | 42936 | 39437 | 36961 | 35654 | 31189 | 30985 |
| \% of total injuries | 18.2 | 17.6 | 17.5 | 15.9 | 14.5 | 13.6 | 12.5 | 12.1 | 11.9 | 10.9 | 10.7 |

${ }^{1}$ These totals were reported by the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, and include a small number of duplicates ( $0.9 \%$ in 1998).
${ }^{2}$ These data represent a DMV master file count of the number of DUI abstracts received (by year of violation), minus duplicates. These totals do not include conviction abstracts not yet received. Thus, for the most recent years, these figures will substantially underestimate the final conviction totals.
${ }^{3}$ These data include a projected number of abstracts not yet received. This number is based on an empirically derived function of the court abstract reporting rate.
${ }^{4}$ These totals were taken from the Research DUI data file and the DMV annual Suspension and Revocation reports and include late reporting of convictions from prior years.
${ }^{5}$ Since 1991, total mandatory suspension/revocation exceeds the number of DUI arrests because many offenders now receive both an APS and postconviction suspension/revocation.
${ }^{6}$ This estimated final conviction rate has been adjusted for arrest data known to be missing.
${ }^{7}$ The 1997/1998 counts reflect backlogged actions from 1997 that were processed in 1998.
${ }^{r}$ Revised from prior reports.
DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1988-1998 (continued)

|  | YEAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION/ REVOCATION (S/R) ACTIONS | 101779 | 111703 | 233680 | 373131 | 308399 | 277447 | 243645 | $226158{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $230600^{\text {r }}$ | $205462^{7}$ | $238612^{7}$ |
| PRECONVICTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Admin Per Se (APS) Actions | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $142525^{\text {r }}$ | 272273 | 228790 | 209006 | 184045 | $173696{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $180343{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 169511 | 175365 |
| . 01 Zero tolerance suspensions | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 9971 | $8608{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $9327{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 11517 | 15640 |
| .08 First-offender suspensions | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 82503 | 187527 | 157545 | 144321 | 120582 | $116636^{\text {r }}$ | $122111^{\text {r }}$ | 114247 | 116827 |
| . 08 Repeat-offender suspensions | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 34792 | 74351 | 62656 | 57279 | 47429 | $43218{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $43922{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 39636 | 39024 |
| . 08 Repeat-offender revocations | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 2315 | 10395 | 8589 | 7406 | 6063 | $5234{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $4983{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 4111 | 3874 |
| Commercial driver actions | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 3739 | 7976 | 6449 | 5829 | 5038 | $4743{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $4939{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 4496 | 4609 |
| Chemical test refusal actions | 22757 | 21466 | 22915 | $21296{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $17963{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 15662 | 13264 | $11711{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $11436{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 10110 | 9935 |
| . 01 Test refusal suspensions | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 179 | 170 | 154 | 134 | 229 |
| . 08 Test refusal suspensions** | 13720 | 12390 | 15128 | $10901{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $9374{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 8256 | 7022 | $6307{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $6299{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 5865 | 5832 |
| .08 Test refusal revocations** | 9037 | 9076 | 7787 | $10395{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $8589{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 7406 | 6063 | $5234{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $4983{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 4111 | 3874 |
| TOTAL APS/REFUSAL ACTIONS | 22757 | 21466 | 142525 | 272273 | 228790 | 209006 | 184045 | $173696{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $180343^{\text {r }}$ | 169511 | 175365 |
| POSTCONVICTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Juvenile DUI suspensions | 1823 | 1995 | 1478 | 1576 | 1202 | 922 | 879 | 677 | 995 | 7697 | 10267 |
| First-offender suspensions | 6626 | 7679 | 10408 | 13575 | 10673 | 10208 | 8696 | 7266 | 7229 | $4847{ }^{7}$ | 95887 |
| Misdemeanor | 5050 | 5658 | 8467 | 11547 | 8989 | 8607 | 7188 | 5806 | 5753 | 38347 | $7497{ }^{7}$ |
| Felony | 1576 | 2021 | 1941 | 2028 | 1684 | 1601 | 1508 | 1460 | 1476 | 10137 | 20917 |
| Second-offender S/R actions | 47698 | 53927 | 52334 | 57350 | 45478 | 38849 | 34300 | 31489 | 30404 | 229457 | 402387 |
| Misdemeanor | 47093 | 53238 | 51593 | 56583 | 44756 | 38285 | 33794 | 30955 | 29864 | $22532{ }^{7}$ | 396057 |
| Felony | 605 | 689 | 741 | 767 | 722 | 564 | 506 | 534 | 540 | 4137 | 6337 |
| Third-offender revocations | 13991 | 18514 | 18650 | 19963 | 15553 | 12908 | 11193 | 9471 | 8728 | 55697 | $9397{ }^{7}$ |
| Misdemeanor | 13671 | 18182 | 18219 | 19595 | 15233 | 12644 | 10974 | 9261 | 8550 | 54717 | $9167^{7}$ |
| Felony | 320 | 332 | 431 | 368 | 320 | 264 | 219 | 210 | 178 | $98^{7}$ | 2307 |
| Fourth-offender revocations | 8884 | 8122 | 8285 | 8394 | 6703 | 5554 | 4532 | 3559 | 2901 | $1821{ }^{7}$ | 29987 |
| TOTAL POSTCONVICTION ACTIONS | 79022 | 90237 | 91155 | 100858 | 79609 | 68441 | 59600 | 52462 | $50257{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $35951{ }^{7}$ | $63247^{7}$ |

## HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2000 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT

- Alcohol-involved traffic fatalities declined by $2.5 \%$ in 1998, and have dropped by 57.3\% since 1988.
- DUI arrests declined by $1.6 \%$ in 1998, following a $5.3 \%$ decrease in 1997. Since 1988, DUI arrests have dropped by $41.9 \%$.
- The number of persons injured in alcohol-involved accidents declined by $0.7 \%$ in 1998 (the twelfth consecutive year of decline). Since 1988, alcohol-involved injuries have dropped by over half (52.4\%).
- $12.3 \%$ of all 1997 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic accident, compared to $12.6 \%$ in $1996,12.4 \%$ in 1995, 13.2\% in 1994 and $13.1 \%$ in 1993. Almost half ( $46.8 \%$ ) of these accidents involved an injury or fatality.
- The average blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of a convicted DUI offender, as reported by law enforcement on APS forms, was $.163 \%$ in 1997, which is more than double the California illegal per se BAC limit of $.08 \%$.
- Among 1998 DUI arrestees, Hispanics (43.4\%) again constituted the largest racial/ethnic group, and were arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated 1998 percentage of California's adult population (27.1\%). The ethnic distribution among DUI arrestees who are convicted closely parallels the distribution profile of the arrestees.
- The average age of an arrested DUI offender in 1998 was 33.7 years. Less than $1 \%$ of arrested DUI offenders are juveniles (under age 18).
- Among convicted DUI offenders in 1997, $71.4 \%$ were first offenders and $28.6 \%$ were repeat offenders (one or more prior convictions within the previous 7 years). The proportion of repeat offenders has decreased slightly each year since 1989, when it stood at $37 \%$.
- $15.8 \%$ of 1997 DUI arrest cases did not show any corresponding conviction on DMV records. This is a decrease from $18.4 \%$ in 1996.
- Alcohol treatment, in conjunction with license restriction, continued to be the most effective postconviction sanction in reducing subsequent DUI incidents among DUI offenders. Contrary to last year's findings, second offenders assigned to ignition interlock, in addition to license suspension and alcohol treatment, did not show a significantly different 1-year DUI incident rate from that of the SB 38 alcohol treatment group, but their rate was lower than the rate of the other two sanction groups.
- DUI recidivism rates have declined by $42 \%$ to $54 \%$ since 1990, regardless of sanction group.
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## INTRODUCTION

This report is the ninth Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System, produced in response to Assembly Bill 757 (Friedman), Chapter 450, 1989 legislative session (see Appendix A). This bill required the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to "establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted" of DUI in order to provide "accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics" to enhance "the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions." The need for such a data system had long been documented by numerous authorities, including the 1983 Presidential Commission on Drunk Driving. In responding to this legislative mandate, this report combines and cross-references DUI data from diverse sources and presents them in a single reference. Data sources drawn upon include the California Highway Patrol (CHP) for accident data, Department of Justice (DOJ) for arrest data, and the DMV driver record database. Each of these reporting agencies, however, initially draw their data from diffuse primary sources such as individual law enforcement agencies (arrest and accident reports) and the courts (abstracts of conviction).

The general conceptual design of the California DUI management information system (DUI-MIS) is presented in Figure 1. The basic theme of the DUI-MIS is to track the processing of offenders through the DUI system from the point of arrest and to identify the frequency with which offenders flow through each branch of the system process (from law enforcement through adjudication to treatment and license control actions). Figure 1 also illustrates the relationship between offender flow and data collection at each point of the process. The initiating data source for the DUI-MIS is the DUI arrest report, as compiled by the DOJ Law Enforcement Information Center's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system.

Another major objective of this report is to evaluate the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions on convicted DUI offenders. This is accomplished by examining the postconviction recidivism records (alcohol/drug-related accidents and traffic convictions) of offenders assigned to alternative sanctions, as detailed in Section 4 on "Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness."


It should again be noted that it is not an objective of this report to make recommendations based on the data presented. Rather, the primary purpose of a reporting system such as the DUI-MIS is to provide objective data on the operating and performance characteristics of the system for others to assess in making policy decisions, formulating improvements and conducting more in-depth evaluations.

The DUI-MIS data system and report has led to numerous improvements in the California DUI system, from the identification of inappropriate dismissals in a small central valley court to major initiatives to improve the tracking and reporting of DUI cases. The success of the California DUI-MIS has also contributed to a national initiative to design a model DUI reporting system, developed under contract to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).

## SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS

The information presented below on DUI arrests is based primarily on data collected annually by the Department of Justice (DOJ), Law Enforcement Information Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system. These data are the most current nonaggregated data available on DUI arrests.

Table 1: DUI Arrests By County and Annual Percentage Change from 1996-1998. The number of DUI arrests by county for the years 1996-1998 and the percentage change from 1997 to 1998 are shown in Table 1.

Table 2: 1998 DUI Arrests by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows a breakdown of 1998 DUI arrests by felony, misdemeanor, and juvenile arrest type, by county. The table also shows county and statewide DUI arrest rates per 100 licensed drivers.

Tables 3a-3b: 1998 DUI Arrests by Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity. Table 3a crosstabulates age by sex and age by race/ethnicity of 1998 DUI arrestees statewide. The same tabulations by county are found in Appendix Table B1. Table 3b shows the same data crosstabulated by sex and age within race/ethnicity.

Figure 2 below displays the trend in DUI arrests from 1988 to 1998.


Figure 2 . DUI arrests 1988-1998.

Based on the data shown in Figure 2 and Tables 1, 2, 3a, and 3b, the following statements can be made about DUI arrests in California:

## Statewide Parameters:

- DUI arrests decreased by $1.6 \%$ in 1998, following a $5.3 \%$ decrease in 1997.
- The per capita DUI arrest rate was again 0.9 in 1998 (as in 1997), which represents a $50 \%$ reduction over the 1.8 rate in 1990.
- Felony DUI arrests (involving bodily injury or death) constitute a relatively small proportion ( $2.8 \%$ in 1998) of all DUI arrests.


## County Variation:

- $24.2 \%$ of all 1998 California DUI arrests occurred in Los Angeles County. Four counties (Los Angeles, Orange, San Diego, and San Bernardino) had over 10,000 DUI arrests each, accounting for almost half (45.0\%) of all arrests.
- The 1998 county per capita DUI arrest rates ranged from 0.3 to 3.2 DUI arrests per 100 licensed drivers. Four counties had rates of 0.7 or below. These low per capita arrest rate counties were San Francisco (0.3), Alameda, Contra Costa and Santa Clara (0.7). Four counties had rates of 2.0 or higher - Colusa (3.2), Alpine (2.8), Trinity (2.7), and Imperial (2.1).
- As in past years, many counties again showed a decline in DUI arrests in 1998. Among the larger counties, the greatest percentage declines occurred in Santa Clara $(-13.1 \%)$ and San Bernardino ( $-4.7 \%$ ). Among smaller counties, the largest percentage decreases in DUI arrests occurred in San Benito (-32.1\%), Lake (-18.2\%), and Glenn $(-14.7 \%)$. Among counties showing percentage increases in DUI arrests were Mariposa (58.7\%), Lassen (36.1\%), and Colusa (31.8\%).


## Demographic Characteristics:

- The average age of a DUI arrestee in 1998 was 33.7 years. Roughly half ( $45.6 \%$ ) of all arrestees were age 30 or younger and over three-quarters ( $75.1 \%$ ) were age 40 or younger. Less than $1 \%$ of all DUI arrests involved juveniles (under age 18). $2.5 \%$ of all arrestees were over age 60 .
- Males comprised $86.2 \%$ of all 1998 DUI arrests.
- Among 1998 DUI arrestees, Hispanics (43.4\%) continued to be the largest ethnic group, being arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated 1998
population parity of $27.1 \%$ (Department of Finance, Demographic Research and Census Data Center). Blacks were also slightly overrepresented among DUI arrests ( $6.7 \%$ of arrests, $6.5 \%$ of the population), while other racial/ethnic groups were underrepresented among DUI arrestees, relative to their estimated 1998 population parity. These underrepresented groups were Whites ( $42.4 \%$ of arrests, $55.6 \%$ of the population), and "Other" ( $7.5 \%$ of arrests, $10.9 \%$ of the population). Figure 3 below shows the percentages of 1998 DUI arrests and 1998 estimated census adult population by race/ethnicity.
- Among male 1998 DUI arrestees, $47.2 \%$ were Hispanic, $38.8 \%$ were White, $6.6 \%$ were Black, and $7.5 \%$ were "Other." Among female DUI arrestees, $64.7 \%$ were White, $20.0 \%$ were Hispanic, $7.3 \%$ were Black, and $8.0 \%$ were "Other." The overrepresentation of Hispanics among DUI offenders is clearly limited to males.
- In the following 6 counties, Hispanics comprised over $60 \%$ of those arrested for DUI during 1998: Imperial (70.4\%), Fresno (65.6\%), Madera (65.5\%), Tulare (64.6\%), San Benito ( $64.5 \%$ ), and Merced ( $63.7 \%$ ). In most other counties, the majority of arrestees were White.
- The average age of a DUI arrestee varied considerably by race: Blacks were the oldest with a mean age of 36.2 years, while Hispanics were the youngest, with a mean age of 31.4 years.


Figure 3. Percentage of 1998 DUI arrests and 1998 projected population (age 15 and over) by race/ethnicity. [Note: The corrected projected population proportions for 1996 and 1997 should have been $56.3 \%$ and $56 \%$ (respectively) for Whites, 26.4\% and $26.7 \%$ for Hispanics, $6.5 \%$ (both years) for Blacks, and $10.7 \%$ and $10.8 \%$ for Other.]

TABLE 1: DUI ARRESTS* BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1996-1998

| COUNTY | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | \% CHANGE 1997-1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATEWIDE | 201765 | 191164 | 188327 | -1.5 |
| ALAMEDA | 6148 | 6134 | 6229 | 1.5 |
| ALPINE | 53 | 25 | 28 | 12.0 |
| AMADOR | 206 | 206 | 219 | 6.3 |
| BUTTE | 1346 | 1248 | 1117 | -10.5 |
| CALAVERAS | 320 | 316 | 319 | 0.9 |
| COLUSA | 329 | 292 | 385 | 31.8 |
| CONTRA COSTA | 4255 | 4200 | 4303 | 2.5 |
| DEL NORTE | 288 | 285 | 293 | 2.8 |
| EL DORADO | 1136 | 918 | 1051 | 14.5 |
| FRESNO | 6441 | 6455 | 6562 | 1.7 |
| GLENN | 377 | 273 | 233 | -14.7 |
| HUMBOLDT | 1238 | 1332 | 1359 | 2.0 |
| IMPERIAL | 1639 | 1692 | 1658 | -2.0 |
| INYO | 281 | 272 | 259 | -4.8 |
| KERN | 5258 | 4303 | 4590 | 6.7 |
| KINGS | 1304 | 1037 | 996 | -4.0 |
| LAKE | 635 | 638 | 522 | -18.2 |
| LASSEN | 236 | 183 | 249 | 36.1 |
| LOS ANGELES | 49328 | 49255 | 45502 | -7.6 |
| MADERA | 1056 | 820 | 724 | -11.7 |
| MARIN | 1623 | 1602 | 1635 | 2.1 |
| MARIPOSA | 78 | 63 | 100 | 58.7 |
| MENDOCINO | 1017 | 778 | 781 | 0.4 |
| MERCED | 2173 | 1821 | 1902 | 4.4 |
| MODOC | 77 | 91 | 82 | -9.9 |
| MONO | 174 | 108 | 96 | -11.1 |
| MONTEREY | 3791 | 3609 | 3134 | -13.2 |
| NAPA | 1066 | 1104 | 1070 | -3.1 |
| NEVADA | 602 | 703 | 669 | -4.8 |
| ORANGE | 15153 | 14856 | 14653 | -1.4 |
| PLACER | 1716 | 1684 | 1748 | 3.8 |
| PLUMAS | 238 | 233 | 259 | 11.2 |
| RIVERSIDE | 9403 | 8078 | 8873 | 9.8 |
| SACRAMENTO | 7617 | 6901 | 7710 | 11.7 |
| SAN BENITO | 394 | 377 | 256 | -32.1 |
| SAN BERNARDINO | 11389 | 10816 | 10304 | -4.7 |
| SAN DIEGO | 15526 | 14701 | 14263 | -3.0 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | 1489 | 1481 | 1447 | -2.3 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | 4119 | 3710 | 4028 | 8.6 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | 2255 | 1907 | 2066 | 8.3 |
| SAN MATEO | 3782 | 3562 | 3885 | 9.1 |
| SANTA BARBARA | 3125 | 2823 | 2690 | -4.7 |
| SANTA CLARA | 9771 | 8995 | 7816 | -13.1 |
| SANTA CRUZ | 2603 | 2483 | 2160 | -13.0 |
| SHASTA | 1119 | 960 | 1153 | 20.1 |
| SIERRA | 43 | 30 | 33 | 10.0 |
| SISKIYOU | 381 | 438 | 403 | -8.0 |
| SOLANO | 1795 | 1436 | 1855 | 29.2 |
| SONOMA | 3074 | 2948 | 3040 | 3.1 |
| STANISLAUS | 2757 | 2590 | 2741 | 5.8 |
| SUTTER | 935 | 794 | 873 | 9.9 |
| TEHAMA | 467 | 462 | 456 | -1.3 |
| TRINITY | 177 | 248 | 264 | 6.5 |
| TULARE | 3723 | 3109 | 3366 | 8.3 |
| TUOLUMNE | 378 | 362 | 353 | -2.5 |
| VENTURA | 3861 | 3917 | 4122 | 5.2 |
| YOLO | 1488 | 1134 | 1050 | -7.4 |
| YUBA | 512 | 366 | 393 | 7.4 |

*DOJ DUI arrest totals with duplicates removed and boat DUI (BUI) removed.

TABLE 2: 1998 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

| COUNTY | TOTAL |  | TYPE OF ARREST |  |  |  |  |  | DUI ARRESTS PER <br> 100 LICENSED <br> DRIVERS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | FELONY |  | JUVENILE |  | MISDEMEANOR |  |  |
|  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |  |
| STATEWIDE | 188327 | 100.0 | 5242 | 2.8 | 1761 | 0.9 | 181324 | 96.3 | 0.9 |
| ALAMEDA | 6229 | 3.3 | 83 | 1.3 | 64 | 1.0 | 6082 | 97.6 | 0.7 |
| ALPINE | 28 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.6 | 27 | 96.4 | 2.8 |
| AMADOR | 219 | 0.1 | 7 | 3.2 | 7 | 3.2 | 205 | 93.6 | 0.9 |
| BUTTE | 1117 | 0.6 | 21 | 1.9 | 25 | 2.2 | 1071 | 95.9 | 0.8 |
| CALAVERAS | 319 | 0.2 | 6 | 1.9 | 4 | 1.3 | 309 | 96.9 | 1.0 |
| COLUSA | 385 | 0.2 | 6 | 1.6 | 4 | 1.0 | 375 | 97.4 | 3.2 |
| CONTRA COSTA | 4303 | 2.3 | 93 | 2.2 | 48 | 1.1 | 4162 | 96.7 | 0.7 |
| DEL NORTE | 293 | 0.2 | 14 | 4.8 | 2 | 0.7 | 277 | 94.5 | 1.8 |
| EL DORADO | 1051 | 0.6 | 61 | 5.8 | 12 | 1.1 | 978 | 93.1 | 0.9 |
| FRESNO | 6562 | 3.5 | 218 | 3.3 | 92 | 1.4 | 6252 | 95.3 | 1.5 |
| GLENN | 233 | 0.1 | 12 | 5.2 | 6 | 2.6 | 215 | 92.3 | 1.3 |
| HUMBOLDT | 1359 | 0.7 | 39 | 2.9 | 21 | 1.5 | 1299 | 95.6 | 1.5 |
| IMPERIAL | 1658 | 0.9 | 33 | 2.0 | 14 | 0.8 | 1611 | 97.2 | 2.1 |
| INYO | 259 | 0.1 | 12 | 4.6 | 6 | 2.3 | 241 | 93.1 | 1.8 |
| KERN | 4590 | 2.4 | 143 | 3.1 | 61 | 1.3 | 4386 | 95.6 | 1.3 |
| KINGS | 996 | 0.5 | 18 | 1.8 | 11 | 1.1 | 967 | 97.1 | 1.7 |
| LAKE | 522 | 0.3 | 9 | 1.7 | 8 | 1.5 | 505 | 96.7 | 1.3 |
| LASSEN | 249 | 0.1 | 17 | 6.8 | 3 | 1.2 | 229 | 92.0 | 1.3 |
| LOS ANGELES | 45502 | 24.2 | 1312 | 2.9 | 219 | 0.5 | 43971 | 96.6 | 0.8 |
| MADERA | 724 | 0.4 | 32 | 4.4 | 7 | 1.0 | 685 | 94.6 | 1.1 |
| MARIN | 1635 | 0.9 | 24 | 1.5 | 10 | 0.6 | 1601 | 97.9 | 0.9 |
| MARIPOSA | 100 | 0.1 | 9 | 9.0 | 4 | 4.0 | 87 | 87.0 | 0.8 |
| MENDOCINO | 781 | 0.4 | 18 | 2.3 | 13 | 1.7 | 750 | 96.0 | 1.3 |
| MERCED | 1902 | 1.0 | 60 | 3.2 | 19 | 1.0 | 1823 | 95.8 | 1.7 |
| MODOC | 82 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.4 | 1 | 1.2 | 79 | 96.3 | 1.3 |
| MONO | 96 | 0.1 | 4 | 4.2 | 2 | 2.1 | 90 | 93.8 | 1.2 |
| MONTEREY | 3134 | 1.7 | 64 | 2.0 | 42 | 1.3 | 3028 | 96.6 | 1.4 |
| NAPA | 1070 | 0.6 | 29 | 2.7 | 15 | 1.4 | 1026 | 95.9 | 1.3 |
| NEVADA | 669 | 0.4 | 23 | 3.4 | 10 | 1.5 | 636 | 95.1 | 0.9 |
| ORANGE | 14653 | 7.8 | 247 | 1.7 | 62 | 0.4 | 14344 | 97.9 | 0.8 |
| PLACER | 1748 | 0.9 | 44 | 2.5 | 29 | 1.7 | 1675 | 95.8 | 1.0 |
| PLUMAS | 259 | 0.1 | 4 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.2 | 252 | 97.3 | 1.6 |
| RIVERSIDE | 8873 | 4.7 | 267 | 3.0 | 73 | 0.8 | 8533 | 96.2 | 1.0 |
| SACRAMENTO | 7710 | 4.1 | 309 | 4.0 | 87 | 1.1 | 7314 | 94.9 | 1.0 |
| SAN BENITO | 256 | 0.1 | 9 | 3.5 | 4 | 1.6 | 243 | 94.9 | 0.9 |
| SAN BERNARDINO | 10304 | 5.5 | 304 | 3.0 | 76 | 0.7 | 9924 | 96.3 | 1.1 |
| SAN DIEGO | 14263 | 7.6 | 343 | 2.4 | 138 | 1.0 | 13782 | 96.6 | 0.8 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | 1447 | 0.8 | 133 | 9.2 | 4 | 0.3 | 1310 | 90.5 | 0.3 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | 4028 | 2.1 | 97 | 2.4 | 52 | 1.3 | 3879 | 96.3 | 1.3 |
| SAN LUIS OBISP | 2066 | 1.1 | 53 | 2.6 | 32 | 1.5 | 1981 | 95.9 | 1.3 |
| SAN MATEO | 3885 | 2.1 | 92 | 2.4 | 31 | 0.8 | 3762 | 96.8 | 0.8 |
| SANTA BARBARA | 2690 | 1.4 | 66 | 2.5 | 30 | 1.1 | 2594 | 96.4 | 1.0 |
| SANTA CLARA | 7816 | 4.2 | 271 | 3.5 | 71 | 0.9 | 7474 | 95.6 | 0.7 |
| SANTA CRUZ | 2160 | 1.1 | 33 | 1.5 | 41 | 1.9 | 2086 | 96.6 | 1.3 |
| SHASTA | 1153 | 0.6 | 62 | 5.4 | 26 | 2.3 | 1065 | 92.4 | 1.0 |
| SIERRA | 33 | 0.0 | 4 | 12.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 29 | 87.9 | 1.3 |
| SISKIYOU | 403 | 0.2 | 15 | 3.7 | 5 | 1.2 | 383 | 95.0 | 1.2 |
| SOLANO | 1855 | 1.0 | 49 | 2.6 | 31 | 1.7 | 1775 | 95.7 | 0.8 |
| SONOMA | 3040 | 1.6 | 79 | 2.6 | 39 | 1.3 | 2922 | 96.1 | 1.0 |
| STANISLAUS | 2741 | 1.5 | 106 | 3.9 | 45 | 1.6 | 2590 | 94.5 | 1.0 |
| SUTTER | 873 | 0.5 | 20 | 2.3 | 18 | 2.1 | 835 | 95.6 | 1.7 |
| TEHAMA | 456 | 0.2 | 21 | 4.6 | 7 | 1.5 | 428 | 93.9 | 1.2 |
| TRINITY | 264 | 0.1 | 14 | 5.3 | 3 | 1.1 | 247 | 93.6 | 2.7 |
| TULARE | 3366 | 1.8 | 77 | 2.3 | 43 | 1.3 | 3246 | 96.4 | 1.7 |
| TUOLUMNE | 353 | 0.2 | 19 | 5.4 | 6 | 1.7 | 328 | 92.9 | 0.9 |
| VENTURA | 4122 | 2.2 | 95 | 2.3 | 50 | 1.2 | 3977 | 96.5 | 0.8 |
| YOLO | 1050 | 0.6 | 28 | 2.7 | 20 | 1.9 | 1002 | 95.4 | 1.0 |
| YUBA | 393 | 0.2 | 12 | 3.1 | 4 | 1.0 | 377 | 95.9 | 1.1 |

TABLE 3a: 1998 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY*

| AGE | TOTAL |  | SEX (100\%) |  |  |  | RACE/ETHNICITY (100\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  | WHITE |  | HISPANIC |  | BLACK |  | OTHER |  |
|  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| STATEWIDE | 188327 | 100.0 | 162296 | 86.2 | 26031 | 13.8 | 79853 | 42.4 | 81744 | 43.4 | 12547 | 6.7 | 14183 | 7.5 |
| UNDER 18 | 1761 | 0.9 | 1466 | 83.2 | 295 | 16.8 | 914 | 51.9 | 643 | 36.5 | 60 | 3.4 | 144 | 8.2 |
| 18-20 | 13093 | 7.0 | 11573 | 88.4 | 1520 | 11.6 | 5620 | 42.9 | 6025 | 46.0 | 492 | 3.8 | 956 | 7.3 |
| 21-30 | 71002 | 37.7 | 62680 | 88.3 | 8322 | 11.7 | 24178 | 34.1 | 37688 | 53.1 | 3900 | 5.5 | 5236 | 7.4 |
| 31-40 | 55609 | 29.5 | 46899 | 84.3 | 8710 | 15.7 | 23459 | 42.2 | 23858 | 42.9 | 4167 | 7.5 | 4125 | 7.4 |
| 41-50 | 31279 | 16.6 | 26125 | 83.5 | 5154 | 16.5 | 16164 | 51.7 | 10033 | 32.1 | 2520 | 8.1 | 2562 | 8.2 |
| 51-60 | 10985 | 5.8 | 9497 | 86.5 | 1488 | 13.5 | 6562 | 59.7 | 2638 | 24.0 | 951 | 8.7 | 834 | 7.6 |
| 61-70 | 3523 | 1.9 | 3118 | 88.5 | 405 | 11.5 | 2203 | 62.5 | 701 | 19.9 | 364 | 10.3 | 255 | 7.2 |
| 71 \& ABOVE | 1075 | 0.6 | 938 | 87.3 | 137 | 12.7 | 753 | 70.0 | 158 | 14.7 | 93 | 8.7 | 71 | 6.6 |
| MEAN AGE (YEARS) | 33.7 |  | 33.6 |  | 34.7 |  | 35.7 |  | 31.4 |  | 36.2 |  | 33.9 |  |

TABLE 3b: 1998 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY

| SEX | AGE | TOTAL |  | RACE/ETHNICITY (100\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | WHITE |  | HISPANIC |  | BLACK |  | OTHER |  |
|  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| STATEWIDE |  | 188327 | 100.0 | 79853 | 42.4 | 81744 | 43.4 | 12547 | 6.7 | 14183 | 7.5 |
| MALE | UNDER 18 | 1466 | 0.9 | 709 | 48.4 | 582 | 39.7 | 49 | 3.3 | 126 | 8.6 |
|  | 18-20 | 11573 | 7.1 | 4670 | 40.4 | 5652 | 48.8 | 419 | 3.6 | 832 | 7.2 |
|  | 21-30 | 62680 | 38.6 | 19299 | 30.8 | 35574 | 56.8 | 3340 | 5.3 | 4467 | 7.1 |
|  | 31-40 | 46899 | 28.9 | 17816 | 38.0 | 22213 | 47.4 | 3423 | 7.3 | 3447 | 7.3 |
|  | 41-50 | 26125 | 16.1 | 12574 | 48.1 | 9238 | 35.4 | 2128 | 8.1 | 2185 | 8.4 |
|  | 51-60 | 9497 | 5.9 | 5431 | 57.2 | 2456 | 25.9 | 860 | 9.1 | 750 | 7.9 |
|  | 61-70 | 3118 | 1.9 | 1876 | 60.2 | 670 | 21.5 | 343 | 11.0 | 229 | 7.3 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 938 | 0.6 | 634 | 67.6 | 155 | 16.5 | 87 | 9.3 | 62 | 6.6 |
|  | TOTAL | 162296 | 100.0 | 63009 | 38.8 | 76540 | 47.2 | 10649 | 6.6 | 12098 | 7.5 |
| FEMALE | UNDER 18 | 295 | 1.1 | 205 | 69.5 | 61 | 20.7 | 11 | 3.7 | 18 | 6.1 |
|  | 18-20 | 1520 | 5.8 | 950 | 62.5 | 373 | 24.5 | 73 | 4.8 | 124 | 8.2 |
|  | 21-30 | 8322 | 32.0 | 4879 | 58.6 | 2114 | 25.4 | 560 | 6.7 | 769 | 9.2 |
|  | 31-40 | 8710 | 33.5 | 5643 | 64.8 | 1645 | 18.9 | 744 | 8.5 | 678 | 7.8 |
|  | 41-50 | 5154 | 19.8 | 3590 | 69.7 | 795 | 15.4 | 392 | 7.6 | 377 | 7.3 |
|  | 51-60 | 1488 | 5.7 | 1131 | 76.0 | 182 | 12.2 | 91 | 6.1 | 84 | 5.6 |
|  | 61-70 | 405 | 1.6 | 327 | 80.7 | 31 | 7.7 | 21 | 5.2 | 26 | 6.4 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 137 | 0.5 | 119 | 86.9 | 3 | 2.2 | 6 | 4.4 | 9 | 6.6 |
|  | TOTAL | 26031 | 100.0 | 16844 | 64.7 | 5204 | 20.0 | 1898 | 7.3 | 2085 | 8.0 |

## SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS

Data on convictions resulting from court adjudication of DUI arrests are reported directly to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) on court abstracts of conviction. The following tables compile and crosstabulate these conviction data by demographic, geographic, and adjudicative categories. In what follows, expressions like "1997 convictions" refer to DUI offenders arrested in 1997, who were subsequently convicted.

Table 4: 1997 DUI Convictions by Age and Sex. This table crosstabulates statewide DUI conviction information by age and sex. Corresponding county-specific conviction data are presented in Appendix Table B2.

Table 5: Matchable 1997 DUI Convictions by Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sex. This table displays DUI conviction information by age, race/ethnicity, and sex. "Matchable" DUI convictions are those which are traceable to a DUI arrest appearing on the MACR system. Because not all arrests could be matched to an existing record, these conviction totals underestimate the total number of actual convictions.

Table 6: Adjusted 1997 DUI Conviction Rates and Relative Likelihood of Conviction, by Age and Race/Ethnicity. This table shows the relative probability of a DUI arrest leading to a DUI conviction, by age and race/ethnicity. DUI conviction totals from categories in Table 5 ("matchable DUI convictions") were increased by the proportion which matchable convictions constituted of "total DUI convictions," shown in Table 7, to arrive at the adjusted DUI conviction rates. As explained above, without this adjustment DUI conviction rates would be underestimated using the conviction data from Table 5 because not all reported convictions are "matchable" to an arrest.

Table 7: Total Conviction Data for 1997 DUI Arrestees. This table portrays county and statewide DUI-related conviction data as reported to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. Corresponding court-specific data are shown in Appendix Table B3. Convictions not reported to DMV are considered nonconvictions for the purposes of this report. Actual nonconvictions include cases where the DUI arrest was not filed, not prosecuted, or resulted in a not guilty verdict. The DUI conviction rates by county were calculated by comparing the county conviction totals with DOJ arrest totals. Because not all 1997 DUI arrests have yet been adjudicated, these conviction totals and rates will slightly underestimate the "final" figures. The DUI conviction rates shown in the "DUI

Summary Statistics: 1988-1998" table at the very beginning of this report include an estimate of these late convictions, and thus are slightly higher than those shown in Tables 7 and 8. Conviction variables include felony and misdemeanor DUI convictions, alcohol- and nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions, convictions of "other" lesser offenses, and DUI convictions dismissed or found unconstitutional. DUI arrest dates from the DOJ MACR system were matched to driver record violation dates to identify nonalcohol-related reckless driving and "other" convictions. The average (mean) adjudication time lags from DUI arrest to conviction, and from conviction to update on the DMV database, were calculated for each county.

Table 8: Adjudication Status of 1997 DUI Arrests by County. This table shows the adjudication status (court disposition) of 1997 DUI arrests, by county. Included are the percentages of arrests which have resulted in DUI convictions (misdemeanor or felony), reckless driving convictions (alcohol-related or nonalcohol-related), convictions of "other" offenses, or no reported conviction, as of the date of writing. Again, because not all 1997 DUI arrests have yet been adjudicated, these rates will slightly underestimate the "final" rate for each category, excepting the category "no record of any conviction," which will be slightly reduced (approximately 1-2\%) by the eventual adjudication of these few late cases.

Table 9a: 1997 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of DUI Convictions and Table 9b: 1997 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Convicted DUI Offenders Under Age 21. Table 9a shows the frequency of reported positive BAC levels for DUI and alcohol-reckless convictions. Because of more complete reporting of BAC levels on APS reporting forms (70.5\%) than on abstracts of conviction, those reports are used to calculate statewide BAC levels. Abstracts of conviction, which were used in prior evaluations, report BAC levels in only $49 \%$ of cases. Table 9 b shows the BAC distribution for convicted arrestees under age 21.

Table 10: 1997 DUI Convictions by Offender Status and Average Reported BAC Level. This table displays the proportions of convicted DUI offenders by offender status (number of prior convictions in seven years), and the average (mean) BAC level from APS reporting forms and abstracts of conviction, for each offense level.

Figure 4 (below) shows, for the years 1988 to 1998, the number of DUI abstracts received to date by DMV from the courts, the estimated final number of DUI convictions which will ultimately be received, and the estimated final DUI conviction rate.


Note . Estimated DUI convictions $=$ see footnote 3 to "DUI Summary Statistics: 1988-1998."
Figure 4 . DUI abstracts received by DMV and DUI conviction volume and rate estimates, 1988-1998.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

## Statewide Adjudication Parameters:

- The estimated DUI conviction rate for 1998 arrestees ( $73 \%$ ) increased very slightly from the previous two years (72\%).
- $10.2 \%$ of 1997 DUI arrests resulted in reckless driving convictions, and $21.4 \%$ of these were not correctly identified as alcohol-related on the abstracts. Both of these rates are higher than corresponding rates for the previous four years.
- $2.4 \%$ of 1997 DUI arrests have resulted in convictions of offenses other than DUI or reckless driving, up very slightly from the previous year (2.2\%).
- $15.8 \%$ of 1997 DUI arrests have not yet resulted in any conviction on DMV's records, compared to $18.5 \%$ in 1996, 16.3\% in 1995, 18.0\% in 1994, 18.8\% in 1993 and $19.2 \%$ in 1992. As additional cases are adjudicated and reported by the courts, this figure will decrease slightly.
- The average reported BAC level for all convicted DUI offenders in 1997, using APS reporting forms as the data source, was $0.163 \%$, which is slightly lower than previous years, yet still more than double the illegal per se BAC limit of $0.08 \%$.
- Average BAC levels increase as a function of the number of prior DUI convictions, from a $0.159 \%$ BAC for a first offense to a $0.182 \%$ BAC for a fourth or subsequent offense.
- Among 1997 convicted DUI offenders, $71.4 \%$ were first offenders, $21.4 \%$ were second offenders, $5.3 \%$ were third offenders, and $1.8 \%$ were on their fourth or more offense. (The statutorily defined time period for counting priors in California is seven years.) The proportion of repeat offenders ( $28.6 \%$ ) among all convicted DUI offenders has decreased slightly each year since 1989 (at which time $37 \%$ of all convictions were repeat offenses).
- The average (mean) adjudication time lags were 2.9 months from DUI arrest to conviction and 2.9 months from conviction to update on the DMV database, totalling almost 6 months from arrest to update on the offender's driving record. This total elapsed time from arrest to update is similar to that in prior years.


## Variation by County:

- Among the larger counties, 1997 DUI conviction rates varied from highs of $88.2 \%$ in Ventura and $84.7 \%$ in Orange to a low of $48.8 \%$ in San Bernardino. Los Angeles County, which accounted for almost $25 \%$ of all DUI arrests in the state, had a DUI conviction rate of $71.9 \%$.
- Among the smaller counties, 1997 DUI conviction rates varied from highs of $89.2 \%$ in Napa and $87.9 \%$ in Amador to a low of $41.2 \%$ in Sutter.
- The rates at which DUI arrests were plea-bargained to alcohol-related reckless driving convictions varied from over $35 \%$ in Alpine County to $0 \%$ in Marin, Lassen, and Ventura counties.
- The percentage of DUI arrests that were improperly adjudicated as nonalcoholrelated reckless driving convictions varied from $0 \%$ to $13.6 \%$. Six counties had rates of 5\% or more: Sacramento, Lassen, Yolo, San Francisco, Calaveras and Imperial.
- The percentage of DUI arrests adjudicated as minor convictions ("other" convictions) varied from $0 \%$ to $6.7 \%$. Kern, Calaveras, San Joaquin, San Luis Obispo and Los Angeles counties had rates of $4 \%$ or more.
- In seven counties, the proportion of arrestees not showing a conviction of any offense exceeded $30 \%$. These counties were Mariposa, Sutter, San Bernardino, Imperial, Trinity, Sierra, and Tulare. Twenty-two counties had nonconviction rates of less than $10 \%$, with Shasta, Mono, Amador and San Benito at less than $2 \%$.


## Variation by Court:

- As was true for prior years, the 1997 superior court time lags were generally longer than municipal court time lags, presumably due to the type of DUI case (felony) being adjudicated.
- Municipal court time lags from arrest to conviction (for courts with more than a handful of reported convictions) varied from a high of 5.3 months in the Pittsburg (Contra Costa County) court to a low of 1.2 months for the King City and Salinas courts (Monterey County).
- Statewide, the proportion of reckless driving convictions (alcohol and nonalcohol), relative to all convictions resulting from DUI arrests, was about 10\% in 1997 (down from $11 \%$ in 1994 through 1996). Among the courts which substantially exceeded this statewide average was Leggett (Mendocino), which adjudicated $57 \%$ of its convictions in DUI cases as reckless driving.
- Statewide, $21 \%$ of all DUI-related reckless driving convictions in 1997 are inappropriately designated as nonalcohol, up from $18 \%$ in 1996. In Sacramento County, however, the Sacramento Court reported $86 \%$ ( 920 out of 1073) of its DUIrelated reckless driving convictions as nonalcohol.


## Demographic Characteristics:

- The average age of a convicted DUI offender in 1997 was 34.9 years.
- $40.5 \%$ of 1997 DUI convictees were aged 30 years or younger and $72.5 \%$ were 40 years or younger.
- Females comprised $14.0 \%$ of all 1997 convicted DUI offenders, compared to $13.1 \%$ in 1996, $12.8 \%$ in $1995,12.2 \%$ in 1994, $12.3 \%$ in $1993,12.1 \%$ in $1992,12.2 \%$ in 1991, $11.7 \%$ in 1990, and $11.4 \%$ in 1989.
- The racial/ethnic distribution of 1997 DUI convictions (White $=43.0 \%$; Hispanic $=$ $43.3 \%$; Black $=6.3 \%$; Other $=7.4 \%$ ) generally paralleled that of 1997 arrests, although Whites and Others were somewhat more likely to be convicted of the offense (as shown in Figure 5 below).


TABLE 4: 1997 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX*

| AGE | TOTAL |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| STATEWIDE | 137002 | 100.0 | 117759 | 86.0 | 19243 | 14.0 |
| UNDER 18 | 377 | 0.3 | 324 | 85.9 | 53 | 14.1 |
| 18-20 | 6006 | 4.4 | 5278 | 87.9 | 728 | 12.1 |
| 21-30 | 49064 | 35.8 | 43264 | 88.2 | 5800 | 11.8 |
| 31-40 | 43842 | 32.0 | 37025 | 84.5 | 6817 | 15.5 |
| 41-50 | 25132 | 18.3 | 20969 | 83.4 | 4163 | 16.6 |
| 51-60 | 8895 | 6.5 | 7662 | 86.1 | 1233 | 13.9 |
| 61-70 | 2849 | 2.1 | 2479 | 87.0 | 370 | 13.0 |
| 71 \& ABOVE | 837 | 0.6 | 758 | 90.6 | 79 | 9.4 |
| MEAN AGE (YEARS) | 34.9 |  | 34.8 |  | 35.8 |  |

*County-specific tabulations of 1997 DUI convictions by age and sex are shown in Appendix Table B2.
TABLE 5: MATCHABLE 1997 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ETHNICITY AND SEX

| AGE | TOTAL |  | RACE/ETHNICITY (100\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | WHITE |  |  |  | HISPANIC |  |  |  | BLACK |  |  |  | OTHER |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  |
|  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| STATEWIDE | 120517 | 100.0 | 40615 | 33.7 | 11196 | 9.3 | 48792 | 40.5 | 3367 | 2.8 | 6426 | 5.3 | 1161 | 1.0 | 7685 | 6.4 | 1275 | 1.1 |
| UNDER 18 | 666 | 0.6 | 307 | 46.1 | 82 | 12.3 | 203 | 30.5 | 10 | 1.5 | 17 | 2.6 | 2 | 0.3 | 37 | 5.6 | 8 | 1.2 |
| 18-20 | 7042 | 5.8 | 2539 | 36.1 | 560 | 8.0 | 3016 | 42.8 | 185 | 2.6 | 220 | 3.1 | 31 | 0.4 | 409 | 5.8 | 82 | 1.2 |
| 21-30 | 43436 | 36.0 | 12005 | 27.6 | 3230 | 7.4 | 21530 | 49.6 | 1243 | 2.9 | 1879 | 4.3 | 320 | 0.7 | 2744 | 6.3 | 485 | 1.1 |
| 31-40 | 37527 | 31.1 | 11969 | 31.9 | 3802 | 10.1 | 15167 | 40.4 | 1168 | 3.1 | 2168 | 5.8 | 468 | 1.2 | 2372 | 6.3 | 413 | 1.1 |
| 41-50 | 21291 | 17.7 | 8605 | 40.4 | 2423 | 11.4 | 6415 | 30.1 | 606 | 2.8 | 1301 | 6.1 | 258 | 1.2 | 1461 | 6.9 | 222 | 1.0 |
| 51-60 | 7409 | 6.1 | 3475 | 46.9 | 789 | 10.6 | 1843 | 24.9 | 125 | 1.7 | 570 | 7.7 | 61 | 0.8 | 495 | 6.7 | 51 | 0.7 |
| 61-70 | 2438 | 2.0 | 1266 | 51.9 | 244 | 10.0 | 511 | 21.0 | 26 | 1.1 | 228 | 9.4 | 19 | 0.8 | 131 | 5.4 | 13 | 0.5 |
| 71 \& ABOVE | 708 | 0.6 | 449 | 63.4 | 66 | 9.3 | 107 | 15.1 | 4 | 0.6 | 43 | 6.1 | 2 | 0.3 | 36 | 5.1 | 1 | 0.1 |

TABLE 6: ADJUSTED 1997 DUI CONVICTION RATES ${ }^{1}$ AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD ${ }^{2}$ OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY

|  |  |  |  |  |  | RACE/E | NNICITY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | HISP | NIC |  |  |  |  |
| AGE | ADJUSTED <br> CONVICTION <br> RATE | RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD | ADJUSTED <br> CONVICTION <br> RATE | RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD | $\qquad$ | RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD | ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE | RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD | ADJUSTED <br> CONVICTION <br> RATE | RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD |
| STATEWIDE | 0.72 | 1.00 | 0.74 | 1.03 | 0.70 | 0.98 | 0.68 | 0.95 | 0.71 | 1.00 |
| UNDER 18 | 0.44 | 0.62 | 0.51 | 0.71 | 0.36 | 0.50 | 0.38 | 0.53 | 0.47 | 0.65 |
| 18-20 | 0.65 | 0.91 | 0.69 | 0.97 | 0.63 | 0.87 | 0.57 | 0.80 | 0.63 | 0.87 |
| 21-30 | 0.69 | 0.96 | 0.73 | 1.02 | 0.66 | 0.92 | 0.66 | 0.92 | 0.70 | 0.97 |
| 31-40 | 0.74 | 1.03 | 0.74 | 1.04 | 0.74 | 1.03 | 0.69 | 0.96 | 0.72 | 1.01 |
| 41-50 | 0.76 | 1.07 | 0.76 | 1.06 | 0.78 | 1.09 | 0.71 | 0.99 | 0.76 | 1.06 |
| 51-60 | 0.77 | 1.07 | 0.76 | 1.06 | 0.81 | 1.13 | 0.74 | 1.03 | 0.75 | 1.05 |
| 61-70 | 0.78 | 1.09 | 0.76 | 1.06 | 0.84 | 1.17 | 0.79 | 1.10 | 0.77 | 1.08 |
| 71 \& ABOVE | 0.73 | 1.02 | 0.75 | 1.05 | 0.66 | 0.93 | 0.71 | 0.99 | 0.67 | 0.93 |

Adjusted DUI Conviction Rates = The matchable DUI conviction rate proportionally adjusted to the overall DUI conviction rate.
${ }^{2}$ Relative Likelihood $=\frac{\text { Adjusted DUI Conviction Rate }}{\text { Overall Total DUI Conviction Rate }}$
TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1997 DUI ARRESTEES¹

| COUNTY | DUICONVICTIONRATE | MISD DUI | FELONY DUI ${ }^{2}$ | ALCOHOL RECKLESS | NONALCOHOL RECKLESS | OTHER CONVICTIONS | $\begin{gathered} \text { DUI } \\ \text { DISMISSED } 3 / \\ \text { UNCONST }^{4} \end{gathered}$ | AVERAGE DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VIOLATION TO CONVICTION | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { CONVICTION } \\ \text { TO DMV UPDATE } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| STATEWIDE | 71.7\% | 134417 | 2588 | 15213 | 4144 | 4655 | 11/153 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| ALAMEDA | 64.0\% | 3891 | 32 | 408 | 132 | 206 | 0/0 | 3.6 | 2.6 |
| ALPINE ${ }^{5}$ | 57.1\% | 16 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0/1 | 4.6 | 2.0 |
| AMADOR | 87.9\% | 174 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 4 | 0/0 | 2.2 | 3.6 |
| BUTTE | 72.0\% | 876 | 22 | 164 | 50 | 17 | 0/6 | 2.9 | 5.1 |
| CALAVERAS | 60.1\% | 183 | 7 | 26 | 16 | 18 | 0/0 | 2.3 | 1.8 |
| COLUSA | 69.2\% | 201 | 1 | 46 | 6 | 5 | 0/1 | 2.4 | 3.0 |
| CONTRA COSTA | 72.1\% | 2984 | 46 | 430 | 39 | 55 | 0/7 | 4.3 | 3.2 |
| DEL NORTE | 64.9\% | 184 | 1 | 49 | 10 | 9 | 0/0 | 3.0 | 4.3 |
| EL DORADO | 81.8\% | 726 | 25 | 77 | 5 | 13 | 0/1 | 2.5 | 6.2 |
| FRESNO | 58.5\% | 3665 | 111 | 808 | 53 | 68 | 1/1 | 4.3 | 5.5 |
| GLENN | 70.3\% | 188 | 4 | 29 | 10 | 7 | 0/1 | 2.4 | 4.3 |
| HUMBOLDT | 58.8\% | 764 | 19 | 204 | 44 | 33 | 0/1 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| IMPERIAL | 45.7\% | 766 | 8 | 158 | 85 | 12 | 0/0 | 4.3 | 2.6 |
| INYO | 60.7\% | 158 | 7 | 43 | 3 | 8 | 1/0 | 3.8 | 4.5 |
| KERN | 78.5\% | 3307 | 70 | 375 | 60 | 290 | 0/5 | 2.2 | 3.7 |
| KINGS | 81.4\% | 832 | 12 | 106 | 5 | 18 | 0/1 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| LAKE | 68.7\% | 431 | 7 | 45 | 8 | 4 | 0/0 | 4.0 | 8.4 |
| LASSEN | 67.8\% | 122 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 0/0 | 3.6 | 5.0 |
| LOS ANGELES | 71.9\% | 34949 | 450 | 4672 | 583 | 1991 | 1/34 | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| MADERA | 65.0\% | 505 | 28 | 87 | 15 | 8 | 0/0 | 3.0 | 3.3 |
| MARIN | 84.8\% | 1336 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 35 | 0/0 | 3.0 | 4.1 |
| MARIPOSA ${ }^{6}$ | 43.5\% | 47 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.2 | 3.4 |
| MENDOCINO | 72.5\% | 550 | 14 | 137 | 34 | 9 | 0/0 | 2.5 | 4.4 |
| MERCED | 53.7\% | 945 | 33 | 227 | 57 | 35 | 1/0 | 4.1 | 4.9 |
| MODOC | 63.7\% | 53 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1/0 | 1.8 | 4.6 |
| MONO ${ }^{5}$ | 77.0\% | 87 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 2 | 0/0 | 3.0 | 3.7 |

[^0]TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1996 DUI ARRESTEES ${ }^{1}$ - continued

| COUNTY | DUI CONVICTION RATE | MISD DUI | FELONY DUI ${ }^{2}$ | ALCOHOL RECKLESS | NONALCOHOL RECKLESS | OTHER CONVICTIONS | $\begin{gathered} \text { DUI } \\ \text { DISMISSED } 3 / \\ \text { UNCONST }^{4} \end{gathered}$ | AVERAGE DUI ADJUDICATIONTIMES (MONTHS) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VIOLATION TO CONVICTION | CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE |
| MONTEREY | 82.0\% | 2904 | 56 | 421 | 89 | 29 | $0 / 2$ | 1.6 | 4.8 |
| NAPA | 89.2\% | 955 | 30 | 52 | 7 | 16 | $0 / 2$ | 3.1 | 2.5 |
| NEVADA | 73.4\% | 501 | 15 | 137 | 13 | 7 | 0/0 | 2.5 | 5.0 |
| ORANGE | 84.7\% | 12421 | 165 | 533 | 120 | 194 | 1/11 | 3.1 | 2.2 |
| PLACER | 80.6\% | 1336 | 21 | 80 | 31 | 16 | 0/1 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| PLUMAS | 66.5\% | 153 | 2 | 24 | 3 | 2 | 0/1 | 3.2 | 7.4 |
| RIVERSIDE | 74.4\% | 5874 | 140 | 199 | 297 | 122 | 0/2 | 3.9 | 2.2 |
| SACRAMENTO | 70.0\% | 4641 | 192 | 181 | 939 | 76 | 0/9 | 3.4 | 2.0 |
| SAN BENITO | 86.2\% | 322 | 3 | 35 | 2 | 8 | 0/6 | 2.4 | 6.9 |
| SAN BERNARDINO | 48.8\% | 5122 | 158 | 287 | 402 | 365 | 1/6 | 4.0 | 2.2 |
| SAN DIEGO | 74.4\% | 10884 | 53 | 849 | 225 | 212 | 1/8 | 3.1 | 4.1 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | 59.4\% | 871 | 8 | 253 | 79 | 14 | 0/0 | 3.6 | 0.8 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | 73.0\% | 2646 | 61 | 306 | 51 | 150 | 0/2 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | 70.9\% | 1329 | 23 | 365 | 47 | 77 | 0/0 | 2.3 | 5.3 |
| SAN MATEO | 78.8\% | 2764 | 42 | 384 | 28 | 55 | 0/1 | 2.6 | 2.9 |
| SANTA BARBARA | 79.7\% | 2224 | 27 | 366 | 52 | 38 | 0/1 | 1.9 | 4.5 |
| SANTA CLARA | 76.3\% | 6601 | 262 | 606 | 204 | 142 | 0/4 | 3.3 | 2.4 |
| SANTA CRUZ | 70.8\% | 1726 | 33 | 294 | 21 | 30 | 0/6 | 2.1 | 5.0 |
| SHASTA | 83.8\% | 763 | 41 | 110 | 35 | 9 | 0/0 | 2.9 | 3.9 |
| SIERRA | 50.0\% | 15 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 2.5 | 5.0 |
| SISKIYOU | 65.1\% | 271 | 14 | 48 | 16 | 5 | 0/0 | 2.9 | 3.8 |
| SOLANO | 81.9\% | 1151 | 25 | 150 | 32 | 22 | 0/2 | 2.8 | 7.2 |
| SONOMA | 69.3\% | 1940 | 103 | 556 | 55 | 47 | 0/5 | 3.4 | 4.3 |
| STANISLAUS | 69.9\% | 1770 | 42 | 347 | 37 | 12 | 0/3 | 3.3 | 2.3 |
| SUTTER | 41.2\% | 313 | 14 | 75 | 8 | 7 | 0/0 | 2.2 | 3.9 |
| TEHAMA | 77.5\% | 354 | 4 | 31 | 6 | 8 | 0/0 | 2.2 | 2.9 |
| TRINITY | 46.0\% | 110 | 4 | 28 | 6 | 6 | 0/0 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| TULARE | 63.3\% | 1915 | 53 | 67 | 19 | 33 | 0/2 | 2.7 | 3.1 |
| TUOLUMNE | 81.5\% | 289 | 6 | 35 | 3 | 10 | 0/1 | 3.0 | 1.8 |
| VENTURA | 88.2\% | 3422 | 31 | 0 | 1 | 78 | 3/2 | 3.2 | 2.9 |
| YOLO | 56.9\% | 625 | 20 | 181 | 61 | 5 | 0/0 | 4.4 | 1.8 |
| YUBA | 73.5\% | 265 | 4 | 71 | 11 | 7 | $0 / 2$ | 2.5 | 1.9 |

TABLE 8: ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 1997 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY¹

| COUNTY | DUI CONVICTIONS |  | RECKLESS DRIVING CONVICTIONS |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% OTHER } \\ \text { CONVICTIONS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% NO RECORD } \\ & \text { OF ANY } \\ & \text { CONVICTION } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MISDEMEANOR | $\%$ <br> FELONY | \% ALCOHOL RELATED | \% NONALCOHOL RELATED |  |  |
| STATEWIDE | 70.3 | 1.4 | 8.0 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 15.8 |
| ALAMEDA | 63.4 | 0.5 | 6.7 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 23.9 |
| ALPINE ${ }^{2}$ | 57.1 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.1 |
| AMADOR | 84.5 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| BUTTE | 70.2 | 1.8 | 13.2 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 9.5 |
| CALAVERAS | 57.9 | 2.2 | 8.2 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 20.9 |
| COLUSA | 68.8 | 0.3 | 15.8 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 11.3 |
| CONTRA COSTA | 71.0 | 1.1 | 10.2 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 15.4 |
| DEL NORTE | 64.6 | 0.4 | 17.2 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 11.2 |
| EL DORADO | 79.1 | 2.7 | 8.4 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 7.8 |
| FRESNO | 56.8 | 1.7 | 12.5 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 27.1 |
| GLENN | 68.9 | 1.5 | 10.6 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 12.8 |
| HUMBOLDT | 57.4 | 1.4 | 15.3 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 20.1 |
| IMPERIAL | 45.3 | 0.5 | 9.3 | 5.0 | 0.7 | 39.2 |
| INYO | 58.1 | 2.6 | 15.8 | 1.1 | 2.9 | 19.5 |
| KERN | 76.9 | 1.6 | 8.7 | 1.4 | 6.7 | 4.7 |
| KINGS | 80.2 | 1.2 | 10.2 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 6.2 |
| LAKE | 67.6 | 1.1 | 7.1 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 22.4 |
| LASSEN | 66.7 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 2.2 | 21.9 |
| LOS ANGELES | 71.0 | 0.9 | 9.5 | 1.2 | 4.0 | 13.4 |
| MADERA | 61.6 | 3.4 | 10.6 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 21.6 |
| MARIN | 83.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 13.0 |
| MARIPOSA ${ }^{3}$ | 43.5 | 0.9 | 5.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 49.1 |
| MENDOCINO | 70.7 | 1.8 | 17.6 | 4.4 | 1.2 | 4.4 |
| MERCED | 51.9 | 1.8 | 12.5 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 28.8 |
| MODOC | 58.2 | 5.5 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 27.5 |
| MONO ${ }^{2}$ | 77.0 | 1.8 | 15.0 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| MONTEREY | 80.5 | 1.6 | 11.7 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 3.0 |
| NAPA | 86.5 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 4.0 |
| NEVADA | 71.3 | 2.1 | 19.5 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 4.3 |
| ORANGE | 83.6 | 1.1 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 9.6 |
| PLACER | 79.3 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 11.9 |
| PLUMAS | 65.7 | 0.9 | 10.3 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 21.0 |
| RIVERSIDE | 72.7 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 1.5 | 17.9 |
| SACRAMENTO | 67.3 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 13.6 | 1.1 | 12.7 |
| SAN BENITO | 85.4 | 0.8 | 9.3 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 1.9 |
| SAN BERNARDINO | 47.4 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 41.4 |
| SAN DIEGO | 74.0 | 0.4 | 5.8 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 16.9 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | 58.8 | 0.5 | 17.1 | 5.3 | 0.9 | 17.3 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | 71.3 | 1.6 | 8.2 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 13.4 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | 69.7 | 1.2 | 19.1 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 |
| SAN MATEO | 77.6 | 1.2 | 10.8 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 8.1 |
| SANTA BARBARA | 78.8 | 1.0 | 13.0 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 4.1 |
| SANTA CLARA | 73.4 | 2.9 | 6.7 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 13.1 |
| SANTA CRUZ | 69.5 | 1.3 | 11.8 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 15.3 |
| SHASTA | 79.5 | 4.3 | 11.5 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| SIERRA | 50.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 36.7 |
| SISKIYOU | 61.9 | 3.2 | 11.0 | 3.7 | 1.1 | 19.2 |
| SOLANO | 80.2 | 1.7 | 10.4 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 3.9 |
| SONOMA | 65.8 | 3.5 | 18.9 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 8.4 |
| STANISLAUS | 68.3 | 1.6 | 13.4 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 14.7 |
| SUTTER | 39.4 | 1.8 | 9.4 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 47.5 |
| TEHAMA | 76.6 | 0.9 | 6.7 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 12.8 |
| TRINITY | 44.4 | 1.6 | 11.3 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 37.9 |
| TULARE | 61.6 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 32.9 |
| TUOLUMNE | 79.8 | 1.7 | 9.7 | 0.8 | 2.8 | 5.2 |
| VENTURA | 87.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 9.8 |
| YOLO | 55.1 | 1.8 | 16.0 | 5.4 | 0.4 | 21.3 |
| YUBA | 72.4 | 1.1 | 19.4 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 2.2 |

${ }^{1}$ The percentages total to 100 by row (county).
${ }^{2}$ The calculation of the conviction rates was based on total arrests including arrests not reported in the DOJ MACR system.
${ }^{3}$ The calculation of the conviction rates was based on total arrests including federal DUI arrests (Yosemite National Park) not reported in the DOJ MACR system.

TABLE 9a: 1997 REPORTED* BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS

| DUI CONVICTIONS |  |  | ALCOHOL-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BAC LEVEL | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | BAC LEVEL | FREQUENCY | PERCENT |
| . 01 | 32 | 0.0 | . 01 | 9 | 0.1 |
| . 02 | 32 | 0.0 | . 02 | 5 | 0.1 |
| . 03 | 38 | 0.0 | . 03 | 7 | 0.1 |
| . 04 | 47 | 0.1 | . 04 | 15 | 0.2 |
| . 05 | 75 | 0.1 | . 05 | 31 | 0.3 |
| . 06 | 106 | 0.1 | . 06 | 127 | 1.3 |
| . 07 | 244 | 0.3 | . 07 | 385 | 3.9 |
| . 08 | 1282 | 1.4 | . 08 | 2140 | 21.6 |
| . 09 | 2611 | 2.9 | . 09 | 2909 | 29.3 |
| . 10 | 4798 | 5.3 | . 10 | 1973 | 19.9 |
| . 11 | 6534 | 7.2 | . 11 | 1032 | 10.4 |
| . 12 | 7254 | 8.0 | . 12 | 465 | 4.7 |
| . 13 | 7679 | 8.5 | . 13 | 241 | 2.4 |
| . 14 | 7358 | 8.1 | . 14 | 145 | 1.5 |
| . 15 | 7240 | 8.0 | . 15 | 113 | 1.1 |
| . 16 | 6668 | 7.4 | . 16 | 63 | 0.6 |
| . 17 | 6119 | 6.8 | . 17 | 61 | 0.6 |
| . 18 | 5795 | 6.4 | . 18 | 47 | 0.5 |
| . 19 | 4944 | 5.5 | . 19 | 45 | 0.5 |
| . 20 | 4430 | 4.9 | . 20 | 27 | 0.3 |
| . 21 | 3704 | 4.1 | . 21 | 10 | 0.1 |
| . 22 | 2939 | 3.3 | . 22 | 23 | 0.2 |
| . 23 | 2441 | 2.7 | . 23 | 9 | 0.1 |
| . 24 | 1857 | 2.1 | . 24 | 8 | 0.1 |
| . 25 | 1451 | 1.6 | . 25 | 6 | 0.1 |
| . 26 | 1154 | 1.3 | . 26 | 3 | 0.0 |
| . 27 | 882 | 1.0 | . 27 | 3 | 0.0 |
| . 28 | 673 | 0.7 | . 28 | 2 | 0.0 |
| . 29 | 525 | 0.6 | . 29 | 7 | 0.1 |
| . 30 | 406 | 0.4 | . 31 | 1 | 0.0 |
| . 31 | 278 | 0.3 | . 32 | 1 | 0.0 |
| . 32 | 213 | 0.2 | . 33 | 1 | 0.0 |
| . 33 | 168 | 0.2 | . 34 | 1 | 0.0 |
| . 34 | 123 | 0.1 | . 35 | 1 | 0.0 |
| . 35 | 101 | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| . 36 | 61 | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| . 37 | 45 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| . 38 | 31 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| . 39 | 29 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| . 40 | 16 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| . 41 | 5 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| . 42 | 13 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| . 43 | 9 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| . 44 | 1 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| . 45 | 3 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| . 46 | 1 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| . 48 | 2 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| .49+ | 7 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | $90424$ <br> MEAN BAC 163 | ------- | TOTAL | $\begin{gathered} -------\quad 9916 \\ \text { MEAN BAC . } 098 \end{gathered}$ | 100.0 |

*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for convicted DUI offenders, rather than the abstract of conviction for those offenders, which was the data source in the earliest reports. This change in data source was made because of the more complete BAC reporting on APS forms $(70.5 \%$ of total) versus court abstracts (with only $49 \%$ showing BAC levels).

TABLE 9b: 1997 REPORTED* BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21

| BAC LEVEL | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | BAC LEVEL | FREQUENCY | PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . 01 | 9 | 0.2 | . 21 | 81 | 2.0 |
| . 02 | 9 | 0.2 | . 22 | 61 | 1.5 |
| . 03 | 7 | 0.2 | . 23 | 37 | 0.9 |
| . 04 | 14 | 0.3 | . 24 | 22 | 0.5 |
| . 05 | 17 | 0.4 | . 25 | 15 | 0.4 |
| . 06 | 20 | 0.5 | . 26 | 15 | 0.4 |
| . 07 | 50 | 1.2 | . 27 | 5 | 0.1 |
| . 08 | 130 | 3.1 | . 28 | 5 | 0.1 |
| . 09 | 228 | 5.5 | . 29 | 2 | 0.0 |
| . 10 | 332 | 8.0 | . 30 | 3 | 0.1 |
| . 11 | 446 | 10.8 | . 31 | 3 | 0.1 |
| . 12 | 413 | 10.0 | . 34 | 2 | 0.0 |
| . 13 | 440 | 10.6 | .37+ | 4 | 0.0 |
| . 14 | 401 | 9.7 |  | ------- | -------- |
| . 15 | 345 | 8.3 | TOTAL | 4141 | 100.0 |
| . 16 | 296 | 7.1 |  |  |  |
| . 17 | 249 | 6.0 |  | MEAN BAC . 139 |  |
| . 18 | 215 | 5.2 |  |  |  |
| . 19 | 153 | 3.7 |  |  |  |
| . 20 | 112 | 2.7 |  |  |  |

*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for arrested DUI offenders. The proportion of BAC levels found for 1997 convicted under age 21 cases is $64.9 \%$.

TABLE 10: 1997 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS
AND AVERAGE REPORTED BAC LEVEL

| DUI OFFENDER <br> STATUS | PERCENT | AVERAGE BAC LEVEL <br> FROM APS REPORTING <br> FORM (\%) | AVERAGE BAC LEVEL <br> FROM CONVICTION <br> ABSTRACT (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATEWIDE | 100.0 | .163 | .161 |
| 1ST DUI | 71.4 | .159 | .158 |
| 2ND DUI | 21.4 | .171 | .170 |
| 3RD DUI | 5.3 | .176 | .175 |
| 4TH+ DUI | 1.8 | .182 | .176 |

## SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

Data on court sanctions assigned to convicted DUI offenders were taken from DUI abstracts of conviction for offenders arrested in 1997. Also included are counts of postconviction DMV license actions for selected offender groups, while total counts of all license actions, including administrative per se (APS) license suspensions and revocations, are shown in the Administrative Actions Section. APS actions (effective July 1990) are initiated by law enforcement immediately upon arrest for DUI, and are administered independently of the criminal adjudication process. This section includes the following tables:

Table 11: 1997 DUI Court Sanctions by DUI Offender Status. This table shows the frequency of specific court sanctions statewide by number of prior DUI convictions. The specific court sanctions tallied include percentages of probation, jail, alcohol treatment programs (first offender, SB 38 second offender, and 30-month third offender programs), license restriction, court suspension, and ignition interlock. Crosstabulations of sanctions by county, court, and number of prior convictions appear in Appendix Table B4.

Table 12: 1997 DUI Sanction Combinations by County - First Offenders. This table displays the frequency of commonly assigned court sanction combinations (such as first offender alcohol program plus license restriction) by county for first DUI offenders. License suspensions include both court and DMV postconviction (non-APS) suspensions. The sanction combination groups portrayed in this table, as well as in Table 13, were defined according to the conventions described in the "Evaluation Methods and Results" portion of Section 4: "Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness."

Table 13: 1997 DUI Sanction Combinations by County - Repeat Offenders. This table shows the frequency of commonly assigned court sanction combinations by county for second, third, and fourth (or subsequent) DUI offenders. License actions include both court and DMV postconviction (non-APS) license suspensions and revocations.

From the data in these and the Appendix tables, it is evident that the use of alternative sanctions continued to vary widely by county, court, and offender status in 1997. For example:

## Statewide Parameters:

- The most frequently applied court sanction among all convicted DUI offenders was probation (96.7\%), while the least frequently used court sanction was court license suspension (5.4\%). DUI offenders were sentenced to jail in $74.5 \%$ of the cases. (However, in many jurisdictions, jail is often served as community service rather than actual jail time.)

Figure 6 (below) graphically displays the statewide data from Table 11 showing the percentage representation of specific types of court-ordered sanctions among all convicted DUI offenders. Because virtually all offenders receive more than one type of sanction, the cumulative percentage adds to much more than $100 \%$.


Figure 6 . Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (1997).

## County Variation:

- The proportion of first-DUI offenders sentenced to jail varied by county from less than $10 \%$ in Marin County to almost $100 \%$ in Amador, Calaveras, Lake, Mariposa, San Benito and Sierra counties.
- Considering sanction combinations, counties such as Amador, Calaveras, El Dorado, Napa, San Francisco, San Luis Obispo, San Mateo, Sierra and Stanislaus preferred to assign first offenders to treatment program and jail (over 85\%) rather than treatment program and license restriction (less than 3\%). In contrast, Alpine, Humboldt, Marin and Tehama counties assigned treatment program and jail to less than $5 \%$ of their first offenders. Inyo, Los Angeles, Marin, and Orange counties assigned treatment program and license restriction to over $75 \%$ of firstoffenders.
- Counties departing from using typical first offender sanction combinations were Alpine, Humboldt, Imperial, and Tehama, as shown by relatively high percentages (over 10\%) in the "other" category. ("Other" includes license restriction without treatment program assignment, probation only, and other unofficial nonstatutory sanction combinations.)


## Court Variation:

- Statewide, there can be extreme variation by court in the use of available sanctions for DUI offenders. In Santa Barbara County alone, one court (Santa Maria) assigned jail to $97 \%$ of all convicted DUI offenders ( $n=730$ ), while another court (Lompoc) in the same county assigned jail to only $39 \%$ of all convicted DUI offenders ( $n=234$ ).
- In Los Angeles County, two municipal courts (Compton and Lancaster) used jail as a sanction in $95 \%$ or more of their DUI sentences. On the other hand, two other courts (Malibu and Culver City) used jail as a sanction in less than $30 \%$ of their DUI sentences.
- In 1997, Los Angeles was the only county with an active 30-month third offender treatment program. Even within this county, however, assignment of third offenders to this program modality varied by court from a high of $36 \%$ of third offenders sentenced in the Culver City court to $0 \%$ of such offenders in many other municipal courts within Los Angeles County.
- Although courts required only $6.3 \%$ of all convicted DUI offenders to install the ignition interlock device statewide in 1997, the Hollister court (San Benito County) required over $25 \%$ of DUI offenders to use interlock. Statewide, $20.7 \%$ of all convicted repeat DUI offenders were assigned to interlock in 1997.


## Variation by Offender Status:

- Less than $70 \%$ of 1997 first-DUI offenders were sentenced to jail, compared to over $90 \%$ of all repeat offenders.
- $88 \%$ of first DUI-offenders were assigned to alcohol treatment programs, along with $83 \%$ of second offenders, $52 \%$ of third offenders, and $25 \%$ of fourth or more DUI offenders. (By statute, however, all offenders must eventually complete specified alcohol treatment programs in order to be eligible for license reinstatement.)
- $4.3 \%$ of first-DUI offenders and $8.2 \%$ of repeat DUI-offenders received court license suspensions in 1997. Since July 1990, all DUI offenders with BAC levels of $0.08 \%$ or more are also subject to a 30 day to 1-year administrative license suspension/revocation under the APS law.
- Only $20.7 \%$ of repeat-DUI offenders were assigned ignition interlock in 1997, in spite of the mandatory interlock law for all repeat offenders (AB 2851 - Freidman), which took effect on July 1, 1993. This law was repealed in 1998, and a new ignition interlock law (AB 762 - Torlakson) and program was enacted and implemented July 1, 1999, which established mandatory interlock for DUI suspension/revocation violators, while providing incentives for repeat offenders to reinstate early with interlock.

TABLE 11: 1997 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS*

| $\begin{gathered} \text { DUI } \\ \text { OFFENDER } \\ \text { STATUS } \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST <br> OFFENDER <br> ALCOHOL <br> PROGRAM | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB } 38 \\ \text { ALCOHOL } \\ \text { PROGRAM } \end{gathered}$ | 30-MONTH <br> PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION <br> INTERLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| STATEWIDE | 137002 | 96.7 | 74.5 | 64.4 | 19.5 | 0.1 | 48.4 | 5.4 | 6.3 |
| 1ST DUI | 97855 | 97.8 | 67.8 | 86.4 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 4.3 | 0.5 |
| 2ND DUI | 29350 | 96.8 | 92.1 | 11.3 | 71.2 | 0.1 | 72.2 | 7.3 | 22.3 |
| 3RD DUI | 7310 | 93.0 | 87.9 | 4.4 | 45.6 | 1.5 | 38.6 | 11.6 | 18.9 |
| $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 2487 | 63.0 | 92.1 | 2.7 | 22.4 | 0.6 | 15.2 | 8.6 | 6.9 |

*Entries represent percentages of 1997 DUI convictees receiving each sanction by offender status. Sanctions within each offender status group (row) are not independent; therefore, row percentages always add to more than $100 \%$. Percentages of sanctions by county and court appear in Appendix Table B4.

TABLE 12: 1997 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - FIRST OFFENDERS

| COUNTY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & (100 \%) \end{aligned}$ | DMV OR COURT SUSPENSION | JAIL | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 1ST OFFENDER } \\ & \text { ALCOHOL } \\ & \text { PROG + JAIL } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1ST OFFENDER } \\ & \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \\ & \text { + RESTRICTION } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SB } 38 \text { ALCOHOL } \\ \text { PROG + } \\ \text { RESTRICTION* } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | OTHER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| STATEWIDE | 97855 | 8.3 | 4.1 | 43.7 | 39.2 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
| ALAMEDA | 2815 | 11.0 | 4.4 | 66.2 | 11.9 | 4.9 | 1.6 |
| ALPINE | 10 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 | 10.0 |
| AMADOR | 136 | 8.1 | 0.7 | 87.5 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| BUTTE | 605 | 12.2 | 3.0 | 79.5 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 1.2 |
| CALAVERAS | 133 | 6.8 | 0.8 | 91.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| COLUSA | 140 | 14.3 | 10.0 | 71.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| CONTRA COSTA | 2176 | 7.9 | 16.5 | 71.6 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 0.8 |
| DEL NORTE | 129 | 11.6 | 3.9 | 76.0 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 1.6 |
| EL DORADO | 515 | 8.2 | 3.9 | 85.6 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| FRESNO | 2503 | 11.5 | 7.3 | 52.8 | 24.7 | 2.0 | 1.6 |
| GLENN | 126 | 10.3 | 5.6 | 69.8 | 7.9 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| HUMBOLDT | 542 | 7.0 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 9.2 | 12.0 | 70.7 |
| IMPERIAL | 630 | 3.0 | 5.2 | 8.4 | 59.8 | 2.1 | 21.4 |
| INYO | 109 | 6.4 | 1.8 | 11.0 | 78.9 | 1.8 | 0.0 |
| KERN | 2359 | 5.1 | 16.5 | 72.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 3.2 |
| KINGS | 582 | 24.7 | 9.6 | 63.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.3 |
| LAKE | 300 | 14.0 | 5.7 | 77.7 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.3 |
| LASSEN | 89 | 7.9 | 6.7 | 68.5 | 12.4 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| LOS ANGELES | 25359 | 5.6 | 1.6 | 11.2 | 77.7 | 1.3 | 2.6 |
| MADERA | 359 | 10.9 | 3.3 | 71.9 | 7.2 | 5.3 | 1.4 |
| MARIN | 1050 | 9.0 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 86.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| MARIPOSA | 30 | 3.3 | 6.7 | 83.3 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| MENDOCINO | 390 | 14.1 | 9.7 | 70.3 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 1.0 |
| MERCED | 688 | 5.2 | 19.3 | 67.7 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 1.9 |
| MODOC | 43 | 20.9 | 0.0 | 65.1 | 11.6 | 2.3 | 0.0 |
| MONO | 68 | 5.9 | 1.5 | 79.4 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 2.9 |
| MONTEREY | 2101 | 17.2 | 3.8 | 76.0 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| NAPA | 683 | 5.7 | 1.6 | 89.3 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.7 |
| NEVADA | 367 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 77.9 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 1.1 |
| ORANGE | 9404 | 6.3 | 0.7 | 5.1 | 85.6 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| PLACER | 974 | 7.2 | 2.4 | 70.6 | 13.4 | 5.0 | 1.3 |
| PLUMAS | 105 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 52.4 | 32.4 | 8.6 | 2.9 |
| RIVERSIDE | 4410 | 6.6 | 2.1 | 49.4 | 37.2 | 1.7 | 3.1 |
| SACRAMENTO | 3259 | 12.5 | 5.4 | 77.1 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| SAN BENITO | 213 | 23.5 | 4.2 | 68.5 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| SAN BERNARDINO | 3842 | 8.1 | 4.0 | 20.5 | 47.6 | 15.7 | 4.1 |
| SAN DIEGO | 8255 | 6.4 | 5.1 | 50.0 | 34.5 | 2.8 | 1.2 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | 653 | 3.8 | 1.1 | 91.1 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | 1815 | 9.8 | 17.0 | 69.6 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0.8 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | 969 | 5.7 | 3.6 | 87.6 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| SAN MATEO | 2040 | 5.7 | 3.3 | 88.0 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 0.7 |
| SANTA BARBARA | 1619 | 16.1 | 4.1 | 23.8 | 52.1 | 0.6 | 3.3 |
| SANTA CLARA | 4675 | 18.2 | 2.9 | 72.5 | 3.6 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| SANTA CRUZ | 1182 | 7.4 | 3.0 | 83.6 | 3.5 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| SHASTA | 521 | 12.7 | 3.1 | 79.1 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| SIERRA | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 88.9 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 |
| SISKIYOU | 202 | 6.9 | 10.4 | 76.7 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| SOLANO | 752 | 7.7 | 2.4 | 71.9 | 15.0 | 2.0 | 0.9 |
| SONOMA | 1328 | 7.5 | 9.9 | 78.8 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1.9 |
| STANISLAUS | 1260 | 7.3 | 2.4 | 85.6 | 0.9 | 3.3 | 0.6 |
| SUTTER | 242 | 10.7 | 2.5 | 84.7 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| TEHAMA | 239 | 18.4 | 35.6 | 3.8 | 27.2 | 0.0 | 15.1 |
| TRINITY | 78 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 74.4 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 1.3 |
| TULARE | 1353 | 7.9 | 6.3 | 81.0 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 0.6 |
| TUOLUMNE | 200 | 9.5 | 1.5 | 84.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| VENTURA | 2564 | 11.3 | 3.4 | 83.2 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.4 |
| YOLO | 482 | 8.5 | 3.5 | 78.4 | 2.7 | 6.6 | 0.2 |
| YUBA | 173 | 10.4 | 5.2 | 83.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Note: The vast majority of convicted DUI offenders also receive fine and probation.
*Includes referral to alcohol clinics and 30 -month programs.
TABLE 13: 1997 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - REPEAT OFFENDERS

| COUNTY | TOTAL REPEAT DU | 2ND OFFENDERS |  |  |  | 3RD OFFENDERS |  |  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ OFFENDERS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LICENSE SUSPENSION | SB 38 PROGRAM + RESTRICTION | OTHER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & 100 \% \end{aligned}$ | LICENSE REVOCATION <br> + JAIL | ALCOHOL* PROGRAM + REVOCATION | OTHER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & 100 \% \end{aligned}$ | + JAIL | ALCOHOL PROGRAM + REVOCATION | OTHER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & 100 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $N$ | \% | \% | \% | $N$ | \% | \% | \% | N | \% | \% | \% | N |
| STATEWIDE | 39147 | 20.3 | 39.5 | 40.3 | 29350 | 48.5 | 39.7 | 11.8 | 7310 | 69.6 | 19.8 | 10.6 | 2487 |
| ALAMEDA | 1108 | 13.7 | 49.5 | 36.8 | 845 | 33.2 | 59.1 | 7.8 | 193 | 62.9 | 30.0 | 7.1 | 70 |
| Alpine | 6 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| AMADOR | 45 | 18.9 | 21.6 | 59.5 | 37 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 3 |
| butte | 293 | 23.7 | 43.6 | 32.7 | 211 | 42.2 | 54.7 | 3.1 | 64 | 55.6 | 44.4 | 0.0 | 18 |
| calaveras | 57 | 4.9 | 53.7 | 41.5 | 41 | 23.1 | 61.5 | 15.4 | 13 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 3 |
| colusa | 62 | 31.9 | 27.7 | 40.4 | 47 | 69.2 | 30.8 | 0.0 | 13 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 2 |
| CONTRA COSTA | 854 | 14.8 | 45.1 | 40.1 | 643 | 57.1 | 34.0 | 8.8 | 147 | 62.5 | 35.9 | 1.6 | 64 |
| del norte | 56 | 27.0 | 18.9 | 54.1 | 37 | 61.5 | 30.8 | 7.7 | 13 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 6 |
| EL DORADO | 236 | 15.6 | 46.7 | 37.7 | 167 | 33.3 | 59.5 | 7.1 | 42 | 70.4 | 22.2 | 7.4 | 27 |
| FRESNO | 1273 | 21.0 | 42.8 | 36.2 | 951 | 56.5 | 33.3 | 10.1 | 237 | 70.6 | 15.3 | 14.1 | 85 |
| GLENN | 66 | 20.9 | 46.5 | 32.6 | 43 | 57.9 | 36.8 | 5.3 | 19 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4 |
| HUMBOLDT | 241 | 55.8 | 7.7 | 36.5 | 181 | 87.2 | 10.6 | 2.1 | 47 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13 |
| IMPERIAL | 144 | 40.7 | 32.2 | 27.1 | 118 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 25 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1 |
| InYo | 56 | 22.0 | 41.5 | 36.6 | 41 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8 |
| KERN | 1018 | 61.6 | 5.3 | 33.1 | 729 | 83.4 | 10.2 | 6.3 | 205 | 86.9 | 2.4 | 10.7 | 84 |
| KINGS | 262 | 38.1 | 35.1 | 26.8 | 194 | 73.9 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 46 | 90.9 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 22 |
| LAKE | 138 | 22.0 | 41.0 | 37.0 | 100 | 53.1 | 31.3 | 15.6 | 32 | 33.3 | 16.7 | 50.0 | 6 |
| LASSEN | 35 | 26.9 | 19.2 | 53.8 | 26 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 6 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 3 |
| LOS ANGELES | 10040 | 15.1 | 45.3 | 39.6 | 7580 | 42.8 | 36.8 | 20.4 | 1774 | 67.5 | 17.2 | 15.3 | 686 |
| MADERA | 174 | 20.6 | 34.9 | 44.4 | 126 | 77.8 | 16.7 | 5.6 | 36 | 91.7 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 12 |
| MARIN | 308 | 8.4 | 63.7 | 27.9 | 215 | 68.1 | 25.0 | 6.9 | 72 | 47.6 | 42.9 | 9.5 | 21 |
| MARIPOSA | 18 | 33.3 | 25.0 | 41.7 | 12 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| MENDOCINO | 174 | 21.7 | 32.6 | 45.7 | 129 | 45.7 | 51.4 | 2.9 | 35 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 0.0 | 10 |
| MERCED | 289 | 18.0 | 37.5 | 44.5 | 200 | 34.8 | 53.0 | 12.1 | 66 | 73.9 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 23 |
| MODOC | 15 | 60.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 15 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| MONO | 21 | 6.3 | 56.3 | 37.5 | 16 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| MONTEREY | 859 | 48.2 | 9.8 | 42.1 | 623 | 70.3 | 22.7 | 7.0 | 185 | 92.2 | 5.9 | 2.0 | 51 |
| NAPA | 302 | 5.9 | 55.2 | 38.9 | 221 | 23.3 | 70.0 | 6.7 | 60 | 61.9 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 21 |
| NEVADA | 149 | 14.1 | 51.5 | 34.3 | 99 | 40.5 | 47.6 | 11.9 | 42 | 75.0 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 8 |

[^1]TABLE 13: 1997 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - REPEAT OFFENDERS - continued

| COUNTY | TOTALREPEAT DUI | 2ND OFFENDERS |  |  |  | 3RD OFFENDERS |  |  |  | 4TH+ OFFENDERS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LICENSE SUSPENSION | SB 38 <br> PROGRAM + RESTRICTION | OTHER | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ | LICENSE REVOCATION $+\quad$ JAIL | ALCOHOL* PROGRAM + REVOCATION | OTHER | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ | LICENSE REVOCATION <br> + JAIL | ALCOHOL PROGRAM + REVOCATION | OTHER | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $N$ | \% | \% | \% | $N$ | \% | \% | \% | N | \% | \% | \% | N |
| ORANGE | 3181 | 10.2 | 49.0 | 40.8 | 2570 | 22.2 | 68.1 | 9.8 | 501 | 60.0 | 24.5 | 15.5 | 110 |
| PLACER | 383 | 12.6 | 52.7 | 34.7 | 294 | 7.1 | 78.6 | 14.3 | 70 | 21.1 | 73.7 | 5.3 | 19 |
| Plumas | 50 | 15.4 | 56.4 | 28.2 | 39 | 50.0 | 37.5 | 12.5 | 8 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 3 |
| RIVERSIDE | 1604 | 18.3 | 30.7 | 51.0 | 1183 | 38.7 | 46.6 | 14.8 | 305 | 70.7 | 19.8 | 9.5 | 116 |
| SACRAMENTO | 1574 | 29.2 | 43.1 | 27.7 | 1104 | 63.9 | 29.3 | 6.8 | 338 | 69.7 | 25.0 | 5.3 | 132 |
| SAN BENITO | 112 | 38.5 | 26.4 | 35.2 | 91 | 85.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1 |
| SAN BERNARDINO | 1438 | 17.8 | 37.6 | 44.6 | 1105 | 39.6 | 44.6 | 15.8 | 240 | 66.7 | 21.5 | 11.8 | 93 |
| SAN DIEGO | 2682 | 18.5 | 37.3 | 44.2 | 2121 | 44.6 | 45.2 | 10.2 | 469 | 76.1 | 18.5 | 5.4 | 92 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | 226 | 14.5 | 34.4 | 51.1 | 186 | 45.9 | 35.1 | 18.9 | 37 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 3 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | 892 | 24.0 | 21.6 | 54.4 | 634 | 68.5 | 26.5 | 5.0 | 200 | 84.5 | 12.1 | 3.4 | 58 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | 383 | 10.6 | 58.2 | 31.2 | 292 | 27.4 | 66.1 | 6.5 | 62 | 72.4 | 20.7 | 6.9 | 29 |
| SAN MATEO | 766 | 7.6 | 37.9 | 54.5 | 594 | 13.7 | 75.0 | 11.3 | 124 | 64.6 | 29.2 | 6.3 | 48 |
| SANTA BARbARA | 632 | 43.1 | 7.4 | 49.5 | 489 | 42.9 | 52.4 | 4.8 | 105 | 81.6 | 15.8 | 2.6 | 38 |
| SANTA Clara | 2188 | 25.4 | 47.1 | 27.5 | 1595 | 73.9 | 16.9 | 9.2 | 456 | 67.9 | 24.1 | 8.0 | 137 |
| SANTA CRUZ | 577 | 33.7 | 36.5 | 29.8 | 433 | 91.1 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 112 | 90.6 | 3.1 | 6.3 | 32 |
| SHASTA | 283 | 17.2 | 50.0 | 32.8 | 198 | 36.1 | 50.8 | 13.1 | 61 | 70.8 | 20.8 | 8.3 | 24 |
| SIERRA | 6 | 20.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 | 5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| SISKIYOU | 83 | 38.6 | 17.5 | 43.9 | 57 | 36.8 | 57.9 | 5.3 | 19 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.0 | 7 |
| SOLANO | 424 | 21.1 | 18.8 | 60.2 | 304 | 37.0 | 53.0 | 10.0 | 100 | 70.0 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 20 |
| SONOMA | 715 | 28.5 | 24.3 | 47.2 | 453 | 67.5 | 21.9 | 10.7 | 169 | 78.5 | 6.5 | 15.1 | 93 |
| Stanislaus | 551 | 11.2 | 16.1 | 72.7 | 384 | 16.8 | 74.3 | 8.8 | 113 | 59.3 | 33.3 | 7.4 | 54 |
| SUTTER | 85 | 26.7 | 18.3 | 55.0 | 60 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 16 | 77.8 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 9 |
| TEHAMA | 119 | 23.5 | 51.9 | 24.7 | 81 | 51.9 | 40.7 | 7.4 | 27 | 72.7 | 9.1 | 18.2 | 11 |
| TRINITY | 36 | 7.4 | 55.6 | 37.0 | 27 | 25.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1 |
| TULARE | 615 | 30.2 | 20.3 | 49.5 | 444 | 51.6 | 39.5 | 8.9 | 124 | 72.3 | 17.0 | 10.6 | 47 |
| tuolumne | 95 | 9.2 | 53.8 | 36.9 | 65 | 66.7 | 14.3 | 19.0 | 21 | 77.8 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 9 |
| ventura | 889 | 18.4 | 48.8 | 32.8 | 711 | 49.0 | 45.2 | 5.7 | 157 | 52.4 | 47.6 | 0.0 | 21 |
| Yolo | 163 | 11.6 | 54.5 | 33.9 | 112 | 56.3 | 34.4 | 9.4 | 32 | 52.6 | 26.3 | 21.1 | 19 |
| YUBA | 96 | 19.1 | 50.0 | 30.9 | 68 | 88.9 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 18 | 80.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10 |

## SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS

This section presents and describes the results of an evaluation assessing the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions applied to first and second DUI offenders over a time period of eight years. ${ }^{1}$ The effectiveness of alternative sanctions for second offenders is evaluated in terms of postconviction driving record as measured by: 1) total accidents and 2) DUI incidents, which include alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions (primarily DUI, also all reckless driving [alcohol or non-alcohol] and hit-and-run), APS ( $0.08 \%$ BAC or chemical test refusal) suspensions and DUI failure-toappear notices (FTA). Displayed below in Figures 7 and 8 are proportions of DUI recidivist incidents over time from 1990 through 1997; these proportions were derived from the sanction analyses for first and second offenders (grouped by sanction assignment) from previous DUI-MIS annual reports and are based on follow-up time periods of one year. The reoffense rates of the 1989 offenders were not included in these figures because their postconviction driving records were not comparable to those of subsequent years, given the significant impact of the implementation of the APS suspension law in 1990. There are typically three variants of first-offender DUI alcohol education/treatment program sanctions, and these were collapsed together into a single sanction group for ease of viewing and interpretation. Figures 7 and 8 do not address total accidents. They display covariate-adjusted data which is described below.

Figures 9 and 10 similarly displaying covariate-adjusted data, as described below, show the proportion of total accident- or DUI incident-involved second offenders for 1995 and 1997, with follow-up time periods of 3 years and 1 year, respectively. The evaluation of first offenders for these years was not reported at this level of detail because, beginning in 1995, statutory requirements for license reinstatement became homogenous for all first offenders: SB 1295 ( $1 / 1 / 95$ ) mandates all first offenders to attend alcohol treatment programs in order to reinstate their driving privilege, and, since 1990, all offenders are suspended upon DUI arrest under the administrative per se (APS) license suspension law. However, the evaluation for second-DUI offenders is reported because the ignition interlock sanction is not imposed on all second offenders, and its assessment may contribute to clarifying and perhaps modifying current sanctioning policy. The figures are followed by a narrative description of the evaluation design, subject selection, data collection, analytical procedures, and evaluation results. The reader is cautioned that license suspension (as assessed in this study) refers to

[^2]postconviction suspensions only, and does not include preconviction administrative per se license suspensions (which are applied to all offender groups).

Based on the data represented in Figures 7 and 8, the following conclusions can be drawn about first- and second-offender sanctions from 1990 to 1997:

- One-year recidivism rates for all first-offender sanction groups declined noticeably from 1990 to 1997, with reductions in DUI reoffenses of $46.3 \%$ for the suspended group, $54.2 \%$ for the jail group, and $42.2 \%$ for the combined first-offender DUI treatment groups.
- A similar decline is evident in the one-year reoffense rates for the second-offender sanction groups, with recividism decreasing (from 1990 to 1997) by $48.0 \%$ for the suspended group, $50.1 \%$ for the SB 38 /license restriction group, and $49.0 \%$ for the "other" group.
- The relationship between type of sanction and subsequent DUI reoffense rate has remained relatively constant for first offenders since 1990, with the alcohol treatment and license suspension groups exhibiting the lowest reoffense rates and the jail sanction group, showing significantly higher rates than the othertwo.


Figure 7. Adjusted percentages of first-DUI offenders reoffending in a DUI incident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-1997).


Figure 8 . Adjusted percentages of second-DUI offenders reoffending in a DUI incident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 19901997).

Based on the data represented in Figures 9 and 10, which address total accidents as well as DUI-related incidents, the following conclusions can be drawn about secondoffender sanctions:

- Consistent with seven previous DUI-MIS reports, but contrary to earlier California studies, including the first annual DUI-MIS report (1989 offenders), second offenders suspended in 1997 do not have statistically significantly lower total accident rates than do those offenders assigned to SB 38 treatment programs during the first year following suspension or SB 38 assignment. This finding is probably due to the implementation of administrative per se license suspensions beginning in July, 1990, whereby all second offenders are suspended for one year. However, for the longer 3-year follow-up period, the 1995 suspended group had significantly lower total accident rates than those of all other second offenders.
- In 1995 and 1997, second offenders who were suspended had a statistically significantly higher proportion of DUI incidents in the subsequent 3-year and 1year periods (respectively) than did those who received the SB 38 program and license restriction sanction. The percentage increases associated with the license suspension group for the two years 1995 and 1997 were $22.1 \%$ and $49.4 \%$, respectively.


Figure 9. Adjusted 3-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1995 second offenders by type of sanction.


Figure 10 . Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1997 second offenders by type of sanction.

- Similar to findings of previous evaluations, the SB 38 program/restriction sanction group (with and without the addition of ignition interlock) had significantly lower 1-year subsequent DUI incident rates than those of the other 1997 second offender groups. However, contrary to last year's evaluation, the recidivism rate of the ignition interlock group was not significantly lower than the rate of the SB 38 group without ignition interlock.


## EVALUATION METHODS AND RESULTS

## Subject Selection and Data Collection

Convicted DUI offenders were identified from monthly abstract update tapes which contain all DUI conviction data reported to DMV by the courts. In the present study, follow-up data for first and second offenders were compiled from seven previous and current DUI-MIS evaluations. Additional follow-up data for two sets of second offenders were evaluated for sanction effectiveness:

1) A 3-year follow-up period for convicted 1995 second offenders who were previously evaluated in the 1997 DUI-MIS report.
2) A 1-year follow-up period for convicted DUI second offenders who were arrested for DUI in 1997.

For each year's annual DUI-MIS report, an additional year of DUI data is added to the sanction analyses. In order to simplify the analyses and reporting of results, separate analyses of the 1989 through 1994 and 1996 DUI offenders were not included in this year's evaluation. However, for second offenders, 3-year followup data from the 1993, 1994 and 1995 files were combined to increase the size of the sanction groups.

The conviction date in all cases was considered to be the "treatment date" for defining prior and subsequent driving record data, because the penalties and sanctions for the DUI offense are typically effective as of that date.

Since DUI penalties and sanctions are enhanced as a function of the number of prior DUI and alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the previous seven years, subjects were selected based on the number of such convictions within the seven years prior to their entry DUI arrest in 1997. For this year's report and all previous DUI-MIS reports, subjects selected for evaluation were: 1) first-DUI offenders - drivers who had no DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the previous seven years, and 2) second-DUI offenders-drivers who had one DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving conviction within the previous seven years. DUI offenders with felony convictions and chemical test refusal suspensions were not included because their license control penalties are more severe than those of the other second-offender groups. Also excluded were drivers who did not have a full one-year subsequent time
period because of late conviction dates, drivers with " $X$ " license numbers (meaning that no California license number could be found), and drivers with out-of-state ZIP Codes. Altogether, the excluded cases represented $19.6 \%$ of the original convicted-offender file.

Court sanctions are reported to, and recorded by, DMV in the form of disposition codes on the abstract of conviction. A convicted DUI offender, especially a first offender, might receive any one of many combinations of individual sanctions, which include jail, fine, license restriction or suspension, alcohol treatment program, or probation. Therefore, in defining postconviction sanction combination groups for the purpose of all previous and the current analyses, the following conventions were used for first offenders:

1) if suspension (non-APS) was one of the sanctions imposed by DMV or the court, then the offender was included in the suspension group;
2) if suspension (non-APS) was not imposed, but the offender was assigned to an alcohol treatment program, then the offender was included in one of the treatment groups, according to the type of treatment program (first offender or SB 38) and whether they were also sentenced to license restriction or jail; and
3) if neither suspension nor treatment was imposed, but the offender was sentenced to jail, then the offender was included in the jail-only group.

Fine and probation are generally imposed on most DUI offenders (except that probation is not usually granted to court-suspended first offenders), and for that reason are not included as sanctions evaluated in this report. Also, since July 1990, virtually all DUI offenders have had their licenses administratively suspended upon DUI arrest, so only non-APS suspension was considered.

It should be noted that the definition of the sanction combination groups was not an arbitrary analytical convention, but rather a reflection of the most common naturally occurring sanction combinations assigned by the courts. Based on the above taxonomy, the following five first-offender sanction combination groups were evaluated separately in prior reports: 1) license suspension, 2) jail, 3) first-offender treatment program plus jail, 4) first-offender treatment program plus license restriction, and 5) SB 38 (second offender) treatment program plus license restriction (since some courts assign this sanction combination to a small number of first offenders). For the 1990-1997 overview
analysis presented in this year's report, the three treatment-program groups were combined into one group. Nevertheless, when compared individually, the subsequent driving records of the separate groups exhibited a very similar pattern, as was evident in prior DUI-MIS reports.

A similar convention was used for grouping second offenders with various sanction combinations. The groups used in this analysis are: 1) license suspension, 2) SB 38 treatment program plus license restriction, 3) a group of 1995 and 1997 second offenders ("other") who did not meet the selection criteria for groups 1 or 2 but were not ordered to install interlock, and 4) a group of 1995 and 1997 second offenders who were ordered to install an ignition interlock device in their vehicles as mandated by AB 2851 (implemented July 1993, but effectively abolished by AB 762, effective July 1999). This device requires that the offender blow into it prior to starting the vehicle, which will not start if he/she has a BAC above a specified level. The interlock group was identified by certain Vehicle Code designations on their abstract of conviction. In examining these abstract disposition codes, it was found that $76.8 \%$ of interlock cases were also referred to SB 38 treatment programs (with license restrictions), while $40 \%$ had their licenses suspended (non-APS); of those that were suspended, $78 \%$ were assigned to SB 38 treatment programs and $1.2 \%$ were assigned to first-offender programs. All second offenders who were assigned to install interlock are included in this evaluation, irrespective of other sanctions and regardless of actual installation. This is reflective of the "real world" conditions under which interlock is assigned, which is an integral part of the total impact of this sanction. ${ }^{2}$

The group designated as "other" represent offenders who were originally referred to an SB 38 treatment program but were suspended as well, either by intent (court sentence to both treatment program and suspension), or omission (court misreporting of disposition codes and/or the offender's lack of compliance with required procedures, such as failure to provide proof of insurance, program enrollment, pay fees, etc.). Even if the courts amend the abstracts of conviction, the offenders still need to meet the insurance and program enrollment requirements. The final sanctions ultimately received by this group are unclear, which makes interpretation difficult. This difficulty is further exacerbated by strong self-selection biases, such as inability or unwillingness to obtain insurance, which make this group "different" from the others.

[^3]Prior driver record data were extracted for the 1.5 years preceding an offender's DUI conviction date. Appendix Tables B5 and B6 list the prior driver record variables for the second offenders, which were used as covariates in the analyses. The evaluation period for the postconviction driving measures, starting from the conviction date, was three years for the 1995 drivers, and one year for the 1997 drivers. A buffer period of six months was allowed between the end of the evaluation period and the data extraction date to allow for processing and reporting of the most recent data to DMV. DUI offenders who had less than the full follow-up time period (from conviction date to the buffer period) were excluded. The outcome driving record measures consisted of the proportion of offenders who were involved in: 1) all accidents and 2) DUI incidents (alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions, APS/refusal suspensions, or DUI failures-to-appear).

## Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures

Logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the differences in the proportion of accident- and DUI-incident-involved drivers in each sanction group at the end of the evaluation period. Only the first accident or DUI incident or "failure" was evaluated. This is not an important limitation with these data because the incidence of repeat failures (two or more accidents or DUI incidents) was very low over the study time window. More importantly, analysis of repeat failures would be subject to confounding by court sanctions received in connection with the first failure incident. This type of confounding is avoided because multiple incidents were not included in this analysis.

Since it was not possible to randomly assign drivers to the various sanction groups, potential biases due to preexisting group differences were statistically controlled to the extent possible by entering into the analyses as covariates biographical data, prior driving record data, and ZIP Code indices (accident and traffic conviction averages for each driver's ZIP Code area, and selected ZIP Code variables from the 1990 census data). Among the traffic conviction averages, only the moving violation averages were used this year, after discovering an undercount of major convictions. Both the traffic safety and census ZIP Code variables were used for the 1995 drivers; but only the traffic safety ZIP Code averages were used for the 1997 drivers, since the 1990 census variables are particularly outdated for these drivers. (Tables B5 and B6 show significant group differences on most of these variables.) While this "quasi-experimental" design is subject to a number of limitations in assessing cause-effect relationships, the attempt at statistical control of group differences removes at least part of the bias in group assignment and provides a more precise estimate of the relationship between type of sanction and subsequent record. It is likely, of course, that the groups also differ on characteristics not measured by, or reflected in, the covariates. The possibility of
uncontrolled biases becomes particularly problematic if sanctions are commonly received by atypical offenders through self- or judicial-selectivity (e.g., drivers of higher socio-economic status may be more likely to receive program with restriction and less likely to receive jail than those of lower status).

In the combined 1993, 1994, and 1995 second-offender analysis for DUI incidents, one statistically significant ( $p<.01$ ) covariate (sex) by sanction interaction was evident. (Statistical significance at $p<.0 x$ means that a differential effect between groups would occur by chance less than $x \%$ of the time.) This significant interaction indicated that the relationship between offender's sex and the outcome measure (DUI incidents) varied across sanction groups. However, in this analysis, where sanction differences were significant ( $p<.06$ ), the interaction effect was less than one-fourth the main effect of sanction (chi-squares were divided by their respective degrees of freedom to provide an approximate measure of effect size). Since the sanction main effect had substantially greater magnitude than the interaction effect, conclusions about sanction differences were based on analyses that did not include the interactions.

One-Year Recidivism Rates for First and Second Offenders, by Sanctions, from 1990-1997
The one-year subsequent DUI-incident reoffense rates for both first- and secondoffender sanction groups were compiled from the seven previous and current annual DUI-MIS evaluations and configured onto two separate graphs to display these rates over time. Figures 7 and 8 show the proportions of first- and second-offender sanction groups, respectively, arrested between 1990 and 1997 who reoffended within one year after conviction. As discussed above, the reoffense rates of these sanction groups were statistically adjusted for group differences related to available covariates. The DUI incidents include alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions (primarily DUI, reckless driving and hit-and-run), APS ( $0.08 \%$ BAC or chemical test refusal) suspensions and DUI failure-to-appear notices (FTA).

Figure 7 and Table 14 reveal a noticeable decline in the one-year recidivism rates for all of the first offender sanction groups from 1990 to 1997. This overall decline translates into a $46.3 \%$ reduction in recidivism for the suspension group, a $54.2 \%$ drop for the jail group, and a $42.2 \%$ decrease for the alcohol-treatment group. The recidivism rates of the suspended and alcohol program groups appear quite similar, but the decline over time for the suspended group is higher (46.3\%) than for the treatment group (42.2\%). The decline for the jail group is much greater (54.2\%) than that of the other two groups. Also, in the earlier years, the combined alcohol-treatment group exhibited lower reoffense rates than did the suspended group, possibly due to the initial impact of APS
suspensions on a group that had previously avoided license suspension. However, midway in 1994, the rates of the two groups merge and the downward trend of both groups diminishes. In 1997, the rate for the alcohol-treatment group levels out, while the rate for the suspended group shows another slight upward trend, though the latter group's rate oscillates during the last four years, suggests a leveling of its rate. (These two groups together comprise about $95 \%$ of first offenders.)

The reoffense rate of the jail group also shows a much sharper decline in the earlier years; again this may reflect the more immediate impact of APS suspensions on a group which, before APS, had neither license actions nor treatment program referral. The more recent years continue to show an overall decline in their recidivism rate through 1997, but nevertheless, these first offenders perform more poorly overall than the other sanction groups. This could reflect the fact that jail (or community service) is less effective than other sanctions, but it is also likely that uncontrolled selection biases are operating.

TABLE 14: ONE-YEAR PERCENTAGES OF DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, BY TYPE OF SANCTION, 1990-1997

| YEAR | FIRST-DUI OFFENDERS |  |  | SECOND-DUI OFFENDERS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SUSPENDED | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM | SUSPENDED | SB 38 RESTRICTED | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { IGNITION } \\ \text { INTERLOCK } \end{gathered}$ | OTHER |
| 1990 | 9.65 | 17.70 | 8.51 | 14.53 | 10.14 | 0 | 11.82 |
| 1991 | 8.20 | 14.39 | 6.48 | 11.53 | 7.89 | 0 | 9.68 |
| 1992 | 7.69 | 12.04 | 5.88 | 10.86 | 7.40 | 0 | 9.67 |
| 1993 | 6.40 | 10.03 | 5.50 | 10.48 | 6.62 | 5.95 | 8.62 |
| 1994 | 4.78 | 9.01 | 5.05 | 8.27 | 5.90 | 5.60 | 7.24 |
| 1995 | 5.70 | 10.21 | 5.31 | 9.34 | 5.90 | 5.78 | 6.84 |
| 1996 | 4.36 | 8.97 | 4.76 | 7.86 | 5.31 | 4.50 | 6.28 |
| 1997 | 5.18 | 8.10 | 4.92 | 7.56 | 5.06 | 5.10 | 6.03 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% DIFFERENCE } \\ & \text { 1990-1997 } \end{aligned}$ | 46.3\% | 54.2\% | 42.2\% | 48.0\% | 50.1\% | NA | 49.0\% |

A similar overall decline is evident in the one-year reoffense rates for the secondoffender groups as displayed in Figure 8 and Table 14, but the rate of decline is virtually the same for all three groups. Table 14 shows that, from 1990 to 1997, the reoffense rates decreased $50.1 \%$ for the SB 38 group, $48.0 \%$ for the suspended group, and $49.0 \%$ for the "other" group. Obviously, a rate change over the 1990 to 1997 time period is not available for the ignition interlock group since this sanction was rarely applied to second offenders before 1993; the reoffense rate for this group is slightly lower than that of the SB 38 program group, but then it increases more than the rate of the SB 38
program group in 1997. The differences in rates between second-offender sanction groups remain relatively steady across the years and, like those for first offenders, may reflect uncontrolled self- or judicial-selection group differences. This is particularly likely for the ignition interlock group, given the cost of installing and maintaining the device. Previous DUI-MIS reports have suggested that, while many factors may be associated with the overall decline in DUI incidents for both first and second offenders, the reduction is probably attributable to the implementation of APS suspensions in 1990. An evaluation (Rogers, 1997) of the California APS Law, in fact, documents recidivism reductions of up to $21.1 \%$ for first offenders and $19.5 \%$ for repeat offenders which are attributable to the law.

## Results of the Second-Offender Sanction Evaluation

Total Accidents: Results of the 1997 one-year analyses (see Figures 9 and 10, Tables 15 and 16) were similar to those of the 1990-1996 one-year analyses (contained in the previous seven DUI-MIS reports) in that significant differences were not evident among second offender sanction groups on total accidents. It has been noted in previous reports that since license suspension has been consistently effective in reducing accident risk, it was likely that the lack of significant group differences in the one-year period was due to the immediate short-term positive effect of the imposition of one-year APS license suspensions on all second offenders. In particular, it was found in the previous seven analyses and in this year's analysis that the accident rates of the SB 38 program participants have continued to decline. Since the one-year follow-up period covers the time window when all second offenders are under APS suspension, it was reasoned that APS suspension would be expected to have a larger effect on the accident rates of previously nonsuspended SB 38 program participants than it did on those who were suspended upon conviction. The data continue to support this interpretation.

However, the evaluations of the 3-year follow-up periods show quite different results from those of the 1-year time periods. Similar to the 1994 3-year follow-up evaluation from last year, but in contrast to the 1992 and 1993 3-year analyses, significant sanction group differences on accident rates were evident among the 1995 second offenders. The accident rate of the suspended group was significantly lower than that of the other three groups, suggesting that over a longer period of time (3 years), post-conviction suspension (of 18 months duration) has a greater impact in reducing accidents than do other sanctions. The accident rates between the ignition interlock and the SB 38 program groups were not significantly different from each other; this finding was similarly reported in previous 1- and 3-year analyses on accidents in which ignition interlock was one of the sanction groups.

In order to increase the power of the statistical analysis for detecting the effects of the interlock sanction, an additional analysis was conducted in which the 1993, 1994, and 1995 3-year second-offender files were combined. Results from this analysis are shown in Tables 15 and 16. Differences in accident rates between sanctions were statistically significant $(p=.000)$. The accident rate of the suspension group was significantly lower than those of all other groups, and the "other" group had the highest accident rate of all groups. Again, the accident rate difference between the SB 38 program and ignition interlock groups was not significant $(p=.31)$.

Also shown in Tables 15 and 16 are the results from last year's analysis combining 4 years (1993, 1994, 1995, and 1996) of 1-year subsequent accidents and DUI incidents. Additional data were not included nor were any new analyses conducted. These figures are shown here primarily for comparison purposes.

TABLE 15: SECOND-OFFENDER SANCTION EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS BY YEAR

|  |  |  | PERCENTAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR |  |  |  |

## TABLE 16: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT RESULTS FOR SECOND-OFFENDER SANCTION GROUPS BY OUTCOME MEASURES

| YEARGROUP | SECOND-OFFENDER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TOTAL ACCIDENTS |  |  |  | DUI INCIDENTS |  |  |  |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 1995 (3-year follow-up) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) Suspension | na | S1 | S1 | S1 | na | S2 | S3 | S4 |
| (2) SB 38 program \& restriction |  | na | ns | ns |  | na | ns | S2 |
| (3) SB 38 program \& interlock |  |  | na | ns |  |  | na | S3 |
| (4) Other |  |  |  | na |  |  |  | na |
| 1993, 1994 \& 1995 (3-year follow-up) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) Suspension | na | S1 | S1 | S1 | na | S2 | S3 | S4 |
| (2) SB 38 program \& restriction |  | na | ns | S2 |  | na | ns | S2 |
| (3) SB 38 program \& interlock |  |  | na | S3 |  |  | na | S3 |
| (4) Other |  |  |  | na |  |  |  | na |
| 1997 (1-year follow-up) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) Suspension | na | ns | ns | ns | na | S2 | S3 | S4 |
| (2) SB 38 program \& restriction |  | na | ns | ns |  | na | ns | S2 |
| (3) SB 38 program \& interlock |  |  | na | ns |  |  | na | S3 |
| (4) Other |  |  |  | na |  |  |  | na |
| 1993, 1994, 1995 \& 1996 (1-yr follow-up) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) Suspension | na | S2 | S3 | ns | na | S2 | S3 | S4 |
| (2) SB 38 program \& restriction |  | na | ns | S2 |  | na | ns | S2 |
| (3) SB 38 program \& interlock |  |  | na | S3 |  |  | na | S3 |
| (4) Other |  |  |  | na |  |  |  | na |

Note: A significant ( $p<.06$ for 2nd offenders) difference between sanction groups relative to the percentages of accident-involved or DUI incident-involved drivers is represented by an "S." The group number with the " S " indicates the group with the better (lower) rate. A nonsignificant difference is indicated by "ns." "na" means not applicable. Blanks appear in the lower half of each matrix, since the halves are identical.

DUI Incidents: Figures 9 and 10 and Tables 15 and 16 show that in both years the suspended groups had significantly higher failure rates (by $22.1 \%$ and $49.4 \%$ for 1995 and 1997, respectively) than corresponding rates for the SB 38 program/restricted participants. The group "other" in the 1995 and 1997 analyses had failure rates midway between the suspended group and SB 38 program/restricted group. Failure rates of all four groups in 1995 (3-year follow-up period) were significantly different from each other, except for that between the SB 38 group and the interlock group. The 3-year recidivism rates of the SB 38 group and the interlock group were significantly lower than those of the suspension and "other" groups.

In contrast to last year's 1996 analysis, the 1-year recidivism rate of the interlock group was not significantly lower than that of the SB 38 program group, but both groups had rates that were significantly lower than those of the suspended and "other" groups. As previously mentioned, these same findings were evident in the combined 1993-1995 analyses over a 3-year follow-up period.

In summary, findings from the 1997 second-offender analyses were similar to previous post-APS one-year evaluations of second offenders in showing no evidence of significant differences between the sanction groups on subsequent total accident rates. Similar to last years' findings from the 3-year accident analyses, the 3-year accident rate of the 1995 suspended group was significantly lower than those of the other groups. The fact that both the 1- and 3-year accident rates in these analyses are the lowest found to date could reflect the ongoing impact of APS suspensions over time, since all second offenders since 1990 are suspended under APS for the duration of the one-year followup period.

The results on DUI reoffense rates for second offenders continue to be consistent with the findings of prior studies on alcohol-related incidents, indicating that SB 38 programs with license restriction and with interlock are associated with a reduction in subsequent DUI incidents over both follow-up periods.

## SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

Data on DMV administrative license disqualification actions (license suspension or revocation $-S / R$ ) taken in DUI cases are presented below. These statutorily mandated actions, which are taken in cases of alcohol-impaired driving, are initiated by the receipt of either a law enforcement APS report (. $08 \% \mathrm{BAC}$, zero tolerance, or chemical test refusal) or court abstract of conviction. It should be noted that multiple actions can result from a single DUI incident-for example, a single DUI arrest frequently will result in both an APS suspension and a (later) mandatory postconviction suspension action. This section includes the following tables and figure:

Table 17: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 1988-1998. This table shows preconviction (APS) and postconviction license disqualification totals from 1988 through 1998. The postconviction totals include juvenile suspensions, first-offender suspensions, second-offender suspensions and revocations, and third- and fourthoffender revocations.

Table 18: Administrative Per Se Process Measures. This table presents APS process measure data for fiscal years 96/97 through 98/99.

Figure 11: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 1988-1998. This figure graphically portrays mandatory DUI license disqualification totals from 1988 through 1998, both preconviction and postconviction.

The following statements are based on the data shown in Tables 17-18 and Figure 11.

- During 1991, the first full calendar year of APS license suspension, the total number of DMV DUI preconviction and postconviction S/R actions increased by $60 \%$ over that for 1990. These totals have declined each year since then, with the exception of 1996 ( $2 \%$ increase) and 1998 ( $16 \%$ increase). In spite of the substantial 1998 increase, total DUI suspension/revocation actions have still declined by $36 \%$ since 1991.
- In 1998, 175,365 APS license actions were taken. Of these actions, $75.5 \%$ were firstoffender actions and $24.5 \%$ were repeat-offender actions.
- In FY 98/99, APS actions increased by 4.8\%, following a 3.3\% decrease the previous fiscal year.
- Chemical test refusal actions dropped by $1.7 \%$ in 1998, following a $11.6 \%$ decline in 1997. The total number of refusal actions has fallen $53 \%$ from the 1991 totals.
- The number of mandatory postconviction license actions has declined by $37 \%$ since 1991.
- In the first nine years since APS was implemented in July 1990, almost two million $(1,947,691)$ APS suspension or revocation actions had been taken.
- Requests for APS hearings have increased from 7.1\% of all APS actions in FY 90/91 to $21.9 \%$ in $98 / 99$. The rate at which APS suspension/revocation actions are upheld after hearing has risen to $85.7 \%$ in $98 / 99$, after falling to only $67 \%$ in $95 / 96$.
- During the first 5.5 years after implementation (on January 1, 1994) of the "zero tolerance" law for minors, 62,960 suspension actions were taken.


Figure 11. Mandatory DUI license disqualification actions, 1988-1998.
TABLE 17: MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1988-1998

|  | YEAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION/ REVOCATION (S/R) ACTIONS | 101779 | 111703 | 233680 | 373131 | 308399 | 277447 | 243645 | $226158^{\text {r }}$ | $230600^{\text {r }}$ | 205462 | 238612 |
| PRECONVICTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Admin Per Se (APS) Actions | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $142525{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 272273 | 228790 | 209006 | 184045 | $173696^{\text {r }}$ | $180343{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 169511 | 175365 |
| . 01 Zero tolerance suspensions | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 9971 | $8608^{\text {r }}$ | $9327^{\mathrm{r}}$ | 11517 | 15640 |
| . 08 First-offender suspensions | n/a | n/a | 82503 | 187527 | 157545 | 144321 | 120582 | $116636^{\text {r }}$ | $122111{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 114247 | 116827 |
| . 08 Repeat-offender suspensions | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 34792 | 74351 | 62656 | 57279 | 47429 | $43218{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $43922{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 39636 | 39024 |
| . 08 Repeat-offender revocations | n/a | n/a | 2315 | 10395 | 8589 | 7406 | 6063 | $5234{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $4983{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 4111 | 3874 |
| Commercial driver actions | n/a | n/a | 3739 | 7976 | 6449 | 5829 | 5038 | $4743{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $4939{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 4496 | 4609 |
| Chemical test refusal actions | 22757 | 21466 | 22915 | $21296{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $17963{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 15662 | 13264 | $11711{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $11436{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 10110 | 9935 |
| . 01 Test refusal suspensions | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | 179 | 170 | 154 | 134 | 229 |
| . 08 Test refusal suspensions** | 13720 | 12390 | 15128 | $10901{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $9374{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 8256 | 7022 | $6307{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $6299{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 5865 | 5832 |
| . 08 Test refusal revocations** | 9037 | 9076 | 7787 | $10395{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $8589{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 7406 | 6063 | $5234{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $4983{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 4111 | 3874 |
| TOTAL APS/REFUSAL ACTIONS | 22757 | 21466 | 142525 | 272273 | 228790 | 209006 | 184045 | $173696^{\text {r }}$ | $180343{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 169511 | 175365 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| POSTCONVICTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Juvenile DUI suspensions | 1823 | 1995 | 1478 | 1576 | 1202 | 922 | 879 | 677 | 995 | 769 | 1026 |
| First-offender suspensions | 6626 | 7679 | 10408 | 13575 | 10673 | 10208 | 8696 | 7266 | 7229 | 4847 | 9588 |
| Misdemeanor | 5050 | 5658 | 8467 | 11547 | 8989 | 8607 | 7188 | 5806 | 5753 | 3834 | 7497 |
| Felony | 1576 | 2021 | 1941 | 2028 | 1684 | 1601 | 1508 | 1460 | 1476 | 1013 | 2091 |
| Second-offender S/R actions | 47698 | 53927 | 52334 | 57350 | 45478 | 38849 | 34300 | 31489 | 30404 | 22945 | 40238 |
| Misdemeanor | 47093 | 53238 | 51593 | 56583 | 44756 | 38285 | 33794 | 30955 | 29864 | 22532 | 39065 |
| Felony | 605 | 689 | 741 | 767 | 722 | 564 | 506 | 534 | 540 | 413 | 633 |
| Third-offender revocations | 13991 | 18514 | 18650 | 19963 | 15553 | 12908 | 11193 | 9471 | 8728 | 5569 | 9397 |
| Misdemeanor | 13671 | 18182 | 18219 | 19595 | 15233 | 12644 | 10974 | 9261 | 8550 | 5471 | 9167 |
| Felony | 320 | 332 | 431 | 368 | 320 | 264 | 219 | 210 | 178 | 98 | 230 |
| Fourth-offender revocations | 8884 | 8122 | 8285 | 8394 | 6703 | 5554 | 4532 | 3559 | 2901 | 1821 | 2998 |
| TOTAL POSTCONVICTION ACTIONS | 79022 | 90237 | 91155 | 100858 | 79609 | 68441 | 59600 | 52462 | $50257^{\text {r }}$ | 35951* | 63247* |

[^4]TABLE 18. ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES ${ }^{1}$

|  | 7/96-6/97 | 7/97-6/98 | 7/98-6/99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total APS actions taken (including actions later set aside) | 192,021 | 185,714 | 194,602 |
| Total $.08^{2}$ APS actions set aside | 18,086 | 13,739 | 14,424 |
| Total $.01^{3}$ suspensions set aside | 857 | 725 | 915 |
| Net total APS actions taken (excluding actions later set aside) | 173,078 | 171,250 | 179,263 |
| Net total . 08 APS actions | 163,015 | 157,495 | 162,261 |
| Net total . 01 suspensions | 10,063 | 13,755 | 17,002 |
| APS Actions by Offender Status/License Classification: ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |
| Total APS actions, noncommercial drivers | 168,478 | 166,644 | 174,707 |
| Total commercial driver (CDL) APS actions taken | 4,600 | 4,606 | 4,556 |
| Number of APS actions of commercial drivers in commercial vehicles | 16 | 30 | 53 |
| APS . 08 suspensions for drivers with no prior DUI convictions or APS actions ${ }^{5}$ | 117,160 | 114,645 | 119,306 |
| 4-month license suspensions | 90,983 | 86,501 | 86,707 |
| 30-day suspensions plus 3-month restrictions | 1,418 | 1,536 | 1,815 |
| 30 -day suspensions plus 5-month $\mathrm{COE}^{6}$ restrictions | 15,294 | 17,161 | 21,597 |
| First-offender chemical test refusals | 6,057 | 5,894 | 5,700 |
| CDL first offender suspensions/restrictions | 3,408 | 3,553 | 3,486 |
| Total APS . 08 actions taken for drivers with prior DUI convictions | 45,855 | 42,850 | 42,955 |
| Suspensions | 41,236 | 38,927 | 39,335 |
| Revocations | 4,619 | 3,923 | 3,620 |
| APS Chemical Test Refusal Process Measures: |  |  |  |
| Total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions taken (including actions later set aside) | 11,749 | 10,690 | 10,225 |
| Total .08 refusal actions set aside | 910 | 685 | 623 |
| Total .01 refusal actions set aside | 17 | 10 | 28 |
| Net total . 08 and . 01 APS refusal actions (excluding actions later set aside) | 10,822 | 9,995 | 9,574 |
| Net total . 08 refusal actions | 10,676 | 9,817 | 9,320 |
| Net total .01 refusal actions | 146 | 178 | 254 |
| Chemical test refusal rate (excluding actions later set aside) | 6.25\% | 5.79\% | 5.34\% |
| Net .08 APS refusal (suspension) actions for subjects with no prior DUIs | 6,057 | 5,894 | 5,700 |
| Net .08 APS refusal (revocation) actions for subjects with prior DUIs | 4,619 | 3,923 | 3,620 |
| APS Hearings |  |  |  |
| Total .08 and .01 inperson or telephone APS hearings scheduled ${ }^{7}$ | 32,434 | 33,897 | 42,577 |
| Proportion of total APS actions resulting in a scheduled hearing ${ }^{8}$ | 16.9\% | 18.2\% | 21.9\% |
| . 08 hearings held and/or completed | 30,012 | 30,916 | 38,598 |
| . 08 actions sustained or upheld following a hearing | 21,468 | 24,777 | 33,069 |
| Proportion of. 08 APS actions sustained/upheld following a hearing | 71.5\% | 80.1\% | 85.7\% |
| . 01 hearings held and/or completed | 1,387 | 1,956 | 3,003 |
| . 01 actions sustained or upheld following a hearing | 954 | 1,623 | 2,590 |
| Proportion of. 01 APS actions sustained/upheld following a hearing | 68.8\% | 83.0\% | 86.2\% |
| APS Chemical Test Refusal Hearings |  |  |  |
| Total . 08 and .01 APS refusal hearings scheduled | 2,797 | 2,563 | 2,863 |
| . 08 APS refusal hearings held and/or completed | 2,635 | 2,450 | 2,780 |
| . 08 APS refusal actions sustained or upheld following a hearing | 1,840 | 1,873 | 2,201 |

[^5]
## SECTION 6: ACCIDENTS INVOLVING ALCOHOL

This section presents data on alcohol-involved accidents, as compiled and reported by the California Highway Patrol, as well as accident data which have been crosstabulated with Department of Justice DUI arrest data. Only accidents involving injury or fatality are assessed, due to incomplete reporting of property-damage-only (PDO) accidents. ${ }^{3}$ Drivers identified as being under the influence of drugs other than alcohol are also included in the "alcohol-involved accident" category, but typically comprise less than $1 \%$ of the total (e.g., only 3 cases for 1994 data). This section includes the following tables:

Table 19: Fatal/Injury Accidents of 1997 DUI Arrestees by Race/Ethnicity and Sobriety Code. This table shows the law enforcement officer's determination of sobriety for accident-involved 1997 DUI arrestees, by race/ethnicity.

Table 20: Fatal/Injury Accidents of 1997 DUI Arrestees by Race/Ethnicity and Type of Arrest. This table portrays the fatal/injury accident involvement of DUI arrestees, by race/ethnicity and type of arrest (felony, juvenile, or misdemeanor).

Table 21: Fatal/Injury Accidents of 1997 DUI Arrestees by Adjudication Status and Sobriety Code. This table crosstabulates accident sobriety codes (from law enforcement accident reports) with the court disposition of the 1997 DUI arrests associated with those accidents.

Table 22: Fatal/Injury Accidents of 1997 DUI Arrestees by Type of Arrest and Adjudication Status. This table displays the adjudication status of fatal and injury accident-involved 1997 DUI arrestees, by type of arrest.

Table 23: 1997 Accident-Involved DUI Arrestees With No Record of Conviction, by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows the number of accident-involved 1997 DUI arrestees without a corresponding recorded conviction, by type of DUI arrest, by county.

Tables 24a-24b: 1997 Alcohol-Involved Fatal/Injury Accidents by Age and Sex (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of 1997 alcohol-involved fatal

[^6]and injury accidents by age and sex, both total (24a) and those of subjects who were not arrested in conjunction with the accident (24b).

Tables 25a-25b: Sobriety Level by Prior DUI Convictions of 1997 Alcohol-Involved Fatal/Injury Accidents (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of 1997 alcohol-involved fatal and injury accidents by sobriety level and prior conviction status, both total (25a) and those of subjects who were not arrested in conjunction with the accident (25b).

Tables 26a-26b: 1997 Alcohol-Involved Fatal/Injury Accidents by Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of 1997 alcohol-involved fatal and injury accidents by number of prior convictions, both total (26a) and for subjects who were not arrested in conjunction with the accident (26b).

Table 27: 1-, 3-, and 7-Year Total, Fatal/Injury, and Alcohol-Related Accident Means by Offender Status. This table shows the average number of total, fatal/injury, and alcohol-related accidents for 1997, 1995, and 1989 DUI arrestees for time periods of respectively, 1, 3, and 7 years subsequent to their arrests by offender status (number of prior offenses).

Figure 12 (below) shows the annual percentages of traffic injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved from 1988 to 1998. The numerical data for this graph are shown on the DUI summary statistics sheet at the beginning of this report.


Figure 12 . Percentage of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcoholinvolved, 1988-1998.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

- The number of alcohol-involved traffic fatalities dropped $2.5 \%$ in 1998, and has declined by $57 \%$ since 1988. The proportion of fatalities which are alcohol-involved increased slightly in 1998 for the first time in over a decade (to $31 \%$ from $30 \%$ in 1997).
- The proportion of traffic accident injuries that are alcohol-involved continued to decline in 1998, as it has each year since 1987. The number of alcohol-involved injuries dropped $0.7 \%$ during 1998 and 52.4\% from 1988 to 1998.
- $12.3 \%$ of all 1997 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic accident, compared to $12.6 \%$ in $1996,12.4 \%$ in $1995,13.2 \%$ in $1994,13.1 \%$ in 1993 , and $11.1 \%$ in 1992 and 1991. 46.8\% of these accidents involved an injury or fatality.
- In almost a quarter $(22.9 \%)$ of cases where a DUI offender was arrested in connection with a reported traffic accident, there is no record of any corresponding conviction. In $90.0 \%$ of these nonconvicted cases, the accident report indicated that the drivers had been drinking and that their ability was impaired.
- Of all 1997 accident-involved DUI arrestees with no record of conviction, $26.9 \%$ had been arrested for felony DUI.
- $5.7 \%(11,033)$ of 1997 DUI arrests were associated with a fatal or injury accident. Of these fatal/injury accidents, only $30.0 \%(3,305)$ led to an arrest for felony DUI, and only $9.9 \%(1,089)$ led to a conviction of felony DUI. Approximately $77 \%$ of DUI arrests stemming from a fatal/injury accident resulted in a reported conviction.
- The fatal/injury and total accident risk of DUI offenders generally decreases with the number of prior DUI convictions for periods up to five years after arrest, while, conversely, the risk of involvement in an alcohol-related accident generally increases with number of priors over the same time periods. This is not surprising because as the number of prior DUIs increases, the time period of the suspension/revocation lengthens, and prior research has demonstrated that suspension/revocation has a larger impact on reducing non-DUI accidents than

DUI accidents. In addition, drivers with multiple DUI offenses are more likely to have serious drinking problems.

- Drivers in alcohol-involved fatal/injury accidents in 1997 were less likely to be arrested for an associated DUI offense if they were under age 30 or over age 70.
- Non-arrested drivers in alcohol-involved fatal/injury accidents in 1997 were less likely to have a prior conviction for DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving, and had lower estimated BAC levels than did drivers who were arrested in conjunction with the accident.
- Over 70\% of drivers in alcohol-involved fatal accidents had no prior DUI or reckless driving conviction.
TABLE 19: FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1997 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND SOBRIETY CODE

| SOBRIETY CODE | TOTAL |  | RACE/ETHNICITY (100\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | WHITE |  | HISPANIC |  | BLACK |  | OTHER |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| TOTAL | 11033 | 100.0 | 5486 | 49.7 | 3904 | 35.4 | 733 | 6.6 | 910 | 8.2 |
| HBD-OBVIOUSLY DRUNK <br> (BAC .08\% \& ABOVE) | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED <br> (BAC . $08 \%$ \& ABOVE) | 10331 | 93.6 | 5060 | 49.0 | 3734 | 36.1 | 671 | 6.5 | 866 | 8.4 |
| HBD-NOT IMPAIRED <br> (BAC .01\%-.049\%) | 25 | 0.2 | 17 | 68.0 | 4 | 16.0 | 2 | 8.0 | 2 | 8.0 |
| HBD-NOT KNOWN IF <br> IMPAIRED (BAC . $05 \%-.079 \%$ ) | 194 | 1.8 | 105 | 54.1 | 62 | 32.0 | 17 | 8.8 | 10 | 5.2 |
| HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING | 84 | 0.8 | 38 | 45.2 | 30 | 35.7 | 7 | 8.3 | 9 | 10.7 |
| NOT REPORTED | 398 | 3.6 | 266 | 66.8 | 73 | 18.3 | 36 | 9.0 | 23 | 5.8 |

TABLE 20: FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1997 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND TYPE OF ARREST*
*For each type of arrest, percentages are based on row totals.
TABLE 21: FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1997 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND SOBRIETY CODE*

| SOBRIETY CODE | TOTAL |  | TYPE OF CONVICTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { MISDEMEANOR } \\ \text { DUI } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { FELONY } \\ \text { DUI } \end{gathered}$ |  | ALCOHOLRECKLESS |  | NONALCOHOL-RECKLESS |  | OTHER CONVICTIONS |  | NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION |  |
|  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| TOTAL | 11033 | 100.0 | 6227 | 56.4 | 1322 | 12.0 | 468 | 4.2 | 189 | 1.7 | 295 | 2.7 | 2532 | 22.9 |
| HBD-OBVIOUSLY DRUNK <br> (BAC .08\% \& ABOVE) | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED <br> (BAC .08\% \& ABOVE) | 10331 | 93.6 | 5977 | 57.9 | 1247 | 12.1 | 432 | 4.2 | 167 | 1.6 | 230 | 2.2 | 2278 | 22.1 |
| HBD-NOT IMPAIRED <br> (BAC .01\%-.049\%) | 25 | 0.2 | 3 | 12.0 | 1 | 4.0 | 1 | 4.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 16.0 | 16 | 64.0 |
| HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC . $05 \%-.079 \%$ ) | 194 | 1.8 | 94 | 48.5 | 23 | 11.9 | 10 | 5.2 | 5 | 2.6 | 11 | 5.7 | 51 | 26.3 |
| HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING | 84 | 0.8 | 34 | 40.5 | 4 | 4.8 | 2 | 2.4 | 3 | 3.6 | 12 | 14.3 | 29 | 34.5 |
| NOT REPORTED | 398 | 3.6 | 119 | 29.9 | 46 | 11.6 | 23 | 5.8 | 14 | 3.5 | 38 | 9.5 | 158 | 39.7 |

TABLE 22: FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1997 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND TYPE OF ARREST*

| TYPE OF ARREST | TOTAL |  | TYPE OF CONVICTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MISDEMEANORDUI |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { FELONY } \\ \text { DUI } \end{gathered}$ |  | ALCOHOLRECKLESS |  | NONALCOHOL-RECKLESS |  | OTHER CONVICTIONS |  | NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION |  |
|  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | N | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| TOTAL | 11033 | 100.0 | 6227 | 56.4 | 1322 | 12.0 | 468 | 4.2 | 189 | 1.7 | 295 | 2.7 | 2532 | 22.9 |
| FELONY DUI | 3305 | 30.0 | 1145 | 34.6 | 1089 | 33.0 | 57 | 1.7 | 41 | 1.2 | 83 | 2.5 | 890 | 26.9 |
| JUVENILE DUI | 169 | 1.5 | 47 | 27.8 | 25 | 14.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.2 | 11 | 6.5 | 84 | 49.7 |
| MISDEMEANOR DUI | 7559 | 68.5 | 5035 | 66.6 | 208 | 2.8 | 411 | 5.4 | 146 | 1.9 | 201 | 2.7 | 1558 | 20.6 |

[^7]TABLE 23: 1997 ACCIDENT-INVOLVED* DUI ARRESTEES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

| COUNTY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & (100 \%) \end{aligned}$ | TYPE OF ARREST |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { FELONY } \\ \text { DUI } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { JUVENILE } \\ \text { DUI } \end{gathered}$ |  | MISDEMEANORDUI |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| STATEWIDE | 4408 | 827 | 18.8 | 157 | 3.6 | 3424 | 77.7 |
| ALAMEDA | 201 | 15 | 7.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 183 | 91.0 |
| AMADOR | 2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 100.0 |
| BUTTE | 19 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 10.5 | 17 | 89.5 |
| CALAVERAS | 12 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 12 | 100.0 |
| COLUSA | 3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 33.3 | 2 | 66.7 |
| CONTRA COSTA | 104 | 11 | 10.6 | 8 | 7.7 | 85 | 81.7 |
| DEL NORTE | 5 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 60.0 | 2 | 40.0 |
| EL DORADO | 10 | 3 | 30.0 | 1 | 10.0 | 6 | 60.0 |
| FRESNO | 234 | 61 | 26.1 | 12 | 5.1 | 161 | 68.8 |
| GLENN | 6 | 2 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 66.7 |
| HUMBOLDT | 47 | 10 | 21.3 | 3 | 6.4 | 34 | 72.3 |
| IMPERIAL | 54 | 22 | 40.7 | 2 | 3.7 | 30 | 55.6 |
| INYO | 4 | 2 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 50.0 |
| KERN | 103 | 23 | 22.3 | 6 | 5.8 | 74 | 71.8 |
| KINGS | 13 | 4 | 30.8 | 2 | 15.4 | 7 | 53.8 |
| LAKE | 19 | 5 | 26.3 | 2 | 10.5 | 12 | 63.2 |
| LASSEN | 8 | 4 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 50.0 |
| LOS ANGELES | 928 | 151 | 16.3 | 29 | 3.1 | 748 | 80.6 |
| MADERA | 28 | 5 | 17.9 | 1 | 3.6 | 22 | 78.6 |
| MARIN | 37 | 2 | 5.4 | 2 | 5.4 | 33 | 89.2 |
| MARIPOSA | 4 | 1 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 75.0 |
| MENDOCINO | 10 | 3 | 30.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 70.0 |
| MERCED | 43 | 12 | 27.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 31 | 72.1 |
| MODOC | 6 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 16.7 | 5 | 83.3 |
| MONO | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 |
| MONTEREY | 55 | 4 | 7.3 | 2 | 3.6 | 49 | 89.1 |
| NAPA | 20 | 3 | 15.0 | 1 | 5.0 | 16 | 80.0 |
| NEVADA | 11 | 2 | 18.2 | 2 | 18.2 | 7 | 63.6 |
| ORANGE | 264 | 32 | 12.1 | 6 | 2.3 | 226 | 85.6 |
| PLACER | 36 | 11 | 30.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 25 | 69.4 |
| PLUMAS | 10 | 4 | 40.0 | 1 | 10.0 | 5 | 50.0 |
| RIVERSIDE | 221 | 31 | 14.0 | 7 | 3.2 | 183 | 82.8 |
| SACRAMENTO | 167 | 43 | 25.7 | 11 | 6.6 | 113 | 67.7 |
| SAN BENITO | 5 | 2 | 40.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 60.0 |
| SAN BERNARDINO | 480 | 83 | 17.3 | 6 | 1.2 | 391 | 81.5 |
| SAN DIEGO | 404 | 123 | 30.4 | 14 | 3.5 | 267 | 66.1 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | 53 | 19 | 35.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 34 | 64.2 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | 100 | 11 | 11.0 | 3 | 3.0 | 86 | 86.0 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | 17 | 3 | 17.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 14 | 82.4 |
| SAN MATEO | 61 | 12 | 19.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 49 | 80.3 |
| SANTA BARBARA | 29 | 4 | 13.8 | 4 | 13.8 | 21 | 72.4 |
| SANTA CLARA | 118 | 34 | 28.8 | 1 | 0.8 | 83 | 70.3 |
| SANTA CRUZ | 26 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 15.4 | 22 | 84.6 |
| SHASTA | 19 | 4 | 21.1 | 2 | 10.5 | 13 | 68.4 |
| SIERRA | 2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 100.0 |
| SISKIYOU | 13 | 4 | 30.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 69.2 |
| SOLANO | 41 | 6 | 14.6 | 1 | 2.4 | 34 | 82.9 |
| SONOMA | 48 | 2 | 4.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 46 | 95.8 |
| STANISLAUS | 63 | 11 | 17.5 | 4 | 6.3 | 48 | 76.2 |
| SUTTER | 7 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 14.3 | 6 | 85.7 |
| TEHAMA | 12 | 1 | 8.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 91.7 |
| TRINITY | 3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 100.0 |
| TULARE | 92 | 20 | 21.7 | 4 | 4.3 | 68 | 73.9 |
| TUOLUMNE | 7 | 1 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 85.7 |
| VENTURA | 91 | 13 | 14.3 | 4 | 4.4 | 74 | 81.3 |
| YOLO | 20 | 6 | 30.0 | 1 | 5.0 | 13 | 65.0 |
| YUBA | 12 | 2 | 16.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 83.3 |

*These cases include only arrestees whose accidents showed alcohol or drug-impaired sobriety codes.

TABLE 24a: 1997 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY
ACCIDENTS* BY AGE AND SEX ACCIDENTS* BY AGE AND SEX

| AGE | TOTAL |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| TOTAL | 19277 | 100.0 | 15744 | 81.7 | 3533 | 18.3 |
| UNDER 18 | 407 | 2.1 | 334 | 82.1 | 73 | 17.9 |
| 18-20 | 1509 | 7.8 | 1283 | 85.0 | 226 | 14.9 |
| 21-30 | 6298 | 32.7 | 5278 | 83.8 | 1020 | 16.2 |
| 31-40 | 5173 | 26.8 | 4034 | 77.9 | 1139 | 22.0 |
| 41-50 | 3149 | 16.4 | 2476 | 78.6 | 673 | 21.4 |
| 51-60 | 1145 | 5.9 | 953 | 83.2 | 192 | 16.8 |
| 61-69 | 571 | 3.0 | 483 | 84.6 | 88 | 15.4 |
| 70 \& ABOVE | 329 | 1.7 | 261 | 79.3 | 68 | 20.7 |
| AGE UNKNOWN | 696 | 3.6 | 642 | 92.2 | 54 | 7.8 |

*These data are derived from the 1997 California Highway Patrol's Annual Report of Fatal and Injury Motor Vehicle Traffic Collisions.

TABLE 24b: 1997 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY AGE AND SEX (NOT ARRESTED)

| AGE | TOTAL |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ |
| TOTAL | 7325 | 100.0 | 5920 | 80.8 | 1405 | 19.2 |
| UNDER 18 | 159 | 2.2 | 133 | 83.6 | 26 | 16.4 |
| 18-20 | 587 | 8.0 | 501 | 85.3 | 86 | 14.7 |
| $21-30$ | 2584 | 35.3 | 2139 | 82.8 | 445 | 17.2 |
| $31-40$ | 1957 | 26.7 | 1523 | 77.8 | 434 | 22.2 |
| $41-50$ | 1220 | 16.7 | 945 | 77.5 | 275 | 22.5 |
| $51-60$ | 451 | 6.2 | 382 | 84.7 | 69 | 15.3 |
| 61-70 | 218 | 3.0 | 186 | 85.3 | 32 | 14.7 |
| $71 \&$ ABOVE | 149 | 2.0 | 111 | 74.5 | 38 | 25.5 |

TABLE 25a: SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 1997 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS*

| SOBRIETY CODE | TOTAL |  | NO DUI PRIORS OR 1ST-ALCOHOL RECKLESS |  | DUI OFFENDER STATUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1ST DUI | 2ND DUI |  | 3RD DUI |  | 4TH DUI |  |
|  | N | \% |  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| TOTAL | 17663 | 100.0 | 7899 | 44.7 | 7371 | 41.7 | 1886 | 10.7 | 400 | 2.3 | 107 | 0.6 |
| HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED <br> (BAC . $08 \%$ \& ABOVE) | 13372 | 75.7 | 4347 | 32.5 | 6786 | 50.7 | 1759 | 13.2 | 378 | 2.8 | 102 | 0.8 |
| HBD-NOT IMPAIRED <br> (BAC .01\%-.049\%) | 3007 | 17.0 | 2685 | 89.3 | 268 | 8.9 | 43 | 1.4 | 7 | 0.2 | 4 | 0.1 |
| HBD-NOT KNOWN IF <br> IMPAIRED (BAC .05\%-.079\%) | 1263 | 7.2 | 855 | 67.7 | 311 | 24.6 | 81 | 6.4 | 15 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.1 |
| HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING | 12 | 0.1 | 8 | 66.7 | 4 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| NOT REPORTED | 9 | 0.1 | 4 | 4.44 | 2 | 2.22 | 3 | 3.33 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |

TABLE 25b: SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 1997 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS (NOT ARRESTED)

| SOBRIETY CODE | TOTAL |  | NO DUI PRIORS OR 1ST-ALCOHOL RECKLESS |  | DUI OFFENDER STATUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1ST DUI | 2ND DUI |  | 3RD DUI |  | 4 TH DUI |  |
|  | $N$ | \% |  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| TOTAL | 7325 | 100.0 | 4928 | 67.3 | 1837 | 25.1 | 432 | 5.9 | 106 | 1.4 | 22 | 0.3 |
| HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED <br> (BAC .08\% \& ABOVE) | 3251 | 44.4 | 1469 | 45.2 | 1341 | 41.2 | 332 | 10.2 | 91 | 2.8 | 18 | 0.6 |
| HBD-NOT IMPAIRED <br> (BAC .01\%-.049\%) | 2983 | 40.7 | 2664 | 89.3 | 266 | 8.9 | 43 | 1.4 | 7 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.1 |
| HBD-NOT KNOWN IF <br> IMPAIRED (BAC .05\%-.079\%) | 1075 | 14.7 | 783 | 72.8 | 227 | 21.1 | 56 | 5.2 | 8 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.1 |
| HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING | 9 | 0.1 | 8 | 88.9 | 1 | 11.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| NOT REPORTED | 7 | 0.1 | 4 | 57.1 | 2 | 28.6 | 1 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |

TABLE 26a: 1997 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS

| ACCIDENTS | TOTAL |  | NO DUI PRIORS OR 1ST-ALCOHOL RECKLESS |  | DUI OFFENDER STATUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1ST DUI | 2ND DUI |  | 3RD DUI |  | 4TH DUI |  |
|  | N | \% |  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| TOTAL | 17663 | 100.0 | 7899 | 44.7 | 7371 | 41.7 | 1886 | 10.7 | 400 | 2.3 | 107 | 0.6 |
| FATAL | 782 | 4.4 | 565 | 72.3 | 164 | 21.0 | 41 | 5.2 | 9 | 1.2 | 3 | 0.4 |
| INJURY | 16881 | 95.6 | 7334 | 43.4 | 7207 | 42.7 | 1845 | 10.9 | 391 | 2.3 | 104 | 0.6 |

TABLE 26b: 1997 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED)

| ACCIDENTS | TOTAL |  | NO DUI PRIOR OR <br> 1ST-ALCOHOL RECKLESS |  | DUI OFFENDER STATUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1ST DUI | 2ND DUI |  | 3RD DUI |  | 4TH DUI |  |
|  | $N$ | \% |  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | N | \% | $N$ | \% |
| TOTAL | 7325 | 100.0 | 4928 | 67.3 | 1837 | 25.1 | 432 | 5.9 | 106 | 1.4 | 22 | 0.3 |
| FATAL | 658* | 9.0 | 492 | 74.8 | 123 | 18.7 | 33 | 5.0 | 7 | 1.1 | 3 | 0.5 |
| INJURY | 6667 | 91.0 | 4436 | 66.5 | 1714 | 25.7 | 399 | 6.0 | 99 | 1.5 | 19 | 0.3 |

*The records of $74.9 \%$ (493) of these cases indicated they were "deceased."
TABLE 27: 1-, 3- AND 7-YEAR TOTAL, FATAL/INJURY AND ALCOHOL-RELATED
ACCIDENT MEANS BY OFFENDER STATUS

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { DUI } \\ & \text { OFFENDER } \\ & \text { STATUS } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL ACCIDENTS |  |  | FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS |  |  | ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENTS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1-YEAR } \\ \text { SUBSEQUENT } \\ (1997 \\ \text { ARRESTEES) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3-YEAR } \\ \text { SUBSEQUENT } \\ (1995 \\ \text { ARRESTEES) } \end{gathered}$ | 7-YEAR SUBSEQUENT $(1989$ ARRESTEES) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1-YEAR } \\ \text { SUBSEQUENT } \\ (1997 \\ \text { ARRESTEES) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3-YEAR } \\ \text { SUBSEQUENT } \\ \text { (1995 } \\ \text { ARRESTEES) } \end{gathered}$ | 7-YEAR SUBSEQUENT $(1989$ ARRESTEES) | 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT $(1997$ ARRESTEES) | 3-YEAR SUBSEQUENT $(1995$ ARRESTEES) | 7-YEAR SUBSEQUENT $(1989$ ARRESTEES) |
| ALL | . 0396 | . 1159 | . 2995 | . 0129 | . 0390 | . 1102 | . 0094 | . 0286 | . 0770 |
| 1ST DUI | . 0448 | . 1270 | . 3105 | . 0142 | . 0413 | . 1108 | . 0092 | . 0272 | . 0685 |
| 2ND DUI | . 0280 | . 0950 | . 3037 | . 0105 | . 0346 | . 1143 | . 0107 | . 0308 | . 0870 |
| 3RD DUI | . 0212 | . 0736 | . 2369 | . 0087 | . 0312 | . 0980 | . 0088 | . 0346 | . 0978 |
| 4TH+ DUI | . 0138 | . 0680 | . 2233 | . 0018 | . 0285 | . 0998 | . 0053 | . 0375 | . 1124 |

## DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

## DUI Arrest Data:

Arrest data are reported to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Law Enforcement Information Center, by individual law enforcement agencies throughout the state. As such, these data are subject to reporting errors such as incorrect names, birthdates or arrest dates. Nonreporting of arrest data due to error or omission can also occur; for example, in 1995 the Oakland Police Department reported no DUI arrests, after reporting 960 such arrests in 1994. In addition, when data are entered into DOJ's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system, only the highest-order offense is included. Therefore, in cases where a DUI arrest is made in conjunction with, for example, an auto theft arrest, that DUI arrest will not be included in the database. This results in a slight but systematic underreporting of the number of DUI arrests annually.

## DUI Conviction Data:

Abstracts of conviction for DUI and other traffic-related offenses are reported to the DMV by courts throughout the state. As abstracts are received (either hard copy, magnetic tape or through direct electronic access from the courts) they are entered onto the DMV driver record database. Abstracts without an identifying driver license number are run through the automated name index (ANI) system in order to match the abstract with an existing driver record; in cases where no such match can be made, an "X"-numbered record is created to store the abstract. The total number of DUI abstracts of conviction received by DMV from the courts is tallied monthly and annually. Since this workload total includes abstracts which amend, correct or dismiss prior abstracts of conviction, it tends to overestimate the actual number of convictions which have occurred. Conviction data are also subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those for DUI arrests. For example, the 1993 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System documented the fact that thousands of DUI convictions appearing in court records do not appear on the DMV driver record database.

## Alcohol-Involved Accident Data:

Accident data are reported to the California Highway Patrol (CHP) by local law enforcement agencies and district offices of the CHP. As such, these data are subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those occurring in both DUI arrest and conviction data. While most local law enforcement agencies will investigate and file reports on accidents involving injury or death, the investigation and reporting of property-damage-only accidents varies widely by local jurisdiction. Data are entered onto CHP's Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) and published in annual reports.

## HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975

AB 762 (Torlakson), effective $7 / 1 / 99$, extends the suspension period for a second-DUI offender from 18 months to two years, but allows the second offender to serve 12 months of the license suspension period, followed by a restricted license with continued enrollment in a treatment program and installation of an ignition interlock device; requires persons convicted of driving with a suspended or revoked license, where that suspension or revocation was based on prior DUI convictions, to install the ignition interlock device for a period not to exceed 3 years or until the driving privilege is reinstated, and requires DMV to study and report on the effectiveness of these devices. Judges are also encouraged to order installation of an ignition interlock device for first-time DUI offenders if there are aggravating factors such as high blood alcohol readings $(0.20 \%$ or above), chemical test refusal, numerous traffic violations, or injury accidents.

SB 24 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/99, cleans up AB 762, AB 1916, and SB 1186. This law requires the DMV to revoke for one year the driving privilege of any ignition interlock device-restricted driver who is convicted of driving a vehicle not equipped with an ignition interlock device (IID) under authority section 23247(g); requires the department to suspend or revoke the driving privilege of any IID-restricted driver [under section $23246(\mathrm{~g})$ ] if notified by an installation facility that the driver attempted to bypass, tamper with or remove the device, or has three or more times failed to comply with calibration or servicing requirements of the device; amends certain sections to specify that completion of a program equals enrollment, participation, and completion subsequent to the date of the current violation.

SB 1186 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/99, reorganizes specified provisions relating to DUI-related statutes by amending, repealing, and/or renumbering the DUI-related sections without making substantive changes to the statutes.

SB 1176 (Johnson), effective $1 / 1 / 99$, requires that, upon a conviction of an alcoholrelated reckless driving charge, the courts order enrollment in an alcohol and drug education program as a condition of probation. This bill also requires an evaluation by the DMV of the effectiveness of the program and a discussion of the findings in its annual report to the Legislature.

SB 1890 (Hurtt), effective $1 / 1 / 99$, deletes the choice of the urine test from the options for chemical tests relating to operating a vehicle under the influence of alcohol, unless both the blood and breath tests are unavailable or where there is a condition that warrants the use of the urine test.

AB 1916 (Torlakson), effective $1 / 1 / 99$, provides that the court shall, as a condition of probation, refer a first offender whose BAC level is less than $0.20 \%$, by weight, to participate for at least three months (minimum 30 hours) or longer to a licensed education/counseling program; if the BAC level is equal to $0.20 \%$ or more, by weight, or the person refused to take a chemical test, the court shall order the person to participate for at least six months or longer in a program consisting of 45 hours of education/counseling activities; requires the DMV to submit an annual report to the Legislature on the efficacy of the increased drug and alcohol intervention programs; requires repeat offenders who have twice failed the programs to participate in a county alcohol and drug problem assessment program, and requires each county, beginning $1 / 1 / 2000$, to prepare, or contract to be prepared, an alcohol and drug assessment report on each person ordered by the court to participate in an alcohol and drug assessment program.

AB 130 (Battin), effective $1 / 1 / 98$, requires that any person guilty of a felony or misdemeanor DUI within 10 years of a prior felony offense be designated as a habitual traffic offender for a three-year period and have their driver license revoked for four years.

SB 1177 (Johnson), effective 1/1/98, requires that anyone convicted of a second or subsequent DUI within seven years of a separate DUI, alcohol-related reckless driving, or DUI with bodily injury violation, is ordered to enroll in, participate and complete a DUI treatment program, subject to the latest violation, as a condition of probation. The person is not to be given credit for any treatment program activities prior to the date of the current violation.

AB 1985 (Speier), effective 1/1/97, cited as "Courtney's Law"; provides that a person convicted of gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated and who has one or more prior convictions of vehicular manslaughter or multiple prior DUI convictions shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison for a term of 15 years to life. Also, any person fleeing the scene of a crime after committing specified vehicle offenses which resulted in death, serious injury, or great bodily injury is subject to an additional five-year prison enhancement.

SB 1579 (Leonard), effective 1/1/97, permits DMV to suspend a driver license on a first FTA for DUI, and establishes an enhanced audit and tracking system to compare DUI arrests with subsequent actions.

SB 833 (Kopp), effective 1/1/96, permits peace officers to seize and cause the removal of a vehicle, without arresting the driver, when the vehicle was being operated by a person whose driving privilege was suspended or revoked or who had never been issued a license; requires an impounding agency to send a notice by certified, return receipt requested mail, to the legal owner of a vehicle that is impounded, and
specifies under what conditions an impounded vehicle may be released to the legal owner.

AB 321 (Connolly), effective 1/1/95, allows juveniles cited for driving under the influence, with a blood alcohol content (BAC) of $0.05 \%$ or more, by weight (Section 23140), to be charged with vehicular manslaughter (PC 192) or gross vehicular manslaughter (PC 191.5) if they violate these vehicular manslaughter laws.

SB 1295 (Lockyer), effective $1 / 1 / 95$, requires every person convicted of a first DUI offense to submit proof of completion of a treatment program within a time period set by the department; requires the department to suspend the driving privilege for noncompliance, prohibits reinstatement until proof of completion is received by the department; enhances the required administrative driving privilege revocation for a minor who refuses to take or fails to complete a preliminary alcohol screening (PAS) test, to two years revocation for the second offense in seven years and three years revocation for the third and subsequent offenses; applies the VC section 23140 to drivers under age 21 (previously under age 18), making it unlawful to drive with a $0.05 \%$ BAC level or greater.

SB 1758 (Kopp), effective $1 / 1 / 95$, permits a noncommercial driver, 21 years of age or older, who was arrested for a first Administrative Per Se DUI offense, who took a chemical test, and enrolled in an alcohol treatment program, to also obtain a restricted driver license, valid for driving to and from and during the course of that person's employment, after serving 30 days of the suspension period. The total time period for suspension/restriction shall be six months, rather than four months. Suspended/revoked and unlicensed drivers who drive are subject to having their vehicles towed and impounded for 30 days. If the driver is the registered owner of the vehicle and has a prior conviction for driving while unlicensed or suspended/revoked, the vehicle is subject to forfeiture to local authorities.

AB 2639 (Friedman), effective 9/30/94, repeals the statutes which authorized discretionary ignition interlock device (IID) orders (23235), although part of the repealed statutes were incorporated into the sections establishing mandatory orders (section 23246 et seq.). Previously, the discretionary IID orders applied to all DUI offenders, but now they apply only to first-DUI offenders. For third and subsequent offenders, the statutes are amended to clarify that the court must require proof of installation of the device before issuing an order granting a restricted license. Some of the exemptions to the IID orders were revised.

SB 126 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/94, amends Vehicle Code section 23161 to provide that if the court orders a 90-day restriction for a first offender, the restriction shall begin on the date of the reinstatement of the person's privilege to drive following the fourmonth administrative suspension; as part of the sentencing of repeat-DUI offenders, 23161 requires an ignition interlock device to remain on the vehicle for one to three years after restoration of the driving privilege; specifies that the person cannot operate a motor vehicle when the driving privilege is suspended or revoked even if the vehicle is equipped with an ignition interlock device; requires second offenders
who have been suspended for 18 months to provide proof of financial responsibility and proof of successful completion of an alcohol or drug program in order to reinstate their license privilege, includes violation of 23140 for administrative suspension for minors driving with $0.05 \%$ BAC or greater.

SB 689 (Kopp), effective 1/1/94, prohibits a person under 21 years of age from driving with a blood alcohol concentration of $0.01 \%$ or greater, as measured by a preliminary alcohol screening (PAS) test; violators receive a one-year license suspension. A person under the age of 21 who refuses the PAS test will be suspended for one year.

AB 2851 (Friedman), effective $7 / 1 / 93$, requires anyone convicted of a second DUI within seven years of a prior conviction to install an ignition interlock device on all their vehicles. The device must be maintained for a period of one to three years. Proof of installation must be provided to the court or probation officer within 30 days of conviction. If proof is not provided, the DMV will revoke the license for one year. Exceptions to installing a device are for medical problems, use of vehicle in emergencies, and driving the employer's vehicle during employment.

AB 3580 (Farr), effective $7 / 1 / 93$, changes the effective date of administrative per se suspension from 45 to 30 days after the notice is given.

SB 1600 (Bergeson), effective 9/26/92, provides that DMV is required to suspend or revoke the licenses of those who drop out of an alcohol treatment program a second time.

AB 37 (Katz), effective $1 / 1 / 92$, combines elements of the formal and informal review hearing into a single hearing for those who were suspended under the administrative per se laws, and provides that DMV need not stay a suspension or revocation pending review, if the hearing followed suspension or revocation for refusing a chemical test for alcohol or for driving with a BAC of $0.08 \%$ or more.

SB 185 (Thompson), effective $1 / 1 / 92$, amends Section 14602 to authorize the court to order the motor vehicle impounded for up to six months for a first conviction, and up to 12 months for a second or subsequent conviction of any of the following offenses: driving with a suspended or revoked license, violation of 2800.2 or .3 (evading a peace officer in a reckless manner, causing injury or death), within seven years of a violation of $23103,23152,23153$, or penal codes 191.5 or 192(c).

AB 2040 (Farr), effective 9/28/90, repeals previous statutes authorizing the installation of ignition interlock devices in DUI cases. This urgency statute authorizes the installation of such devices in all DUI cases, permits the court to grant subjects revoked for 3 or more DUI-related violations a restricted license after 24 months of the revocation have passed. The restricted license is conditioned on satisfactory
completion of 18 months of an alcohol treatment program, submission of proof of financial responsibility, and agreement to have an ignition interlock device installed in their vehicles. Courts are authorized to reduce the minimum DUI fine to allow the person to pay the costs of the device.

SB 1150 (Lockyer), effective 7/26/90, provides clean-up legislation for APS; lowers the BAC level from .10 to .08 , requires proof of financial responsibility to reinstate from any APS suspension or revocation action, increases sanctions for implied consent refusals (one-year license suspension for no priors or APS actions, two-year license revocation for one prior or APS action, and three-year revocation for two or more prior DUI offenses or APS actions), and authorizes suspension or revocation actions taken under 13353 and 13353.2 CVC to be considered as priors.

SB 1623 (Lockyer), effective 7/1/90, establishes authority for a peace officer to serve a notice of suspension or revocation (administrative per se or APS) personally on a person arrested for a DUI offense, to take possession of the driver license for forwarding to the department, and to issue a 45-day temporary operating permit; provides for an administrative review of the order, for an administrative hearing, and for a judicial review of the hearing, and provides for a fee, not to exceed $\$ 100$, to be assessed upon the return of the driver license.

AB 757 (Friedman), effective $1 / 1 / 90$, requires the DMV to establish and maintain a DUI data and recidivism tracking system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of DUI. Annual reports are to be made to the Legislature.

SB 310 (Seymour), effective $1 / 1 / 90$, authorizes the courts to sell the vehicles of those registered owners who are found in violation of Penal Code 191.5 or 192 (C3), CVC 23152 which occurred within seven years of two or more convictions of 23152 or 23153, or a violation of 23153 which occurred within seven years of one or more convictions of 23152 or 23153 or the cited Penal Code sections.

SB 408 (Leonard), effective $1 / 1 / 90$, modifies AB 7 (Hart) to establish a BAC level of $.08 \%$ or higher as per se evidence of impaired driving.

SB 1119 (Seymour), effective 1/1/90 for vessel provisions and 1/1/92 for commercial driver provisions, prohibits the operation of a commercial vehicle by a person with a BAC of $.04 \%$ or above; requires a commercial vehicle driver to be ordered out of service for 24 hours if found with a BAC at or above $.01 \%$, but less than $.04 \%$; establishes separate penalties for refusing to take or complete a chemical test based on the type of vehicle involved. Under this bill, a conviction of operating a vessel while under the influence of alcohol or drugs would also be treated as a DUI prior for driver license sanctions.

SB 1344 (Seymour), effective $1 / 1 / 90$, requires statewide implementation of 12-week (30hour) first-offender alcohol education and counseling programs, and requires state licensing of such programs. This bill also adds 6 months of monitoring and followup to second offender programs, resulting in 18-month programs. It requires that DMV evaluate program effects on recidivism and report the findings to the Legislature.

SB 1902 (Davis), effective 1/1/90, prohibits DMV from issuing or renewing a driver license unless the applicant agrees in writing to comply with a blood, breath, or urine test. This bill also designates drivers convicted of a third or subsequent DUI within 7 years as "habitual traffic offenders."

AB 3134 (Harris), effective 1/1/89, allows the 4th DUI within 7 years to be charged as a felony or misdemeanor. The term of imprisonment to state prison or county jail is not less than 180 days and not more than one year. Allows for second offenders to attend either a one year or 30-month treatment program.

AB 3563 (Killea), effective 1/1/89, authorizes the court to order DMV to suspend, revoke, or delay the driving privilege of a minor failing to show proof of completion of a court-ordered alcohol education program when convicted of Section 23140 CVC.

SB 1300 (Campbell), effective $1 / 1 / 89$, amends CVC 13202.5 to allow courts to suspend the license of a person under the age of 21 (changed from age 18) for one year, or delay the driving privilege of those 13 years or older, upon conviction of various alcohol and drug offenses, including open container violations.

SB 1964 (Robbins), effective $1 / 1 / 89$, requires all first-DUI offenders to file proof of insurance when applying for a restricted license or for reinstatement of the driving privilege following a period of license suspension.

SB 885 (Royce), effective $1 / 1 / 88$, requires that a person who was granted probation for a second DUI offense must show proof of financial responsibility in order to be eligible for the one-year restricted license.

SB 1365 (Seymour), effective 1/1/88, establishes a 30-month alcohol treatment program as an alternative to the 12 -month program for third and subsequent DUI offenders, in counties where such a program exists. In these cases, imprisonment in the county jail shall be imposed for at least 30 days, but not more than one year, in lieu of the 120-day minimum jail term.

AB 2558 (Duffy), effective 1/1/87, provides that gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated is punishable in the state prison for 4,6 , or 10 years. Former Section 192(c3) was deleted and incorporated into 191.5(a).

AB 2831 (Killea), effective 1/1/87, makes it unlawful for a minor to drive with a BAC of $.05 \%$ or more (Section 23140 CVC). A conviction of this violation requires completion of an alcohol education program or alcohol-related community service program.

SB 2206 (Watson), effective 1/1/87, authorizes a county to develop and administer an alcohol and drug problem-assessment program, which could include a pre-sentence alcohol and drug problem-assessment report for persons convicted under CVC 23152 or 23153, and referral to treatment program with follow-up tracking.

SB 2344 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/87, extends the sentencing period for prior DUIs from five to seven years, and specifies a 3 - to 5 - year probation term for a DUI conviction.

SB 3939 (Farr), effective 1/1/87, authorizes courts to order the installation of ignition interlock devices for repeat offenders in four counties, and establishes a pilot project to evaluate the effectiveness of the devices.

SB 925 (Seymour), effective $7 / 1 / 86$, extends the period of license suspension for secondmisdemeanor offenders from one year to 18 months, and also requires that offenders with three or more DUI convictions show proof of treatment completion in order to have their licenses reinstated.

AB 144 (Naylor), effective 9/29/85, requires the court to take into consideration in a DUI case a blood alcohol concentration of 0.20 percent or above, or a refusal to take a chemical test, as special factors in the enhancing of penalties for sentencing or to impose additional terms and conditions of probation.

SB 1441 (Petris), effective 1/1/85, requires a 3-year license revocation for persons with two or more DUI or alcohol-related reckless convictions within five years of refusing a chemical test.

SB 1522 (Alquist), effective $1 / 1 / 85$, retains existing law for first offenders, which authorizes courts to impound a vehicle at the registered owner's expense for up to 30 days if the driver was convicted of DUI pursuant to CVC 23152 or 23153 . The same time period for impoundment is required for second offenses within five years. For third and subsequent offenses, the vehicle can be impounded at the registered owner's expense for up to 90 days. Exceptions to the required impoundment arise "where the interests of justice would best be served by not ordering impoundment." Another limitation is that no vehicle driven by a class 3 or 4 licensee is subject to impoundment if another person has a community property interest in the vehicle, and it is the only vehicle available to the driver's family.

AB 624 (Moorhead), effective $1 / 1 / 84$, requires a one-year license revocation for minors (up to age 18) for a DUI conviction (Sections 23152, 23153 CVC).

SB 1601 (Sieroty), effective 7/1/82, modifies AB 541 provisions by requiring that SB 38 participants establish proof of insurance in order to remove the license restriction at the end of six months. In addition, SB 38 participants who dropped out of the program are given two more opportunities to reenroll, instead of receiving an
immediate license suspension. Program providers are also required to report dropouts directly to DMV.

AB 7 (Hart), effective 1/1/82, makes it a misdemeanor under CVC 23152(b) to drive a vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) level of $.10 \%$ or higher. Drivers with lower BAC levels (. $05-.09 \%$ ) can be convicted of DUI when sufficient behavioral evidence of impairment is apparent.

AB 541 (Moorhead), effective $1 / 1 / 82$, establishes that under CVC 23152(a), driving under the influence of an alcoholic beverage or drugs or their combined influence is a misdemeanor, while felony charges are filed under CVC 23153, and alcohol-related reckless charges are filed under CVC 23103.5. A conviction under 23103.5 constitutes a prior for a second offense (but not for third offenses). The penalties imposed are a 90 -day license restriction (work- and treatment-related driving only) and referral to an alcohol education program for most first offenders; a 1-year license restriction for second offenders who enroll in an approved 12-month alcohol treatment (SB 38) program. First offenders not placed on probation receive a 6month license suspension. Second offenders not assigned to an alcohol program are suspended for one year. A minimum jail term of 48 hours is mandatory for all repeat offenders, and a minimum fine of $\$ 390$ is assessed for all DUI offenses. Offenders with three or more DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions receive a 3-year license revocation along with a jail term and fine, and a small proportion are referred to a 12 -month SB 38 program. Enrollment in the program cannot be substituted for license revocation. The period defining prior DUIs changes from seven to five years. Convictions of a DUI offense with bodily injury or fatality, when prosecuted as a felony, continue to result in more severe penalties (such as longer license actions and jail terms) than the misdemeanor offenses. The only change in the 1982 law for felony second offenders is that those participating in the SB 38 program will receive a license suspension for one year and a license restriction for two years.

SB 38 (Gregorio), effective $1 / 1 / 78$, extends the pilot 12-month alcohol treatment program for repeat offenders statewide.

SB 330 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/76, permits repeat DUI offenders in four counties to participate in a 12 -month pilot alcohol treatment program in lieu of the usual 12month suspension or 3-year revocation.
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## GLOSSARY

## ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE (APS)

Administrative per se ("on-the-spot") license suspension or revocation occurs immediately pursuant to lawful arrest of a person driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of $0.08 \%$ or more, or one who refuses a chemical test. Upon arrest, the driver's license is immediately confiscated by the law enforcement officer and an order of suspension or revocation served. The driver is issued a temporary license and allowed due process through administrative review. In July 1990, California became the 28th state to implement APS. In January 1994, California enacted a "zero tolerance" statute which requires the administrative suspension of any driver under age 21 with a BAC of $0.01 \%$ or greater, or who refuses to be tested.

## ALCOHOL-INVOLVED ACCIDENT

Alcohol-involved accidents are those in which the investigating law enforcement officer indicates on the accident report that the driver "had been drinking (HBD)." Accidents involving drivers who are determined to be under the influence of drugs other than alcohol (typically less than $1 \%$ of all accidents) are also included in the alcohol-involved accident category.

## ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING

Commonly called a "wet" reckless, alcohol-related reckless driving refers to an arrest/conviction incident which originated as a DUI arrest. DUI arrests involving drugs which are reduced to reckless driving are also referred to as alcohol-involved or "wet" reckless driving. "Wet" reckless convictions count as priors for the purposes of enhanced penalties upon subsequent conviction of DUI.

## ALPHA

Alpha is the investigator's acceptable risk or probability level of making a Type 1 error (generally chosen to be small-e.g., $1 \%$ or $.01,5 \%$ or .05 ). There is always some risk of a Type 1 error, so alpha cannot be zero. Alpha is also called the significance level, because it is the criterion for claiming statistical significance.

## BAC

Blood alcohol concentration, or BAC, is a measure of the percent, by weight, of alcohol in a person's blood. Statutorily, BAC is based upon grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood or per 210 liters of breath.

## CONVICTION

Conviction of an offense, as used in this report, refers to the receipt by DMV of a court abstract of conviction. In a small proportion of cases, an offender may be convicted of an offense but that conviction is not reported to DMV. Such cases would functionally be treated by DMV as though the offender had not been convicted.

Because convictions can be amended, corrected, dismissed or simply not reported at all, the conviction totals reported herein are dynamic and subject to change.

## COVARIATE

A variable used to statistically adjust the results of an analysis for differences (on that variable) existing among subjects prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

## DUI

DUI is an acronym for "driving under the influence" of alcohol and/or drugs, a violation of Sections 23152 or 23153 of the California Vehicle Code.

## LOGISTIC REGRESSION

Logistic regression analysis is a statistical procedure evaluating the linear relationship between various factors and the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an outcome event. In this study, the procedure was used to explain the relationship between the various sanctions and the proportion of DUI offenders who incurred accidents and/or DUI incidents.

## MAJOR CONVICTION

Major convictions include primarily DUI convictions, but also reckless driving and hit-and-run convictions.

## p

$p$ stands for probability. For example, if $p<.05$, the probability is less than 5 chances in 100 that the difference you found is by chance alone.

## QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS

Quasi-experimental designs refer to analyses where the comparison groups are not equivalent on characteristics other than the treatment conditions because random assignment was not used. Caution should be exercised when interpreting the results because of possible confounding of group bias with treatment effects. Covariates are used to statistically reduce group differences prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

## SIGNIFICANT (STATISTICALLY)

If the result of a statistical test is significant, this means that the difference found is very unlikely by chance alone. How unlikely is determined by alpha.

## APPENDIX A

Assembly Bill No. 757
CHAPTER 450

An act to add Section 1821 to the Vehicle Code. relating to driving offenses.
(Approved by Governor September 14, 1989. Filed with Secretary of State September 15, 1989.)
LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST
AB 757, Friedman. Driving offenses: intervention programs: evaluation.
Under existing law, the Department of Motor Vehicles maintains records of driver's offenses reported by the courts. Including violations of the prohibitions against driving while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, any drug, or both, driving with an excessive blood-alcohol concentration, or driving while addicted to any drug.

This bill would, additionally, require the department to establish and maintain a data and monitoring system, as specified, to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of those violations relating to alcohol and drugs, and to report thereon annually to the Legislature.

The bill would declare legislative findings.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:
SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares as follows:
(a) Drivers under the influence of drugs or alcohol continue to present a grave danger to the citizens of this state.
(b) The Legislature has taken stern action to deter this crime and punish its offenders and has provided a range of sanctions available to the courts to use at their discretion.
(c) No system exists to monitor and evaluate the efficacy of these measures or to determine the achievement of the Legislature's goals.
(d) This lack of accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics hampers the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions.
(e) It is essential that the Legislature acquire this information, from available resources, as soon as practicable, and that this information be updated and transmitted annually to the Legislature.

SEC. 2. Section 1821 is added to the Vehicle Code, to read:
1821: The department shall establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The system may include a recidivism tracking system. The recidivism tracking system may include, but not be limited to, jail sentencing, license restriction, license suspension. Level I (first offender) and II (multiple offender) alcohol and drug education and treatment program assignment, alcohol and drug education treatment program readmission and dropout rates, adjudicating court, length of jail term, actual jail or alternative sentence served, type of treatment program assigned, actual program compliance status, subsequent accidents related to driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, and subsequent convictions of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The department shall submit an annual report of its evaluations to the Legislature. The evaluations shall include a ranking of the relative efficacy of criminal penalties, other sanctions, and intervention programs and the various combinations thereof.
APPENDIX B

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100\%) |  |  |  | RACE/ETHNICITY (100\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  | WHITE |  | HISPANIC |  | BLACK |  | OTHER |  |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| STATEWIDE |  | 188327 | 162296 | 86.2 | 26031 | 13.8 | 79853 | 42.4 | 81744 | 43.4 | 12547 | 6.7 | 14183 | 7.5 |
| ALAMEDA | UNDER 18 | 64 | 58 | 90.6 | 6 | 9.4 | 33 | 51.6 | 19 | 29.7 | 5 | 7.8 | 7 | 10.9 |
|  | 18-20 | 424 | 372 | 87.7 | 52 | 12.3 | 165 | 38.9 | 151 | 35.6 | 54 | 12.7 | 54 | 12.7 |
|  | 21-30 | 2173 | 1898 | 87.3 | 275 | 12.7 | 649 | 29.9 | 861 | 39.6 | 394 | 18.1 | 269 | 12.4 |
|  | 31-40 | 1916 | 1579 | 82.4 | 337 | 17.6 | 776 | 40.5 | 497 | 25.9 | 403 | 21.0 | 240 | 12.5 |
|  | 41-50 | 1057 | 871 | 82.4 | 186 | 17.6 | 476 | 45.0 | 191 | 18.1 | 251 | 23.7 | 139 | 13.2 |
|  | 51-60 | 436 | 382 | 87.6 | 54 | 12.4 | 225 | 51.6 | 52 | 11.9 | 105 | 24.1 | 54 | 12.4 |
|  | 61-70 | 124 | 113 | 91.1 | 11 | 8.9 | 69 | 55.6 | 16 | 12.9 | 28 | 22.6 | 11 | 8.9 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 35 | 35 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 21 | 60.0 | 6 | 17.1 | 7 | 20.0 | 1 | 2.9 |
|  | TOTAL | 6229 | 5308 | 85.2 | 921 | 14.8 | 2414 | 38.8 | 1793 | 28.8 | 1247 | 20.0 | 775 | 12.4 |
| ALPINE | UNDER 18 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 6 | 6 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 31-40 | 12 | 9 | 75.0 | 3 | 25.0 | 12 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 41-50 | 6 | 5 | 83.3 | 1 | 16.7 | 6 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 51-60 | 2 | 1 | 50.0 | 1 | 50.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 28 | 22 | 78.6 | 6 | 21.4 | 28 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| AMADOR | UNDER 18 | 7 | 6 | 85.7 | 1 | 14.3 | 7 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | $18-20$ | 17 | 15 | 88.2 | 2 | 11.8 | 15 | 88.2 | 1 | 5.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.9 |
|  | 21-30 | 48 | 40 | 83.3 | 8 | 16.7 | 45 | 93.8 | 1 | 2.1 | 1 | 2.1 | 1 | 2.1 |
|  | 31-40 | 66 | 54 | 81.8 | 12 | 18.2 | 58 | 87.9 | 4 | 6.1 | 1 | 1.5 | 3 | 4.5 |
|  | 41-50 | 53 | 45 | 84.9 | 8 | 15.1 | 49 | 92.5 | 2 | 3.8 | 1 | 1.9 | 1 | 1.9 |
|  | 51-60 | 16 | 12 | 75.0 | 4 | 25.0 | 15 | 93.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 6.3 |
|  | 61-70 | 7 | 6 | 85.7 | 1 | 14.3 | 7 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 5 | 5 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 219 | 183 | 83.6 | 36 | 16.4 | 201 | 91.8 | 8 | 3.7 | 3 | 1.4 | 7 | 3.2 |
| BUTTE | UNDER 18 | 25 | 20 | 80.0 | 5 | 20.0 | 22 | 88.0 | 2 | 8.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 146 | 130 | 89.0 | 16 | 11.0 | 123 | 84.2 | 19 | 13.0 | 3 | 2.1 | 1 | 0.7 |
|  | 21-30 | 374 | 325 | 86.9 | 49 | 13.1 | 288 | 77.0 | 65 | 17.4 | 16 | 4.3 | 5 | 1.3 |
|  | 31-40 | 269 | 223 | 82.9 | 46 | 17.1 | 226 | 84.0 | 31 | 11.5 | 7 | 2.6 | 5 | 1.9 |
|  | 41-50 | 213 | 178 | 83.6 | 35 | 16.4 | 185 | 86.9 | 18 | 8.5 | 5 | 2.3 | 5 | 2.3 |
|  | 51-60 | 53 | 44 | 83.0 | 9 | 17.0 | 51 | 96.2 | 1 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.9 |
|  | $61-70$ | 28 | 25 | 89.3 | 3 | 10.7 | 26 | 92.9 | 1 | 3.6 | 1 | 3.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 9 | 8 | 88.9 | 1 | 11.1 | 9 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 1117 | 953 | 85.3 | 164 | 14.7 | 930 | 83.3 | 137 | 12.3 | 32 | 2.9 | 18 | 1.6 |

TABLE B1: 1998 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100\%) |  |  |  | RACE/ETHNICITY (100\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  | WHITE |  | HISPANIC |  | BLACK |  | OTHER |  |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| CALAVERAS | UNDER 18 | 4 | 3 | 75.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 4 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 20 | 18 | 90.0 | 2 | 10.0 | 18 | 90.0 | 2 | 10.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 58 | 52 | 89.7 | 6 | 10.3 | 53 | 91.4 | 5 | 8.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 31-40 | 100 | 78 | 78.0 | 22 | 22.0 | 94 | 94.0 | 6 | 6.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 41-50 | 89 | 79 | 88.8 | 10 | 11.2 | 84 | 94.4 | 4 | 4.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.1 |
|  | 51-60 | 37 | 29 | 78.4 | 8 | 21.6 | 35 | 94.6 | 2 | 5.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 10 | 10 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 319 | 270 | 84.6 | 49 | 15.4 | 298 | 93.4 | 20 | 6.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.3 |
| COLUSA | UNDER 18 | 4 | 4 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | $18-20$ | 40 | 39 | 97.5 | 1 | 2.5 | 14 | 35.0 | 21 | 52.5 | 1 | 2.5 | 4 | 10.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 119 | 111 | 93.3 | 8 | 6.7 | 43 | 36.1 | 70 | 58.8 | 2 | 1.7 | 4 | 3.4 |
|  | 31-40 | 120 | 98 | 81.7 | 22 | 18.3 | 77 | 64.2 | 37 | 30.8 | 2 | 1.7 | 4 | 3.3 |
|  | 41-50 | 62 | 52 | 83.9 | 10 | 16.1 | 37 | 59.7 | 19 | 30.6 | 3 | 4.8 | 3 | 4.8 |
|  | $51-60$ | 25 | 22 | 88.0 | 3 | 12.0 | 21 | 84.0 | 2 | 8.0 | 1 | 4.0 | 1 | 4.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 10 | 10 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | $71 \text { \& ABOVE }$ | $5$ | 5 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 80.0 | 1 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 385 | 341 | 88.6 | 44 | 11.4 | 206 | 53.5 | 154 | 40.0 | 9 | 2.3 | 16 | 4.2 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { CONTRA } \\ \text { COSTA } \end{array}$ | UNDER 18 | 48 | 37 | 77.1 | 11 | 22.9 | 33 | 68.8 | 9 | 18.8 | 3 | 6.3 | 3 | 6.3 |
|  | 18-20 | 357 | 313 | 87.7 | 44 | 12.3 | 200 | 56.0 | 106 | 29.7 | 28 | 7.8 | 23 | 6.4 |
|  | 21-30 | 1440 | 1240 | 86.1 | 200 | 13.9 | 695 | 48.3 | 500 | 34.7 | 123 | 8.5 | 122 | 8.5 |
|  | 31-40 | 1242 | 1007 | 81.1 | 235 | 18.9 | 710 | 57.2 | 310 | 25.0 | 131 | 10.5 | 91 | 7.3 |
|  | $41-50$ | $780$ | $631$ | 80.9 | 149 | 19.1 | 484 | 62.1 | 147 | 18.8 | 99 | 12.7 | 50 | 6.4 |
|  | $51-60$ | 290 | 236 | 81.4 | 54 | 18.6 | 204 | 70.3 | 44 | 15.2 | 24 | 8.3 | 18 | 6.2 |
|  | 61-70 | 110 | 100 | 90.9 | 10 | 9.1 | 70 | 63.6 | 22 | 20.0 | 8 | 7.3 | 10 | 9.1 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 36 | 35 | 97.2 | 1 | 2.8 | 22 | 61.1 | 6 | 16.7 | 6 | 16.7 | 2 | 5.6 |
|  | TOTAL | 4303 | 3599 | 83.6 | 704 | 16.4 | 2418 | 56.2 | 1144 | 26.6 | 422 | 9.8 | 319 | 7.4 |
| DEL NORTE | UNDER 18 | 2 | 1 | 50.0 | 1 | 50.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | $18-20$ | 22 | 16 | 72.7 | 6 | 27.3 | 13 | 59.1 | 3 | 13.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 27.3 |
|  | 21-30 | 77 | 67 | 87.0 | 10 | 13.0 | 60 | 77.9 | 11 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 7.8 |
|  | 31-40 | 85 | 63 | 74.1 | 22 | 25.9 | 75 | 88.2 | 3 | 3.5 | 2 | 2.4 | 5 | 5.9 |
|  | 41-50 | 67 | 55 | 82.1 | 12 | 17.9 | 58 | 86.6 | 1 | 1.5 | 1 | 1.5 | 7 | 10.4 |
|  | 51-60 | 30 | 26 | 86.7 | 4 | 13.3 | 26 | 86.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 13.3 |
|  | 61-70 | 7 | 6 | 85.7 | 1 | 14.3 | 5 | 71.4 | 1 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 14.3 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 3 | 3 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 293 | 237 | 80.9 | 56 | 19.1 | 242 | 82.6 | 19 | 6.5 | 3 | 1.0 | 29 | 9.9 |

TABLE B1: 1998 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100\%) |  |  |  | RACE/ETHNICITY (100\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  | WHITE |  | HISPANIC |  | BLACK |  | OTHER |  |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| EL DORADO | UNDER 18 | 12 | 9 | 75.0 | 3 | 25.0 | 12 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 75 | 64 | 85.3 | 11 | 14.7 | 66 | 88.0 | 8 | 10.7 | 1 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 247 | 203 | 82.2 | 44 | 17.8 | 214 | 86.6 | 25 | 10.1 | 3 | 1.2 | 5 | 2.0 |
|  | 31-40 | 318 | 249 | 78.3 | 69 | 21.7 | 296 | 93.1 | 17 | 5.3 | 2 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.9 |
|  | 41-50 | 260 | 211 | 81.2 | 49 | 18.8 | 246 | 94.6 | 10 | 3.8 | 3 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.4 |
|  | 51-60 | 99 | 78 | 78.8 | 21 | 21.2 | 98 | 99.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 30 | 28 | 93.3 | 2 | 6.7 | 28 | 93.3 | 2 | 6.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 10 | 8 | 80.0 | 2 | 20.0 | 10 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 1051 | 850 | 80.9 | 201 | 19.1 | 970 | 92.3 | 62 | 5.9 | 9 | 0.9 | 10 | 1.0 |
| FRESNO | UNDER 18 | 92 | 79 | 85.9 | 13 | 14.1 | 24 | 26.1 | 64 | 69.6 | 3 | 3.3 | 1 | 1.1 |
|  | 18-20 | 579 | 534 | 92.2 | 45 | 7.8 | 159 | 27.5 | 385 | 66.5 | 21 | 3.6 | 14 | 2.4 |
|  | 21-30 | 2613 | 2349 | 89.9 | 264 | 10.1 | 527 | 20.2 | 1912 | 73.2 | 123 | 4.7 | 51 | 2.0 |
|  | 31-40 | 1829 | 1583 | 86.6 | 246 | 13.4 | 443 | 24.2 | 1218 | 66.6 | 126 | 6.9 | 42 | 2.3 |
|  | 41-50 | 989 | 845 | 85.4 | 144 | 14.6 | 340 | 34.4 | 531 | 53.7 | 77 | 7.8 | 41 | 4.1 |
|  | 51-60 | 332 | 299 | 90.1 | 33 | 9.9 | 144 | 43.4 | 146 | 44.0 | 27 | 8.1 | 15 | 4.5 |
|  | 61-70 | 98 | 89 | 90.8 | 9 | 9.2 | 42 | 42.9 | 41 | 41.8 | 12 | 12.2 | 3 | 3.1 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 30 | 28 | 93.3 | 2 | 6.7 | 18 | 60.0 | 9 | 30.0 | 2 | 6.7 | 1 | 3.3 |
|  | TOTAL | 6562 | 5806 | 88.5 | 756 | 11.5 | 1697 | 25.9 | 4306 | 65.6 | 391 | 6.0 | 168 | 2.6 |
| GLENN | UNDER 18 | 6 | 4 | 66.7 | 2 | 33.3 | 2 | 33.3 | 3 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 16.7 |
|  | 18-20 | 10 | 9 | 90.0 | 1 | 10.0 | 6 | 60.0 | 4 | 40.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 75 | 67 | 89.3 | 8 | 10.7 | 27 | 36.0 | 44 | 58.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 5.3 |
|  | 31-40 | 73 | 53 | 72.6 | 20 | 27.4 | 45 | 61.6 | 26 | 35.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.7 |
|  | 41-50 | 44 | 39 | 88.6 | 5 | 11.4 | 37 | 84.1 | 7 | 15.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 51-60 | 13 | 13 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 61.5 | 5 | 38.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 6 | 5 | 83.3 | 1 | 16.7 | 6 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 6 | 6 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 66.7 | 2 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 233 | 196 | 84.1 | 37 | 15.9 | 135 | 57.9 | 91 | 39.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 3.0 |
| HUMBOLDT | UNDER 18 | 21 | 20 | 95.2 | 1 | 4.8 | 20 | 95.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.8 |
|  | 18-20 | 127 | 104 | 81.9 | 23 | 18.1 | 107 | 84.3 | 7 | 5.5 | 2 | 1.6 | 11 | 8.7 |
|  | 21-30 | 474 | 380 | 80.2 | 94 | 19.8 | 373 | 78.7 | 52 | 11.0 | 7 | 1.5 | 42 | 8.9 |
|  | 31-40 | 323 | 230 | 71.2 | 93 | 28.8 | 276 | 85.4 | 13 | 4.0 | 6 | 1.9 | 28 | 8.7 |
|  | 41-50 | 279 | 203 | 72.8 | 76 | 27.2 | 238 | 85.3 | 7 | 2.5 | 2 | 0.7 | 32 | 11.5 |
|  | 51-60 | 93 | 74 | 79.6 | 19 | 20.4 | 86 | 92.5 | 1 | 1.1 | 1 | 1.1 | 5 | 5.4 |
|  | 61-70 | 33 | 28 | 84.8 | 5 | 15.2 | 25 | 75.8 | 1 | 3.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 21.2 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 9 | 8 | 88.9 | 1 | 11.1 | 7 | 77.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 22.2 |
|  | TOTAL | 1359 | 1047 | 77.0 | 312 | 23.0 | 1132 | 83.3 | 81 | 6.0 | 18 | 1.3 | 128 | 9.4 |

TABLE B1: 1998 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100\%) |  |  |  | RACE/ETHNICITY (100\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  | WHITE |  | HISPANIC |  | BLACK |  | OTHER |  |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| IMPERIAL | UNDER 18 | 14 | 12 | 85.7 | 2 | 14.3 | 5 | 35.7 | 9 | 64.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 123 | 113 | 91.9 | 10 | 8.1 | 25 | 20.3 | 90 | 73.2 | 2 | 1.6 | 6 | 4.9 |
|  | 21-30 | 511 | 468 | 91.6 | 43 | 8.4 | 91 | 17.8 | 377 | 73.8 | 19 | 3.7 | 24 | 4.7 |
|  | 31-40 | 498 | 453 | 91.0 | 45 | 9.0 | 98 | 19.7 | 360 | 72.3 | 10 | 2.0 | 30 | 6.0 |
|  | 41-50 | 322 | 287 | 89.1 | 35 | 10.9 | 80 | 24.8 | 221 | 68.6 | 7 | 2.2 | 14 | 4.3 |
|  | 51-60 | 126 | 116 | 92.1 | 10 | 7.9 | 37 | 29.4 | 79 | 62.7 | 3 | 2.4 | 7 | 5.6 |
|  | 61-70 | 50 | 48 | 96.0 | 2 | 4.0 | 21 | 42.0 | 27 | 54.0 | 2 | 4.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 14 | 13 | 92.9 | 1 | 7.1 | 8 | 57.1 | 5 | 35.7 | 1 | 7.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 1658 | 1510 | 91.1 | 148 | 8.9 | 365 | 22.0 | 1168 | 70.4 | 44 | 2.7 | 81 | 4.9 |
| INYO | UNDER 18 | 6 | 5 | 83.3 | 1 | 16.7 | 5 | 83.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 16.7 |
|  | $18-20$ | 20 | 16 | 80.0 | 4 | 20.0 | 8 | 40.0 | 3 | 15.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 45.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 65 | 53 | 81.5 | 12 | 18.5 | 39 | 60.0 | 8 | 12.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 18 | 27.7 |
|  | 31-40 | 74 | 59 | 79.7 | 15 | 20.3 | 54 | 73.0 | 9 | 12.2 | 2 | 2.7 | 9 | 12.2 |
|  | 41-50 | 62 | 51 | 82.3 | 11 | 17.7 | 48 | 77.4 | 5 | 8.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 14.5 |
|  | 51-60 | 25 | 21 | 84.0 | 4 | 16.0 | 23 | 92.0 | 1 | 4.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 6 | 6 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 83.3 | 1 | 16.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | $71 \text { \& ABOVE }$ | $1$ | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 259 | 212 | 81.9 | 47 | 18.1 | 183 | 70.7 | 27 | 10.4 | 2 | 0.8 | 47 | 18.1 |
| KERN | UNDER 18 | 61 | 47 | 77.0 | 14 | 23.0 | 36 | 59.0 | 24 | 39.3 | 1 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 411 | 372 | 90.5 | 39 | 9.5 | 181 | 44.0 | 217 | 52.8 | 7 | 1.7 | 6 | 1.5 |
|  | $21-30$ | 1636 | 1493 | 91.3 | 143 | 8.7 | 532 | 32.5 | 994 | 60.8 | 70 | 4.3 | 40 | 2.4 |
|  | $31-40$ | 1378 | 1165 | 84.5 | 213 | 15.5 | 548 | 39.8 | 738 | 53.6 | 75 | 5.4 | 17 | 1.2 |
|  | 41-50 | 740 | 633 | 85.5 | 107 | 14.5 | 357 | 48.2 | 320 | 43.2 | 47 | 6.4 | 16 | 2.2 |
|  | $51-60$ | 246 | 217 | 88.2 | 29 | 11.8 | 159 | 64.6 | 75 | 30.5 | 7 | 2.8 | 5 | 2.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 91 | 84 | 92.3 | 7 | 7.7 | 64 | 70.3 | 19 | 20.9 | 5 | 5.5 | 3 | 3.3 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 27 | 22 | 81.5 | 5 | 18.5 | 15 | 55.6 | 6 | 22.2 | 1 | 3.7 | 5 | 18.5 |
|  | TOTAL | 4590 | 4033 | 87.9 | 557 | 12.1 | 1892 | 41.2 | 2393 | 52.1 | 213 | 4.6 | 92 | 2.0 |
| KINGS | UNDER 18 | 11 | 10 | 90.9 | 1 | 9.1 | 8 | 72.7 | 3 | 27.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 88 | 81 | 92.0 | 7 | 8.0 | 32 | 36.4 | 49 | 55.7 | 4 | 4.5 | 3 | 3.4 |
|  | 21-30 | 403 | 367 | 91.1 | 36 | 8.9 | 119 | 29.5 | 256 | 63.5 | 18 | 4.5 | 10 | 2.5 |
|  | 31-40 | 279 | 236 | 84.6 | 43 | 15.4 | 88 | 31.5 | 168 | 60.2 | 17 | 6.1 | 6 | 2.2 |
|  | 41-50 | 140 | 115 | 82.1 | 25 | 17.9 | 58 | 41.4 | 70 | 50.0 | 8 | 5.7 | 4 | 2.9 |
|  | 51-60 | 44 | 39 | 88.6 | 5 | 11.4 | 20 | 45.5 | 20 | 45.5 | 3 | 6.8 | 1 | 2.3 |
|  | 61-70 | 23 | 21 | 91.3 | 2 | 8.7 | 9 | 39.1 | 12 | 52.2 | 1 | 4.3 | 1 | 4.3 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 8 | 7 | 87.5 | 1 | 12.5 | 4 | 50.0 | 3 | 37.5 | 1 | 12.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 996 | 876 | 88.0 | 120 | 12.0 | 338 | 33.9 | 581 | 58.3 | 52 | 5.2 | 25 | 2.5 |

TABLE B1: 1998 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100\%) |  |  |  | RACE/ETHNICITY (100\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  | WHITE |  | HISPANIC |  | BLACK |  | OTHER |  |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| LAKE | UNDER 18 | 8 | 6 | 75.0 | 2 | 25.0 | 5 | 62.5 | 1 | 12.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 25.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 36 | 32 | 88.9 | 4 | 11.1 | 29 | 80.6 | 7 | 19.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 108 | 89 | 82.4 | 19 | 17.6 | 68 | 63.0 | 33 | 30.6 | 3 | 2.8 | 4 | 3.7 |
|  | 31-40 | 146 | 99 | 67.8 | 47 | 32.2 | 119 | 81.5 | 23 | 15.8 | 2 | 1.4 | 2 | 1.4 |
|  | 41-50 | 132 | 103 | 78.0 | 29 | 22.0 | 121 | 91.7 | 6 | 4.5 | 3 | 2.3 | 2 | 1.5 |
|  | 51-60 | 54 | 47 | 87.0 | 7 | 13.0 | 44 | 81.5 | 6 | 11.1 | 2 | 3.7 | 2 | 3.7 |
|  | 61-70 | 26 | 23 | 88.5 | 3 | 11.5 | 22 | 84.6 | 2 | 7.7 | 2 | 7.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 12 | 11 | 91.7 | 1 | 8.3 | 11 | 91.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 8.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 522 | 410 | 78.5 | 112 | 21.5 | 419 | 80.3 | 78 | 14.9 | 13 | 2.5 | 12 | 2.3 |
| LASSEN | UNDER 18 | 3 | 2 | 66.7 | 1 | 33.3 | 3 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | $18-20$ | 26 | 18 | 69.2 | 8 | 30.8 | 21 | 80.8 | 3 | 11.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 7.7 |
|  | 21-30 | 58 | 46 | 79.3 | 12 | 20.7 | 47 | 81.0 | 4 | 6.9 | 1 | 1.7 | 6 | 10.3 |
|  | 31-40 | 74 | 58 | 78.4 | 16 | 21.6 | 65 | 87.8 | 6 | 8.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 4.1 |
|  | 41-50 | 60 | 48 | 80.0 | 12 | 20.0 | 54 | 90.0 | 3 | 5.0 | 1 | 1.7 | 2 | 3.3 |
|  | 51-60 | 20 | 17 | 85.0 | 3 | 15.0 | 19 | 95.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 7 | 6 | 85.7 | 1 | 14.3 | 5 | 71.4 | 1 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 14.3 |
|  | $71 \& \text { ABOVE }$ | $1$ | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 249 | 196 | 78.7 | 53 | 21.3 | 215 | 86.3 | 17 | 6.8 | 2 | 0.8 | 15 | 6.0 |
| LOS ANGELES | UNDER 18 | 219 | 186 | 84.9 | 33 | 15.1 | 81 | 37.0 | 111 | 50.7 | 11 | 5.0 | 16 | 7.3 |
|  | 18-20 | 2334 | 2080 | 89.1 | 254 | 10.9 | 482 | 20.7 | 1556 | 66.7 | 130 | 5.6 | 166 | 7.1 |
|  | 21-30 | 18227 | 16252 | 89.2 | 1975 | 10.8 | 3439 | 18.9 | 12171 | 66.8 | 1323 | 7.3 | 1294 | 7.1 |
|  | 31-40 | 14300 | 12562 | 87.8 | 1738 | 12.2 | 3486 | 24.4 | 8294 | 58.0 | 1498 | 10.5 | 1022 | 7.1 |
|  | $41-50$ | $7121$ | $6208$ | 87.2 | 913 | 12.8 | 2181 | 30.6 | 3350 | 47.0 | 978 | 13.7 | 612 | 8.6 |
|  | $51-60$ | 2395 | 2132 | 89.0 | 263 | 11.0 | 929 | 38.8 | 850 | 35.5 | 429 | 17.9 | 187 | 7.8 |
|  | 61-70 | 716 | 643 | 89.8 | 73 | 10.2 | 300 | 41.9 | 203 | 28.4 | 166 | 23.2 | 47 | 6.6 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 190 | 164 | 86.3 | 26 | 13.7 | 100 | 52.6 | 42 | 22.1 | 44 | 23.2 | 4 | 2.1 |
|  | TOTAL | 45502 | 40227 | 88.4 | 5275 | 11.6 | 10998 | 24.2 | 26577 | 58.4 | 4579 | 10.1 | 3348 | 7.4 |
| MADERA | UNDER 18 | 7 | 5 | 71.4 | 2 | 28.6 | 3 | 42.9 | 4 | 57.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 51 | 51 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 17.6 | 42 | 82.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 308 | 296 | 96.1 | 12 | 3.9 | 68 | 22.1 | 232 | 75.3 | 6 | 1.9 | 2 | 0.6 |
|  | 31-40 | 204 | 184 | 90.2 | 20 | 9.8 | 65 | 31.9 | 130 | 63.7 | 7 | 3.4 | 2 | 1.0 |
|  | 41-50 | 92 | 78 | 84.8 | 14 | 15.2 | 36 | 39.1 | 51 | 55.4 | 4 | 4.3 | 1 | 1.1 |
|  | 51-60 | 45 | 37 | 82.2 | 8 | 17.8 | 30 | 66.7 | 11 | 24.4 | 3 | 6.7 | 1 | 2.2 |
|  | 61-70 | 13 | 12 | 92.3 | 1 | 7.7 | 9 | 69.2 | 4 | 30.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 4 | 4 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 724 | 667 | 92.1 | 57 | 7.9 | 224 | 30.9 | 474 | 65.5 | 20 | 2.8 | 6 | 0.8 |

TABLE B1: 1998 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100\%) |  |  |  | RACE/ETHNICITY (100\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  | WHITE |  | HISPANIC |  | BLACK |  | OTHER |  |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| MARIN | UNDER 18 | 10 | 9 | 90.0 | 1 | 10.0 | 6 | 60.0 | 3 | 30.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 10.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 85 | 69 | 81.2 | 16 | 18.8 | 57 | 67.1 | 17 | 20.0 | 3 | 3.5 | 8 | 9.4 |
|  | 21-30 | 504 | 420 | 83.3 | 84 | 16.7 | 276 | 54.8 | 169 | 33.5 | 19 | 3.8 | 40 | 7.9 |
|  | 31-40 | 500 | 368 | 73.6 | 132 | 26.4 | 357 | 71.4 | 84 | 16.8 | 20 | 4.0 | 39 | 7.8 |
|  | 41-50 | 333 | 253 | 76.0 | 80 | 24.0 | 274 | 82.3 | 22 | 6.6 | 16 | 4.8 | 21 | 6.3 |
|  | 51-60 | 142 | 118 | 83.1 | 24 | 16.9 | 132 | 93.0 | 3 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 4.9 |
|  | 61-70 | 41 | 31 | 75.6 | 10 | 24.4 | 34 | 82.9 | 2 | 4.9 | 2 | 4.9 | 3 | 7.3 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 20 | 15 | 75.0 | 5 | 25.0 | 18 | 90.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.0 | 1 | 5.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 1635 | 1283 | 78.5 | 352 | 21.5 | 1154 | 70.6 | 300 | 18.3 | 61 | 3.7 | 120 | 7.3 |
| MARIPOSA | UNDER 18 | 4 | 3 | 75.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 3 | 75.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 8 | 8 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 87.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 12.5 |
|  | 21-30 | 29 | 25 | 86.2 | 4 | 13.8 | 24 | 82.8 | 3 | 10.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 6.9 |
|  | 31-40 | 26 | 20 | 76.9 | 6 | 23.1 | 22 | 84.6 | 3 | 11.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.8 |
|  | 41-50 | 12 | 10 | 83.3 | 2 | 16.7 | 12 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 51-60 | 13 | 11 | 84.6 | 2 | 15.4 | 12 | 92.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 7.7 |
|  | 61-70 | 8 | 7 | 87.5 | 1 | 12.5 | 5 | 62.5 | 2 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 12.5 |
|  | TOTAL | 100 | 84 | 84.0 | 16 | 16.0 | 85 | 85.0 | 8 | 8.0 | 1 | 1.0 | 6 | 6.0 |
| MENDOCINO | UNDER 18 | 13 | 11 | 84.6 | 2 | 15.4 | 9 | 69.2 | 1 | 7.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 23.1 |
|  | 18-20 | 51 | 43 | 84.3 | 8 | 15.7 | 37 | 72.5 | 8 | 15.7 | 1 | 2.0 | 5 | 9.8 |
|  | 21-30 | 250 | 214 | 85.6 | 36 | 14.4 | 158 | 63.2 | 75 | 30.0 | 1 | 0.4 | 16 | 6.4 |
|  | 31-40 | 214 | 155 | 72.4 | 59 | 27.6 | 172 | 80.4 | 31 | 14.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 10 | 4.7 |
|  | 41-50 | 161 | 122 | 75.8 | 39 | 24.2 | 143 | 88.8 | 12 | 7.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 3.7 |
|  | 51-60 | 77 | 63 | 81.8 | 14 | 18.2 | 66 | 85.7 | 7 | 9.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 5.2 |
|  | 61-70 | 10 | 10 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 90.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 10.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 5 | 3 | 60.0 | 2 | 40.0 | 4 | 80.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 20.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 781 | 621 | 79.5 | 160 | 20.5 | 598 | 76.6 | 134 | 17.2 | 3 | 0.4 | 46 | 5.9 |
| MERCED | UNDER 18 | 19 | 18 | 94.7 | 1 | 5.3 | 10 | 52.6 | 9 | 47.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 152 | 140 | 92.1 | 12 | 7.9 | 41 | 27.0 | 105 | 69.1 | 1 | 0.7 | 5 | 3.3 |
|  | 21-30 | 789 | 729 | 92.4 | 60 | 7.6 | 151 | 19.1 | 589 | 74.7 | 19 | 2.4 | 30 | 3.8 |
|  | 31-40 | 513 | 428 | 83.4 | 85 | 16.6 | 146 | 28.5 | 314 | 61.2 | 29 | 5.7 | 24 | 4.7 |
|  | 41-50 | 293 | 251 | 85.7 | 42 | 14.3 | 120 | 41.0 | 145 | 49.5 | 13 | 4.4 | 15 | 5.1 |
|  | 51-60 | 91 | 80 | 87.9 | 11 | 12.1 | 46 | 50.5 | 35 | 38.5 | 5 | 5.5 | 5 | 5.5 |
|  | 61-70 | 33 | 30 | 90.9 | 3 | 9.1 | 16 | 48.5 | 11 | 33.3 | 4 | 12.1 | 2 | 6.1 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 12 | 11 | 91.7 | 1 | 8.3 | 8 | 66.7 | 3 | 25.0 | 1 | 8.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 1902 | 1687 | 88.7 | 215 | 11.3 | 538 | 28.3 | 1211 | 63.7 | 72 | 3.8 | 81 | 4.3 |

TABLE B1: 1998 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100\%) |  |  |  | RACE/ETHNICITY (100\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  | WHITE |  | HISPANIC |  | BLACK |  | OTHER |  |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| MODOC | UNDER 18 | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 4 | 4 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 50.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 25.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 11 | 7 | 63.6 | 4 | 36.4 | 10 | 90.9 | 1 | 9.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 31-40 | 24 | 18 | 75.0 | 6 | 25.0 | 19 | 79.2 | 3 | 12.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 8.3 |
|  | 41-50 | 24 | 19 | 79.2 | 5 | 20.8 | 20 | 83.3 | 2 | 8.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 8.3 |
|  | 51-60 | 17 | 16 | 94.1 | 1 | 5.9 | 16 | 94.1 | 1 | 5.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 82 | 66 | 80.5 | 16 | 19.5 | 69 | 84.1 | 8 | 9.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 6.1 |
| MONO | UNDER 18 | 2 | 1 | 50.0 | 1 | 50.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 8 | 7 | 87.5 | 1 | 12.5 | 8 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 28 | 26 | 92.9 | 2 | 7.1 | 22 | 78.6 | 4 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 7.1 |
|  | 31-40 | 23 | 20 | 87.0 | 3 | 13.0 | 20 | 87.0 | 2 | 8.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.3 |
|  | 41-50 | 23 | 23 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 18 | 78.3 | 3 | 13.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 8.7 |
|  | 51-60 | 9 | 8 | 88.9 | 1 | 11.1 | 8 | 88.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 11.1 |
|  | 61-70 | 2 | 2 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 96 | 88 | 91.7 | 8 | 8.3 | 81 | 84.4 | 9 | 9.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 6.3 |
| MONTEREY | UNDER 18 | 42 | 35 | 83.3 | 7 | 16.7 | 17 | 40.5 | 21 | 50.0 | 1 | 2.4 | 3 | 7.1 |
|  | 18-20 | 244 | 220 | 90.2 | 24 | 9.8 | 63 | 25.8 | 171 | 70.1 | 5 | 2.0 | 5 | 2.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 1305 | 1195 | 91.6 | 110 | 8.4 | 284 | 21.8 | 948 | 72.6 | 45 | 3.4 | 28 | 2.1 |
|  | 31-40 | 843 | 709 | 84.1 | 134 | 15.9 | 301 | 35.7 | 468 | 55.5 | 43 | 5.1 | 31 | 3.7 |
|  | 41-50 | 468 | 394 | 84.2 | 74 | 15.8 | 245 | 52.4 | 188 | 40.2 | 20 | 4.3 | 15 | 3.2 |
|  | 51-60 | 161 | 140 | 87.0 | 21 | 13.0 | 99 | 61.5 | 49 | 30.4 | 7 | 4.3 | 6 | 3.7 |
|  | 61-70 | 51 | 42 | 82.4 | 9 | 17.6 | 33 | 64.7 | 10 | 19.6 | 4 | 7.8 | 4 | 7.8 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 20 | 17 | 85.0 | 3 | 15.0 | 11 | 55.0 | 6 | 30.0 | 1 | 5.0 | 2 | 10.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 3134 | 2752 | 87.8 | 382 | 12.2 | 1053 | 33.6 | 1861 | 59.4 | 126 | 4.0 | 94 | 3.0 |
| NAPA | UNDER 18 | 15 | 10 | 66.7 | 5 | 33.3 | 7 | 46.7 | 8 | 53.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 92 | 85 | 92.4 | 7 | 7.6 | 44 | 47.8 | 48 | 52.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 391 | 349 | 89.3 | 42 | 10.7 | 160 | 40.9 | 221 | 56.5 | 5 | 1.3 | 5 | 1.3 |
|  | 31-40 | 291 | 229 | 78.7 | 62 | 21.3 | 184 | 63.2 | 96 | 33.0 | 2 | 0.7 | 9 | 3.1 |
|  | 41-50 | 186 | 153 | 82.3 | 33 | 17.7 | 138 | 74.2 | 40 | 21.5 | 3 | 1.6 | 5 | 2.7 |
|  | 51-60 | 62 | 54 | 87.1 | 8 | 12.9 | 49 | 79.0 | 11 | 17.7 | 1 | 1.6 | 1 | 1.6 |
|  | 61-70 | 25 | 23 | 92.0 | 2 | 8.0 | 21 | 84.0 | 3 | 12.0 | 1 | 4.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 8 | 7 | 87.5 | 1 | 12.5 | 8 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 1070 | 910 | 85.0 | 160 | 15.0 | 611 | 57.1 | 427 | 39.9 | 12 | 1.1 | 20 | 1.9 |

TABLE B1: 1998 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100\%) |  |  |  | RACE/ETHNICITY (100\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  | WHITE |  | HISPANIC |  | BLACK |  | OTHER |  |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| NEVADA | UNDER 18 | 10 | 8 | 80.0 | 2 | 20.0 | 9 | 90.0 | 1 | 10.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 52 | 44 | 84.6 | 8 | 15.4 | 49 | 94.2 | 2 | 3.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.9 |
|  | 21-30 | 219 | 184 | 84.0 | 35 | 16.0 | 191 | 87.2 | 22 | 10.0 | 2 | 0.9 | 4 | 1.8 |
|  | 31-40 | 165 | 124 | 75.2 | 41 | 24.8 | 150 | 90.9 | 12 | 7.3 | 2 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.6 |
|  | 41-50 | 161 | 123 | 76.4 | 38 | 23.6 | 152 | 94.4 | 6 | 3.7 | 1 | 0.6 | 2 | 1.2 |
|  | 51-60 | 48 | 43 | 89.6 | 5 | 10.4 | 47 | 97.9 | 1 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 10 | 9 | 90.0 | 1 | 10.0 | 9 | 90.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 10.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 4 | 4 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 669 | 539 | 80.6 | 130 | 19.4 | 611 | 91.3 | 44 | 6.6 | 5 | 0.7 | 9 | 1.3 |
| ORANGE | UNDER 18 | 62 | 51 | 82.3 | 11 | 17.7 | 40 | 64.5 | 18 | 29.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 6.5 |
|  | 18-20 | 758 | 643 | 84.8 | 115 | 15.2 | 387 | 51.1 | 321 | 42.3 | 9 | 1.2 | 41 | 5.4 |
|  | 21-30 | 5908 | 5129 | 86.8 | 779 | 13.2 | 2370 | 40.1 | 3028 | 51.3 | 114 | 1.9 | 396 | 6.7 |
|  | 31-40 | 4477 | 3766 | 84.1 | 711 | 15.9 | 2141 | 47.8 | 1929 | 43.1 | 106 | 2.4 | 301 | 6.7 |
|  | 41-50 | 2324 | 1891 | 81.4 | 433 | 18.6 | 1382 | 59.5 | 701 | 30.2 | 51 | 2.2 | 190 | 8.2 |
|  | 51-60 | 812 | 683 | 84.1 | 129 | 15.9 | 538 | 66.3 | 192 | 23.6 | 19 | 2.3 | 63 | 7.8 |
|  | 61-70 | 257 | 223 | 86.8 | 34 | 13.2 | 190 | 73.9 | 36 | 14.0 | 6 | 2.3 | 25 | 9.7 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 55 | 45 | 81.8 | 10 | 18.2 | 43 | 78.2 | 8 | 14.5 | 3 | 5.5 | 1 | 1.8 |
|  | TOTAL | 14653 | 12431 | 84.8 | 2222 | 15.2 | 7091 | 48.4 | 6233 | 42.5 | 308 | 2.1 | 1021 | 7.0 |
| PLACER | UNDER 18 | 29 | 24 | 82.8 | 5 | 17.2 | 17 | 58.6 | 2 | 6.9 | 1 | 3.4 | 9 | 31.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 148 | 134 | 90.5 | 14 | 9.5 | 104 | 70.3 | 18 | 12.2 | 1 | 0.7 | 25 | 16.9 |
|  | 21-30 | 585 | 485 | 82.9 | 100 | 17.1 | 411 | 70.3 | 72 | 12.3 | 9 | 1.5 | 93 | 15.9 |
|  | 31-40 | 499 | 392 | 78.6 | 107 | 21.4 | 370 | 74.1 | 30 | 6.0 | 5 | 1.0 | 94 | 18.8 |
|  | 41-50 | 339 | 262 | 77.3 | 77 | 22.7 | 245 | 72.3 | 20 | 5.9 | 5 | 1.5 | 69 | 20.4 |
|  | 51-60 | 102 | 85 | 83.3 | 17 | 16.7 | 76 | 74.5 | 6 | 5.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 20 | 19.6 |
|  | 61-70 | 39 | 35 | 89.7 | 4 | 10.3 | 25 | 64.1 | 2 | 5.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 12 | 30.8 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 7 | 7 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 71.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 28.6 |
|  | TOTAL | 1748 | 1424 | 81.5 | 324 | 18.5 | 1253 | 71.7 | 150 | 8.6 | 21 | 1.2 | 324 | 18.5 |
| PLUMAS | UNDER 18 | 3 | 2 | 66.7 | 1 | 33.3 | 3 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | $18-20$ | 15 | 13 | 86.7 | 2 | 13.3 | 10 | 66.7 | 3 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 13.3 |
|  | 21-30 | 62 | 51 | 82.3 | 11 | 17.7 | 53 | 85.5 | 6 | 9.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 4.8 |
|  | 31-40 | 55 | 38 | 69.1 | 17 | 30.9 | 51 | 92.7 | 2 | 3.6 | 1 | 1.8 | 1 | 1.8 |
|  | 41-50 | 69 | 61 | 88.4 | 8 | 11.6 | 63 | 91.3 | 1 | 1.4 | 3 | 4.3 | 2 | 2.9 |
|  | 51-60 | 37 | 32 | 86.5 | 5 | 13.5 | 35 | 94.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 5.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 16 | 12 | 75.0 | 4 | 25.0 | 15 | 93.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 6.3 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 2 | 1 | 50.0 | 1 | 50.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 259 | 210 | 81.1 | 49 | 18.9 | 232 | 89.6 | 12 | 4.6 | 6 | 2.3 | 9 | 3.5 |

TABLE B1: 1998 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100\%) |  |  |  | RACE/ETHNICITY (100\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  | WHITE |  | HISPANIC |  | BLACK |  | OTHER |  |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| RIVERSIDE | UNDER 18 | 73 | 65 | 89.0 | 8 | 11.0 | 32 | 43.8 | 36 | 49.3 | 1 | 1.4 | 4 | 5.5 |
|  | 18-20 | 708 | 649 | 91.7 | 59 | 8.3 | 286 | 40.4 | 388 | 54.8 | 19 | 2.7 | 15 | 2.1 |
|  | 21-30 | 3146 | 2887 | 91.8 | 259 | 8.2 | 1022 | 32.5 | 1887 | 60.0 | 147 | 4.7 | 90 | 2.9 |
|  | 31-40 | 2647 | 2309 | 87.2 | 338 | 12.8 | 1136 | 42.9 | 1251 | 47.3 | 161 | 6.1 | 99 | 3.7 |
|  | 41-50 | 1427 | 1216 | 85.2 | 211 | 14.8 | 743 | 52.1 | 555 | 38.9 | 77 | 5.4 | 52 | 3.6 |
|  | 51-60 | 584 | 511 | 87.5 | 73 | 12.5 | 383 | 65.6 | 148 | 25.3 | 21 | 3.6 | 32 | 5.5 |
|  | 61-70 | 223 | 191 | 85.7 | 32 | 14.3 | 166 | 74.4 | 42 | 18.8 | 7 | 3.1 | 8 | 3.6 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 65 | 50 | 76.9 | 15 | 23.1 | 57 | 87.7 | 4 | 6.2 | 1 | 1.5 | 3 | 4.6 |
|  | TOTAL | 8873 | 7878 | 88.8 | 995 | 11.2 | 3825 | 43.1 | 4311 | 48.6 | 434 | 4.9 | 303 | 3.4 |
| SACRAMENTO | UNDER 18 | 87 | 73 | 83.9 | 14 | 16.1 | 23 | 26.4 | 16 | 18.4 | 7 | 8.0 | 41 | 47.1 |
|  | 18-20 | 592 | 496 | 83.8 | 96 | 16.2 | 174 | 29.4 | 129 | 21.8 | 37 | 6.3 | 252 | 42.6 |
|  | 21-30 | 2901 | 2385 | 82.2 | 516 | 17.8 | 1011 | 34.9 | 631 | 21.8 | 247 | 8.5 | 1012 | 34.9 |
|  | 31-40 | 2171 | 1720 | 79.2 | 451 | 20.8 | 793 | 36.5 | 296 | 13.6 | 269 | 12.4 | 813 | 37.4 |
|  | 41-50 | 1349 | 1109 | 82.2 | 240 | 17.8 | 481 | 35.7 | 170 | 12.6 | 170 | 12.6 | 528 | 39.1 |
|  | 51-60 | 411 | 345 | 83.9 | 66 | 16.1 | 181 | 44.0 | 40 | 9.7 | 45 | 10.9 | 145 | 35.3 |
|  | 61-70 | 148 | 127 | 85.8 | 21 | 14.2 | 56 | 37.8 | 18 | 12.2 | 21 | 14.2 | 53 | 35.8 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 51 | 46 | 90.2 | 5 | 9.8 | 14 | 27.5 | 6 | 11.8 | 2 | 3.9 | 29 | 56.9 |
|  | TOTAL | 7710 | 6301 | 81.7 | 1409 | 18.3 | 2733 | 35.4 | 1306 | 16.9 | 798 | 10.4 | 2873 | 37.3 |
| SAN BENITO | UNDER 18 | 4 | 3 | 75.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 3 | 75.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 17 | 14 | 82.4 | 3 | 17.6 | 3 | 17.6 | 14 | 82.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 94 | 88 | 93.6 | 6 | 6.4 | 22 | 23.4 | 71 | 75.5 | 1 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 31-40 | 73 | 65 | 89.0 | 8 | 11.0 | 24 | 32.9 | 46 | 63.0 | 1 | 1.4 | 2 | 2.7 |
|  | 41-50 | 49 | 45 | 91.8 | 4 | 8.2 | 26 | 53.1 | 21 | 42.9 | 2 | 4.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 51-60 | 13 | 12 | 92.3 | 1 | 7.7 | 6 | 46.2 | 7 | 53.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 4 | 4 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 3 | 75.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 2 | 2 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 256 | 233 | 91.0 | 23 | 9.0 | 85 | 33.2 | 165 | 64.5 | 4 | 1.6 | 2 | 0.8 |
| SAN BERNARDINO | UNDER 18 | 76 | 66 | 86.8 | 10 | 13.2 | 34 | 44.7 | 35 | 46.1 | 5 | 6.6 | 2 | 2.6 |
|  | 18-20 | 686 | 616 | 89.8 | 70 | 10.2 | 316 | 46.1 | 328 | 47.8 | 27 | 3.9 | 15 | 2.2 |
|  | 21-30 | 3801 | 3435 | 90.4 | 366 | 9.6 | 1244 | 32.7 | 2167 | 57.0 | 251 | 6.6 | 139 | 3.7 |
|  | 31-40 | 3051 | 2598 | 85.2 | 453 | 14.8 | 1223 | 40.1 | 1406 | 46.1 | 295 | 9.7 | 127 | 4.2 |
|  | 41-50 | 1804 | 1568 | 86.9 | 236 | 13.1 | 910 | 50.4 | 651 | 36.1 | 164 | 9.1 | 79 | 4.4 |
|  | 51-60 | 639 | 564 | 88.3 | 75 | 11.7 | 366 | 57.3 | 178 | 27.9 | 65 | 10.2 | 30 | 4.7 |
|  | 61-70 | 197 | 179 | 90.9 | 18 | 9.1 | 124 | 62.9 | 40 | 20.3 | 28 | 14.2 | 5 | 2.5 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 50 | 46 | 92.0 | 4 | 8.0 | 36 | 72.0 | 6 | 12.0 | 5 | 10.0 | 3 | 6.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 10304 | 9072 | 88.0 | 1232 | 12.0 | 4253 | 41.3 | 4811 | 46.7 | 840 | 8.2 | 400 | 3.9 |

TABLE B1: 1998 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100\%) |  |  |  | RACE/ETHNICITY (100\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  | WHITE |  | HISPANIC |  | BLACK |  | OTHER |  |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| SAN DIEGO | UNDER 18 | 138 | 116 | 84.1 | 22 | 15.9 | 85 | 61.6 | 46 | 33.3 | 2 | 1.4 | 5 | 3.6 |
|  | 18-20 | 1134 | 976 | 86.1 | 158 | 13.9 | 626 | 55.2 | 378 | 33.3 | 46 | 4.1 | 84 | 7.4 |
|  | 21-30 | 5524 | 4794 | 86.8 | 730 | 13.2 | 2654 | 48.0 | 2135 | 38.6 | 372 | 6.7 | 363 | 6.6 |
|  | 31-40 | 4149 | 3460 | 83.4 | 689 | 16.6 | 2092 | 50.4 | 1508 | 36.3 | 278 | 6.7 | 271 | 6.5 |
|  | 41-50 | 2261 | 1862 | 82.4 | 399 | 17.6 | 1329 | 58.8 | 639 | 28.3 | 131 | 5.8 | 162 | 7.2 |
|  | 51-60 | 742 | 605 | 81.5 | 137 | 18.5 | 507 | 68.3 | 150 | 20.2 | 41 | 5.5 | 44 | 5.9 |
|  | 61-70 | 241 | 217 | 90.0 | 24 | 10.0 | 161 | 66.8 | 48 | 19.9 | 14 | 5.8 | 18 | 7.5 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 74 | 62 | 83.8 | 12 | 16.2 | 58 | 78.4 | 11 | 14.9 | 3 | 4.1 | 2 | 2.7 |
|  | TOTAL | 14263 | 12092 | 84.8 | 2171 | 15.2 | 7512 | 52.7 | 4915 | 34.5 | 887 | 6.2 | 949 | 6.7 |
| SAN <br> FRANCISCO | UNDER 18 | 4 | 4 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 2 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 25.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 63 | 54 | 85.7 | 9 | 14.3 | 24 | 38.1 | 14 | 22.2 | 11 | 17.5 | 14 | 22.2 |
|  | 21-30 | 600 | 519 | 86.5 | 81 | 13.5 | 299 | 49.8 | 125 | 20.8 | 71 | 11.8 | 105 | 17.5 |
|  | 31-40 | 461 | 407 | 88.3 | 54 | 11.7 | 255 | 55.3 | 78 | 16.9 | 72 | 15.6 | 56 | 12.1 |
|  | 41-50 | 215 | 189 | 87.9 | 26 | 12.1 | 130 | 60.5 | 20 | 9.3 | 40 | 18.6 | 25 | 11.6 |
|  | 51-60 | 79 | 72 | 91.1 | 7 | 8.9 | 45 | 57.0 | 4 | 5.1 | 21 | 26.6 | 9 | 11.4 |
|  | 61-70 | 21 | 19 | 90.5 | 2 | 9.5 | 11 | 52.4 | 2 | 9.5 | 8 | 38.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 4 | 4 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 75.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 1447 | 1268 | 87.6 | 179 | 12.4 | 768 | 53.1 | 245 | 16.9 | 224 | 15.5 | 210 | 14.5 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | UNDER 18 | 52 | 44 | 84.6 | 8 | 15.4 | 22 | 42.3 | 22 | 42.3 | 3 | 5.8 | 5 | 9.6 |
|  | 18-20 | 353 | 316 | 89.5 | 37 | 10.5 | 148 | 41.9 | 179 | 50.7 | 16 | 4.5 | 10 | 2.8 |
|  | 21-30 | 1464 | 1283 | 87.6 | 181 | 12.4 | 528 | 36.1 | 823 | 56.2 | 65 | 4.4 | 48 | 3.3 |
|  | 31-40 | 1122 | 946 | 84.3 | 176 | 15.7 | 525 | 46.8 | 475 | 42.3 | 78 | 7.0 | 44 | 3.9 |
|  | 41-50 | 690 | 568 | 82.3 | 122 | 17.7 | 361 | 52.3 | 248 | 35.9 | 50 | 7.2 | 31 | 4.5 |
|  | 51-60 | 234 | 194 | 82.9 | 40 | 17.1 | 148 | 63.2 | 57 | 24.4 | 19 | 8.1 | 10 | 4.3 |
|  | 61-70 | 89 | 75 | 84.3 | 14 | 15.7 | 56 | 62.9 | 23 | 25.8 | 8 | 9.0 | 2 | 2.2 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 24 | 22 | 91.7 | 2 | 8.3 | 17 | 70.8 | 3 | 12.5 | 2 | 8.3 | 2 | 8.3 |
|  | TOTAL | 4028 | 3448 | 85.6 | 580 | 14.4 | 1805 | 44.8 | 1830 | 45.4 | 241 | 6.0 | 152 | 3.8 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | UNDER 18 | 32 | 22 | 68.8 | 10 | 31.3 | 26 | 81.3 | 3 | 9.4 | 2 | 6.3 | 1 | 3.1 |
|  | 18-20 | 231 | 191 | 82.7 | 40 | 17.3 | 190 | 82.3 | 35 | 15.2 | 5 | 2.2 | 1 | 0.4 |
|  | 21-30 | 737 | 607 | 82.4 | 130 | 17.6 | 501 | 68.0 | 204 | 27.7 | 16 | 2.2 | 16 | 2.2 |
|  | 31-40 | 518 | 400 | 77.2 | 118 | 22.8 | 383 | 73.9 | 110 | 21.2 | 14 | 2.7 | 11 | 2.1 |
|  | 41-50 | 366 | 284 | 77.6 | 82 | 22.4 | 290 | 79.2 | 55 | 15.0 | 11 | 3.0 | 10 | 2.7 |
|  | 51-60 | 125 | 108 | 86.4 | 17 | 13.6 | 110 | 88.0 | 11 | 8.8 | 4 | 3.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 30 | 28 | 93.3 | 2 | 6.7 | 28 | 93.3 | 2 | 6.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 27 | 22 | 81.5 | 5 | 18.5 | 27 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 2066 | 1662 | 80.4 | 404 | 19.6 | 1555 | 75.3 | 420 | 20.3 | 52 | 2.5 | 39 | 1.9 |

TABLE B1: 1998 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100\%) |  |  |  | RACE/ETHNICITY (100\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  | WHITE |  | HISPANIC |  | BLACK |  | OTHER |  |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| SAN MATEO | UNDER 18 | 31 | 23 | 74.2 | 8 | 25.8 | 16 | 51.6 | 11 | 35.5 | 2 | 6.5 | 2 | 6.5 |
|  | 18-20 | 221 | 206 | 93.2 | 15 | 6.8 | 84 | 38.0 | 101 | 45.7 | 9 | 4.1 | 27 | 12.2 |
|  | 21-30 | 1394 | 1182 | 84.8 | 212 | 15.2 | 564 | 40.5 | 586 | 42.0 | 45 | 3.2 | 199 | 14.3 |
|  | 31-40 | 1161 | 962 | 82.9 | 199 | 17.1 | 614 | 52.9 | 323 | 27.8 | 63 | 5.4 | 161 | 13.9 |
|  | 41-50 | 719 | 581 | 80.8 | 138 | 19.2 | 441 | 61.3 | 132 | 18.4 | 54 | 7.5 | 92 | 12.8 |
|  | 51-60 | 248 | 216 | 87.1 | 32 | 12.9 | 164 | 66.1 | 33 | 13.3 | 18 | 7.3 | 33 | 13.3 |
|  | 61-70 | 80 | 69 | 86.3 | 11 | 13.8 | 54 | 67.5 | 14 | 17.5 | 4 | 5.0 | 8 | 10.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 31 | 28 | 90.3 | 3 | 9.7 | 23 | 74.2 | 4 | 12.9 | 2 | 6.5 | 2 | 6.5 |
|  | TOTAL | 3885 | 3267 | 84.1 | 618 | 15.9 | 1960 | 50.5 | 1204 | 31.0 | 197 | 5.1 | 524 | 13.5 |
| SANTA BARBARA | UNDER 18 | 30 | 27 | 90.0 | 3 | 10.0 | 13 | 43.3 | 16 | 53.3 | 1 | 3.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 269 | 220 | 81.8 | 49 | 18.2 | 144 | 53.5 | 104 | 38.7 | 6 | 2.2 | 15 | 5.6 |
|  | 21-30 | 1070 | 908 | 84.9 | 162 | 15.1 | 486 | 45.4 | 510 | 47.7 | 30 | 2.8 | 44 | 4.1 |
|  | 31-40 | 730 | 605 | 82.9 | 125 | 17.1 | 387 | 53.0 | 287 | 39.3 | 33 | 4.5 | 23 | 3.2 |
|  | 41-50 | 398 | 299 | 75.1 | 99 | 24.9 | 252 | 63.3 | 114 | 28.6 | 8 | 2.0 | 24 | 6.0 |
|  | 51-60 | 132 | 110 | 83.3 | 22 | 16.7 | 99 | 75.0 | 27 | 20.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 4.5 |
|  | 61-70 | 42 | 32 | 76.2 | 10 | 23.8 | 27 | 64.3 | 10 | 23.8 | 5 | 11.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 19 | 17 | 89.5 | 2 | 10.5 | 16 | 84.2 | 3 | 15.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 2690 | 2218 | 82.5 | 472 | 17.5 | 1424 | 52.9 | 1071 | 39.8 | 83 | 3.1 | 112 | 4.2 |
| SANTA CLARA | UNDER 18 | 71 | 65 | 91.5 | 6 | 8.5 | 23 | 32.4 | 41 | 57.7 | 2 | 2.8 | 5 | 7.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 412 | 362 | 87.9 | 50 | 12.1 | 131 | 31.8 | 230 | 55.8 | 8 | 1.9 | 43 | 10.4 |
|  | 21-30 | 3084 | 2786 | 90.3 | 298 | 9.7 | 848 | 27.5 | 1791 | 58.1 | 110 | 3.6 | 335 | 10.9 |
|  | 31-40 | 2391 | 2046 | 85.6 | 345 | 14.4 | 948 | 39.6 | 1067 | 44.6 | 114 | 4.8 | 262 | 11.0 |
|  | 41-50 | 1246 | 1034 | 83.0 | 212 | 17.0 | 595 | 47.8 | 445 | 35.7 | 72 | 5.8 | 134 | 10.8 |
|  | 51-60 | 453 | 404 | 89.2 | 49 | 10.8 | 259 | 57.2 | 128 | 28.3 | 23 | 5.1 | 43 | 9.5 |
|  | 61-70 | 131 | 114 | 87.0 | 17 | 13.0 | 80 | 61.1 | 36 | 27.5 | 4 | 3.1 | 11 | 8.4 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 28 | 22 | 78.6 | 6 | 21.4 | 19 | 67.9 | 5 | 17.9 | 1 | 3.6 | 3 | 10.7 |
|  | TOTAL | 7816 | 6833 | 87.4 | 983 | 12.6 | 2903 | 37.1 | 3743 | 47.9 | 334 | 4.3 | 836 | 10.7 |
| SANTA CRUZ | UNDER 18 | 41 | 33 | 80.5 | 8 | 19.5 | 22 | 53.7 | 14 | 34.1 | 2 | 4.9 | 3 | 7.3 |
|  | 18-20 | 175 | 148 | 84.6 | 27 | 15.4 | 109 | 62.3 | 63 | 36.0 | 1 | 0.6 | 2 | 1.1 |
|  | 21-30 | 813 | 705 | 86.7 | 108 | 13.3 | 453 | 55.7 | 333 | 41.0 | 14 | 1.7 | 13 | 1.6 |
|  | 31-40 | 609 | 498 | 81.8 | 111 | 18.2 | 406 | 66.7 | 187 | 30.7 | 4 | 0.7 | 12 | 2.0 |
|  | 41-50 | 379 | 303 | 79.9 | 76 | 20.1 | 309 | 81.5 | 60 | 15.8 | 2 | 0.5 | 8 | 2.1 |
|  | 51-60 | 112 | 92 | 82.1 | 20 | 17.9 | 91 | 81.3 | 14 | 12.5 | 3 | 2.7 | 4 | 3.6 |
|  | 61-70 | 18 | 15 | 83.3 | 3 | 16.7 | 16 | 88.9 | 2 | 11.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | $71 \text { \& ABOVE }$ | $13$ | 11 | 84.6 | 2 | 15.4 | $13$ | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 2160 | 1805 | 83.6 | 355 | 16.4 | 1419 | 65.7 | 673 | 31.2 | 26 | 1.2 | 42 | 1.9 |

TABLE B1: 1998 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100\%) |  |  |  | RACE/ETHNICITY (100\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  | WHITE |  | HISPANIC |  | BLACK |  | OTHER |  |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| SHASTA | UNDER 18 | 26 | 19 | 73.1 | 7 | 26.9 | 25 | 96.2 | 1 | 3.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 104 | 90 | 86.5 | 14 | 13.5 | 92 | 88.5 | 5 | 4.8 | 1 | 1.0 | 6 | 5.8 |
|  | 21-30 | 317 | 265 | 83.6 | 52 | 16.4 | 275 | 86.8 | 23 | 7.3 | 6 | 1.9 | 13 | 4.1 |
|  | 31-40 | 344 | 229 | 66.6 | 115 | 33.4 | 308 | 89.5 | 23 | 6.7 | 5 | 1.5 | 8 | 2.3 |
|  | 41-50 | 225 | 170 | 75.6 | 55 | 24.4 | 212 | 94.2 | 6 | 2.7 | 2 | 0.9 | 5 | 2.2 |
|  | 51-60 | 90 | 81 | 90.0 | 9 | 10.0 | 79 | 87.8 | 5 | 5.6 | 1 | 1.1 | 5 | 5.6 |
|  | 61-70 | 34 | 32 | 94.1 | 2 | 5.9 | 33 | 97.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.9 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 13 | 12 | 92.3 | 1 | 7.7 | 13 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 1153 | 898 | 77.9 | 255 | 22.1 | 1037 | 89.9 | 63 | 5.5 | 15 | 1.3 | 38 | 3.3 |
| SIERRA | 18-20 | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | $21-30$ | 7 | 6 | 85.7 | 1 | 14.3 | 7 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 31-40 | 9 | 5 | 55.6 | 4 | 44.4 | 9 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 41-50 | 10 | 9 | 90.0 | 1 | 10.0 | 9 | 90.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 10.0 |
|  | 51-60 | 4 | 3 | 75.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 4 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 33 | 25 | 75.8 | 8 | 24.2 | 32 | 97.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.0 |
| SISKIYOU | UNDER 18 | 5 | 3 | 60.0 | 2 | 40.0 | 4 | 80.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | $18-20$ | 34 | 31 | 91.2 | 3 | 8.8 | 27 | 79.4 | 6 | 17.6 | 1 | 2.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 89 | 75 | 84.3 | 14 | 15.7 | 73 | 82.0 | 10 | 11.2 | 3 | 3.4 | 3 | 3.4 |
|  | 31-40 | 117 | 94 | 80.3 | 23 | 19.7 | 93 | 79.5 | 7 | 6.0 | 4 | 3.4 | 13 | 11.1 |
|  | 41-50 | 104 | 88 | 84.6 | 16 | 15.4 | 89 | 85.6 | 6 | 5.8 | 2 | 1.9 | 7 | 6.7 |
|  | 51-60 | 35 | 33 | 94.3 | 2 | 5.7 | 32 | 91.4 | 2 | 5.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.9 |
|  | 61-70 | 16 | 14 | 87.5 | 2 | 12.5 | 15 | 93.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 6.3 |
|  | $71 \text { \& ABOVE }$ | 3 | 3 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 403 | 341 | 84.6 | 62 | 15.4 | 336 | 83.4 | 31 | 7.7 | 11 | 2.7 | 25 | 6.2 |
| SOLANO | UNDER 18 | 31 | 30 | 96.8 | 1 | 3.2 | 20 | 64.5 | 7 | 22.6 | 3 | 9.7 | 1 | 3.2 |
|  | 18-20 | 139 | 131 | 94.2 | 8 | 5.8 | 73 | 52.5 | 42 | 30.2 | 15 | 10.8 | 9 | 6.5 |
|  | 21-30 | 610 | 543 | 89.0 | 67 | 11.0 | 281 | 46.1 | 206 | 33.8 | 84 | 13.8 | 39 | 6.4 |
|  | 31-40 | 542 | 436 | 80.4 | 106 | 19.6 | 262 | 48.3 | 110 | 20.3 | 149 | 27.5 | 21 | 3.9 |
|  | 41-50 | 349 | 283 | 81.1 | 66 | 18.9 | 215 | 61.6 | 48 | 13.8 | 66 | 18.9 | 20 | 5.7 |
|  | 51-60 | 133 | 116 | 87.2 | 17 | 12.8 | 80 | 60.2 | 14 | 10.5 | 31 | 23.3 | 8 | 6.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 43 | 36 | 83.7 | 7 | 16.3 | 23 | 53.5 | 1 | 2.3 | 17 | 39.5 | 2 | 4.7 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 8 | 8 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 75.0 | 1 | 12.5 | 1 | 12.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 1855 | 1583 | 85.3 | 272 | 14.7 | 960 | 51.8 | 429 | 23.1 | 366 | 19.7 | 100 | 5.4 |

TABLE B1: 1998 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100\%) |  |  |  | RACE/ETHNICITY (100\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  | WHITE |  | HISPANIC |  | BLACK |  | OTHER |  |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| SONOMA | UNDER 18 | 39 | 27 | 69.2 | 12 | 30.8 | 29 | 74.4 | 10 | 25.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 255 | 232 | 91.0 | 23 | 9.0 | 168 | 65.9 | 76 | 29.8 | 6 | 2.4 | 5 | 2.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 1049 | 934 | 89.0 | 115 | 11.0 | 497 | 47.4 | 509 | 48.5 | 19 | 1.8 | 24 | 2.3 |
|  | 31-40 | 825 | 630 | 76.4 | 195 | 23.6 | 599 | 72.6 | 195 | 23.6 | 15 | 1.8 | 16 | 1.9 |
|  | 41-50 | 573 | 445 | 77.7 | 128 | 22.3 | 473 | 82.5 | 76 | 13.3 | 13 | 2.3 | 11 | 1.9 |
|  | 51-60 | 229 | 188 | 82.1 | 41 | 17.9 | 204 | 89.1 | 16 | 7.0 | 5 | 2.2 | 4 | 1.7 |
|  | 61-70 | 55 | 45 | 81.8 | 10 | 18.2 | 50 | 90.9 | 4 | 7.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.8 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 15 | 12 | 80.0 | 3 | 20.0 | 14 | 93.3 | 1 | 6.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 3040 | 2513 | 82.7 | 527 | 17.3 | 2034 | 66.9 | 887 | 29.2 | 58 | 1.9 | 61 | 2.0 |
| STANISLAUS | UNDER 18 | 45 | 39 | 86.7 | 6 | 13.3 | 24 | 53.3 | 16 | 35.6 | 1 | 2.2 | 4 | 8.9 |
|  | 18-20 | 250 | 225 | 90.0 | 25 | 10.0 | 120 | 48.0 | 121 | 48.4 | 3 | 1.2 | 6 | 2.4 |
|  | 21-30 | 1014 | 905 | 89.3 | 109 | 10.7 | 413 | 40.7 | 543 | 53.6 | 28 | 2.8 | 30 | 3.0 |
|  | 31-40 | 797 | 663 | 83.2 | 134 | 16.8 | 403 | 50.6 | 340 | 42.7 | 35 | 4.4 | 19 | 2.4 |
|  | 41-50 | 414 | 347 | 83.8 | 67 | 16.2 | 246 | 59.4 | 143 | 34.5 | 13 | 3.1 | 12 | 2.9 |
|  | 51-60 | 134 | 121 | 90.3 | 13 | 9.7 | 83 | 61.9 | 39 | 29.1 | 2 | 1.5 | 10 | 7.5 |
|  | 61-70 | 62 | 56 | 90.3 | 6 | 9.7 | 52 | 83.9 | 8 | 12.9 | 1 | 1.6 | 1 | 1.6 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 25 | 23 | 92.0 | 2 | 8.0 | 20 | 80.0 | 3 | 12.0 | 2 | 8.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 2741 | 2379 | 86.8 | 362 | 13.2 | 1361 | 49.7 | 1213 | 44.3 | 85 | 3.1 | 82 | 3.0 |
| SUTTER | UNDER 18 | 18 | 15 | 83.3 | 3 | 16.7 | 9 | 50.0 | 4 | 22.2 | 1 | 5.6 | 4 | 22.2 |
|  | 18-20 | 99 | 85 | 85.9 | 14 | 14.1 | 52 | 52.5 | 35 | 35.4 | 1 | 1.0 | 11 | 11.1 |
|  | 21-30 | 289 | 253 | 87.5 | 36 | 12.5 | 129 | 44.6 | 129 | 44.6 | 6 | 2.1 | 25 | 8.7 |
|  | 31-40 | 230 | 186 | 80.9 | 44 | 19.1 | 140 | 60.9 | 72 | 31.3 | 8 | 3.5 | 10 | 4.3 |
|  | 41-50 | 137 | 116 | 84.7 | 21 | 15.3 | 93 | 67.9 | 33 | 24.1 | 3 | 2.2 | 8 | 5.8 |
|  | 51-60 | 63 | 54 | 85.7 | 9 | 14.3 | 42 | 66.7 | 13 | 20.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 12.7 |
|  | 61-70 | 22 | 21 | 95.5 | 1 | 4.5 | 18 | 81.8 | 3 | 13.6 | 1 | 4.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 15 | 13 | 86.7 | 2 | 13.3 | 7 | 46.7 | 3 | 20.0 | 3 | 20.0 | 2 | 13.3 |
|  | TOTAL | 873 | 743 | 85.1 | 130 | 14.9 | 490 | 56.1 | 292 | 33.4 | 23 | 2.6 | 68 | 7.8 |
| TEHAMA | UNDER 18 | 7 | 6 | 85.7 | 1 | 14.3 | 7 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | $18-20$ | 33 | 27 | 81.8 | 6 | 18.2 | 24 | 72.7 | 9 | 27.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 121 | 109 | 90.1 | 12 | 9.9 | 73 | 60.3 | 43 | 35.5 | 4 | 3.3 | 1 | 0.8 |
|  | 31-40 | 131 | 105 | 80.2 | 26 | 19.8 | 108 | 82.4 | 19 | 14.5 | 1 | 0.8 | 3 | 2.3 |
|  | 41-50 | 92 | 67 | 72.8 | 25 | 27.2 | 80 | 87.0 | 11 | 12.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.1 |
|  | 51-60 | 47 | 36 | 76.6 | 11 | 23.4 | 37 | 78.7 | 8 | 17.0 | 1 | 2.1 | 1 | 2.1 |
|  | 61-70 | 20 | 14 | 70.0 | 6 | 30.0 | 20 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 5 | 5 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 456 | 369 | 80.9 | 87 | 19.1 | 354 | 77.6 | 90 | 19.7 | 6 | 1.3 | 6 | 1.3 |

TABLE B1: 1998 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100\%) |  |  |  | RACE/ETHNICITY (100\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  | WHITE |  | HISPANIC |  | BLACK |  | OTHER |  |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | N | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| TRINITY | UNDER 18 | 3 | 2 | 66.7 | 1 | 33.3 | 3 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 10 | 10 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 54 | 46 | 85.2 | 8 | 14.8 | 47 | 87.0 | 6 | 11.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.9 |
|  | 31-40 | 87 | 73 | 83.9 | 14 | 16.1 | 87 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 41-50 | 68 | 57 | 83.8 | 11 | 16.2 | 67 | 98.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.5 |
|  | 51-60 | 28 | 23 | 82.1 | 5 | 17.9 | 26 | 92.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 7.1 |
|  | 61-70 | 9 | 9 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 88.9 | 1 | 11.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 5 | 5 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 264 | 225 | 85.2 | 39 | 14.8 | 253 | 95.8 | 7 | 2.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 1.5 |
| TULARE | UNDER 18 | 43 | 38 | 88.4 | 5 | 11.6 | 14 | 32.6 | 20 | 46.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 20.9 |
|  | $18-20$ | 307 | 284 | 92.5 | 23 | 7.5 | 80 | 26.1 | 197 | 64.2 | 1 | 0.3 | 29 | 9.4 |
|  | 21-30 | 1460 | 1359 | 93.1 | 101 | 6.9 | 277 | 19.0 | 1032 | 70.7 | 9 | 0.6 | 142 | 9.7 |
|  | $31-40$ | 886 | 799 | 90.2 | 87 | 9.8 | 205 | 23.1 | 582 | 65.7 | 11 | 1.2 | 88 | 9.9 |
|  | 41-50 | 482 | 435 | 90.2 | 47 | 9.8 | 167 | 34.6 | 256 | 53.1 | 6 | 1.2 | 53 | 11.0 |
|  | 51-60 | 137 | 127 | 92.7 | 10 | 7.3 | 51 | 37.2 | 71 | 51.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 15 | 10.9 |
|  | 61-70 | 33 | 30 | 90.9 | 3 | 9.1 | 14 | 42.4 | 12 | 36.4 | 1 | 3.0 | 6 | 18.2 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 18 | 13 | 72.2 | 5 | 27.8 | 12 | 66.7 | 3 | 16.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 16.7 |
|  | TOTAL | 3366 | 3085 | 91.7 | 281 | 8.3 | 820 | 24.4 | 2173 | 64.6 | 28 | 0.8 | 345 | 10.2 |
| TUOLUMNE | UNDER 18 | 6 | 5 | 83.3 | 1 | 16.7 | 6 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 22 | 19 | 86.4 | 3 | 13.6 | 22 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 86 | 70 | 81.4 | 16 | 18.6 | 83 | 96.5 | 2 | 2.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.2 |
|  | 31-40 | 108 | 91 | 84.3 | 17 | 15.7 | 104 | 96.3 | 4 | 3.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 41-50 | 80 | 63 | 78.8 | 17 | 21.2 | 78 | 97.5 | 1 | 1.2 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 51-60 | 32 | 25 | 78.1 | 7 | 21.9 | 31 | 96.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.1 |
|  | 61-70 | 12 | 11 | 91.7 | 1 | 8.3 | 12 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 7 | 6 | 85.7 | 1 | 14.3 | 7 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 353 | 290 | 82.2 | 63 | 17.8 | 343 | 97.2 | 7 | 2.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.6 |
| VENTURA | UNDER 18 | 50 | 35 | 70.0 | 15 | 30.0 | 30 | 60.0 | 18 | 36.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 4.0 |
|  | $18-20$ | 312 | 272 | 87.2 | 40 | 12.8 | 152 | 48.7 | 148 | 47.4 | 4 | 1.3 | 8 | 2.6 |
|  | 21-30 | 1642 | 1432 | 87.2 | 210 | 12.8 | 611 | 37.2 | 945 | 57.6 | 37 | 2.3 | 49 | 3.0 |
|  | $31-40$ | 1147 | 954 | 83.2 | 193 | 16.8 | 582 | 50.7 | 493 | 43.0 | 45 | 3.9 | 27 | 2.4 |
|  | 41-50 | 634 | 494 | 77.9 | 140 | 22.1 | 400 | 63.1 | 191 | 30.1 | 22 | 3.5 | 21 | 3.3 |
|  | $51-60$ | 240 | 203 | 84.6 | 37 | 15.4 | 172 | 71.7 | 52 | 21.7 | 9 | 3.8 | 7 | 2.9 |
|  | $61-70$ | 73 | 63 | 86.3 | 10 | 13.7 | 57 | 78.1 | 11 | 15.1 | 2 | 2.7 | 3 | 4.1 |
|  | $71 \& \text { ABOVE }$ | 24 | 22 | 91.7 | 2 | 8.3 | 18 | 75.0 | 6 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 4122 | 3475 | 84.3 | 647 | 15.7 | 2022 | 49.1 | 1864 | 45.2 | 119 | 2.9 | 117 | 2.8 |

TABLE B1: 1998 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100\%) |  |  |  | RACE/ETHNICITY (100\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  | WHITE |  | HISPANIC |  | BLACK |  | OTHER |  |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| YOLO | UNDER 18 | 20 | 16 | 80.0 | 4 | 20.0 | 12 | 60.0 | 5 | 25.0 | 1 | 5.0 | 2 | 10.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 119 | 110 | 92.4 | 9 | 7.6 | 68 | 57.1 | 46 | 38.7 | 2 | 1.7 | 3 | 2.5 |
|  | 21-30 | 393 | 361 | 91.9 | 32 | 8.1 | 206 | 52.4 | 165 | 42.0 | 8 | 2.0 | 14 | 3.6 |
|  | $31-40$ | 267 | 229 | 85.8 | 38 | 14.2 | 158 | 59.2 | 96 | 36.0 | 8 | 3.0 | 5 | 1.9 |
|  | $41-50$ | 169 | 129 | 76.3 | 40 | 23.7 | 120 | 71.0 | 38 | 22.5 | 7 | 4.1 | 4 | 2.4 |
|  | 51-60 | 57 | 50 | 87.7 | 7 | 12.3 | 38 | 66.7 | 16 | 28.1 | 2 | 3.5 | 1 | 1.8 |
|  | 61-70 | 18 | 16 | 88.9 | 2 | 11.1 | 16 | 88.9 | 1 | 5.6 | 1 | 5.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 7 | 7 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 85.7 | 1 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 1050 | 918 | 87.4 | 132 | 12.6 | 624 | 59.4 | 368 | 35.0 | 29 | 2.8 | 29 | 2.8 |
| YUBA | UNDER 18 | 4 | 3 | 75.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 3 | 75.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 24 | 21 | 87.5 | 3 | 12.5 | 14 | 58.3 | 9 | 37.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.2 |
|  | 21-30 | 142 | 127 | 89.4 | 15 | 10.6 | 81 | 57.0 | 53 | 37.3 | 4 | 2.8 | 4 | 2.8 |
|  | $31-40$ | 100 | 80 | 80.0 | 20 | 20.0 | 71 | 71.0 | 19 | 19.0 | 4 | 4.0 | 6 | 6.0 |
|  | 41-50 | 78 | 65 | 83.3 | 13 | 16.7 | 61 | 78.2 | 11 | 14.1 | 2 | 2.6 | 4 | 5.1 |
|  | 51-60 | 32 | 29 | 90.6 | 3 | 9.4 | 24 | 75.0 | 5 | 15.6 | 1 | 3.1 | 2 | 6.3 |
|  | $61-70$ | 12 | 11 | 91.7 | 1 | 8.3 | 10 | 83.3 | 1 | 8.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 8.3 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 393 | 337 | 85.8 | 56 | 14.2 | 264 | 67.2 | 99 | 25.2 | 12 | 3.1 | 18 | 4.6 |

TABLE B2: 1997 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| STATEWIDE |  | 137002 | 100.0 | 117759 | 100.0 | 19243 | 100.0 |
| ALAMEDA | UNDER 18 | 10 | 0.3 | 8 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.3 |
|  | 18-20 | 178 | 4.5 | 158 | 4.8 | 20 | 3.1 |
|  | 21-30 | 1243 | 31.7 | 1082 | 33.1 | 161 | 24.8 |
|  | 31-40 | 1255 | 32.0 | 1023 | 31.3 | 232 | 35.7 |
|  | 41-50 | 821 | 20.9 | 637 | 19.5 | 184 | 28.3 |
|  | 51-60 | 305 | 7.8 | 259 | 7.9 | 46 | 7.1 |
|  | 61-70 | 90 | 2.3 | 85 | 2.6 | 5 | 0.8 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 21 | 0.5 | 21 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 3923 | 100.0 | 3273 | 100.0 | 650 | 100.0 |
| ALPINE | 21-30 | 3 | 18.8 | 3 | 23.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 31-40 | 5 | 31.3 | 3 | 23.1 | 2 | 66.7 |
|  | 41-50 | 6 | 37.5 | 5 | 38.5 | 1 | 33.3 |
|  | 51-60 | 1 | 6.3 | 1 | 7.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 1 | 6.3 | 1 | 7.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 16 | 100.0 | 13 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 |
| AMADOR | UNDER 18 | 1 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.6 |
|  | 18-20 | 11 | 6.1 | 10 | 7.0 | 1 | 2.6 |
|  | 21-30 | 37 | 20.4 | 32 | 22.4 | 5 | 13.2 |
|  | 31-40 | 48 | 26.5 | 35 | 24.5 | 13 | 34.2 |
|  | 41-50 | 47 | 26.0 | 32 | 22.4 | 15 | 39.5 |
|  | 51-60 | 23 | 12.7 | 22 | 15.4 | 1 | 2.6 |
|  | 61-70 | 10 | 5.5 | 8 | 5.6 | 2 | 5.3 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 4 | 2.2 | 4 | 2.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 181 | 100.0 | 143 | 100.0 | 38 | 100.0 |
| BUTTE | UNDER 18 | 7 | 0.8 | 6 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.6 |
|  | 18-20 | 69 | 7.7 | 60 | 8.1 | 9 | 5.7 |
|  | 21-30 | 293 | 32.6 | 245 | 33.1 | 48 | 30.6 |
|  | 31-40 | 246 | 27.4 | 202 | 27.3 | 44 | 28.0 |
|  | 41-50 | 181 | 20.2 | 140 | 18.9 | 41 | 26.1 |
|  | 51-60 | 61 | 6.8 | 49 | 6.6 | 12 | 7.6 |
|  | $61-70$ | 28 | 3.1 | 27 | 3.6 | 1 | 0.6 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 13 | 1.4 | 12 | 1.6 | 1 | 0.6 |
|  | TOTAL | 898 | 100.0 | 741 | 100.0 | 157 | 100.0 |
| CALAVERAS | UNDER 18 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | $18-20$ | 13 | 6.8 | 10 | 6.9 | 3 | 6.5 |
|  | $21-30$ | 37 | 19.5 | 32 | 22.2 | 5 | 10.9 |
|  | 31-40 | 63 | 33.2 | 44 | 30.6 | 19 | 41.3 |
|  | 41-50 | 44 | 23.2 | 35 | 24.3 | 9 | 19.6 |
|  | 51-60 | 26 | 13.7 | 16 | 11.1 | 10 | 21.7 |
|  | 61-70 | 4 | 2.1 | 4 | 2.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 2 | 1.1 | 2 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 190 | 100.0 | 144 | 100.0 | 46 | 100.0 |
| COLUSA | UNDER 18 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 15 | 7.4 | 15 | 8.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 61 | 30.2 | 57 | 30.8 | 4 | 23.5 |
|  | 31-40 | 72 | 35.6 | 66 | 35.7 | 6 | 35.3 |
|  | 41-50 | 37 | 18.3 | 33 | 17.8 | 4 | 23.5 |
|  | 51-60 | 10 | 5.0 | 7 | 3.8 | 3 | 17.6 |
|  | 61-70 | 4 | 2.0 | 4 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 2 | 1.0 | 2 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 202 | 100.0 | 185 | 100.0 | 17 | 100.0 |

TABLE B2: 1997 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continue

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| CONTRA COSTA | UNDER 18 | 16 | 0.5 | 14 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.4 |
|  | 18-20 | 160 | 5.3 | 132 | 5.3 | 28 | 5.2 |
|  | 21-30 | 947 | 31.3 | 804 | 32.3 | 143 | 26.5 |
|  | 31-40 | 867 | 28.6 | 680 | 27.3 | 187 | 34.7 |
|  | 41-50 | 674 | 22.2 | 546 | 21.9 | 128 | 23.7 |
|  | 51-60 | 247 | 8.2 | 208 | 8.4 | 39 | 7.2 |
|  | 61-70 | 87 | 2.9 | 76 | 3.1 | 11 | 2.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 32 | 1.1 | 31 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.2 |
|  | TOTAL | 3030 | 100.0 | 2491 | 100.0 | 539 | 100.0 |
| DEL NORTE | 18-20 | 10 | 5.4 | 8 | 5.3 | 2 | 5.9 |
|  | 21-30 | 45 | 24.3 | 40 | 26.5 | 5 | 14.7 |
|  | 31-40 | 64 | 34.6 | 51 | 33.8 | 13 | 38.2 |
|  | 41-50 | 41 | 22.2 | 32 | 21.2 | 9 | 26.5 |
|  | 51-60 | 18 | 9.7 | 14 | 9.3 | 4 | 11.8 |
|  | 61-70 | 4 | 2.2 | 3 | 2.0 | 1 | 2.9 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 3 | 1.6 | 3 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 185 | 100.0 | 151 | 100.0 | 34 | 100.0 |
| EL DORADO | UNDER 18 | 6 | 0.8 | 4 | 0.7 | 2 | 1.4 |
|  | 18-20 | 39 | 5.2 | 36 | 5.9 | 3 | 2.1 |
|  | 21-30 | 183 | 24.4 | 159 | 26.2 | 24 | 16.6 |
|  | 31-40 | 246 | 32.8 | 181 | 29.9 | 65 | 44.8 |
|  | 41-50 | 196 | 26.1 | 161 | 26.6 | 35 | 24.1 |
|  | 51-60 | 58 | 7.7 | 45 | 7.4 | 13 | 9.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 14 | 1.9 | 12 | 2.0 | 2 | 1.4 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 9 | 1.2 | 8 | 1.3 | 1 | 0.7 |
|  | TOTAL | 751 | 100.0 | 606 | 100.0 | 145 | 100.0 |
| FRESNO | UNDER 18 | 6 | 0.2 | 6 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 198 | 5.2 | 180 | 5.4 | 18 | 4.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 1350 | 35.8 | 1222 | 36.8 | 128 | 28.2 |
|  | 31-40 | 1214 | 32.2 | 1039 | 31.3 | 175 | 38.5 |
|  | 41-50 | 694 | 18.4 | 591 | 17.8 | 103 | 22.7 |
|  | 51-60 | 217 | 5.7 | 199 | 6.0 | 18 | 4.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 69 | 1.8 | 59 | 1.8 | 10 | 2.2 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 28 | 0.7 | 26 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.4 |
|  | TOTAL | 3776 | 100.0 | 3322 | 100.0 | 454 | 100.0 |
| GLENN | 18-20 | 17 | 8.9 | 16 | 9.6 | 1 | 3.8 |
|  | 21-30 | 57 | 29.7 | 54 | 32.5 | 3 | 11.5 |
|  | 31-40 | 65 | 33.9 | 54 | 32.5 | 11 | 42.3 |
|  | 41-50 | 29 | 15.1 | 24 | 14.5 | 5 | 19.2 |
|  | 51-60 | 16 | 8.3 | 11 | 6.6 | 5 | 19.2 |
|  | 61-70 | 6 | 3.1 | 5 | 3.0 | 1 | 3.8 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 2 | 1.0 | 2 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 192 | 100.0 | 166 | 100.0 | 26 | 100.0 |
| HUMBOLDT | 18-20 | 51 | 6.5 | 43 | 6.8 | 8 | 5.2 |
|  | 21-30 | 256 | 32.7 | 198 | 31.5 | 58 | 37.7 |
|  | 31-40 | 236 | 30.1 | 187 | 29.7 | 49 | 31.8 |
|  | 41-50 | 166 | 21.2 | 135 | 21.5 | 31 | 20.1 |
|  | 51-60 | 52 | 6.6 | 45 | 7.2 | 7 | 4.5 |
|  | 61-70 | 21 | 2.7 | 20 | 3.2 | 1 | 0.6 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 783 | 100.0 | 629 | 100.0 | 154 | 100.0 |

TABLE B2: 1997 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continue

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| IMPERIAL | UNDER 18 | 5 | 0.6 | 5 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 27 | 3.5 | 25 | 3.5 | 2 | 3.8 |
|  | 21-30 | 219 | 28.3 | 204 | 28.3 | 15 | 28.3 |
|  | 31-40 | 248 | 32.0 | 230 | 31.9 | 18 | 34.0 |
|  | 41-50 | 168 | 21.7 | 154 | 21.4 | 14 | 26.4 |
|  | 51-60 | 76 | 9.8 | 72 | 10.0 | 4 | 7.5 |
|  | 61-70 | 26 | 3.4 | 26 | 3.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 5 | 0.6 | 5 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 774 | 100.0 | 721 | 100.0 | 53 | 100.0 |
| INYO | UNDER 18 | 1 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 5 | 3.0 | 4 | 2.9 | 1 | 3.7 |
|  | 21-30 | 57 | 34.5 | 50 | 36.2 | 7 | 25.9 |
|  | 31-40 | 39 | 23.6 | 33 | 23.9 | 6 | 22.2 |
|  | 41-50 | 43 | 26.1 | 33 | 23.9 | 10 | 37.0 |
|  | 51-60 | 13 | 7.9 | 10 | 7.2 | 3 | 11.1 |
|  | 61-70 | 5 | 3.0 | 5 | 3.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 2 | 1.2 | 2 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 165 | 100.0 | 138 | 100.0 | 27 | 100.0 |
| KERN | UNDER 18 | 13 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.8 |
|  | 18-20 | 220 | 6.5 | 200 | 6.7 | 20 | 5.2 |
|  | 21-30 | 1142 | 33.8 | 1040 | 34.7 | 102 | 26.7 |
|  | 31-40 | 1133 | 33.6 | 985 | 32.9 | 148 | 38.7 |
|  | 41-50 | 583 | 17.3 | 503 | 16.8 | 80 | 20.9 |
|  | 51-60 | 188 | 5.6 | 173 | 5.8 | 15 | 3.9 |
|  | 61-70 | 69 | 2.0 | 58 | 1.9 | 11 | 2.9 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 29 | 0.9 | 26 | 0.9 | 3 | 0.8 |
|  | TOTAL | 3377 | 100.0 | 2995 | 100.0 | 382 | 100.0 |
| KINGS | UNDER 18 | 9 | 1.1 | 8 | 1.1 | 1 | 0.9 |
|  | 18-20 | 48 | 5.7 | 41 | 5.6 | 7 | 6.5 |
|  | 21-30 | 313 | 37.1 | 274 | 37.2 | 39 | 36.1 |
|  | 31-40 | 239 | 28.3 | 201 | 27.3 | 38 | 35.2 |
|  | 41-50 | 176 | 20.9 | 157 | 21.3 | 19 | 17.6 |
|  | $51-60$ | 34 | 4.0 | 30 | 4.1 | 4 | 3.7 |
|  | $61-70$ | 22 | 2.6 | 22 | 3.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 3 | 0.4 | 3 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 844 | 100.0 | 736 | 100.0 | 108 | 100.0 |
| LAKE |  |  |  | 2 | 0.6 | 2 | 1.9 |
|  | $18-20$ | 25 | 5.7 | 20 | 6.0 | 5 | 4.7 |
|  | 21-30 | 99 | 22.6 | 83 | 25.0 | 16 | 15.1 |
|  | $31-40$ | 148 | 33.8 | 104 | 31.3 | 44 | 41.5 |
|  | $41-50$ | 101 | 23.1 | 72 | 21.7 | 29 | 27.4 |
|  | 51-60 | 37 | 8.4 | 29 | 8.7 | 8 | 7.5 |
|  | 61-70 | 22 | 5.0 | 20 | 6.0 | 2 | 1.9 |
|  | $71 \& \text { ABOVE }$ | 2 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 438 | 100.0 | 332 | 100.0 | 106 | 100.0 |
| LASSEN | 18-20 | 4 | 3.2 | 4 | 3.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 33 | 26.6 | 29 | 28.2 | 4 | 19.0 |
|  | 31-40 | 36 | 29.0 | 29 | 28.2 | 7 | 33.3 |
|  | 41-50 | 38 | 30.6 | 28 | 27.2 | 10 | 47.6 |
|  | 51-60 | 8 | 6.5 | 8 | 7.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 5 | 4.0 | 5 | 4.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 124 | 100.0 | 103 | 100.0 | 21 | 100.0 |

TABLE B2: 1997 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continue

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| LOS ANGELES | UNDER 18 | 21 | 0.1 | 20 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 1052 | 3.0 | 960 | 3.0 | 92 | 2.4 |
|  | 21-30 | 13346 | 37.7 | 12021 | 38.1 | 1325 | 34.1 |
|  | 31-40 | 12098 | 34.2 | 10721 | 34.0 | 1377 | 35.4 |
|  | 41-50 | 6045 | 17.1 | 5291 | 16.8 | 754 | 19.4 |
|  | 51-60 | 2039 | 5.8 | 1782 | 5.7 | 257 | 6.6 |
|  | 61-70 | 651 | 1.8 | 587 | 1.9 | 64 | 1.6 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 147 | 0.4 | 132 | 0.4 | 15 | 0.4 |
|  | TOTAL | 35399 | 100.0 | 31514 | 100.0 | 3885 | 100.0 |
| MADERA | UNDER 18 | 2 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 32 | 6.0 | 30 | 6.3 | 2 | 3.7 |
|  | 21-30 | 178 | 33.4 | 169 | 35.3 | 9 | 16.7 |
|  | 31-40 | 170 | 31.9 | 149 | 31.1 | 21 | 38.9 |
|  | 41-50 | 96 | 18.0 | 81 | 16.9 | 15 | 27.8 |
|  | $51-60$ | 42 | 7.9 | 35 | 7.3 | 7 | 13.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 11 | 2.1 | 11 | 2.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 2 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 533 | 100.0 | 479 | 100.0 | 54 | 100.0 |
| MARIN | UNDER 18 | 8 | 0.6 | 6 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.6 |
|  | 18-20 | 62 | 4.6 | 50 | 4.9 | 12 | 3.6 |
|  | 21-30 | 398 | 29.3 | 315 | 30.6 | 83 | 25.2 |
|  | 31-40 | 400 | 29.5 | 304 | 29.5 | 96 | 29.2 |
|  | 41-50 | 312 | 23.0 | 218 | 21.2 | 94 | 28.6 |
|  | 51-60 | 124 | 9.1 | 95 | 9.2 | 29 | 8.8 |
|  | $61-70$ | 41 | 3.0 | 32 | 3.1 | 9 | 2.7 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 13 | 1.0 | 9 | 0.9 | 4 | 1.2 |
|  | TOTAL | 1358 | 100.0 | 1029 | 100.0 | 329 | 100.0 |
| MARIPOSA | 18-20 | 1 | 2.1 | 1 | 3.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 10 | 20.8 | 8 | 26.7 | 2 | 11.1 |
|  | 31-40 | 18 | 37.5 | 12 | 40.0 | 6 | 33.3 |
|  | 41-50 | 13 | 27.1 | 7 | 23.3 | 6 | 33.3 |
|  | 51-60 | 3 | 6.3 | 1 | 3.3 | 2 | 11.1 |
|  | 61-70 | 3 | 6.3 | 1 | 3.3 | 2 | 11.1 |
|  | TOTAL | 48 | 100.0 | 30 | 100.0 | 18 | 100.0 |
| MENDOCINO | UNDER 18 | 8 | 1.4 | 6 | 1.4 | 2 | 1.6 |
|  | 18-20 | 40 | 7.1 | 36 | 8.1 | 4 | 3.3 |
|  | 21-30 | 170 | 30.1 | 135 | 30.5 | 35 | 28.7 |
|  | 31-40 | 163 | 28.9 | 121 | 27.4 | 42 | 34.4 |
|  | 41-50 | 119 | 21.1 | 90 | 20.4 | 29 | 23.8 |
|  | $51-60$ | 50 | 8.9 | 41 | 9.3 | 9 | 7.4 |
|  | $61-70$ | 11 | 2.0 | 10 | 2.3 | 1 | 0.8 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 3 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 564 | 100.0 | 442 | 100.0 | 122 | 100.0 |
| MERCED |  | 3 |  | 3 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | $18-20$ | 46 | 4.7 | 40 | 4.5 | 6 | 6.4 |
|  | 21-30 | 347 | 35.5 | 325 | 36.8 | 22 | 23.4 |
|  | 31-40 | 300 | 30.7 | 268 | 30.4 | 32 | 34.0 |
|  | 41-50 | 190 | 19.4 | 162 | 18.3 | 28 | 29.8 |
|  | 51-60 | 64 | 6.6 | 60 | 6.8 | 4 | 4.3 |
|  | 61-70 | 20 | 2.0 | 18 | 2.0 | 2 | 2.1 |
|  | $71 \text { \& ABOVE }$ | 7 | 0.7 | 7 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 977 | 100.0 | 883 | 100.0 | 94 | 100.0 |

TABLE B2: 1997 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continue

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| MODOC | 18-20 | 2 | 3.4 | 2 | 4.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 12 | 20.7 | 9 | 18.8 | 3 | 30.0 |
|  | 31-40 | 21 | 36.2 | 16 | 33.3 | 5 | 50.0 |
|  | 41-50 | 15 | 25.9 | 13 | 27.1 | 2 | 20.0 |
|  | 51-60 | 6 | 10.3 | 6 | 12.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 1 | 1.7 | 1 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | $71 \text { \& ABOVE }$ | 1 | 1.7 | 1 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 58 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 10 | 100.0 |
| MONO | 18-20 | 3 | 3.4 | 2 | 2.6 | 1 | 9.1 |
|  | 21-30 | 25 | 28.1 | 23 | 29.5 | 2 | 18.2 |
|  | 31-40 | 25 | 28.1 | 24 | 30.8 | 1 | 9.1 |
|  | 41-50 | 24 | 27.0 | 17 | 21.8 | 7 | 63.6 |
|  | 51-60 | 8 | 9.0 | 8 | 10.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 3 | 3.4 | 3 | 3.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | $71 \text { \& ABOVE }$ | 1 | 1.1 | 1 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 89 | 100.0 | 78 | 100.0 | 11 | 100.0 |
| MONTEREY | UNDER 18 | 2 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 173 | 5.8 | 158 | 6.0 | 15 | 4.9 |
|  | 21-30 | 1259 | 42.5 | 1164 | 43.9 | 95 | 30.7 |
|  | 31-40 | 811 | 27.4 | 708 | 26.7 | 103 | 33.3 |
|  | 41-50 | 502 | 17.0 | 428 | 16.1 | 74 | 23.9 |
|  | 51-60 | 145 | 4.9 | 129 | 4.9 | 16 | 5.2 |
|  | 61-70 | 46 | 1.6 | 41 | 1.5 | 5 | 1.6 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 22 | 0.7 | 21 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.3 |
|  | TOTAL | 2960 | 100.0 | 2651 | 100.0 | 309 | 100.0 |
| NAPA | UNDER 18 | 4 | 0.4 | 4 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | $18-20$ | 48 | 4.9 | 40 | 4.8 | 8 | 5.1 |
|  | 21-30 | 379 | 38.5 | 339 | 41.0 | 40 | 25.3 |
|  | $31-40$ | 288 | 29.2 | 227 | 27.4 | 61 | 38.6 |
|  | $41-50$ | 164 | 16.6 | 127 | 15.4 | 37 | 23.4 |
|  | 51-60 | 74 | 7.5 | 67 | 8.1 | 7 | 4.4 |
|  | $61-70$ | 18 | 1.8 | 15 | 1.8 | 3 | 1.9 |
|  | $71 \text { \& ABOVE }$ | 10 | 1.0 | 8 | 1.0 | 2 | 1.3 |
|  | TOTAL | 985 | 100.0 | 827 | 100.0 | 158 | 100.0 |
| NEVADA | UNDER 18 | 3 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.9 |
|  | 18-20 | 22 | 4.3 | 18 | 4.4 | 4 | 3.6 |
|  | 21-30 | 129 | 25.0 | 111 | 27.3 | 18 | 16.4 |
|  | 31-40 | 139 | 26.9 | 105 | 25.9 | 34 | 30.9 |
|  | 41-50 | 146 | 28.3 | 108 | 26.6 | 38 | 34.5 |
|  | $51-60$ | 61 | 11.8 | 50 | 12.3 | 11 | 10.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 10 | 1.9 | 7 | 1.7 | 3 | 2.7 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 6 | 1.2 | 5 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.9 |
|  | TOTAL | 516 | 100.0 | 406 | 100.0 | 110 | 100.0 |
| ORANGE |  | 18 |  | 16 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.1 |
|  | $18-20$ | 435 | 3.5 | 355 | 3.3 | 80 | 4.1 |
|  | 21-30 | 4881 | 38.8 | 4235 | 39.8 | 646 | 33.3 |
|  | 31-40 | 4157 | 33.0 | 3449 | 32.4 | 708 | 36.5 |
|  | 41-50 | 2037 | 16.2 | 1685 | 15.8 | 352 | 18.2 |
|  | 51-60 | 773 | 6.1 | 669 | 6.3 | 104 | 5.4 |
|  | 61-70 | 232 | 1.8 | 194 | 1.8 | 38 | 2.0 |
|  | $71 \text { \& ABOVE }$ | 52 | 0.4 | 44 | 0.4 | 8 | 0.4 |
|  | TOTAL | 12585 | 100.0 | 10647 | 100.0 | 1938 | 100.0 |

TABLE B2: 1997 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continue

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| PLACER | UNDER 18 | 12 | 0.9 | 11 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.4 |
|  | 18-20 | 81 | 6.0 | 69 | 6.3 | 12 | 4.6 |
|  | 21-30 | 438 | 32.3 | 368 | 33.6 | 70 | 26.7 |
|  | 31-40 | 431 | 31.8 | 329 | 30.0 | 102 | 38.9 |
|  | 41-50 | 260 | 19.2 | 203 | 18.5 | 57 | 21.8 |
|  | 51-60 | 87 | 6.4 | 76 | 6.9 | 11 | 4.2 |
|  | 61-70 | 36 | 2.7 | 28 | 2.6 | 8 | 3.1 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 12 | 0.9 | 11 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.4 |
|  | TOTAL | 1357 | 100.0 | 1095 | 100.0 | 262 | 100.0 |
| PLUMAS | 18-20 | 9 | 5.8 | 8 | 5.9 | 1 | 5.3 |
|  | 21-30 | 31 | 20.0 | 27 | 19.9 | 4 | 21.1 |
|  | 31-40 | 38 | 24.5 | 33 | 24.3 | 5 | 26.3 |
|  | 41-50 | 47 | 30.3 | 42 | 30.9 | 5 | 26.3 |
|  | 51-60 | 21 | 13.5 | 17 | 12.5 | 4 | 21.1 |
|  | 61-70 | 7 | 4.5 | 7 | 5.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 2 | 1.3 | 2 | 1.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 155 | 100.0 | 136 | 100.0 | 19 | 100.0 |
| RIVERSIDE | UNDER 18 | 12 | 0.2 | 11 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 |
|  | 18-20 | 308 | 5.1 | 276 | 5.3 | 32 | 3.9 |
|  | 21-30 | 1963 | 32.6 | 1721 | 33.2 | 242 | 29.3 |
|  | 31-40 | 1909 | 31.7 | 1620 | 31.2 | 289 | 35.0 |
|  | 41-50 | 1105 | 18.4 | 945 | 18.2 | 160 | 19.4 |
|  | 51-60 | 477 | 7.9 | 413 | 8.0 | 64 | 7.7 |
|  | 61-70 | 173 | 2.9 | 142 | 2.7 | 31 | 3.8 |
|  | $71 \text { \& ABOVE }$ | 67 | 1.1 | 60 | 1.2 | 7 | 0.8 |
|  | TOTAL | 6014 | 100.0 | 5188 | 100.0 | 826 | 100.0 |
| SACRAMENTO | UNDER 18 | 19 | 0.4 | 17 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.2 |
|  | 18-20 | 220 | 4.6 | 188 | 4.8 | 32 | 3.5 |
|  | $21-30$ | 1698 | 35.1 | 1389 | 35.5 | 309 | 33.4 |
|  | $31-40$ | 1552 | 32.1 | 1212 | 31.0 | 340 | 36.8 |
|  | 41-50 | 920 | 19.0 | 728 | 18.6 | 192 | 20.8 |
|  | 51-60 | 316 | 6.5 | 278 | 7.1 | 38 | 4.1 |
|  | 61-70 | 81 | 1.7 | 69 | 1.8 | 12 | 1.3 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 27 | 0.6 | 27 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 4833 | 100.0 | 3908 | 100.0 | 925 | 100.0 |
| SAN BENITO | 18-20 | 23 | 7.1 | 22 | 7.7 | 1 | 2.5 |
|  | 21-30 | 118 | 36.3 | 109 | 38.2 | 9 | 22.5 |
|  | 31-40 | 111 | 34.2 | 89 | 31.2 | 22 | 55.0 |
|  | 41-50 | 45 | 13.8 | 42 | 14.7 | 3 | 7.5 |
|  | 51-60 | 19 | 5.8 | 15 | 5.3 | 4 | 10.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 7 | 2.2 | 6 | 2.1 | 1 | 2.5 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 2 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 325 | 100.0 | 285 | 100.0 | 40 | 100.0 |
| SAN <br> BERNARDINO | UNDER 18 | 27 | 0.5 | 23 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.6 |
|  | 18-20 | 225 | 4.3 | 193 | 4.2 | 32 | 4.7 |
|  | 21-30 | 1870 | 35.4 | 1660 | 36.1 | 210 | 31.0 |
|  | 31-40 | 1677 | 31.8 | 1454 | 31.6 | 223 | 32.9 |
|  | 41-50 | 968 | 18.3 | 820 | 17.8 | 148 | 21.9 |
|  | 51-60 | 352 | 6.7 | 309 | 6.7 | 43 | 6.4 |
|  | 61-70 | 133 | 2.5 | 117 | 2.5 | 16 | 2.4 |
|  | $71 \& \text { ABOVE }$ | $28$ | 0.5 | 27 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.1 |
|  | TOTAL | 5280 | 100.0 | 4603 | 100.0 | 677 | 100.0 |

TABLE B2: 1997 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continue

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| SAN DIEGO | UNDER 18 | 28 | 0.3 | 25 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.2 |
|  | 18-20 | 520 | 4.8 | 449 | 4.8 | 71 | 4.3 |
|  | 21-30 | 4121 | 37.7 | 3582 | 38.6 | 539 | 32.6 |
|  | 31-40 | 3424 | 31.3 | 2863 | 30.8 | 561 | 34.0 |
|  | 41-50 | 1885 | 17.2 | 1559 | 16.8 | 326 | 19.7 |
|  | 51-60 | 673 | 6.2 | 565 | 6.1 | 108 | 6.5 |
|  | 61-70 | 223 | 2.0 | 185 | 2.0 | 38 | 2.3 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 63 | 0.6 | 57 | 0.6 | 6 | 0.4 |
|  | TOTAL | 10937 | 100.0 | 9285 | 100.0 | 1652 | 100.0 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | UNDER 18 | 2 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.8 |
|  | 18-20 | 21 | 2.4 | 15 | 2.0 | 6 | 5.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 328 | 37.3 | 287 | 37.9 | 41 | 33.9 |
|  | 31-40 | 281 | 32.0 | 243 | 32.1 | 38 | 31.4 |
|  | 41-50 | 156 | 17.7 | 136 | 17.9 | 20 | 16.5 |
|  | 51-60 | 68 | 7.7 | 58 | 7.7 | 10 | 8.3 |
|  | 61-70 | 16 | 1.8 | 13 | 1.7 | 3 | 2.5 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 7 | 0.8 | 5 | 0.7 | 2 | 1.7 |
|  | TOTAL | 879 | 100.0 | 758 | 100.0 | 121 | 100.0 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | UNDER 18 | 17 | 0.6 | 15 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.5 |
|  | 18-20 | 155 | 5.7 | 141 | 6.0 | 14 | 3.8 |
|  | 21-30 | 899 | 33.2 | 813 | 34.8 | 86 | 23.2 |
|  | 31-40 | 838 | 31.0 | 678 | 29.0 | 160 | 43.1 |
|  | 41-50 | 517 | 19.1 | 441 | 18.9 | 76 | 20.5 |
|  | 51-60 | 192 | 7.1 | 167 | 7.1 | 25 | 6.7 |
|  | 61-70 | 70 | 2.6 | 62 | 2.7 | 8 | 2.2 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 19 | 0.7 | 19 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 2707 | 100.0 | 2336 | 100.0 | 371 | 100.0 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | UNDER 18 | 4 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 3 | 1.2 |
|  | 18-20 | 108 | 8.0 | 93 | 8.4 | 15 | 6.1 |
|  | 21-30 | 455 | 33.7 | 376 | 34.0 | 79 | 32.0 |
|  | 31-40 | 388 | 28.7 | 319 | 28.9 | 69 | 27.9 |
|  | 41-50 | 268 | 19.8 | 205 | 18.6 | 63 | 25.5 |
|  | 51-60 | 91 | 6.7 | 76 | 6.9 | 15 | 6.1 |
|  | 61-70 | 32 | 2.4 | 29 | 2.6 | 3 | 1.2 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 6 | 0.4 | 6 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 1352 | 100.0 | 1105 | 100.0 | 247 | 100.0 |
| SAN MATEO | UNDER 18 | 8 | 0.3 | 8 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 96 | 3.4 | 86 | 3.6 | 10 | 2.3 |
|  | 21-30 | 917 | 32.7 | 805 | 34.0 | 112 | 25.4 |
|  | 31-40 | 910 | 32.4 | 751 | 31.8 | 159 | 36.1 |
|  | 41-50 | 586 | 20.9 | 473 | 20.0 | 113 | 25.6 |
|  | 51-60 | 200 | 7.1 | 165 | 7.0 | 35 | 7.9 |
|  | 61-70 | 66 | 2.4 | 56 | 2.4 | 10 | 2.3 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 23 | 0.8 | 21 | 0.9 | 2 | 0.5 |
|  | TOTAL | 2806 | 100.0 | 2365 | 100.0 | 441 | 100.0 |
| SANTA BARBARA | UNDER 18 | 6 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.6 |
|  | 18-20 | 129 | 5.7 | 114 | 6.0 | 15 | 4.4 |
|  | 21-30 | 862 | 38.3 | 753 | 39.4 | 109 | 32.2 |
|  | 31-40 | 695 | 30.9 | 585 | 30.6 | 110 | 32.5 |
|  | 41-50 | 371 | 16.5 | 298 | 15.6 | 73 | 21.6 |
|  | 51-60 | 136 | 6.0 | 115 | 6.0 | 21 | 6.2 |
|  | 61-70 | 40 | 1.8 | 33 | 1.7 | 7 | 2.1 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 12 | 0.5 | 11 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.3 |
|  | TOTAL | 2251 | 100.0 | 1913 | 100.0 | 338 | 100.0 |

TABLE B2: 1997 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continue

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| SANTA CLARA | UNDER 18 | 25 | 0.4 | 20 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.6 |
|  | 18-20 | 267 | 3.9 | 243 | 4.1 | 24 | 2.7 |
|  | 21-30 | 2528 | 36.8 | 2252 | 37.7 | 276 | 30.8 |
|  | 31-40 | 2201 | 32.1 | 1919 | 32.2 | 282 | 31.5 |
|  | 41-50 | 1276 | 18.6 | 1066 | 17.9 | 210 | 23.4 |
|  | 51-60 | 414 | 6.0 | 342 | 5.7 | 72 | 8.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 115 | 1.7 | 94 | 1.6 | 21 | 2.3 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 37 | 0.5 | 31 | 0.5 | 6 | 0.7 |
|  | TOTAL | 6863 | 100.0 | 5967 | 100.0 | 896 | 100.0 |
| SANTA CRUZ | UNDER 18 | 3 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.3 |
|  | 18-20 | 91 | 5.2 | 71 | 4.9 | 20 | 6.4 |
|  | 21-30 | 627 | 35.6 | 540 | 37.3 | 87 | 28.0 |
|  | 31-40 | 548 | 31.2 | 437 | 30.2 | 111 | 35.7 |
|  | 41-50 | 363 | 20.6 | 291 | 20.1 | 72 | 23.2 |
|  | 51-60 | 91 | 5.2 | 76 | 5.2 | 15 | 4.8 |
|  | 61-70 | 30 | 1.7 | 27 | 1.9 | 3 | 1.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 6 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.6 |
|  | TOTAL | 1759 | 100.0 | 1448 | 100.0 | 311 | 100.0 |
| SHASTA | UNDER 18 | 4 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.6 |
|  | 18-20 | 51 | 6.3 | 43 | 6.8 | 8 | 4.7 |
|  | 21-30 | 230 | 28.6 | 186 | 29.3 | 44 | 26.0 |
|  | 31-40 | 237 | 29.5 | 177 | 27.9 | 60 | 35.5 |
|  | 41-50 | 183 | 22.8 | 143 | 22.5 | 40 | 23.7 |
|  | 51-60 | 76 | 9.5 | 63 | 9.9 | 13 | 7.7 |
|  | 61-70 | 19 | 2.4 | 17 | 2.7 | 2 | 1.2 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 4 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.6 |
|  | TOTAL | 804 | 100.0 | 635 | 100.0 | 169 | 100.0 |
| SIERRA | 21-30 | 3 | 20.0 | 3 | 21.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 31-40 | 6 | 40.0 | 6 | 42.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 41-50 | 3 | 20.0 | 2 | 14.3 | 1 | 100.0 |
|  | 51-60 | 2 | 13.3 | 2 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 1 | 6.7 | 1 | 7.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 15 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 |
| SISKIYOU | UNDER 18 | 1 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 15 | 5.3 | 13 | 5.5 | 2 | 4.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 72 | 25.3 | 65 | 27.7 | 7 | 14.0 |
|  | 31-40 | 107 | 37.5 | 80 | 34.0 | 27 | 54.0 |
|  | 41-50 | 58 | 20.4 | 46 | 19.6 | 12 | 24.0 |
|  | 51-60 | 18 | 6.3 | 17 | 7.2 | 1 | 2.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 8 | 2.8 | 8 | 3.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 6 | 2.1 | 6 | 2.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 285 | 100.0 | 235 | 100.0 | 50 | 100.0 |
| SOLANO | UNDER 18 | 8 | 0.7 | 8 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 50 | 4.3 | 44 | 4.4 | 6 | 3.3 |
|  | 21-30 | 393 | 33.4 | 349 | 35.1 | 44 | 24.2 |
|  | 31-40 | 357 | 30.4 | 287 | 28.9 | 70 | 38.5 |
|  | 41-50 | 247 | 21.0 | 197 | 19.8 | 50 | 27.5 |
|  | 51-60 | 86 | 7.3 | 76 | 7.6 | 10 | 5.5 |
|  | 61-70 | 26 | 2.2 | 25 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.5 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 9 | 0.8 | 8 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.5 |
|  | TOTAL | 1176 | 100.0 | 994 | 100.0 | 182 | 100.0 |

TABLE B2: 1997 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continue

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| SONOMA | UNDER 18 | 12 | 0.6 | 11 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.2 |
|  | 18-20 | 119 | 5.8 | 101 | 6.2 | 18 | 4.4 |
|  | 21-30 | 663 | 32.5 | 571 | 35.0 | 92 | 22.3 |
|  | 31-40 | 601 | 29.4 | 448 | 27.5 | 153 | 37.0 |
|  | 41-50 | 441 | 21.6 | 327 | 20.1 | 114 | 27.6 |
|  | 51-60 | 151 | 7.4 | 128 | 7.9 | 23 | 5.6 |
|  | 61-70 | 38 | 1.9 | 29 | 1.8 | 9 | 2.2 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 18 | 0.9 | 15 | 0.9 | 3 | 0.7 |
|  | TOTAL | 2043 | 100.0 | 1630 | 100.0 | 413 | 100.0 |
| STANISLAUS | UNDER 18 | 12 | 0.7 | 12 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 101 | 5.6 | 92 | 6.0 | 9 | 3.4 |
|  | 21-30 | 677 | 37.4 | 589 | 38.1 | 88 | 33.0 |
|  | 31-40 | 504 | 27.8 | 402 | 26.0 | 102 | 38.2 |
|  | 41-50 | 345 | 19.1 | 290 | 18.8 | 55 | 20.6 |
|  | 51-60 | 131 | 7.2 | 119 | 7.7 | 12 | 4.5 |
|  | 61-70 | 32 | 1.8 | 31 | 2.0 | 1 | 0.4 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 9 | 0.5 | 9 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 1811 | 100.0 | 1544 | 100.0 | 267 | 100.0 |
| SUTTER | UNDER 18 | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 27 | 8.3 | 25 | 8.8 | 2 | 4.5 |
|  | 21-30 | 108 | 33.0 | 102 | 36.0 | 6 | 13.6 |
|  | 31-40 | 92 | 28.1 | 75 | 26.5 | 17 | 38.6 |
|  | 41-50 | 65 | 19.9 | 55 | 19.4 | 10 | 22.7 |
|  | 51-60 | 27 | 8.3 | 19 | 6.7 | 8 | 18.2 |
|  | 61-70 | 4 | 1.2 | 4 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 3 | 0.9 | 2 | 0.7 | 1 | 2.3 |
|  | TOTAL | 327 | 100.0 | 283 | 100.0 | 44 | 100.0 |
| TEHAMA | UNDER 18 | 3 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.7 | 1 | 1.9 |
|  | 18-20 | 19 | 5.3 | 16 | 5.2 | 3 | 5.7 |
|  | 21-30 | 90 | 25.1 | 83 | 27.2 | 7 | 13.2 |
|  | 31-40 | 109 | 30.4 | 89 | 29.2 | 20 | 37.7 |
|  | 41-50 | 79 | 22.1 | 67 | 22.0 | 12 | 22.6 |
|  | 51-60 | 29 | 8.1 | 24 | 7.9 | 5 | 9.4 |
|  | 61-70 | 22 | 6.1 | 19 | 6.2 | 3 | 5.7 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 7 | 2.0 | 5 | 1.6 | 2 | 3.8 |
|  | TOTAL | 358 | 100.0 | 305 | 100.0 | 53 | 100.0 |
| TRINITY | 18-20 | 3 | 2.6 | 3 | 3.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 21-30 | 16 | 14.0 | 11 | 12.1 | 5 | 21.7 |
|  | 31-40 | 36 | 31.6 | 28 | 30.8 | 8 | 34.8 |
|  | 41-50 | 39 | 34.2 | 32 | 35.2 | 7 | 30.4 |
|  | 51-60 | 15 | 13.2 | 13 | 14.3 | 2 | 8.7 |
|  | 61-70 | 3 | 2.6 | 2 | 2.2 | 1 | 4.3 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 2 | 1.8 | 2 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 114 | 100.0 | 91 | 100.0 | 23 | 100.0 |
| TULARE | UNDER 18 | 10 | 0.5 | 10 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 141 | 7.2 | 127 | 7.2 | 14 | 7.1 |
|  | 21-30 | 730 | 37.1 | 662 | 37.4 | 68 | 34.5 |
|  | 31-40 | 617 | 31.4 | 547 | 30.9 | 70 | 35.5 |
|  | 41-50 | 315 | 16.0 | 278 | 15.7 | 37 | 18.8 |
|  | 51-60 | 114 | 5.8 | 108 | 6.1 | 6 | 3.0 |
|  | 61-70 | 27 | 1.4 | 25 | 1.4 | 2 | 1.0 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 14 | 0.7 | 14 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 1968 | 100.0 | 1771 | 100.0 | 197 | 100.0 |

TABLE B2: 1997 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continue

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL |  | MALE |  | FEMALE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |
| TUOLUMNE | UNDER 18 | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 17 | 5.8 | 14 | 5.8 | 3 | 5.6 |
|  | 21-30 | 62 | 21.0 | 53 | 22.0 | 9 | 16.7 |
|  | 31-40 | 85 | 28.8 | 63 | 26.1 | 22 | 40.7 |
|  | 41-50 | 81 | 27.5 | 69 | 28.6 | 12 | 22.2 |
|  | 51-60 | 33 | 11.2 | 27 | 11.2 | 6 | 11.1 |
|  | 61-70 | 11 | 3.7 | 10 | 4.1 | 1 | 1.9 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 5 | 1.7 | 4 | 1.7 | 1 | 1.9 |
|  | TOTAL | 295 | 100.0 | 241 | 100.0 | 54 | 100.0 |
| VENTURA | UNDER 18 | 7 | 0.2 | 7 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 151 | 4.4 | 130 | 4.4 | 21 | 4.1 |
|  | 21-30 | 1341 | 38.8 | 1172 | 39.9 | 169 | 32.7 |
|  | 31-40 | 1020 | 29.5 | 837 | 28.5 | 183 | 35.4 |
|  | 41-50 | 619 | 17.9 | 520 | 17.7 | 99 | 19.1 |
|  | 51-60 | 230 | 6.7 | 198 | 6.7 | 32 | 6.2 |
|  | 61-70 | 65 | 1.9 | 55 | 1.9 | 10 | 1.9 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 20 | 0.6 | 17 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.6 |
|  | TOTAL | 3453 | 100.0 | 2936 | 100.0 | 517 | 100.0 |
| YOLO | UNDER 18 | 4 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.6 | 1 | 1.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 44 | 6.8 | 40 | 7.4 | 4 | 3.9 |
|  | 21-30 | 243 | 37.7 | 215 | 39.6 | 28 | 27.5 |
|  | 31-40 | 157 | 24.3 | 126 | 23.2 | 31 | 30.4 |
|  | 41-50 | 134 | 20.8 | 108 | 19.9 | 26 | 25.5 |
|  | 51-60 | 42 | 6.5 | 33 | 6.1 | 9 | 8.8 |
|  | 61-70 | 19 | 2.9 | 16 | 2.9 | 3 | 2.9 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 2 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 645 | 100.0 | 543 | 100.0 | 102 | 100.0 |
| YUBA | UNDER 18 | 2 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 2.1 |
|  | 18-20 | 9 | 3.3 | 8 | 3.6 | 1 | 2.1 |
|  | 21-30 | 72 | 26.8 | 59 | 26.6 | 13 | 27.7 |
|  | 31-40 | 97 | 36.1 | 77 | 34.7 | 20 | 42.6 |
|  | 41-50 | 48 | 17.8 | 41 | 18.5 | 7 | 14.9 |
|  | 51-60 | 25 | 9.3 | 22 | 9.9 | 3 | 6.4 |
|  | 61-70 | 12 | 4.5 | 10 | 4.5 | 2 | 4.3 |
|  | 71 \& ABOVE | 4 | 1.5 | 4 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 269 | 100.0 | 222 | 100.0 | 47 | 100.0 |

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1997 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT

| COUNTY | DUI CONVICTION RATE | COURT | MISD <br> DUI | $\begin{gathered} \text { FELONY } \\ \text { DUI } \end{gathered}$ | ALCOHOL RECKLESS | NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS | OTHER CONVICTIONS | DUI DISM ${ }^{1} /$ UNCONST² | AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VIOLATION TO CONVICTION | CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE |
| STATEWIDE | 71.7\% |  | 134417 | 2588 | 15213 | 4144 | 4655 | 11/153 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| ALAMEDA | 64.0\% | SUP OAKLAND | 45 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0/0 | 5.9 | 3.6 |
|  |  | JUV SAN LEANDRO | 29 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.3 | 2.7 |
|  |  | ALAMEDA | 237 | 1 | 65 | 17 | 10 | 0/0 | 1.7 | 2.0 |
|  |  | BERKELEY | 155 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 6 | 0/0 | 2.0 | 2.2 |
|  |  | FREMONT | 666 | 3 | 51 | 20 | 27 | 0/3 | 3.7 | 3.6 |
|  |  | PLEASANTON | 690 | 4 | 17 | 47 | 30 | 0/2 | 3.0 | 2.4 |
|  |  | OAKLAND | 790 | 4 | 169 | 24 | 18 | 0/0 | 4.3 | 2.4 |
|  |  | HAYWARD | 1279 | 9 | 94 | 12 | 108 | 0/4 | 3.8 | 2.3 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 3891 | 32 | 408 | 132 | 206 | 0/9 | --- | --- |
| ALPINE | 57.1\% | SUP MARKLEVILLE | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/1 | 8.8 | 5.7 |
|  |  | MARKLEEVILLE | 12 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 16 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0/1 | -- | --- |
| AMADOR | 87.9\% | SUP AMADOR | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 0.6 | 2.5 |
|  |  | JUV AMADOR | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 4.1 | 5.8 |
|  |  | JACKSON | 172 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 4 | 0/0 | 2.2 | 3.6 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 174 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 4 | 0/0 | --- | --- |
| BUTTE | 72.0\% | SUP OROVILLE | 42 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.2 | 5.7 |
|  |  | JUV OROVILLE | 9 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0/0 | 3.4 | 1.6 |
|  |  | CHICO | 617 | 1 | 157 | 20 | 11 | 0/4 | 2.7 | 5.3 |
|  |  | GRIDLEY | 21 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0/0 | 3.1 | 4.5 |
|  |  | OROVILLE | 184 | 10 | 4 | 23 | 2 | 0/1 | 3.6 | 4.8 |
|  |  | PARADISE | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $0 / 1$ | 8.1 | 0.4 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 875 | 22 | 164 | 50 | 17 | 0/6 | --- | --- |
| CALAVERAS | 60.1\% | SUP CALAVERAS | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 0.7 | 8.6 |
|  |  | JUV CALAVERAS | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0/0 | 4.0 | 5.4 |
|  |  | SAN ANDREAS | 180 | 3 | 26 | 16 | 16 | 0/0 | 2.3 | 1.6 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 183 | 7 | 26 | 16 | 18 | 0/0 | --- | --- |
| COLUSA | 69.2\% | SUP COLUSA | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0/0 | 4.9 | 2.2 |
|  |  | JUV COLUSA | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.7 | 18.8 |
|  |  | COLUSA | 196 | 1 | 45 | 5 | 5 | 0/1 | 2.3 | 2.9 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 201 | 1 | 46 | 6 | 5 | 0/1 | --- | --- |
| CONTRA COSTA | 72.1\% | SUP CONTRA COSTA | 74 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0/0 | 5.1 | 1.8 |
|  |  | JUV CONTRA COSTA | 36 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.1 | 3.6 |
|  |  | CONCORD | 703 | 5 | 95 | 9 | 18 | 0/6 | 3.9 | 3.7 |
|  |  | RICHMOND | 716 | 4 | 118 | 14 | 14 | 0/0 | 4.1 | 3.3 |
|  |  | PITTSBURG | 646 | 5 | 81 | 10 | 7 | 0/0 | 5.3 | 3.5 |
|  |  | SAN PABLO | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
|  |  | WALNUT CREEK | 808 | 5 | 131 | 5 | 12 | 0/1 | 3.9 | 2.5 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 2984 | 46 | 430 | 39 | 55 | 0/7 | --- | --- |
| DEL NORTE | 64.9\% | SUP DEL NORTE | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 7.2 | 4.7 |
|  |  | CRESCENT CITY | 182 | 0 | 49 | 10 | 9 | 0/0 | 2.9 | 4.3 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 184 | 1 | 49 | 10 | 9 | 0/0 | --- | --- |

${ }^{2}$ These 1997 arrestees showed prior DUIs declared unconstitutional on their records. The courts reported here are those of the current DUI conviction and not necessarily those in which a prior conviction was declared
TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1997 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

| COUNTY | DUICONVICTIONRATE | COURT | $\begin{gathered} \text { MISD } \\ \text { DUI } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FELONY } \\ \text { DUI } \end{gathered}$ | ALCOHOL RECKLESS | NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS | OTHER <br> CONVICTIONS | DUIDISM ${ }^{1 /}$ UNCONST ${ }^{2}$ | AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VIOLATION TO CONVICTION | CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE |
| EL DORADO | 81.8\% | SUP EL DORADO | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 2.7 | 2.1 |
|  |  | SUP JUV PLCRVLLE | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 2.8 | 2.5 |
|  |  | CAMERON PARK | 234 | 12 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 0/0 | 2.2 | 6.9 |
|  |  | SO LAKE TAHOE | 250 | 2 | 39 | 0 | 6 | 0/0 | 2.4 | 6.2 |
|  |  | Placerville | 228 | 8 | 17 | 3 | 2 | 0/1 | 2.9 | 5.8 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 726 | 25 | 77 | 5 | 13 | 0/1 | --- | --- |
| FRESNO | 58.5\% | SUP FRESNO | 21 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 6.8 | 4.7 |
|  |  | JUV FRESNO | 18 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0/0 | 5.6 | 4.5 |
|  |  | FRESNO | 2282 | 41 | 517 | 32 | 43 | 1/0 | 4.6 | 5.3 |
|  |  | Clovis | 266 | 1 | 81 | 5 | 4 | 0/1 | 4.3 | 6.7 |
|  |  | COALINGA | 146 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 0/0 | 3.1 | 6.4 |
|  |  | FIREBAUGH | 178 | 1 | 50 | 5 | 3 | 0/0 | 2.1 | 5.4 |
|  |  | FOWLER | 170 | 5 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 0/0 | 4.3 | 4.7 |
|  |  | KERMAN | 104 | 2 | 28 | 3 | 5 | 0/0 | 4.0 | 5.1 |
|  |  | KINGSBURG | 87 | 1 | 21 | 3 | 1 | 0/0 | 4.1 | 4.7 |
|  |  | REEDLEY MUN | 162 | 2 | 26 | 0 | 4 | 0/0 | 2.7 | 6.4 |
|  |  | RIVERDALE | 21 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 5.9 | 5.2 |
|  |  | SANGER | 109 | 2 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 0/0 | 3.5 | 9.1 |
|  |  | SELMA MUN | 100 | 3 | 19 | 1 | 2 | 0/0 | 4.2 | 3.7 |
|  |  | US CT FRESNO | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 8.6 | 7.5 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 3665 | 111 | 808 | 53 | 68 | 1/1 | --- | --- |
| GLENN | 70.3\% | SUP WILLOWS | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0/0 | 2.5 | 26.8 |
|  |  | JUV GLENN | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 1.3 | 6.9 |
|  |  | ORLAND | 106 | 2 | 22 | 8 | 4 | 0/0 | 2.6 | 4.5 |
|  |  | WILLOWS | 78 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 0/1 | 2.3 | 3.7 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 188 | 4 | 29 | 10 | 7 | 0/1 | --- | --- |
| HUMBOLDT | 58.8\% | SUP JUV HMBLDT | 646 | 17 | 165 | 31 | 28 | 0/1 | 3.6 | 3.0 |
|  |  | ARCATA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 1.8 | 13.8 |
|  |  | SUPMUN FORTUNA | 51 | 1 | 22 | 3 | 2 | 0/0 | 2.5 | 1.8 |
|  |  | GARBVLLE SUPMUN | 44 | 1 | 16 | 3 | 2 | 0/0 | 3.5 | 2.7 |
|  |  | HOOPA SUPMUN | 22 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 0/0 | 4.1 | 4.6 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 764 | 19 | 204 | 44 | 33 | 0/1 | --- | --- |
| IMPERIAL | 45.7\% | SUP IMPERIAL | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 12.6 | 0.5 |
|  |  | JUV IMPERIAL | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.2 | 2.4 |
|  |  | BRAWLEY | 131 | 2 | 11 | 11 | 5 | 0/0 | 4.3 | 1.9 |
|  |  | Calexico | 399 | 1 | 101 | 37 | 4 | 0/0 | 4.4 | 3.1 |
|  |  | El Centro | 230 | 1 | 46 | 37 | 3 | 0/0 | 4.1 | 2.2 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 766 | 8 | 158 | 85 | 12 | 0/0 | --- | --- |
| INYO | 60.7\% | SUP INYO | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 3.1 | 6.5 |
|  |  | BISHOP | 151 | 4 | 43 | 3 | 7 | 1/0 | 3.8 | 4.4 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 158 | 7 | 43 | 3 | 8 | 1/0 | --- | --- |

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1997 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

| COUNTY | DUI <br> CONVICTION RATE | COURT | $\begin{gathered} \text { MISD } \\ \text { DUI } \end{gathered}$ | FELONYDUI | ALCOHOL RECKLESS | NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS | OTHER CONVICTIONS | DUI DISM ${ }^{1} /$ UNCONST ${ }^{2}$ | AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VIOLATION TO CONVICTION | CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE |
| KERN | 78.5\% | SUP KERN | 80 | 36 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0/0 | 3.0 | 2.1 |
|  |  | JUV KERN | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0/0 | 1.1 | 24.0 |
|  |  | ARVIN-LAMONT | 239 | 2 | 22 | 2 | 32 | 0/0 | 2.6 | 3.5 |
|  |  | BAKERSFIELD | 1764 | 12 | 170 | 23 | 152 | 0/4 | 2.2 | 4.0 |
|  |  | DELANO | 273 | 9 | 27 | 0 | 23 | 0/0 | 2.3 | 4.5 |
|  |  | LAKE ISABELLA | 72 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0/0 | 1.9 | 1.5 |
|  |  | TAFT | 200 | 4 | 25 | 1 | 23 | 0/1 | 2.0 | 3.6 |
|  |  | SHAFTER | 227 | 4 | 33 | 6 | 20 | 0/0 | 2.1 | 3.2 |
|  |  | MOJAVE | 299 | 0 | 78 | 14 | 29 | 0/0 | 2.0 | 3.0 |
|  |  | RIDGECREST | 153 | 2 | 16 | 9 | 4 | 0/0 | 1.6 | 3.9 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 3307 | 70 | 375 | 60 | 290 | 0/5 | --- | --- |
| KINGS | 81.4\% | SUP KINGS | 20 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
|  |  | JUV HANFORD | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 3.1 | 1.5 |
|  |  | HANFORD | 471 | 5 | 75 | 5 | 7 | 0/0 | 2.5 | 2.8 |
|  |  | AVENAL | 58 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0/1 | 2.7 | 2.3 |
|  |  | CORCORAN | 101 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0/0 | 2.4 | 2.2 |
|  |  | LEMOORE | 166 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 4 | 0/0 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 832 | 12 | 106 | 5 | 18 | 0/1 | --- | --- |
| LAKE | 68.7\% | JUV LAKEPORT | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.1 | 1.5 |
|  |  | CLEARLAKE | 219 | 3 | 18 | 3 | 2 | 0/0 | 4.8 | 9.9 |
|  |  | LAKEPORT | 205 | 4 | 27 | 5 | 2 | 0/0 | 3.2 | 7.2 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 431 | 7 | 45 | 8 | 4 | 0/0 | --- | --- |
| LASSEN | 67.8\% | SUP LASSEN | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 3.0 | 0.4 |
|  |  | SUSANVILLE | 121 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 0/0 | 3.6 | 5.1 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 122 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 0/0 | --- | --- |
| LOS ANGELES | 71.9\% | SUP LA | 138 | 51 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0/0 | 3.9 | 1.3 |
|  |  | SUP POMONA | 99 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0/0 | 2.9 | 1.0 |
|  |  | SUP LANCASTER | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 4.0 | 0.5 |
|  |  | SUP VAN NUYS | 92 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 3.1 | 1.0 |
|  |  | SUP PASADENA | 72 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 3 | $0 / 1$ | 3.4 | 0.9 |
|  |  | SUP VAN NUYS | 33 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 3.5 | 0.8 |
|  |  | SUP LONG BEACH | 46 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 3.7 | 1.4 |
|  |  | SUP COMPTON | 28 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 4.2 | 0.7 |
|  |  | SUP NORWALK | 51 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0/0 | 5.2 | 0.6 |
|  |  | SUP TORRANCE | 20 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 4.0 | 1.1 |
|  |  | SUP SANTA MONICA | 41 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 5.4 | 1.0 |
|  |  | JUV LA | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0/0 | 2.4 | 7.8 |
|  |  | JUV LA CENTRL | 17 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 4.2 | 3.3 |
|  |  | ALHAMBRA | 687 | 5 | 105 | 15 | 18 | 0/0 | 2.7 | 2.1 |
|  |  | LANCASTER | 618 | 1 | 138 | 6 | 18 | 0/0 | 2.4 | 1.6 |
|  |  | BEVERLY HILLS | 292 | 2 | 37 | 5 | 10 | 0/1 | 2.8 | 1.2 |
|  |  | BURBANK | 247 | 0 | 25 | 3 | 6 | $0 / 0$ | 4.5 | 2.5 |
|  |  | WEST COVINA | 2341 | 15 | 101 | 8 | 59 | 0/1 | 2.4 | 1.8 |
|  |  | COMPTON | 1690 | 19 | 134 | 42 | 26 | 0/2 | 3.0 | 2.7 |
|  |  | CULVER CITY | 190 | 1 | 35 | 5 | 9 | 0/0 | 2.6 | 2.1 |
|  |  | DOWNEY | 667 | 5 | 44 | 9 | 17 | $0 / 1$ | 2.6 | 3.6 |

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1997 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

| COUNTY | DUICONVICTIONRATE | COURT | $\begin{gathered} \text { MISD } \\ \text { DUI } \end{gathered}$ | FELONYDUI | ALCOHOL RECKLESS | NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS | OTHER CONVICTIONS | DUIDISM ${ }^{1 /}$ UNCONST ${ }^{2}$ | AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VIOLATION TO CONVICTION | CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { LOS ANGELES } \\ & \\ & \text { (cont.) } \end{aligned}$ |  | EAST LA | 1295 | 6 | 169 | 15 | 39 | 0/0 | 3.2 | 1.4 |
|  |  | EL MONTE | 1307 | 18 | 69 | 4 | 33 | 0/0 | 2.5 | 2.0 |
|  |  | GLENDALE | 549 | 1 | 73 | 12 | 16 | 0/0 | 2.8 | 3.4 |
|  |  | INGLEWOOD | 993 | 5 | 188 | 39 | 43 | 0/2 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
|  |  | LONG BEACH | 1376 | 8 | 241 | 115 | 67 | 0/2 | 2.0 | 3.3 |
|  |  | LA METRO | 8090 | 21 | 946 | 16 | 756 | 0/3 | 1.4 | 1.7 |
|  |  | BELLFLOWER | 454 | 3 | 21 | 5 | 15 | 0/1 | 2.7 | 2.9 |
|  |  | VALENCIA | 1068 | 11 | 168 | 104 | 12 | 1/1 | 2.1 | 2.6 |
|  |  | PASADENA | 682 | 6 | 184 | 29 | 16 | 0/1 | 2.8 | 1.6 |
|  |  | MALIBU | 308 | 4 | 100 | 9 | 13 | 0/0 | 3.8 | 1.9 |
|  |  | POMONA | 872 | 12 | 62 | 5 | 18 | 0/0 | 2.6 | 1.6 |
|  |  | HUNTNGTON PARK | 704 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 0/0 | 2.5 | 2.1 |
|  |  | MONROVIA | 461 | 2 | 83 | 4 | 8 | 0/1 | 3.1 | 2.3 |
|  |  | SANTA MONICA | 333 | 2 | 116 | 7 | 14 | 0/0 | 2.7 | 1.4 |
|  |  | TORrance | 1292 | 10 | 490 | 74 | 66 | 0/9 | 2.3 | 1.4 |
|  |  | SOUTH GATE | 653 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 0/1 | 3.2 | 2.2 |
|  |  | WHITTIER | 876 | 18 | 52 | 4 | 16 | 0/2 | 3.2 | 3.4 |
|  |  | HOLLYWOOD | 374 | 1 | 41 | 6 | 20 | 0/0 | 1.7 | 1.4 |
|  |  | SAN FERNANDO | 2041 | 17 | 352 | 18 | 141 | 0/2 | 1.7 | 2.1 |
|  |  | SAN PEDRO | 492 | 1 | 60 | 2 | 32 | 0/2 | 2.4 | 2.1 |
|  |  | VAN NUYS | 2659 | 22 | 452 | 6 | 373 | 0/0 | 1.8 | 2.3 |
|  |  | LOS ANGELES | 669 | 0 | 163 | 12 | 85 | 0/1 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
|  |  | AVALON | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.6 | 2.7 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 34949 | 450 | 4672 | 583 | 1991 | 1/34 | --- | --- |
| MADERA | 65.0\% | SUP MADERA | 3 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 2.6 | 2.8 |
|  |  | JUV MADERA | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 0.9 | 1.4 |
|  |  | CHOWCHILLA | 94 | 1 | 20 | 5 | 1 | 0/0 | 4.4 | 2.8 |
|  |  | BORDEN | 112 | 3 | 33 | 7 | 3 | 0/0 | 3.0 | 3.7 |
|  |  | MADERA | 215 | 9 | 18 | 2 | 3 | 0/0 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
|  |  | BASS LAKE | 78 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 0/0 | 2.8 | 5.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 505 | 28 | 87 | 15 | 8 | 0/0 | --- | --- |
| MARIN | 84.8\% | SUP SAN RAFAEL | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
|  |  | SAN RAFAEL | 1333 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 35 | 0/0 | 3.0 | 4.1 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1336 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 35 | 0/0 | --- | --- |
| MARIPOSA | 43.5\% | SUP MARIPOSA | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 8.5 | 4.4 |
|  |  | MARIPOSA | 38 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.1 | 2.7 |
|  |  | USMAG YOSEMITE | 8 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 2.0 | 6.2 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 47 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0/0 | --- | --- |
| MENDOCINO | 72.5\% | SUP UKIAH | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 2.2 | 2.0 |
|  |  | JUV UKIAH | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 1.2 | 2.5 |
|  |  | WILLITS | 97 | 4 | 36 | 5 | 4 | 0/0 | 2.3 | 4.3 |
|  |  | UKIAH | 286 | 3 | 61 | 18 | 2 | 0/0 | 2.4 | 4.5 |
|  |  | BOONVILLE | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0/0 | 2.6 | 3.1 |
|  |  | PT. ARENA | 10 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0/0 | 2.6 | 0.9 |
|  |  | LEGGETT | 12 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 5.2 | 2.2 |
|  |  | COVELO | 8 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0/0 | 4.4 | 1.1 |

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1997 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

| COUNTY | DUICONVICTIONRATE | COURT | $\begin{gathered} \text { MISD } \\ \text { DUI } \end{gathered}$ | FELONYDUI | ALCOHOL RECKLESS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NON-ALCOHOL } \\ & \text { RECKLESS } \end{aligned}$ | OTHER CONVICTIONS | DUI DISM ${ }^{1} /$ UNCONST ${ }^{2}$ | AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VIOLATION TO CONVICTION | CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE |
| MENDOCINO (cont.) |  | FORT BRAGG | 106 | 4 | 17 | 7 | 2 | 0/0 | 2.5 | 5.3 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 550 | 14 | 137 | 34 | 9 | 0/0 | --- | --- |
| MERCED | 53.7\% | SUP MERCED | 12 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 6.2 | 3.2 |
|  |  | JUV MERCED | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 3.9 | 7.8 |
|  |  | MERCED | 734 | 18 | 150 | 25 | 23 | 1/0 | 4.5 | 5.0 |
|  |  | LOS BANOS | 194 | 3 | 76 | 32 | 10 | 0/0 | 2.5 | 4.4 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 945 | 33 | 227 | 57 | 35 | 1/0 | --- | --- |
| MODOC | 63.7\% | ALTURAS | 53 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1/0 | 2.6 | 3.6 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 53 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1/0 | --- |  |
| MONO | 77.0\% | SUP MONO | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 2.4 | 11.3 |
|  |  | BRIDGEPORT | 16 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 1.7 | 5.2 |
|  |  | MAMMOTH LAKES | 70 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 0/0 | 3.3 | 3.1 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 87 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 2 | 0/0 | --- | --- |
| MONTEREY | 82.0\% | SUP MONTEREY | 57 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0/0 | 3.4 | 4.3 |
|  |  | JUV SALINAS | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 4.0 | 3.4 |
|  |  | MARINA MUN | 815 | 13 | 122 | 55 | 12 | 0/1 | 2.5 | 3.1 |
|  |  | SALINAS | 1657 | 11 | 251 | 30 | 8 | 0/1 | 1.2 | 5.1 |
|  |  | KING CITY | 371 | 4 | 48 | 4 | 5 | 0/0 | 1.2 | 7.4 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 2904 | 56 | 421 | 89 | 29 | 0/2 | --- | --- |
| NAPA | 89.2\% | NAPA SUP | 21 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 5.7 | 2.9 |
|  |  | NAPA JUV | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 2.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | NAPA MUN | 933 | 18 | 52 | 7 | 16 | 0/2 | 3.0 | 2.5 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 955 | 30 | 52 | 7 | 16 | 0/2 | --- | . |
| NEVADA | 73.4\% | NEVADA CITY | 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.6 | 2.2 |
|  |  | NEVADA COUNTY | 5 | 0 | 1 | $0$ | 0 | 0/0 | 11.8 | 1.0 |
|  |  | NEVADA CITY | 288 | 3 | 106 | 10 | 6 | 0/0 | 2.3 | 5.2 |
|  |  | TRUCKEE MUN | 200 | 6 | $30$ | $3$ | 1 | 0/0 | 2.6 | 5.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 501 | 15 | 137 | 13 | 7 | 0/0 | --- | --- |
| ORANGE | 84.7\% | SUP SANTA ANA | 134 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0/0 | 3.7 | 3.1 |
|  |  | JUV ORANGE | 46 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 4.0 | 2.7 |
|  |  | FULLERTON | 3045 | 17 | 92 | 58 | 56 | 0/3 | 2.7 | 2.4 |
|  |  | WESTMINSTER | 2517 | 42 | 112 | 7 | 31 | 1/2 | 3.2 | 1.9 |
|  |  | LAGUNA HILLS | 1679 | 12 | 130 | 24 | 25 | 0/3 | 4.2 | 1.4 |
|  |  | NEWPORT BEACH | 2195 | 23 | 145 | 27 | 35 | 0/3 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
|  |  | SANTA ANA MUN | $2805$ | $22$ | $54$ | $4$ | $39$ | 0/0 | 3.0 | 2.1 |
|  |  | TOTAL | $12421$ | 165 | 533 | 120 | 194 | 1/11 | --- | --- |
| PLACER | 80.6\% | SUP AUBURN | 49 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0/0 | 8.1 | 8.9 |
|  |  | JUV AUBURN | 11 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0/0 | 1.9 | 1.5 |
|  |  | JUV TAHOE VISTA | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 0.7 | 1.4 |
|  |  | AUBURN SUPMUN | 912 | 13 | 54 | 24 | 11 | $0 / 1$ | 2.6 | 2.8 |
|  |  | COLFAX | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 2.3 | 1.6 |
|  |  | ROSEVILLE | 25 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0/0 | 1.7 | 1.4 |
|  |  | TAHOE CITY | 334 | 5 | 22 | 5 | 0 | 0/0 | 2.9 | 1.9 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1336 | 21 | 80 | 31 | 16 | $0 / 1$ | --- | --- |

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1997 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

| COUNTY | DUICONVICTIONRATE | COURT | $\begin{gathered} \text { MISD } \\ \text { DUI } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FELONY } \\ & \text { DUI } \end{aligned}$ | ALCOHOL RECKLESS | NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS | OTHER CONVICTIONS | DUIDISM ${ }^{1 /}$ UNCONST ${ }^{2}$ | AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VIOLATION TO CONVICTION | CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE |
| PLUMAS | 66.5\% | SUP PLUMAS | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 4.4 | 3.3 |
|  |  | QUINCY | 147 | 1 | 24 | 3 | 1 | 0/1 | 3.1 | 7.7 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 153 | 2 | 24 | 3 | 2 | 0/1 | --- | --- |
| RIVERSIDE | 74.4\% | SUP RIVERSIDE | 4030 | 110 | 38 | 188 | 60 | 0/1 | 3.9 | 2.2 |
|  |  | SUP INDIO | 1673 | 28 | 156 | 109 | 40 | 0/1 | 4.1 | 1.6 |
|  |  | JUV INDIO | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 6.2 | 1.2 |
|  |  | CORONA | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0/0 | 3.7 | 3.6 |
|  |  | HEMET MUN | 20 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0/0 | 5.0 | 7.4 |
|  |  | BANNING | 31 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0/0 | 4.4 | 7.0 |
|  |  | MORENO VLY-RIV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0/0 | --- | --- |
|  |  | PALM SPRINGS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 1.2 | 0.9 |
|  |  | BLYTHE-SUPMUN | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 2.4 | 3.9 |
|  |  | PERRIS | 95 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0/0 | 3.7 | 8.1 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 5874 | 140 | 199 | 297 | 122 | 0/2 | --- | --- |
| SACRAMENTO | 70.0\% | SUP SACRAMENTO | 161 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0/0 | 4.9 | 2.4 |
|  |  | JUV SACTO TRF | 29 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 2.9 | 3.0 |
|  |  | SACRAMENTO | 4282 | 122 | 153 | 920 | 61 | 0/9 | 3.3 | 1.8 |
|  |  | ELK Grove | 85 | 0 | 18 | 13 | 3 | 0/0 | 2.8 | 5.6 |
|  |  | GALT | 47 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 0/0 | 1.8 | 7.1 |
|  |  | WALNUT GROVE | 22 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 4.3 | 3.0 |
|  |  | SACTO US MAG | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 4.9 | 3.6 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 4642 | 192 | 181 | 939 | 76 | 0/9 | --- | --- |
| SAN BENITO | 86.2\% | SUP SAN BENITO | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 4.5 | 3.8 |
|  |  | HOLLISTER | 317 | 1 | 35 | 2 | 7 | 0/6 | 2.4 | 7.0 |
|  |  | Total | 322 | 3 | 35 | 2 | 8 | 0/6 | --- | --- |
| SAN BERNARDINO | 48.8\% | SUPMUN SAN BERN | 1078 | 34 | 19 | 42 | 103 | 0/0 | 4.7 | 1.2 |
|  |  | SUP R CUCAMNGA | 1602 | 28 | 42 | 218 | 74 | 0/1 | 3.0 | 0.9 |
|  |  | SUPMUN VICTVLLE | 45 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0/0 | 7.9 | 12.2 |
|  |  | SUPMUN BARSTOW | 48 | 15 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 0/0 | 8.4 | 1.3 |
|  |  | SUPMUN JOSHTREE | 11 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0/0 | 11.9 | 2.1 |
|  |  | JUV TF SAN BERNDO | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0/0 | 3.1 | 6.4 |
|  |  | JUV SAN BERNDO | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 2.2 | 2.0 |
|  |  | SUPMUN R CCMGA | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 2.2 | 3.2 |
|  |  | CHINO SUPMUN | 254 | 9 | 12 | 17 | 15 | 0/0 | 3.4 | 1.7 |
|  |  | ONTARIO MUN | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
|  |  | BARSTOW SUPMUN | 104 | 3 | 9 | 20 | 5 | 0/0 | 3.4 | 3.7 |
|  |  | REDLNDS SUPMUN | 297 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 24 | 0/0 | 4.7 | 6.9 |
|  |  | S BERN SUPMUN | 69 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0/0 | 4.2 | 4.6 |
|  |  | FONTANA SUPMUN | 704 | 30 | 27 | 15 | 18 | 0/2 | 5.1 | 1.8 |
|  |  | VCTRVLLE SUPMUN | 258 | 2 | 40 | 8 | 39 | 0/2 | 3.8 | 4.1 |
|  |  | RNCHO CUCMNGA | 236 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 20 | 1/0 | 3.0 | 3.6 |
|  |  | BGBEAR LK SUPMU | 68 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 0/1 | 3.6 | 2.5 |
|  |  | TWIN PKS SUPMUN | 59 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 8 | 0/0 | 3.2 | 2.5 |
|  |  | NEEDLES SUPMUN | 97 | 1 | 20 | 9 | 19 | 0/0 | 5.5 | 2.6 |
|  |  | JOSHTREE SUPMUN | 133 | 1 | 57 | 15 | 16 | 0/0 | 2.8 | 7.1 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 5122 | 158 | 287 | 402 | 365 | 1/6 | --- | --- |

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1997 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

| COUNTY | DUI CONVICTION RATE | COURT | MISD <br> DUI | FELONYDUI | ALCOHOL RECKLESS | NON-ALCOHOL <br> RECKLESS | OTHER CONVICTIONS | DUI DISM ${ }^{1} /$ UNCONST ${ }^{2}$ | AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VIOLATION TO CONVICTION | CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE |
| SAN DIEGO | 74.4\% | SUP SAN DIEGO | 98 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 2.2 | 4.0 |
|  |  | SUP VISTA | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 5.2 | 8.1 |
|  |  | SUP CHULA VISTA | 39 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 2.6 | 3.3 |
|  |  | SUP EL CAJON | 40 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 4.5 | 12.7 |
|  |  | JUV SAN DIEGO | 72 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0/0 | 4.8 | 3.3 |
|  |  | EL CAJON | 1877 | 6 | 295 | 75 | 22 | 0/1 | 3.7 | 5.9 |
|  |  | VISTA | 3092 | 10 | 170 | 55 | 20 | 1/5 | 2.3 | 3.1 |
|  |  | SAN MARCOS | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0/0 | 2.2 | 2.5 |
|  |  | SAN DIEGO MUN | 4157 | 6 | 322 | 79 | 140 | 0/2 | 3.5 | 4.0 |
|  |  | CHULA VISTA | 1501 | 12 | 61 | 16 | 17 | 0/0 | 2.9 | 4.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 10884 | 53 | 849 | 225 | 212 | 1/8 | --- | --- |
| SAN FRANCISCO | 59.4\% | SUP SAN FRAN | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 13.6 | 2.4 |
|  |  | JUV SAN FRAN | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 4.3 | 5.1 |
|  |  | SAN FRANCISCO | 862 | 5 | 252 | 79 | 14 | 0/0 | 3.6 | 0.8 |
|  |  | US DIST CT SF | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 11.0 | 6.1 |
|  |  | TOTAL |  | 8 | 253 | 79 | 14 | 0/0 | --- | --- |
| SAN JOAQUIN | 73.0\% | SUP FRENCH CAMP | 46 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0/0 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
|  |  | JUV FRENCH CAMP | 15 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0/0 | 6.2 | 2.3 |
|  |  | LODI | 491 | 9 | 80 | 11 | 35 | 0/1 | 2.2 | 1.8 |
|  |  | MANTECA | 391 | 8 | 31 | 6 | 16 | 0/0 | 2.6 | 2.0 |
|  |  | TRACY | 187 | 7 | 22 | 12 | 8 | $0 / 1$ | 2.2 | 1.1 |
|  |  | STCKTN SUPMUN | 1516 | 30 | 171 | 22 | 81 | 0/0 | 2.2 | 2.8 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 2646 | 61 | 306 | 51 | 150 | 0/2 | --- | --- |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | 70.9\% | SUP S L OBISPO | 29 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1.8 | 3.4 |
|  |  | JUV S L OBISPO | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0/0 | 3.3 | 0.6 |
|  |  | SL OBISPO SUPMUN | 1293 | 11 | 362 | 45 | 75 | 0/3 | 2.3 | 5.4 |
|  |  | USMAG SL OBISPO | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 1.6 | 2.6 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1329 | 23 | 365 | 47 | 77 | 0/3 | --- | --- |
| SAN MATEO | 78.8\% | REDWOOD CTY SUP | 58 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0/0 | 5.7 | 1.9 |
|  |  | SAN MATEO SPMUN | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 3.7 | 1.1 |
|  |  | SO SF SUPMUN | 1505 | 13 | 208 | 18 | 20 | 0/3 | 2.6 | 3.3 |
|  |  | REDWOOD CITY | $1183$ | $12$ | $176$ | $10$ | 29 | 0/1 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 2764 | 42 | 384 | 28 | 55 | 0/4 | --- | --- |
| SANTA BARBARA | 79.7\% | SUP SNTA BARBARA | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 4.5 | 2.2 |
|  |  | SUP SANTA MARIA | 22 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 2.4 | 3.1 |
|  |  | JUV SNTA BARBARA | 5 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.0 | 3.1 |
|  |  | JUV SANTA MARIA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
|  |  | JUV LOMPOC | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
|  |  | SANTA BARBARA | 1216 | 12 | 254 | 37 | 23 | $0 / 1$ | 2.2 | 4.6 |
|  |  | SANTA MARIA | 721 | 9 | 66 | 8 | 11 | 0/0 | 1.3 | 4.3 |
|  |  | LOMPOC | 233 | 1 | 42 | 5 | 2 | $0 / 0$ | 2.3 | 5.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 2224 | 27 | 366 | 52 | 38 | 0/1 | --- | --- |
| SANTA CLARA | 76.3\% | SUP SANTA CLARA | 140 | 175 | 0 | 0 | 5 | $0 / 0$ | 4.5 | 3.0 |
|  |  | JUV SANTA CLARA | 52 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 4.6 | 3.1 |
|  |  | PALO ALTO MUN | 535 | 3 | 85 | 12 | 8 | 0/0 | 3.4 | 1.8 |

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1997 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

| COUNTY | DUI CONVICTION RATE | COURT | $\begin{gathered} \text { MISD } \\ \text { DUI } \end{gathered}$ | FELONYDUI | ALCOHOL <br> RECKLESS | NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS | OTHER CONVICTIONS | DUI DISM ${ }^{1} /$ UNCONST² | AVERAGE ADJUDICATION <br> TIMES (MONTHS) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VIOLATION TO CONVICTION | CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE |
| SANTA CLARA |  | SAN JOSE | 4566 | 64 | 366 | 141 | 91 | 0/0 | 3.0 | 2.6 |
| (cont.) |  | TRAF-SAN JOSE | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0/0 | 6.3 | 7.2 |
|  |  | SANTA CLRA SPMU | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 7.1 | 0.8 |
|  |  | SUNNYVALE | 690 | 5 | 96 | 38 | 13 | 0/0 | 4.1 | 2.1 |
|  |  | GILROY | 615 | 7 | 55 | 13 | 12 | 0/4 | 3.8 | 1.4 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 6601 | 262 | 606 | 204 | 142 | 0/4 | --- | --- |
| SANTA CRUZ | 70.8\% | JUV SANTA CRUZ | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.9 | 4.0 |
|  |  | SANTA CRUZ | 1169 | 31 | 220 | 15 | 26 | 0/4 | 2.2 | 5.5 |
|  |  | WATSONVILLE | 544 | 1 | 74 | 6 | 4 | 0/2 | 2.0 | 4.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1726 | 33 | 294 | 21 | 30 | 0/6 | --- | --- |
| SHASTA | 83.8\% | SUP REDDING | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 4.8 | 0.7 |
|  |  | JUV SHASTA | 13 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 5.5 | 2.7 |
|  |  | BURNEY | 42 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0/0 | 2.9 | 2.0 |
|  |  | REDDING | 701 | 22 | 105 | 33 | 9 | 0/0 | 2.8 | 4.1 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 763 | 41 | 110 | 35 | 9 | 0/0 | --- | --- |
| SIERRA | 50.0\% | DOWNIEVILLE | 15 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 2.5 | 5.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 15 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | --- | --- |
| SISKIYOU | 65.1\% | SUP SISKIYOU | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 4.4 | 4.0 |
|  |  | HAPPY CMP SPMN | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 10.7 | 1.5 |
|  |  | WEED | 132 | 0 | 23 | 4 | 2 | 0/0 | 3.2 | 5.5 |
|  |  | YREKA JUD DIST | 135 | 12 | 25 | 12 | 3 | 0/0 | 2.5 | 2.3 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 271 | 14 | 48 | 16 | 5 | 0/0 | --- | --- |
| SOLANO | 81.9\% | SUP SOLANO | 11 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.1 | 6.2 |
|  |  | JUV SOLANO | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 5.6 | 2.2 |
|  |  | FAIRFIELD | 773 | 5 | 102 | 28 | 12 | $0 / 1$ | 2.5 | 7.9 |
|  |  | BENICIA | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 7.0 | 7.9 |
|  |  | VALLEJO-BENICIA | 349 | 5 | 48 | 4 | 9 | $0 / 1$ | 3.0 | 5.9 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1151 | 25 | 150 | 32 | 22 | $0 / 2$ | --- | -- |
| SONOMA | 69.3\% | SUP SONOMA | 7 | 47 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 4.4 | 2.9 |
|  |  | JUV SONOMA | 30 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0/0 | 4.1 | 0.1 |
|  |  | SANTA ROSA | 1903 | 55 | 554 | 55 | 44 | 0/5 | 3.3 | 4.4 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1940 | 103 | 556 | 55 | 47 | 0/5 | --- | --- |
| STANISLAUS | 69.9\% | SUP STANISLAUS | 91 | 9 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 0/0 | 9.4 | 5.1 |
|  |  | JUV STANISLAUS | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 2.8 | 4.9 |
|  |  | MODESTO | 1448 | 30 | 306 | 26 | 10 | 0/3 | 3.0 | 2.1 |
|  |  | TURLOCK | 209 | 2 | 29 | 6 | 1 | 0/0 | 2.6 | 1.8 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1770 | 42 | 347 | 37 | 12 | 0/3 | --- | --- |
| SUTTER | 41.2\% | YUBA CTY SUP | 14 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
|  |  | YUBA CTY MUN | 299 | 6 | 75 | 8 | 6 | 0/0 | 2.2 | 4.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 313 | 14 | 75 | 8 | 7 | 0/0 | -- | --- |
| TEHAMA | 77.5\% | SUP TEHAMA | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 6.2 | 0.3 |
|  |  | JUV TEHAMA | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
|  |  | CORNING | 113 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 0/0 | 2.6 | 4.5 |
|  |  | RED BLUFF | 227 | 2 | 21 | 5 | 4 | $0 / 0$ | 1.8 | 2.3 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 354 | 4 | 31 | 6 | 8 | 0/0 | --- | --- |

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1997 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

| COUNTY | DUICONVICTIONRATE | COURT | $\begin{gathered} \text { MISD } \\ \text { DUI } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FELONY } \\ & \text { DUI } \end{aligned}$ | ALCOHOL RECKLESS | NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS | OTHER CONVICTIONS | DUIDISM ${ }^{1 /}$ UNCONST ${ }^{2}$ | AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VIOLATION TO CONVICTION | CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE |
| TRINITY | 46.0\% | SUP TRINITY | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 8.7 | 4.2 |
|  |  | WEAVERVILLE | 107 | 3 | 28 | 6 | 5 | 0/0 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 110 | 4 | 28 | 6 | 6 | 0/0 | --- | --- |
| TULARE | 63.3\% | SUP TULARE | 72 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0/0 | 2.4 | 3.0 |
|  |  | juv tulare | 22 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 1.8 | 3.5 |
|  |  | dinuba mun | 270 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 0/0 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
|  |  | EXETER MUN | 116 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 2.8 | 2.0 |
|  |  | LINDSY-EXETER | 167 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0/0 | 2.2 | 2.7 |
|  |  | PORTERVILLE | 485 | 6 | 7 | 11 | 10 | 0/0 | 2.8 | 2.2 |
|  |  | tulare | 317 | 5 | 18 | 2 | 4 | 0/1 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
|  |  | VISALIA | 420 | 18 | 15 | 4 | 7 | 0/0 | 2.8 | 5.2 |
|  |  | WOODLAKE-EXETR | 46 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0/1 | 3.2 | 1.1 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1915 | 53 | 67 | 19 | 33 | 0/2 | --- | --- |
| TUOLUMNE | 81.5\% | SUP SONORA | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 4.6 | 5.4 |
|  |  | JUV SONORA | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.6 | 5.1 |
|  |  | SONORA | 277 | 2 | 35 | 3 | 10 | 0/1 | 2.9 | 1.6 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 289 | 6 | 35 | 3 | 10 | 0/1 | --- | --- |
| VENTURA | 88.2\% | SUP VENTURA | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.3 | 1.8 |
|  |  | JUV VENTURA | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
|  |  | VENTURA | 3413 | 29 | 0 | 1 | 78 | 3/2 | 3.2 | 2.9 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 3422 | 31 | 0 | 1 | 78 | 3/2 | --- | --- |
| YOLO | 56.9\% | SUP WOODLAND | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 5.8 | 1.2 |
|  |  | SUPMUN WDLAND | 620 | 20 | 179 | 61 | 5 | 0/0 | 4.4 | 1.8 |
|  |  | WOODLAND | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.1 | 0.4 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 625 | 20 | 181 | 61 | 5 | 0/0 | --- | --- |
| YUBA | 73.5\% | SUP YUBA | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.6 | 6.5 |
|  |  | JUV YUBA | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0/0 | 1.0 | 1.5 |
|  |  | MARYSVILLE | 260 | 3 | 71 | 10 | 7 | 0/2 | 2.5 | 1.8 |
|  |  | TC beale afb | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 1.1 | 1.4 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 265 | 4 | 71 | 11 | 7 | 0/2 | --- | --- |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS*

| COUNTY | COURT | DUIOFFENDERSTATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SB } 38 \\ \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \end{gathered}$ | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| STATEWIDE |  | 137002 |  | 96.7 | 74.5 | 64.4 | 19.5 | 0.1 | 48.4 | 5.4 | 6.3 |
| ALAMEDA | SUP OAKLAND | 1ST DUI | 14 | 78.6 | 92.9 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 4 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 36 | 50.0 | 91.7 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 54 | 59.3 | 90.7 | 3.7 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 0.0 |
|  | JUV SAN LEANDRO | 1ST DUI | 30 | 76.7 | 3.3 | 63.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 76.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 31 | 77.4 | 3.2 | 64.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 77.4 | 0.0 |
|  | ALAMEDA | 1ST DUI | 185 | 100.0 | 99.5 | 95.1 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 10.9 | 84.8 | 0.0 | 82.6 | 2.2 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 83.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 238 | 100.0 | 99.6 | 76.1 | 19.3 | 0.0 | 18.1 | 4.6 | 0.0 |
|  | BERKELEY | 1ST DUI | 118 | 100.0 | 98.3 | 85.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 25 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 8.0 | 72.0 | 0.0 | 68.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 9 | 100.0 | 88.9 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 155 | 100.0 | 97.4 | 66.5 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 12.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | FREMONT | 1ST DUI | 466 | 100.0 | 99.1 | 88.4 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 159 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 10.1 | 81.1 | 0.0 | 59.7 | 1.3 | 4.4 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 37 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 2.7 | 70.3 | 0.0 | 18.9 | 0.0 | 5.4 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 669 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 64.1 | 26.6 | 0.0 | 17.0 | 0.6 | 1.5 |
|  | PLEASANTON | 1ST DUI | 514 | 100.0 | 93.6 | 85.8 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 49.4 | 7.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 152 | 100.0 | 98.7 | 7.9 | 86.8 | 0.0 | 90.1 | 4.6 | 7.9 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 23 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 95.7 | 0.0 | 39.1 | 60.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 5 | 60.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 694 | 99.7 | 95.0 | 65.3 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 57.6 | 8.5 | 1.7 |
|  | OAKLAND | 1ST DUI | 563 | 99.6 | 97.9 | 92.2 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 21.0 | 3.4 | 1.4 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 182 | 99.5 | 96.7 | 11.0 | 80.2 | 0.0 | 86.8 | 2.7 | 61.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 45 | 100.0 | 95.6 | 11.1 | 71.1 | 0.0 | 88.9 | 15.6 | 44.4 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 25.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 50.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 794 | 99.6 | 97.5 | 68.6 | 24.3 | 0.5 | 40.2 | 4.0 | 17.8 |
|  | HAYWARD | 1 ST DUI | 925 | 96.8 | 98.4 | 68.9 | 10.3 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 276 | 84.8 | 97.5 | 2.5 | 67.0 | 0.0 | 64.5 | 4.7 | 4.7 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 73 | 97.3 | 98.6 | 0.0 | 50.7 | 0.0 | 16.4 | 6.8 | 2.7 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 14 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 0.0 | 7.1 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1288 | 94.1 | 98.2 | 50.0 | 25.2 | 0.1 | 17.5 | 4.0 | 1.3 |
| ALPINE | SUP MARKLEEVLE | 1 ST DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 4 | 100.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | MARKLEEVILLE | 1ST DUI | 8 | 87.5 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 12 | 91.7 | 50.0 | 41.7 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 58.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DUI } \\ \text { OFFENDER } \\ \text { STATUS } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | TOTAL$\square$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { PRObAtION } \\ \hline \% \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { JAIL } \\ \hline \% \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | 1ST OFFENDER <br> ALCOHOL PROG <br> $\%$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { SB 38 } \\ \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \\ \hline \% \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | 30-MONTH <br> PROGRAM <br> $\%$ | LICENSE <br> RESTRICTION | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { COURT } \\ \text { SUSPENSION } \\ \hline \% \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { IGNITION } \\ \text { INTERLOCK } \end{array} \\ \hline \% \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMADOR | SUP AMADOR | 3RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|  | JUV AMADOR | 1ST DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Jackson | 1ST DUI | 135 | 97.0 | 99.3 | 93.3 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 37 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 18.9 | 73.0 | 0.0 | 51.4 | 37.8 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 3 | 100.0 | ${ }_{6} 6.7$ | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | Total | 179 | 97.8 | 98.9 | 74.3 | 19.6 | 0.6 | 12.8 | 15.6 | 0.0 |
| Butte | SUP OROVLLE | 1 1ST DUI | 26 | 96.2 | ${ }^{92.3}$ | 80.8 | 7.7 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 3.8 | 0.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | , | 100.0 | 100.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 8 | 62.5 | 100.0 | 12.5 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 11 | 45.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 51 | 80.4 | 96.1 | 47.1 | 27.5 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | juv orovile | 1 1ST DUI | 11 | 72.7 | 90.9 | 36.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 11 | 72.7 | 90.9 | 36.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|  | CHICO MUNi | 1 1ST DUI | 428 | 96.7 | 96.7 | 93.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 5.6 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 152 | 96.1 | 98.7 | 11.2 | 73.0 | 0.0 | 70.4 | 7.9 | 0.7 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 35 | 85.7 | 97.1 | 2.9 | 48.6 | 0.0 | 31.4 | 42.9 | 2.9 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 618 | 96.0 | 97.2 | 68.1 | 21.7 | 0.0 | 19.7 | 8.6 | 0.3 |
|  | GRIDLEY | 1ST DUI | 15 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 86.7 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 5 | 80.0 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 21 | 95.2 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 4.8 | 0.0 |
|  | OROVILLE | 1ST DUI | 123 | 95.9 | ${ }^{93.5}$ | 70.7 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 48 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 16.7 | 68.8 | 0.0 | 47.9 | 4.2 | 2.1 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 19 | 89.5 | 100.0 | 5.3 | 68.4 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 21.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 4 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 25.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 194 | 94.3 | 95.9 | 50.0 | 32.5 | 0.0 | 18.6 | 5.7 | 0.5 |
|  | PARADISE | 1 15T DUI | ${ }_{2}$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| calaveras | SUP CALAVERAS | 3RD DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI |  | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 3 | 33.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | juv calaveras | 1 15t du |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 100.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ |
|  |  | TOTAL 1ST dui | 4 129 | 100.0 99.2 | 0.0 100.0 | 10.0 98.4 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.8 | 100.0 2.3 | 0.0 0.0 |
|  | SAN ANDREAS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1ST DUI } \\ & \text { 2ND DUI } \end{aligned}$ | 129 41 | $\begin{aligned} & 99.2 \\ & 995.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 97.6 \end{gathered}$ | 98.4 22.0 | 0.0 70.7 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.8 73.2 | 2.3 0.0 | 0.0 4.9 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 11 | 90.9 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 63.6 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | ${ }^{\text {4TH+ }}$ DUI |  | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 183 | 97.3 | 99.5 | 74.9 | 20.2 | 0.0 | 19.1 | 1.6 | 1.1 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DUI } \\ \text { OFFENDER } \\ \text { STATUS } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { SB } 38 \\ \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \end{array}$ | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { LICENSE } \\ \text { RESTRICTION } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { COURT } \\ \text { SUSPENSION } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| COLUSA | SUP COLUSA | 2ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | JUV COLUSA | 1ST DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
|  | colusa | 1ST DUI | 138 | 93.5 | 97.1 | 77.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 2.9 | 6.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 46 | 84.8 | 100.0 | 13.0 | 56.5 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 17.4 | 6.5 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 13 | 76.9 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 15.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 197 | 90.4 | 98.0 | 57.4 | 15.7 | 0.5 | 15.2 | 9.6 | 1.5 |
| CONTRA COSTA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUP CONTRA } \\ & \text { COSTA } \end{aligned}$ | 1ST DUI | 36 | 80.6 | 88.9 | 22.2 | 13.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 6 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 11 | 72.7 | 90.9 | 36.4 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 46 | 58.7 | 97.8 | 0.0 | 39.1 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 99 | 68.7 | 93.9 | 13.1 | 26.3 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 12.1 | 0.0 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JUV CONTRA } \\ & \text { COSTA } \end{aligned}$ | 1ST DUI | 37 | 21.6 | 2.7 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 38 | 23.7 | 2.6 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.9 | 0.0 |
|  | CONCORD | 1ST DUI | 517 | 99.6 | 95.4 | 80.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 7.7 | 0.2 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 150 | 100.0 | 98.0 | 8.7 | 81.3 | 0.0 | 82.7 | 7.3 | 12.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 38 | 100.0 | 97.4 | 0.0 | 31.6 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 2.6 | 7.9 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 708 | 99.7 | 96.0 | 60.6 | 19.8 | 0.0 | 21.5 | 7.3 | 3.1 |
|  | RICHMOND | 1ST DUI | 509 | 99.6 | 98.2 | 51.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 0.4 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 171 | 100.0 | 95.9 | 8.8 | 77.8 | 0.0 | 78.9 | 1.2 | 35.1 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 36 | 97.2 | 97.2 | 5.6 | 72.2 | 0.0 | 52.8 | 0.0 | 33.3 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 720 | 99.6 | 97.6 | 38.8 | 23.2 | 0.0 | 22.9 | 2.4 | 10.3 |
|  | PItTSBURG | 1ST DUI | 434 | 96.8 | 98.2 | 83.9 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 0.2 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 169 | 91.7 | 98.8 | 5.9 | 79.3 | 0.0 | 79.3 | 7.7 | 8.9 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 41 | 24.4 | 97.6 | 2.4 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 51.2 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 7 | 14.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 651 | 90.0 | 98.3 | 57.6 | 22.6 | 0.0 | 22.4 | 8.1 | 2.5 |
|  | SAN PABLO | 2ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
|  | WALNUT CREEK | 1ST DUI | 643 | 99.5 | 96.9 | 93.8 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 0.5 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 145 | 99.3 | 97.9 | 5.5 | 86.9 | 0.0 | 68.3 | 11.7 | 42.8 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 21 | 100.0 | 90.5 | 0.0 | 61.9 | 0.0 | 52.4 | 23.8 | 47.6 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 813 | 99.5 | 96.9 | 75.2 | 18.6 | 0.0 | 17.0 | 6.2 | 9.3 |
| DEL NORTE | SUP DEL NORTE | 3RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 3 | 33.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | CRESCENT CITY | 1ST DUI | 129 | 92.2 | 97.7 | 84.5 | 3.1 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 5.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 37 | 83.8 | 100.0 | 13.5 | 67.6 | 0.0 | 37.8 | 18.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 12 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 4 | 0.0 86.8 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 18.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 182 | 86.8 | 98.4 | 62.6 | 18.7 | 0.5 | 9.9 | 9.3 | 0.0 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { DUI } \\ \text { OFFENDER } \\ \text { STATUS } \end{array}$ | TOTAL | PROBATION | JaIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { SB } 38 \\ \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| EL DORADO | SUP EL DORADO | 1ST DUI | 7 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 9 | 77.8 | 22.2 | 44.4 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 11.1 |
|  | JUV SUP <br> PLACERVILLE | 1ST DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 8 | 87.5 | 50.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | CAMERON PARK | 1ST DUI | 160 | 98.1 | 98.8 | 93.1 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 55 | 100.0 | 92.7 | 14.5 | 70.9 | 0.0 | 76.4 | 3.6 | 47.3 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 18 | 100.0 | 94.4 | 5.6 | 77.8 | 0.0 | 83.3 | 5.6 | 66.7 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 13 | 84.6 | 61.5 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 0.0 | 76.9 | 0.0 | 69.2 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 246 | 98.0 | 95.1 | 64.2 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 28.9 | 4.5 | 19.1 |
|  | SO LAKE TAHOE | 1ST DUI | 194 | 99.0 | 96.4 | 94.8 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 0.5 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 44 | 97.7 | 97.7 | 9.1 | 81.8 | 0.0 | 79.5 | 0.0 | 47.7 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 11 | 72.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 63.6 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 9.1 | 27.3 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 252 | 97.6 | 96.4 | 74.6 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 4.0 | 9.9 |
|  | PLACERVILLE | 1ST DUI | 149 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 89.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 65 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 18.5 | 73.8 | 0.0 | 73.8 | 1.5 | 21.5 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 13 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 7.7 | 46.2 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 15.4 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 9 | 88.9 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 66.7 | 11.1 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 236 | 99.6 | 99.6 | 62.3 | 23.3 | 0.0 | 22.9 | 5.5 | 7.2 |
| FRESNO | SUP FRESNO | 1ST DUI | 38 | 68.4 | 86.8 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 13 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 4 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 15 | 46.7 | 86.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 70 | 70.0 | 90.0 | 4.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | JUV FRESNO | 1ST DUI | 19 | 94.7 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 78.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 19 | 94.7 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 78.9 | 0.0 |
|  | FRESNO | 1ST DUI | 1505 | 98.1 | 81.3 | 82.3 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 30.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 613 | 96.6 | 93.3 | 9.0 | 71.1 | 0.0 | 72.4 | 0.3 | 2.1 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 151 | 90.1 | 84.1 | 3.3 | 35.8 | 0.0 | 15.2 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 54 | 87.0 | 87.0 | 3.7 | 20.4 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 2323 | 96.9 | 84.8 | 56.0 | 22.9 | 0.0 | 39.9 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
|  | CLOVIS | 1ST DUI | 183 | 99.5 | 95.6 | 90.7 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 64 | 98.4 | 100.0 | 7.8 | 79.7 | 0.0 | 79.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 17 | 100.0 | 70.6 | 11.8 | 35.3 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 0.0 | 5.9 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 267 | 99.3 | 94.0 | 64.8 | 23.6 | 0.0 | 29.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
|  | COALINGA | 1ST DUI | 106 | 98.1 | 99.1 | 88.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 32 | 96.9 | 100.0 | 3.1 | 81.3 | 0.0 | 78.1 | 6.3 | 46.9 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 88.9 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 0.0 | 44.4 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 149 | 97.3 | 98.7 | 63.8 | 24.2 | 0.0 | 20.1 | 2.0 | 12.8 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DUI } \\ \text { OFFENDER } \\ \text { STATUS } \end{array}$ | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SB } 38 \\ \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \end{gathered}$ | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | $\begin{gathered} \text { COURT } \\ \text { SUSPENSION } \end{gathered}$ | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| FRESNO (cont.) | FIREBAUGH | 1ST DUI | 129 | 97.7 | 96.9 | 78.3 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 73.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 40 | 97.5 | 100.0 | 12.5 | 52.5 | 0.0 | 67.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 9 | 88.9 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 179 | 97.2 | 97.8 | 59.2 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 68.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | FOWLER | 1ST DUI | 114 | 99.1 | 95.6 | 88.6 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 46 | 97.8 | 100.0 | 15.2 | 76.1 | 0.0 | 76.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 13 | 92.3 | 92.3 | 7.7 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 175 | 98.3 | 96.6 | 62.3 | 22.3 | 0.0 | 26.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | KERMAN | 1ST DUI | 73 | 98.6 | 100.0 | 90.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 74.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 23 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 30.4 | 52.2 | 0.0 | 82.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 8 | 87.5 | 100.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 106 | 97.2 | 100.0 | 70.8 | 14.2 | 0.0 | 72.6 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
|  | KINGSBURG | 1ST DUI | 65 | 96.9 | 90.8 | 84.6 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 20 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 15.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 88 | 97.7 | 93.2 | 65.9 | 21.6 | 0.0 | 23.9 | 4.5 | 0.0 |
|  | REEDLEY | 1ST DUI | 121 | 99.2 | 96.7 | 95.9 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 34.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 35 | 97.1 | 100.0 | 8.6 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 51.4 | 11.4 | 42.9 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 164 | 98.2 | 97.6 | 72.6 | 21.3 | 0.0 | 18.3 | 28.0 | 9.1 |
|  | RIVERDALE | 1ST DUI | 8 | 87.5 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 12 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 21 | 95.2 | 100.0 | 42.9 | 47.6 | 0.0 | 38.1 | 4.8 | 0.0 |
|  | SANGER | 1ST DUI | 74 | 98.6 | 90.5 | 77.0 | 8.1 | 1.4 | 14.9 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 24 | 95.8 | 95.8 | 8.3 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 10 | 100.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 111 | 98.2 | 91.0 | 53.2 | 27.9 | 1.8 | 28.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
|  | SELMA | 1ST DUI | 68 | 92.6 | 92.6 | 85.3 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 28 | 89.3 | 89.3 | 7.1 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 7 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 103 | 91.3 | 92.2 | 58.3 | 22.3 | 0.0 | 36.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | USCT FRESNO | 2ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| GLENN | SUP WILLOWS | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
|  | JUV GLENN | 1ST DUI | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | court | $\qquad$ | total | Probation | Jall | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | $\begin{array}{c\|} \text { SB 38 } \\ \hline \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 30-MONTH PROGRAM |  | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| GLENN (cont.) | ORLAND | 15T DUI | 69 | 98.6 | 88.4 | 85.5 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 14.5 | 2.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 28 | 100.0 | 92.9 | 28.6 | 57.1 | 3.6 | 50.0 | 10.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 10 | 90.0 | 100.0 | 10.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 108 | 97.2 | 90.7 | 63.0 | 23.1 | 0.9 | 25.9 | 4.6 | 0.0 |
|  | willows | 1 1ST DUI | 55 | 96.4 | 80.0 | 81.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 10.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 15 | 100.0 | 93.3 | 6.7 | 93.3 | 0.0 | 93.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 9 | 88.9 | 100.0 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 11.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | SUP JUV HUMBLT EUREKA | total | 80 | 96.3 | 85.0 | 58.8 | 21.2 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 8.8 | 0.0 |
| HUMBOLDT |  | 15T DUI | 450 | 96.2 | 15.3 | 0.7 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 90.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 155 | 98.1 | 71.0 | 0.0 | 34.2 | 0.0 | 86.5 | 6.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 45 | 93.3 | 73.3 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 44.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 13 | 76.9 | 53.8 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 663 | 96.1 | 33.0 | 0.5 | 16.4 | 0.0 | 83.6 | 8.7 | 0.0 |
|  | arcata | 2ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Fortuna | 1ST DUI | 39 | 94.9 | 15.4 | 46.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 92.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 12 | 100.0 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 91.7 | 8.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | , | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | GARbRVLLE | total | 52 | 96.2 | 32.7 | 34.6 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 90.4 | 1.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 1 1STdu | 38 | 97.4 | 7.9 | 78.9 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 92.1 | 2.6 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | , | 100.0 | 83.3 | 33.3 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|  | HOOPA | total | 45 | 97.8 | 20.0 | 71.1 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 91.1 | 4.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 1ST DUI | 15 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 86.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 7 | 100.0 | 42.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | SUP IMPERIAL | total | 22 | 100.0 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 90.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| IMPERIAL |  | ${ }^{15 T}$ DUI |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
|  | JUV IMPERIAL BRAWLEY | 15T DUI | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 1 1ST DU | 101 | 99.0 | 39.6 | 74.3 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 71.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 22 | 95.5 | 90.9 | 9.1 | 72.7 | 0.0 | 68.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 10 | 100.0 | 70.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Calexico | Total 1ST DUI | 133 340 | 98.5 99.4 | 50.4 20.0 | 57.9 67.4 | 14.3 1.8 | 0.8 0.0 | 65.4 86.2 | 0.0 0.3 | 0.0 00 |
|  |  | 1ST DUI 2ND DUI | 340 50 | 99.4 100.0 | 20.0 64.0 | 67.4 26.0 | 1.8 40.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 86.2 66.0 | 0.3 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 67.0 77.8 | 26.0 0.0 | ${ }_{33.3}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0}$ | 33.3 | ${ }_{0}^{0.0}$ | ${ }_{0.0}^{0.0}$ |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | el Centro | TOTAL | 400 | 99.5 | 27.0 | ${ }^{60.5}$ | 7.5 | 0.0 | 82.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 1ST DUI | 181 | 100.0 | 27.1 | 72.9 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 86.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | ${ }_{4}^{44}$ | 100.0 1000 | 86.4 100. | 20.5 0.0 | 56.8 83 | 0.0 0.0 | 79.5 50.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {3 }} \begin{aligned} & \text { 3RD DUI } \\ & \text { TOTAL }\end{aligned}$ |  | 100.0 100.0 | 100.0 40.3 | ${ }_{61.0}^{0.0}$ | 83.3 15.2 | 0.0 0.0 | 50.0 84.0 | 0.0 0.9 | 0.0 0.0 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { DUI } \\ \text { OFFENDER } \\ \text { STATUS } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 <br> ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| INYO | SUP INYO | 1ST DUI | 5 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 4 | 50.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 10 | 70.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 |
|  | BISHOP | 1ST DUI | 104 | 99.0 | 20.2 | 95.2 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 83.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 41 | 95.1 | 92.7 | 17.1 | 75.6 | 0.0 | 78.0 | 0.0 | 4.9 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 6 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 4 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 155 | 96.1 | 44.5 | 69.0 | 21.3 | 0.0 | 77.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| KERN | SUP KERN | 1ST DUI | 72 | 72.2 | 66.7 | 31.9 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 24 | 54.2 | 100.0 | 4.2 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 9 | 22.2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 11 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 116 | 57.8 | 79.3 | 20.7 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
|  | ARVIN-LAMONT | 1ST DUI | 168 | 99.4 | 73.8 | 72.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 44 | 95.5 | 65.9 | 15.9 | 27.3 | 0.0 | 38.6 | 4.5 | 22.7 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 22 | 100.0 | 54.5 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 4.5 | 31.8 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 7 | 71.4 | 71.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 14.3 | 28.6 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 241 | 97.9 | 70.5 | 53.1 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 14.1 | 2.1 | 7.9 |
|  | BAKERSFIELD | 1ST DUI | 1247 | 99.4 | 96.8 | 79.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 2.2 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 387 | 99.5 | 39.8 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 75.2 | 0.0 | 65.4 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 103 | 97.1 | 39.8 | 6.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 63.1 | 1.0 | 58.3 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 39 | 53.8 | 61.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 2.6 | 33.3 |
|  |  | total | 1776 | 98.3 | 80.3 | 57.4 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 0.3 | 19.9 |
|  | DELANO | 1ST DUI | 179 | 100.0 | 48.6 | 91.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 76 | 98.7 | 84.2 | 9.2 | 64.5 | 0.0 | 40.8 | 2.6 | 3.9 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 15 | 100.0 | 86.7 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 26.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 12 | 41.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 282 | 97.2 | 62.4 | 60.3 | 18.1 | 0.0 | 12.4 | 6.4 | 1.1 |
|  | LAKE ISABELLA | 1ST DUI | 58 | 100.0 | 96.6 | 48.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 11 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 73 | 100.0 | 97.3 | 39.7 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | TAFT | 1 ST DUI | 145 | 98.6 | 69.7 | 75.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 24.8 | 15.9 | 2.1 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 45 | 95.6 | 91.1 | 2.2 | 82.2 | 0.0 | 91.1 | 4.4 | 84.4 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 13 | 100.0 | 76.9 | 15.4 | 46.2 | 0.0 | 76.9 | 7.7 | 46.2 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 204 | 97.5 | 75.0 | 54.9 | 21.6 | 0.0 | 42.6 | 12.7 | 23.5 |
|  | SHAFTER | 1ST DUI | 157 | 98.1 | 98.7 | 86.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 47 | 97.9 | 93.6 | 17.0 | 59.6 | 0.0 | 34.0 | 0.0 | 19.1 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 17 | 88.2 | 94.1 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 5.9 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 10 | 60.0 | 90.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 231 | 95.7 | 97.0 | 62.3 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 0.4 | 4.8 |
|  | Mojave | 1 ST DUI | 222 | 99.5 | 97.7 | 75.2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 59 | 96.6 | 98.3 | 8.5 | 40.7 | 0.0 | 42.4 | 11.9 | 1.7 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 15 | 100.0 | 93.3 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 6.7 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 3 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 299 | 98.7 | 97.7 | 57.5 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 4.7 | 0.7 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DUI } \\ \text { OFFENDER } \end{array}$ | total | Probation | Jall | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB 38 } \\ \hline \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | STATUS | $N$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| KERN (cont.) | RIDGECREST | 1ST DUI | 111 | 94.6 | 98.2 | 57.7 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 36 | 97.2 | 100.0 | 8.3 | 44.4 | 0.0 | 38.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 7 | 100.0 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | SUP KINGS | TOTAL | 155 | 95.5 | 98.1 | 43.2 | 19.4 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| KINGS |  | 1ST DUI |  | 83.3 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 4 | 25.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 13 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 0.0 |
|  | JUV HANFORD | total | 24 | 25.0 | 95.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 8.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 1ST DUI | 16 | 62.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 87.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|  | HANFORD | total | 17 | 58.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 88.2 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 1 1ST DUI | 325 | 88.0 | 98.5 | 72.9 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 16.0 | 0.3 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 119 | 77.3 | 93.3 | 4.2 | 52.1 | 0.0 | 61.3 | 16.8 | 37.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 25 | 64.0 | 80.0 | 4.0 | 24.0 | 0.0 | 36.0 | 12.0 | 32.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 |
|  | avenal | TOTAL | 476 | 82.8 | 96.2 | 51.1 | 15.3 | 0.0 | 18.7 | 16.0 | 11.1 |
|  |  | 1ST DUI | 41 | 92.7 | 100.0 | 85.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 15 | 73.3 | 100.0 | 6.7 | 53.3 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 13.3 | 13.3 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
|  | CORCORAN | total | 58 | 87.9 | 98.3 | 62.1 | 15.5 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 |
|  |  | 1 1ST DUI | 70 | 87.1 | 100.0 | 74.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 22 | 86.4 | 95.5 | 13.6 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 59.1 | 13.6 | 50.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 9 | 33.3 | 88.9 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 |
|  | LEMOORE | TOTAL | 101 | 82.2 | 98.0 | 54.5 | 12.9 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 14.9 | 13.9 |
|  |  | 1ST DUI | 124 | 83.9 | 96.8 | 72.6 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 16.1 | 1.6 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 33 | 84.8 | 100.0 | 42.4 | 36.4 | 0.0 | 60.6 | 15.2 | 21.2 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 9 | 77.8 | 100.0 | 22.2 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 11.1 | 11.1 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 168 | 83.3 | 97.6 | 63.7 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 16.1 | 6.0 |
| Lake | JUV LAKEPORT | ${ }^{\text {1ST DUI }}$ | 7 | 57.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 0.0 |
|  | Clearlake | total | 7 | 57.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 1 1ST DUI | 151 | 98.7 | 100.0 | 70.9 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 45 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 13.3 | 57.8 | 0.0 | 17.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 24 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | LAKEPORT | total | 222 | 99.1 | 100.0 | 50.9 | 17.1 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 1 1ST DUI | 142 | 97.2 | 100.0 | 92.3 | ${ }^{3.5}$ | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 55 | 96.4 | 98.2 | 5.5 | 83.6 | 0.0 | 81.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 8 | 62.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | ${ }^{\text {4TH+ }}$ TUI | , | 25.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ |
|  | SUP LASSEN | total | 209 | 94.3 | 99.5 | 64.1 | 26.3 | 0.0 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LASSEN |  | $2 \mathrm{2ND}$ DUI | 1 |  | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {TOTAL }}^{\text {4TH+ DUI }}$ | 1 | 100.0 50.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & 10000 \\ & \end{aligned}$ | 0.0 0.0 | 100.0 50.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI <br> OFFENDER <br> STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { SB 38 } \\ \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| LASSEN (cont, ) | SUSANVILLE | 1ST DUI | 89 | 96.6 | 93.3 | 84.3 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 12.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 25 | 100.0 | 92.0 | 12.0 | 68.0 | 0.0 | 64.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 6 | 83.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 122 | 95.1 | 93.4 | 63.9 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 23.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 |
| LOS ANGELES | SUP LA CENTRAL | 1ST DUI | 88 | 62.5 | 92.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 22 | 68.2 | 90.9 | 4.5 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 13 | 30.8 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 66 | 19.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 189 | 46.0 | 95.2 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 0.0 |
|  | SUP POMONA | 1ST DUI | 40 | 80.0 | 87.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 27 | 48.1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 13 | 30.8 | 92.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 55 | 12.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 135 | 41.5 | 95.6 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | SUP LANCASTER | 1ST DUI | 20 | 45.0 | 95.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 5 | 20.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 32 | 31.3 | 96.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 |
|  | SUP VAN NUY | 1ST DUI | 80 | 57.5 | 80.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 25 | 44.0 | 96.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 10 | 40.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 47 | 8.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 162 | 40.1 | 89.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
|  | SUP PASADENA | 1ST DUI | 38 | 71.1 | 92.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 6 | 83.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 6 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 36 | 33.3 | 97.2 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 86 | 55.8 | 95.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | SUP LONG BEACH | 1ST DUI | 23 | 56.5 | 95.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 10 | 40.0 | 90.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 3 | 33.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 29 | 20.7 | 96.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 65 | 36.9 | 95.4 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 3.1 | 1.5 |
|  | SUP COMPTON | 1ST DUI | 13 | 61.5 | 84.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 17 | 29.4 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 34 | 50.0 | 94.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 8.8 | 0.0 |
|  | SUP NORWALK | 1ST DUI | 33 | 60.6 | 90.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 15 | 40.0 | 93.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 24 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 75 | 50.7 | 94.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | SUP TORRANCE | 1ST DUI | 15 | 73.3 | 93.3 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 14 | 28.6 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 32 | 46.9 | 96.9 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUIOFFENDERSTATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { SB 38 } \\ \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { COURT } \\ \text { SUSPENSION } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { IGNITION } \\ \text { INTERLOCK } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| LOS ANGELES(cont.) | SUP SANTA MONICA | 1ST DUI | 25 | 80.0 | 72.0 | 32.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 28.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 5 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 10 | 40.0 | 90.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 13 | 38.5 | 92.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 53 | 62.3 | 81.1 | 17.0 | 5.7 | 1.9 | 17.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | JUV LA | 1ST DUI | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.2 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.2 | 0.0 |
|  | JUV LA CENTRAL | 1ST DUI | 18 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 18 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | ALHAMBRA | 1ST DUI | 488 | 96.1 | 43.6 | 88.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 64.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 160 | 88.8 | 89.4 | 11.3 | 55.0 | 0.0 | 55.6 | 0.0 | 11.9 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 37 | 83.8 | 73.0 | 5.4 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 35.1 | 0.0 | 16.2 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 7 | 28.6 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 692 | 93.1 | 56.4 | 65.3 | 14.2 | 0.0 | 60.4 | 0.0 | 3.8 |
|  | LANCASTER | 1ST DUI | 456 | 98.0 | 98.9 | 95.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 130 | 96.2 | 97.7 | 17.7 | 75.4 | 0.0 | 73.8 | 0.0 | 40.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 25 | 88.0 | 76.0 | 8.0 | 56.0 | 20.0 | 56.0 | 0.0 | 48.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 8 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 12.5 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 37.5 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 619 | 97.3 | 97.4 | 74.3 | 19.1 | 1.0 | 19.4 | 0.3 | 11.1 |
|  | BEVERLY HILLS | 1ST DUI | 239 | 100.0 | 90.0 | 95.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 90.8 | 2.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 42 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 23.8 | 73.8 | 0.0 | 92.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 10 | 100.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 3 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 294 | 99.7 | 91.2 | 81.3 | 13.3 | 0.7 | 87.8 | 3.1 | 0.0 |
|  | BURBANK | 1ST DUI | 144 | 99.3 | 94.4 | 89.6 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 91.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 74 | 98.6 | 94.6 | 16.2 | 74.3 | 0.0 | 90.5 | 5.4 | 4.1 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 18 | 88.9 | 94.4 | 22.2 | 33.3 | 5.6 | 50.0 | 22.2 | 5.6 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 11 | 100.0 | 90.9 | 9.1 | 27.3 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 63.6 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 247 | 98.4 | 94.3 | 59.1 | 27.1 | 0.4 | 84.6 | 8.1 | 1.6 |
|  | WEST COVINA | 1ST DUI | 1720 | 99.2 | 53.1 | 95.8 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 85.6 | 1.9 | 0.9 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 522 | 98.5 | 90.4 | 16.7 | 78.9 | 0.0 | 89.5 | 0.8 | 41.8 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 97 | 88.7 | 81.4 | 4.1 | 62.9 | 5.2 | 54.6 | 2.1 | 36.1 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 17 | 76.5 | 88.2 | 0.0 | 70.6 | 0.0 | 52.9 | 0.0 | 35.3 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 2356 | 98.4 | 62.8 | 73.8 | 21.7 | 0.2 | 85.0 | 1.7 | 11.6 |
|  | COMPTON | 1ST DUI | 1157 | 98.7 | 94.9 | 94.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 94.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 424 | 97.9 | 99.3 | 30.4 | 58.5 | 0.0 | 89.4 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 102 | 95.1 | 94.1 | 10.8 | 57.8 | 2.9 | 62.7 | 5.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 26 | 96.2 | 100.0 | 7.7 | 34.6 | 0.0 | 42.3 | 3.8 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1709 | 98.2 | 96.0 | 72.0 | 19.3 | 0.2 | 90.8 | 0.9 | 0.1 |
|  | CULVER CITY | 1ST DUI | 142 | 100.0 | 16.9 | 95.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 51.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 36 | 97.2 | 58.3 | 22.2 | 55.6 | 13.9 | 66.7 | 5.6 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 11 | 90.9 | 45.5 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 36.4 | 27.3 | 27.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 191 | 99.0 | 27.2 | 75.9 | 11.5 | 4.7 | 52.4 | 3.7 | 0.0 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { DUI } \\ \text { OFFENDER } \end{array}$ | total | probation | Jall | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { SB 38 } \\ \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \end{array}$ | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | $\begin{gathered} \text { COURT } \\ \text { SUSPENSION } \end{gathered}$ | IGNITION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Status | N | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { (cont.) }}}{\text { LOS ANGELES }}$ | DOWNEY | 1ST DUI | 477 | 99.0 | 18.9 | 94.3 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 91.8 | 2.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 148 | 98.0 | ${ }^{78.4}$ | 23.6 | 64.2 | 0.0 | 88.5 | ${ }_{0}^{0.7}$ | 4.7 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 35 | 100.0 | 80.0 | 8.6 | 65.7 | 11.4 | 65.7 | 5.7 | 2.9 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 12 | 83.3 | 83.3 | 8.3 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 8.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 672 | 98.5 | 36.3 | 72.8 | 19.0 | 0.7 | 88.8 | 2.5 | 1.2 |
|  | EAST LA | 1 1ST DUI | 903 | 99.3 | 33.8 | 93.2 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 92.8 | 1.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 304 | 97.7 | 86.5 | 17.4 | 70.4 | 0.0 | 78.6 | 7.6 | 2.6 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 77 | 97.4 | 96.1 | 1.3 | 42.9 | 2.6 | 29.9 | 11.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{4H}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 17 | 94.1 | 88.2 | 11.8 | 52.9 | 5.9 | 35.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | Total | 1301 | 98.8 | 50.5 | 69.0 | 20.6 | 0.2 | 85.0 | 3.8 | 0.6 |
|  | EL MONTE | 1ST DUI | 947 | 98.9 | 38.2 | 93.9 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 76.3 | 1.8 | 0.5 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 290 | 97.2 | 93.1 | 15.5 | 75.5 | 0.3 | 82.8 | 3.8 | 24.5 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 80 | 97.5 | 93.8 | 2.5 | 55.0 | 5.0 | 41.3 | 1.2 | 10.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{4H}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 8 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 12.5 | 87.5 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 |
|  | GLENDALE | TOTAL | 1325 | 98.5 | 53.9 | 70.7 | 21.8 | 0.4 | 75.5 | 2.2 | 6.5 |
|  |  | 1ST dut | 389 | 99.5 | 14.1 | 92.3 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 91.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 124 | 97.6 | 82.3 | 22.6 | 70.2 | 0.0 | 84.7 | 0.0 | 5.6 |
|  |  | 3 3RD DUI | 25 | 100.0 | 88.0 | 16.0 | 52.0 | 4.0 | ${ }_{167} 52.0$ | 4.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | ${ }^{4 T H+\text { dui }}$ | 12 | 91.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Inglewood | TOTAL | 550 | 98.9 | 34.7 | 71.1 | 20.2 | 0.2 | 86.4 | 0.2 | 1.3 |
|  |  | 1ST DUI | 691 | 92.3 | 26.0 | 85.5 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 84.2 | 5.2 | ${ }^{0.0}$ |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 225 | 82.7 | 78.2 | 23.1 | 45.3 | 0.4 | 64.9 | 23.6 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 66 | 87.9 | 84.8 | 10.6 | 48.5 | 1.5 | 50.0 | 19.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {4TH+ }}$ DUI | 16 | 81.3 | 93.8 | 6.3 | 43.8 | 0.0 | 50.0 | ${ }^{6.3}$ | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 998 | 89.7 | 42.8 | ${ }_{87.2}^{65}$ | 15.3 | 0.2 | 77.1 | 10.3 | 0.0 |
|  | LONG BEACH | 1STDU | 996 | 99.5 | 29.3 | 87.6 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 71.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 288 | 98.6 | ${ }^{73.6}$ | 16.3 | ${ }^{67.0}$ | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 73.3 | 1.0 | ${ }_{0}^{0.7}$ |
|  |  | $3 \mathrm{3RDDUI}$ | 86 | 95.3 | 84.9 | 7.0 | 32.6 | 7.0 | 30.2 | 1.2 | 2.3 |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {4TO+ }}$ DUI | 14 | 92.9 | 92.9 | 7.1 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | LA METRO | total | 1384 | 99.0 | 42.6 | ${ }^{66.9}$ | 17.4 | 0.4 | 68.9 | 0.5 | ${ }^{0.3}$ |
|  |  | 1ST DUI | 6091 | 99.5 | 44.7 | 96.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 90.4 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {2ND DUI }}^{\text {2ND DUI }}$ | ${ }_{3}^{1633}$ | 98.5 | ${ }_{923}{ }^{29}$ | 15.2 48 | 78.5 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 94.2 | 0.2 | 61.1 |
|  |  | 3RDDU $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 333 54 | 92.2 | 68.1 68.5 | 4.8 5.6 | ${ }_{31.5}^{42.6}$ | ${ }_{3}^{1.5}$ | 79.9 63.0 | ${ }_{3}^{0.7}$ | 69.4 57.4 |
|  | BELLFLOWER | TOTAL | 8111 | 98.8 | 55.2 | 75.8 | 18.6 | 0.1 | 90.5 | 0.2 | 16.2 |
|  |  | 1 1ST DU | 314 | 99.0 | 14.6 | 92.4 | 0.0 | ${ }_{0}^{0.0}$ | ${ }_{76.1}^{83.1}$ | ${ }_{93}^{8.3}$ | 0.3 153 15 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 118 | 98.3 | 84.7 | 16.9 | 66.9 | 0.0 | ${ }^{76.3}$ | 9.3 | 15.3 0. |
|  |  | 3RD DUI $4 \mathrm{CH}+\mathrm{DU}$ | ${ }_{10}^{15}$ | 93.3 | 93.3 | 6.7 100 | 33.3 300 | 0.0 100 | 33.3 | 13.3 | 0.0 300 |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\text { THTH+ DUI }}{\text { TOTAL }}$ | 10 457 | 100.0 98.7 | 60.0 36.3 | 10.0 68.3 | 30.0 19.0 | 10.0 0.2 | 60.0 79.2 | 0.0 8.5 | 30.0 4.8 |
|  | VALENCIA | 1ST du | 789 | 98.7 | 23.1 | 93.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 72.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 234 44 | 99.1 | 93.6 84.1 | 11.1 68 | 83.3 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 76.9 409 | 0.9 | 18.8 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 44 | 93.2 | 84.1 | 6.8 | 47.7 | 6.8 | 40.9 | 0.0 | 18.2 8.3 |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {TOTAL }}^{\text {4TH+ DUI }}$ | 12 1079 | 58.3 98.1 | 100.0 41.7 | 0.0 71.1 | 33.3 21.5 | 0.0 0.3 | 16.7 71.5 | 8.3 0.4 | 8.3 4.9 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { DUI } \\ \text { OFFENDER } \end{array}$ | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SB } 38 \\ \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \end{gathered}$ | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | STATUS | $N$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| LOS ANGELES <br> (cont.) | PASADENA | 1ST DUI | 480 | 99.2 | 55.8 | 89.8 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 92.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 165 | 99.4 | 86.1 | 26.1 | 65.5 | 0.6 | 93.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 36 | 100.0 | 86.1 | 8.3 | 38.9 | 5.6 | 44.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 7 | 85.7 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 14.3 | 42.9 | 14.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 688 | 99.1 | 65.0 | 69.3 | 19.3 | 0.6 | 90.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
|  | MALIBU | 1ST DUI | 234 | 98.7 | 8.1 | 96.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 93.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 60 | 91.7 | 75.0 | 21.7 | 63.3 | 1.7 | 83.3 | 1.7 | 10.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 14 | 92.9 | 64.3 | 14.3 | 50.0 | 14.3 | 42.9 | 7.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 4 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 312 | 96.8 | 23.7 | 76.9 | 15.1 | 1.0 | 88.8 | 1.3 | 1.9 |
|  | POMONA | 1ST DUI | 613 | 98.5 | 28.7 | 93.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 76.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 199 | 97.0 | 76.9 | 25.1 | 62.8 | 0.0 | 73.4 | 1.0 | 13.6 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 58 | 91.4 | 93.1 | 8.6 | 46.6 | 5.2 | 25.9 | 0.0 | 3.4 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 14 | 92.9 | 85.7 | 21.4 | 35.7 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 884 | 97.6 | 44.7 | 71.4 | 19.2 | 0.3 | 71.3 | 0.5 | 3.4 |
|  | HUNTINGTON PARK | 1ST DUI | 521 | 98.8 | 23.6 | 94.2 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 82.1 | 2.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 138 | 98.6 | 82.6 | 19.6 | 71.7 | 0.0 | 73.2 | 3.6 | 2.2 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 38 | 92.1 | 89.5 | 13.2 | 44.7 | 7.9 | 36.8 | 7.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 10 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 10.0 | 70.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 707 | 98.4 | 39.7 | 74.1 | 18.4 | 0.4 | 77.1 | 3.5 | 0.4 |
|  | MONROVIA | 1ST DUI | 323 | 99.7 | 14.9 | 88.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 87.3 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 119 | 98.3 | 86.6 | 19.3 | 64.7 | 0.0 | 81.5 | 9.2 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 14 | 100.0 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 7.1 | 42.9 | 7.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 463 | 99.4 | 36.7 | 66.5 | 19.4 | 0.2 | 84.2 | 5.0 | 0.0 |
|  | SANTA MONICA | 1ST DUI | 252 | 99.2 | 71.4 | 93.7 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 96.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 68 | 95.6 | 94.1 | 10.3 | 77.9 | 0.0 | 86.8 | 4.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 12 | 91.7 | 83.3 | 8.3 | 66.7 | 8.3 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 3 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 335 | 97.9 | 76.7 | 72.8 | 21.2 | 0.3 | 91.6 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
|  | TORRANCE | 1ST DUI | 958 | 99.2 | 16.7 | 91.0 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 92.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 252 | 98.4 | 83.3 | 21.4 | 70.6 | 0.0 | 91.7 | 2.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 71 | 93.0 | 81.7 | 8.5 | 31.0 | 8.5 | 33.8 | 8.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 21 | 66.7 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 9.5 | 23.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1302 | 98.2 | 34.3 | 71.6 | 17.3 | 0.7 | 87.7 | 1.9 | 0.0 |
|  | SOUTHGATE | 1 ST DUI | 474 | 97.5 | 51.1 | 92.4 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 49.2 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 141 | 97.9 | 85.1 | 25.5 | 62.4 | 0.7 | 68.1 | 5.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 31 | 90.3 | 83.9 | 9.7 | 19.4 | 12.9 | 22.6 | 9.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 10 | 90.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 656 | 97.1 | 60.7 | 72.7 | 15.7 | 0.8 | 51.5 | 3.8 | 0.0 |
|  | WHITTIER | 1 ST DUI | 625 | 98.4 | 23.4 | 93.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 89.6 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 191 | 98.4 | 81.7 | 23.6 | 69.1 | 1.0 | 83.2 | 5.8 | 0.5 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 58 | 87.9 | 86.2 | 13.8 | 22.4 | 3.4 | 31.0 | 17.2 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 20 | 95.0 | 85.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 894 | 97.7 | 41.3 | 71.5 | 17.3 | 0.6 | 82.8 | 5.5 | 0.1 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DUI } \\ \text { OFFENDER } \\ \text { STATUS } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 <br> ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { LOS ANGELES } \\ & \text { (cont.) } \end{aligned}$ | HOLLYWOOD | 1ST DUI | 311 | 99.7 | 21.9 | 36.0 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 86.2 | 0.0 | 1.9 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 55 | 96.4 | 85.5 | 5.5 | 72.7 | 0.0 | 89.1 | 0.0 | 49.1 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 0.0 | 28.6 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 375 | 99.2 | 33.1 | 30.7 | 13.9 | 0.3 | 85.6 | 0.0 | 9.6 |
|  | SAN FERNANDO | 1ST DUI | 1457 | 99.3 | 35.8 | 93.3 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 69.5 | 8.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 469 | 98.7 | 92.1 | 15.1 | 72.7 | 0.6 | 68.2 | 10.4 | 7.2 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 112 | 100.0 | 83.0 | 2.7 | 45.5 | 15.2 | 26.8 | 5.4 | 6.3 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 20 | 95.0 | 90.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 2058 | 99.2 | 51.7 | 69.7 | 20.6 | 1.0 | 66.4 | 8.9 | 2.0 |
|  | SAN PEDRO | 1ST DUI | 341 | 99.1 | 33.4 | 91.8 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 71.8 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 122 | 99.2 | 93.4 | 14.8 | 78.7 | 0.0 | 79.5 | 3.3 | 13.1 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 26 | 96.2 | 92.3 | 3.8 | 73.1 | 0.0 | 42.3 | 0.0 | 7.7 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 493 | 99.0 | 51.9 | 67.3 | 25.8 | 0.0 | 71.8 | 1.4 | 3.9 |
|  | VAN NUYS | 1ST DUI | 1937 | 99.3 | 30.0 | 95.4 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 76.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 582 | 99.0 | 91.4 | 14.1 | 75.4 | 0.5 | 81.1 | 1.7 | 31.4 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 135 | 97.8 | 92.6 | 2.2 | 65.2 | 5.2 | 48.1 | 0.0 | 20.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 27 | 55.6 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 11.1 | 7.4 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 2681 | 98.7 | 47.2 | 72.1 | 21.1 | 0.4 | 75.5 | 0.7 | 8.4 |
|  | LOS ANGELES | 1ST DUI | 482 | 99.2 | 20.3 | 94.4 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 87.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 144 | 100.0 | 84.7 | 18.8 | 75.0 | 0.7 | 92.4 | 0.0 | 38.2 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 40 | 97.5 | 70.0 | 10.0 | 47.5 | 12.5 | 55.0 | 2.5 | 27.5 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 669 | 99.3 | 37.4 | 72.6 | 20.8 | 1.0 | 86.5 | 1.0 | 10.8 |
|  | AVALON | 1ST DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 3 | 100.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| MADERA | SUP MADERA | 1ST DUI | 6 | 100.0 | 83.3 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 9 | 66.7 | 77.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 17 | 76.5 | 82.4 | 17.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 0.0 |
|  | JUV MADERA | 1ST DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | CHOWCHILLA | 1ST DUI | 67 | 97.0 | 91.0 | 83.6 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 10.4 | 4.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 22 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 22.7 | 72.7 | 0.0 | 63.6 | 4.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 95 | 97.9 | 93.7 | 67.4 | 22.1 | 0.0 | 22.1 | 8.4 | 0.0 |
|  | BORDEN | 1ST DUI | 79 | 94.9 | 86.1 | 87.3 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 15.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 24 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 10 | 100.0 | 70.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 115 | 95.7 | 81.7 | 65.2 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 27.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DUI } \\ \text { OFFENDER } \\ \text { STATUS } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 <br> ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| MADERA (cont.) | MADERA | 1ST DUI | 150 | 95.3 | 94.0 | 79.3 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 60 | 90.0 | 91.7 | 15.0 | 70.0 | 0.0 | 43.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 14 | 85.7 | 78.6 | 21.4 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 224 | 93.3 | 92.4 | 58.5 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 14.7 | 3.6 | 0.0 |
|  | BASS LAKE | 1ST DUI | 53 | 100.0 | 79.2 | 98.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.6 | 1.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 19 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 10.5 | 89.5 | 0.0 | 78.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 6 | 83.3 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 78 | 98.7 | 84.6 | 69.2 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 35.9 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
| MARIN | SUP SAN RAFAEL | 1ST DUI | 3 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 4 | 75.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 |
|  | SAN RAFAEL | 1ST DUI | 1047 | 99.6 | 8.6 | 92.9 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 90.1 | 7.4 | 0.6 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 215 | 99.5 | 90.7 | 7.0 | 87.0 | 0.0 | 97.2 | 1.4 | 58.1 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 71 | 98.6 | 47.9 | 2.8 | 28.2 | 0.0 | 83.1 | 11.3 | 71.8 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 21 | 90.5 | 61.9 | 0.0 | 47.6 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 14.3 | 61.9 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1354 | 99.4 | 24.5 | 73.1 | 17.4 | 0.0 | 90.5 | 6.8 | 14.4 |
| MARIPOSA | SUP MARIPOSA | 2ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
|  | MARIPOSA | 1ST DUI | 29 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 38 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 73.7 | 13.2 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 2.6 | 0.0 |
|  | USMG Y Yosemite | 1ST DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 6 | 50.0 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 8 | 50.0 | 87.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| MENDOCINO | UKIAH CONSLD | 1ST DUI | 6 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 4 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 12 | 75.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 |
|  | JUV UKIAH | 1ST DUI | 12 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 13 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 69.2 | 0.0 |
|  | WILLITS | 1ST DUI | 69 | 95.7 | 95.7 | 73.9 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 22 | 86.4 | 90.9 | 0.0 | 72.7 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 101 | 94.1 | 95.0 | 50.5 | 23.8 | 0.0 | 12.9 | 13.9 | 0.0 |
|  | UKIAH | 1ST DUI | 202 | 96.0 | 96.5 | 79.7 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 70 | 97.1 | 98.6 | 1.4 | 82.9 | 0.0 | 67.1 | 4.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 16 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 62.5 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 56.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 289 | 96.5 | 97.2 | 56.1 | 26.3 | 0.0 | 19.4 | 5.9 | 0.0 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DUI } \\ \text { OFFENDER } \\ \text { STATUS } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB 38 } \\ \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \end{gathered}$ | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | $\begin{gathered} \text { COURT } \\ \text { SUSPENSION } \end{gathered}$ | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { MENDOCINO } \\ & \text { (cont.) } \end{aligned}$ | BOONVILLE | 1ST DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 9 | 100.0 | 88.9 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | POINT ARENA | 1ST DUI | 8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 10 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 |
|  | LEGGETT | 1ST DUI | 7 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 12 | 91.7 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 16.7 | 8.3 |
|  | COVELO | 1ST DUI | 7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | FORT BRAGG | 1ST DUI | 74 | 95.9 | 94.6 | 82.4 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 12.2 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 27 | 92.6 | 100.0 | 14.8 | 55.6 | 0.0 | 59.3 | 14.8 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 25.0 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 87.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 110 | 95.5 | 96.4 | 60.9 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 16.4 | 18.2 | 0.0 |
| MERCED | SUP MERCED | 1ST DUI | 4 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 11 | 45.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 21 | 38.1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | JUV MERCED | 1ST DUI | 6 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 33.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 7 | 57.1 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 0.0 |
|  | MERCED | 1ST DUI | 533 | 99.1 | 96.2 | 70.4 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 1.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 160 | 98.1 | 98.1 | 10.0 | 76.9 | 0.0 | 65.6 | 1.9 | 0.6 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 51 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 68.6 | 0.0 | 43.1 | 27.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 8 | 87.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 752 | 98.8 | 96.9 | 52.0 | 23.8 | 0.0 | 21.3 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
|  | LOS BANOS | 1ST DUI | 145 | 99.3 | 98.6 | 82.1 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 35 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 17.1 | 74.3 | 0.0 | 65.7 | 2.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 13 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 7.7 | 38.5 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 23.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 4 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 197 | 99.0 | 98.5 | 64.0 | 21.8 | 0.0 | 15.2 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| MODOC | ALTURAS | 1ST DUI | 43 | 93.0 | 88.4 | 83.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.6 | 14.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 15 | 80.0 | 93.3 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 13.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 58 | 89.7 | 89.7 | 67.2 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 22.4 | 13.8 | 0.0 |
| MONO | SUP MONO | 1ST DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | BRIDGEPORT | 1 ST DUI | 13 | 100.0 | 61.5 | 76.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI TOTAL | 1 17 | 100.0 100.0 | 100.0 64.7 | 0.0 64.7 | 0.0 11.8 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 17.6 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 17 | 100.0 | 64.7 | 64.7 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUIOFFENDERSTATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SB 38 } \\ \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \end{gathered}$ | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { LICENSE } \\ \text { RESTRICTION } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { COURT } \\ \text { SUSPENSION } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| MONO (cont.) | MAMMOTH LAKES | 1ST DUI | 54 | 98.1 | 46.3 | 98.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 12 | 100.0 | 83.3 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 91.7 | 0.0 | 41.7 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 |
|  | SUP MONTEREY | TOTAL | 70 | 98.6 | 54.3 | 78.6 | 18.6 | 0.0 | 31.4 | 0.0 | 11.4 |
| MONTEREY |  | 1ST DUI | 25 | 80.0 | 96.0 | 32.0 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 19 | 89.5 | 94.7 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 52.6 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 7 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 34 | 55.9 | 97.1 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 85 | 72.9 | 96.5 | 9.4 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 37.6 | 0.0 |
|  | JUV SALINAS | 1ST DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 4 | 75.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
|  | MARINA MUN | 1ST DUI | 630 | 99.8 | 99.2 | 84.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 13.8 | 1.4 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 153 | 100.0 | 90.8 | 9.2 | 62.7 | 0.0 | 66.0 | 19.0 | 35.3 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 39 | 97.4 | 61.5 | 2.6 | 23.1 | 0.0 | 48.7 | 23.1 | 35.9 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 6 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 50.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 828 | 99.8 | 95.5 | 65.9 | 12.9 | 0.0 | 16.5 | 15.1 | 9.7 |
|  | SALINAS | 1ST DUI | 1186 | 99.6 | 98.7 | 81.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 17.2 | 1.6 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 364 | 99.5 | 75.3 | 6.0 | 48.9 | 0.0 | 66.8 | 15.7 | 45.6 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 109 | 98.2 | 68.8 | 3.7 | 33.0 | 0.0 | 61.5 | 26.6 | 57.8 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 9 | 100.0 | 44.4 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 55.6 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1668 | 99.5 | 91.4 | 59.2 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 17.6 | 15.2 |
|  | KING CITY | 1ST DUI | 257 | 100.0 | 97.7 | 86.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 14.0 | 1.6 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 87 | 98.9 | 98.9 | 8.0 | 24.1 | 0.0 | 24.1 | 65.5 | 11.5 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 30 | 96.7 | 86.7 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 80.0 | 13.3 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 375 | 99.5 | 97.1 | 61.3 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 31.2 | 4.8 |
| NAPA | NAPA | 1ST DUI | 683 | 98.2 | 95.9 | 93.3 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 221 | 96.8 | 98.2 | 9.0 | 84.6 | 0.0 | 84.6 | 1.8 | 5.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 60 | 100.0 | 96.7 | 5.0 | 71.7 | 1.7 | 65.0 | 11.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 21 | 28.6 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 985 | 96.5 | 96.5 | 67.0 | 25.0 | 0.1 | 25.5 | 2.1 | 1.1 |
| NEVADA | NEVADA CITY | 1ST DUI | 212 | 99.5 | 95.3 | 83.5 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 62 | 98.4 | 100.0 | 4.8 | 82.3 | 0.0 | 80.6 | 0.0 | 38.7 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 29 | 93.1 | 96.6 | 3.4 | 48.3 | 0.0 | 37.9 | 3.4 | 13.8 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 7 | 71.4 | 100.0 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 310 | 98.1 | 96.5 | 58.7 | 21.9 | 0.0 | 23.2 | 0.6 | 9.0 |
|  | TRUCKEE | 1ST DUI | 155 | 98.7 | 98.7 | 87.1 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 37 | 100.0 | 91.9 | 21.6 | 73.0 | 0.0 | 75.7 | 0.0 | 40.5 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 13 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 7.7 | 84.6 | 0.0 | 76.9 | 0.0 | 76.9 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 206 | 98.5 | 97.6 | 69.9 | 23.8 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 0.0 | 14.1 |
| ORANGE | SUP SANTA ANA | 1ST DUI | 82 | 78.0 | 84.1 | 1.2 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 25 | 88.0 | 84.0 | 4.0 | 28.0 | 0.0 | 32.0 | 12.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 16 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{4H}+$ DUI | 57 | 57.9 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 180 | 70.6 | 90.6 | 1.1 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 0.0 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | court | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DUI } \\ \text { OFFENDER } \\ \text { STATUS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | total | Probation | Jall | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | $\begin{array}{c\|} \text { SB 38 } \\ \hline \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION InTERLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \hline \text { ORANGE } & \\ & \text { (cont.) } \end{array}$ | JUV ORANGE | 1ST DUI | 47 | 97.9 | 25.5 | 55.3 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 34.0 | 53.2 | 0.0 |
|  |  | ${ }^{\text {4TH+ DUI }}$ | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 48 | 95.8 | 27.1 | 54.2 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 54.2 | 0.0 |
|  | fullerton | ${ }^{\text {STT DUI }}$ | 2344 | 99.1 | 23.7 | 95.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 87.8 | 5.2 | 0.4 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 600 | 98.8 | 88.8 | 8.8 | 83.0 | 1.3 | 84.3 | 8.8 | 39.2 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 112 | 96.4 | 92.9 | 0.9 | 75.0 | 3.6 | 28.6 | 11.6 | 17.9 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 16.7 |
|  |  | total | 3062 | 99.0 | 39.1 | 74.8 | 19.9 | 0.4 | 84.8 | 6.1 | 8.7 |
|  | WESTMINSTER | ${ }^{1 S T}$ DUI | 1908 | 99.7 | 14.7 | 96.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 96.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 534 | 98.5 | 85.0 | 8.4 | 85.6 | 0.2 | 95.5 | 0.7 | 43.6 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 103 | 100.0 | 88.3 | 1.9 | 86.4 | 0.0 | 68.9 | 1.0 | 43.7 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 14 | 71.4 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 0.0 | 21.4 |
|  |  | total | 2559 | 99.3 | 32.8 | 73.4 | 22.4 | 0.0 | 95.0 | 0.4 | 11.2 |
|  | LAGUNA Hills | 1ST DUI | 1235 | 99.9 | 10.1 | 96.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 92.6 | 2.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 382 | 100.0 | 95.3 | 7.3 | 85.9 | 0.0 | 90.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 66 | 98.5 | 95.5 | 0.0 | 40.9 | 0.0 | 12.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 8 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 169 | 99.9 | 33.1 | 71.7 | 21.7 | 0.0 | 88.6 | 2.2 | 0.0 |
|  | NEWPORT BEACH | 1 1ST DUI | 1688 | 99.6 | 22.5 | 95.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 86.6 | 8.8 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 431 | 99.1 | 96.5 | 6.3 | 84.7 | 0.0 | 41.5 | 55.0 | 3.7 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 87 | 98.9 | 97.7 | 1.1 | 81.6 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 23.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 12 | 83.3 | 91.7 | 8.3 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 16.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 2218 | 99.4 | 40.2 | 73.9 | 20.8 | 0.0 | 74.3 | 18.3 | 0.7 |
|  | Santa ana | ${ }^{15 T}$ DUI | 2100 | 99.6 | 30.4 | 97.1 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 84.0 | 3.1 | 1.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 598 | 99.2 | 93.6 | 8.5 | 88.6 | 0.0 | 96.0 | 1.8 | 75.8 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 117 | 99.1 | 96.6 | 2.6 | 93.2 | 0.0 | 94.9 | 0.0 | 85.5 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 12 | 83.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 8.3 | 83.3 |
|  |  | total | 2827 | 99.4 | 46.8 | 74.1 | 23.8 | 0.0 | 86.9 | 2.8 | 20.6 |
| Placer | SUP AUBURN | 1ST DUI | 21 | 85.7 | 76.2 | 38.1 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 28.6 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 19 | 94.7 | 100.0 | 10.5 | 84.2 | 0.0 | 84.2 | 0.0 | 57.9 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 10 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 90.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | total | 51 | 92.2 | 90.2 | 19.6 | 54.9 | 0.0 | 54.9 | 11.8 | 37.3 |
|  | Juv auburn | 1ST DUI | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 81.8 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 81.8 | 0.0 |
|  | JUV TAHOE VISTA AUBURN MUN | 1ST DUI |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | ${ }^{15 T}$ DUI | ${ }_{6} 64$ | 99.8 | 95.7 | 88.2 | 6.0 883 | 0.0 | 8.0 869 | 4.3 | 1.1 593 |
|  | COLFAX | 2ND DUI | 214 | 99.5 | 98.1 | 7.0 | 88.3 | 0.0 | 86.9 | 2.3 | 59.3 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 50 | 96.0 933 | 98.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 94.0 | 0.0 00 | ${ }_{53,0}$ | 0.0 | 60.0 267 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 925 | 99.5 <br> 9.5 | ${ }_{96.3}^{93.3}$ | ${ }_{63.4}^{6.4}$ | 83.0 31.0 | ${ }_{0.0}^{0.0}$ | ${ }_{31.1}^{53.3}$ | 20.9 3.9 | 18.2 |
|  |  | 1ST DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 0 | 100.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 100.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI TOTAL |  | 100.0 100.0 | 100.0 100.0 | 0.0 33.3 | 100.0 66.7 | 0.0 0.0 | 100.0 66.7 | 0.0 0.0 | 100.0 66.7 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| county | COURT | $\underset{\substack{\text { OFFENDER } \\ \text { STATUS }}}{\text { SUR }}$ | total <br> N | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { PROBATION } \\ \hline \% \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { JAIL } \\ \hline \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 1ST OFFENDER } \\ \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { SB 38 } \\ \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \\ \hline \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 30-MONTH <br> PROGRAM <br> $\%$ | LICENSE <br> RESTRICTION | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { COURT } \\ \text { SUSPENSION } \\ \hline \% \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { IGNITION } \\ \text { INTERLOCK } \end{array} \\ \hline \% \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLACER (cont.) | ROSEVILLE | 1ST duI | 18 | 100.0 | 88.9 | 88.9 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 11.1 |  | 5.6 |
|  |  | ${ }^{2} \mathrm{NDDUI}$ | 7 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 14.3 | 71.4 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 71.4 | 0.0 | 57.1 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 26 | 96.2 | 92.3 | 65.4 | 26.9 | 0.0 | 26.9 | 3.8 | 19.2 |
|  | tahoe city | 1 ST DUI | 275 | 99.6 | 98.9 | 94.2 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 37.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 53 | 100.0 | 98.1 | 3.8 | 69.8 | 1.9 | ${ }^{73.6}$ | 11.3 | 1.9 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 9 | 88.9 | 77.8 | 0.0 | 55.6 | 22.2 | 33.3 | 44.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | SUP PLUMAS | total | 339 | 98.8 | 98.2 | 77.0 | 15.0 | 1.2 | 42.8 | 3.2 | 0.3 |
| PLUMAS |  | 1ST DUI | 4 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2 NDDUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | ${ }_{2}$ | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 7 | 57.1 | 100.0 | 42.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 |
|  | QUINCY | 1ST dui | 101 | 100.0 | 97.0 | 85.1 | 8.9 | 1.0 | 43.6 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 38 | 97.4 | 94.7 | 13.2 | 81.6 | 0.0 | 81.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 12.5 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{4TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | SUP RIVERSIDE | TOTAL | 148 | 99.3 | 96.6 | 62.2 | 29.7 | 0.7 | 52.7 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| RIVERSIDE |  | 1ST DUI | 3173 | 97.7 | 75.0 | 91.1 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 709 | 96.2 | 94.2 | 12.6 | 75.5 | 0.3 | 51.1 | 10.4 | 2.4 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 187 | 91.4 | 97.9 | 7.5 | 60.4 | 0.0 | 12.8 | 47.6 | 0.5 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 70 | 61.4 | 97.1 | 5.7 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 4139 | 96.6 | 79.7 | 72.5 | 17.4 | 0.1 | 25.4 | 6.6 | 0.5 |
|  | SUP INDIO | 1ST DUI | ${ }^{1205}$ | 99.0 | 14.8 | 88.6 | 1.3 | 0.0 | ${ }_{90.5} 93$ | 1.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 361 | 96.1 | 88.4 | 9.7 | 72.9 | 0.0 | 90.6 | 4.2 | 0.3 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 90 | 87.8 | 95.6 | 4.4 | 38.9 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 31.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 45 | 64.4 | 95.6 | 22.2 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 22.2 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1701 | 96.9 | 36.8 | 65.7 | 18.7 | 0.0 | 88.1 | 3.8 | 0.1 |
|  | juv indio | ${ }^{1 \text { ST DuI }}$ | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|  | CORONA | ToTAL | 5 | 100.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ |
|  |  | 1 1ST DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 80.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ |
|  |  | 2ND DUI 3RD DUI | 4 1 | 100.0 100.0 | 100.0 100.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 100.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0 0 | 0.0 0.0 | 25.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 10 | 100.0 | 100.0 90.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 | ${ }_{0}^{0.0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0}$ | 0.0 | 10.0 |
|  | HEMET | 1ST DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 13 | 92.3 | 92.3 | 0.0 | 92.3 | 0.0 | 84.6 | 7.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ |
|  |  |  | 1 20 | 100.0 95.0 | 100.0 90.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 100.0 85.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 100.0 80.0 | 0.0 5.0 | 0.0 0.0 |
|  | BANNING | ${ }_{\text {TOTAL }}^{\text {TOT }}$ | 20 4 | 95.0 100.0 | 90.0 75.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 85.0 75.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 80.0 75.0 | 5.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 18 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 94.4 | 0.0 | 88.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 88.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 31 | 100.0 | 96.8 | 0.0 | 93.5 | 0.0 | 87.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | MORENO VLY | 2ND DUI | , | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 |
|  | palm Spring | 2nD DUI TOTAL | 1 1 | 100.0 100.0 | 100.0 100.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 100.0 100.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 100.0 100.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { DUI } \\ \text { OFFENDER } \\ \text { STATUS } \end{array}$ | total | probation | JAIL | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { 1ST OFFENDER } \\ \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \text { SB 38 } \\ \hline \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline \text { 30-MONTH } \\ \text { PROGRAM } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LICENSE } \\ \text { RESTRICTION } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { COURT } \\ \text { SUSPENSION } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { IGNITION } \\ \text { INTERLOCK } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| $\overline{\text { RIVERSIDE }}_{\text {(cont.) }}$ | BLythe | 15T DUI | 3 | 10.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 88.9 | 11.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 3 | 66.7 | ${ }_{6.7}$ | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 15 | 93.3 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 73.3 | 6.7 | 0.0 |
|  | PERRIS | 1ST DUI | 17 | 100.0 | 70.6 | 76.5 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 67 | 100.0 | 97.0 | 7.5 | 85.1 | 0.0 | 89.6 | 0.0 | 26.9 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 11 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 63.6 | 0.0 | 18.2 |
|  | SUP SACTO | total | 95 | 100.0 | 92.6 | 18.9 | 73.7 | 0.0 | 75.8 | 0.0 | 21.1 |
| sacramento |  | 1ST DUI | 74 | 70.3 | 91.9 | 28.4 | 17.6 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 32.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 54 | 75.9 | 87.0 | 1.9 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 42.6 | 5.6 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 23 | 69.6 | 91.3 | 4.3 | 34.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 74 | 60.8 | 95.9 | ${ }^{6.8}$ | 32.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 225 | 68.4 | 92.0 | 12.4 | 32.0 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 21.3 | 0.0 |
|  | juv sacto | 15T DUI | 35 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 35 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 |
|  | sacramento | 1ST DUI | 3031 | 99.1 | 94.6 | 88.7 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 7.6 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 1012 | 98.6 | 98.2 | 7.5 | 65.0 | 0.0 | 61.6 | 18.1 | 0.1 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 305 | 98.4 | 95.4 | 2.3 | 33.8 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 2.6 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 56 | 92.9 | 94.6 | 1.8 | 17.9 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 8.9 | 0.0 |
|  | elk grove | total | 4404 | 98.8 | 95.5 | 62.9 | 18.5 | 0.0 | 17.1 | 9.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 1ST DUI | 61 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 91.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 18 | 100.0 | 94.4 | 5.6 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 88.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 85 | 100.0 | 98.8 | 67.1 | 17.6 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | GALT | 1 1stdu | 30 | 100.0 | 96.7 | 80.0 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 14 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 78.6 | 7.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 47 | 100.0 | 97.9 | 51.1 | 34.0 | 0.0 | 31.9 | 6.4 | 0.0 |
|  | walnut grove | 1ST DUI | 17 | 100.0 | 94.1 | 17.6 | 58.8 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 22 | 100.0 | 95.5 | 13.6 | 63.6 | 0.0 | 22.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | SACTO USMAG | 1 1stdu | 11 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 15 | 93.3 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| San benito | SUP SAN BENITO | 1ST DUI | 5 | 80.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 7 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | HOLLISTER | 1ST DUI | 208 | 97.6 | 99.0 | 75.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 7.7 | 1.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 90 | 96.7 | ${ }^{75.6}$ | 4.4 | 48.9 | 0.0 | 75.6 | 6.7 | 71.1 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 19 | 100.0 | ${ }^{26.3}$ | 0.0 | 15.8 | 0.0 | 89.5 | 0.0 | 84.2 |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {4TH+ DUI }}$ | $\stackrel{1}{318}$ | 100.0 975 | 10.0 88.1 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 0.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 318 | 97.5 | 88.1 | 50.6 | 15.7 | 0.0 | 29.2 | 6.9 | 25.8 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT |  | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SB } 38 \\ \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \end{gathered}$ | 30-MONTH PROGRAM |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { COURT } \\ \text { SUSPENSION } \end{gathered}$ | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| $\underset{\text { BERNARDINO }}{ }$ | SUP MUN SANBERNARDINO | 1ST DUI | 878 | 98.2 | 54.8 | 91.6 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 35.8 | 5.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 173 | 96.5 | 87.9 | 19.7 | 63.0 | 0.0 | 65.3 | 5.8 | 5.2 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 40 | 95.0 | 92.5 | 2.5 | 47.5 | 2.5 | 32.5 | 12.5 | 5.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 21 | 52.4 | 90.5 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1112 | 96.9 | 62.0 | 75.4 | 12.9 | 0.1 | 39.9 | 5.7 | 1.1 |
|  | SUP RANCHO CUCAMONGA | 1ST DUI | 1362 | 99.3 | 31.2 | 96.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 79.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 191 | 97.9 | 82.7 | 26.7 | 66.5 | 0.0 | 78.5 | 21.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 52 | 100.0 | 96.2 | 9.6 | 51.9 | 0.0 | 51.9 | 26.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 25 | 44.0 | 92.0 | 0.0 | 24.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1630 | 98.3 | 40.2 | 83.9 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 77.5 | 4.5 | 0.0 |
|  | SUP VICTRVLLE | 1ST DUI | 32 | 100.0 | 59.4 | 81.3 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 21.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 15 | 86.7 | 73.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 8 | 25.0 | 87.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 56 | 85.7 | 67.9 | 57.1 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 23.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | SUP MUN BARSTOW | 1ST DUI | 40 | 92.5 | 80.0 | 75.0 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 27.5 | 5.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 10 | 80.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 9 | 77.8 | 88.9 | 11.1 | 55.6 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI |  | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 63 | 85.7 | 85.7 | 49.2 | 25.4 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 4.8 | 0.0 |
|  | SUP MUN JOSHUA TREE | 1ST DUI | 8 | 87.5 | 75.0 | 62.5 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 5 | 80.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 16 | 75.0 | 68.8 | 50.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 |
|  | JUV SN BERNDO | 1ST DUI | 36 | 41.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 5.6 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 36 | 41.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 5.6 | 0.0 |
|  | SUP MUN RCHO CUCAMONGA SUP MUN CHINO | 1ST DUI | 25 | 36.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 25 | 36.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 1ST DUI | 185 | 99.5 | 41.1 | 60.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 77.8 | 9.2 | 0.0 |
|  | SUP MUN CHINO | 2ND DUI | 62 | 98.4 | 82.3 | 12.9 | 77.4 | 1.6 | 93.5 | 1.6 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 11 | 100.0 | 90.9 | 0.0 | 72.7 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 9.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 5 | 60.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 263 | 98.5 | 54.0 | 45.2 | 24.0 | 0.4 | 79.1 | 7.2 | 0.0 |
|  | ONTARIO MUN | 1ST DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | BARSTOW SUP MUN | 1ST DUI | 76 | 86.8 | 43.4 | 1.3 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 53.9 | 6.6 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 23 | 95.7 | 87.0 | 0.0 | 73.9 | 0.0 | 73.9 | 13.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 8 | 87.5 | 87.5 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 107 | 88.8 | 56.1 | 0.9 | 24.3 | 0.0 | 57.0 | 9.3 | 0.0 |
|  | REDLAND SUPMUN | 1ST DUI | 223 | 96.9 | 47.5 | 52.5 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 75.3 | 3.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 76 | 97.4 | 75.0 | 11.8 | 68.4 | 2.6 | 78.9 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 8 | 87.5 | 87.5 | 12.5 | 62.5 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 740 | 20.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 312 | 96.8 | 56.1 | 40.7 | 23.4 | 0.6 | 74.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { DUI } \\ \text { OFFENDER } \\ \text { STATUS } \end{array}$ | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 <br> ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| SAN BERNARDINO (cont.) | SN BERNARDINOSUP MUN | 1ST DUI | 5 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 59 | 98.3 | 91.5 | 1.7 | 86.4 | 1.7 | 79.7 | 6.8 | 33.9 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 6 | 100.0 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 83.3 | 16.7 | 33.3 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 70 | 97.1 | 90.0 | 2.9 | 82.9 | 1.4 | 77.1 | 7.1 | 32.9 |
|  | FONTANA SUP MUN | 1ST DUI | 501 | 97.8 | 23.2 | 44.5 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 82.2 | 1.6 | 0.2 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 187 | 96.8 | 85.6 | 8.0 | 77.0 | 0.0 | 86.6 | 1.6 | 6.4 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 33 | 97.0 | 100.0 | 3.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 12.1 | 3.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 13 | 23.1 | 53.8 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 15.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 734 | 96.2 | 43.1 | 32.6 | 25.6 | 0.0 | 79.8 | 2.3 | 1.9 |
|  | VICTORVILLE | 1ST DUI | 142 | 99.3 | 24.6 | 1.4 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 76.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 96 | 97.9 | 85.4 | 1.0 | 83.3 | 1.0 | 89.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 20 | 100.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 55.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 260 | 98.8 | 51.9 | 1.2 | 39.6 | 0.4 | 78.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
|  | RANCHO CUCOMUNGA | 1ST DUI | 47 | 93.6 | 38.3 | 53.2 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 57.4 | 8.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 143 | 99.3 | 98.6 | 0.0 | 97.2 | 0.0 | 95.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 39 | 94.9 | 94.9 | 0.0 | 87.2 | 0.0 | 79.5 | 5.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 236 | 97.5 | 86.0 | 10.6 | 77.5 | 0.0 | 85.2 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
|  | big bear lake | 1ST DUI | 45 | 97.8 | 24.4 | 4.4 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 77.8 | 2.2 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 20 | 100.0 | 90.0 | 0.0 | 95.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 68 | 98.5 | 47.1 | 2.9 | 35.3 | 0.0 | 83.8 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
|  | TWINPEAKS | 1ST DUI | 46 | 100.0 | 32.6 | 6.5 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 67.4 | 2.2 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 12 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 16.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 59 | 100.0 | 47.5 | 5.1 | 20.3 | 0.0 | 66.1 | 5.1 | 0.0 |
|  | NEEDLES SUP MUN | 1ST DUI | 86 | 94.2 | 34.9 | 54.7 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 58.1 | 14.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 10 | 100.0 | 60.0 | 30.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 90.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 98 | 94.9 | 37.8 | 51.0 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 61.2 | 12.2 | 0.0 |
|  | JOSHUA TREE | 1ST DUI | 104 | 83.7 | 4.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 72.1 | 1.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 23 | 100.0 | 39.1 | 0.0 | 78.3 | 0.0 | 95.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 7 | 100.0 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 134 | 87.3 | 14.9 | 1.5 | 16.4 | 0.7 | 73.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| SAN DIEGO | SUP SAN DIEGO | 1ST DUI | 71 | 76.1 | 100.0 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 14 | 71.4 | 92.9 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 6 | 83.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 16.7 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 15 | 33.3 | 93.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 106 | 69.8 | 98.1 | 6.6 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
|  | SUP VISTA | 1ST DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 5 | 80.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 6 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DUI } \\ \text { OFFENDER } \\ \text { STATUS } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 <br> ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { IGNITION } \\ & \text { INTERLOCK } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { SAN DIEGO } \\ & \text { (cont.) } \end{aligned}$ | SUP CHULAVISTA | 1ST DUI | 20 | 55.0 | 95.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 9 | 77.8 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 10 | 60.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 40 | 62.5 | 97.5 | 7.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | SUP EL CAHON | 1ST DUI | 10 | 70.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 8 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 5 | 60.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 20 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 43 | 55.8 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | JUV SAN DIEGO | 1ST DUI | 75 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 2 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 77 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.7 | 0.0 |
|  | El CAJON | 1ST DUI | 1412 | 96.9 | 96.4 | 83.6 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 6.9 | 2.4 | 1.2 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 375 | 96.0 | 89.6 | 5.1 | 67.7 | 0.0 | 78.1 | 2.7 | 36.8 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 86 | 91.9 | 82.6 | 4.7 | 37.2 | 0.0 | 52.3 | 9.3 | 27.9 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 10 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1883 | 96.4 | 94.4 | 63.9 | 16.7 | 0.1 | 23.5 | 2.8 | 9.6 |
|  | VISTA | 1ST DUI | 2211 | 97.9 | 99.2 | 84.6 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 1.1 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 706 | 95.9 | 98.0 | 8.1 | 75.2 | 0.0 | 45.3 | 4.4 | 30.6 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 164 | 88.4 | 89.0 | 4.9 | 37.8 | 0.0 | 31.1 | 11.0 | 27.4 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 21 | 81.0 | 90.5 | 4.8 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 9.5 | 9.5 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 3102 | 96.8 | 98.4 | 62.4 | 21.4 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 3.8 | 9.3 |
|  | SAN MARCOS | 1ST DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 4 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 |
|  | SAN DIEGO | 1ST DUI | 3263 | 99.2 | 97.9 | 92.4 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 83.1 | 2.0 | 2.8 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 734 | 98.6 | 96.9 | 8.0 | 84.9 | 0.0 | 93.3 | 0.4 | 70.2 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 153 | 98.0 | 87.6 | 0.7 | 75.8 | 0.7 | 80.4 | 2.0 | 69.9 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 13 | 92.3 | 84.6 | 0.0 | 46.2 | 0.0 | 61.5 | 0.0 | 76.9 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 4163 | 99.1 | 97.3 | 73.9 | 20.9 | 0.0 | 84.7 | 1.7 | 17.4 |
|  | CHULA VISTA | 1ST DUI | 1191 | 98.0 | 84.8 | 90.6 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 20.1 | 4.0 | 0.4 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 266 | 97.7 | 95.1 | 17.3 | 71.1 | 0.0 | 67.7 | 7.1 | 32.7 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 53 | 84.9 | 90.6 | 3.8 | 52.8 | 0.0 | 50.9 | 26.4 | 30.2 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1513 | 97.3 | 86.7 | 74.5 | 16.1 | 0.1 | 29.5 | 5.4 | 7.1 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | SUP SAN FRAN | 1ST DUI | 3 | 33.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 4 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
|  | JUV SAN FRAN SAN FRANCISCO | 1ST DUI | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 1ST DUI | 643 | 98.4 | 98.8 | 96.6 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 184 | 95.7 | 97.3 | 20.7 | 70.1 | 0.0 | 58.7 | 0.0 | 23.4 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 37 | 97.3 | 91.9 | 18.9 | 43.2 | 2.7 | 37.8 | 0.0 | 18.9 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{tH}+$ DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 867 | 97.8 | 98.0 | 76.8 | 17.3 | 0.1 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 5.8 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | $\begin{gathered} \text { DUI } \\ \text { OFFENDER } \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { SB 38 } \\ \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 30-MONTH } \\ \text { PROGRAM } \end{array}$ | LICENSE RESTRICTION | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { COURT } \\ \text { SUSPENSION } \end{gathered}$ | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | STATUS | $N$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| SAN FRANCISCO(cont.) | US DIST CTSF | 1ST DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | STOCKTON | 1ST DUI | 1072 | 97.2 | 96.8 | 70.1 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 5.6 | 0.1 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 371 | 99.5 | 99.2 | 10.2 | 73.6 | 0.0 | 36.9 | 38.8 | 9.2 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 113 | 100.0 | 95.6 | 3.5 | 24.8 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 40.7 | 2.7 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 41 | 73.2 | 97.6 | 9.8 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 17.1 | 2.4 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1597 | 97.3 | 97.3 | 49.9 | 21.3 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 16.1 | 2.4 |
|  | FRENCH CAMP | 1ST DUI | 16 | 93.8 | 87.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 17 | 94.1 | 88.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 0.0 |
|  | LODI | 1ST DUI | 335 | 99.7 | 98.8 | 88.1 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 15.8 | 1.2 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 117 | 100.0 | 89.7 | 8.5 | 67.5 | 0.0 | 73.5 | 13.7 | 49.6 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 42 | 100.0 | 33.3 | 2.4 | 14.3 | 2.4 | 73.8 | 31.0 | 64.3 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 6 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 50.0 | 33.3 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 500 | 99.8 | 90.6 | 61.2 | 18.0 | 0.2 | 25.8 | 17.0 | 18.2 |
|  | MANTECA | 1ST DUI | 258 | 100.0 | 98.8 | 79.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 5.0 | 0.4 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 109 | 98.2 | 84.4 | 6.4 | 64.2 | 0.0 | 76.1 | 12.8 | 52.3 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 25 | 96.0 | 76.0 | 4.0 | 32.0 | 0.0 | 44.0 | 48.0 | 32.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 7 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 399 | 99.0 | 93.5 | 53.4 | 20.3 | 0.0 | 25.3 | 11.0 | 16.5 |
|  | TRACY | 1ST DUI | 134 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 85.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 10.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 36 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 11.1 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 11.1 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 20 | 95.0 | 95.0 | 10.0 | 70.0 | 0.0 | 55.0 | 45.0 | 25.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 4 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 194 | 99.0 | 99.0 | 61.9 | 23.2 | 0.0 | 18.6 | 13.4 | 4.6 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | SUP MUN SAN LUIS OBISPO | 1ST DUI | 8 | 87.5 | 100.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 6 | 83.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 7 | 85.7 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 14.3 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 18 | 55.6 | 100.0 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 5.6 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 39 | 71.8 | 97.4 | 7.7 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 5.1 |
|  | JUV SL OBISPO | 1ST DUI | 8 | 87.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 8 | 87.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | 0.0 |
|  | SAN LUIS OBISPO | 1ST DUI | 952 | 98.0 | 97.8 | 93.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 0.1 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 286 | 99.0 | 99.0 | 8.0 | 86.7 | 0.0 | 83.6 | 0.0 | 8.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 55 | 100.0 | 92.7 | 9.1 | 78.2 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 7.3 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 11 | 100.0 | 90.9 | 0.0 | 63.6 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1304 | 98.3 | 97.8 | 70.3 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 21.3 | 0.8 | 2.1 |
|  | USMG SL OBISPO | 1ST DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| SAN MATEO | SUP REDWOOD CITY | 1ST DUI | 25 | 68.0 | 96.0 | 16.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 11 | 81.8 | 100.0 | 27.3 | 36.4 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 9.1 | 18.2 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 5 | 60.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 34 | 50.0 | 91.2 | 5.9 | 26.5 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 11.8 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 75 | 61.3 | 94.7 | 12.0 | 28.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 1.3 | 10.7 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DUI } \\ \text { OFFENDER } \\ \text { STATUS } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | total | Probation | Jall | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | $\begin{array}{c\|} \text { SB } 38 \\ \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \end{array}$ | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LICENSE } \\ \text { RESTRICTION } \end{array}$ | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { SAN MATEO } \\ \text { (cont.) } \end{gathered}$ | SUP SAN MATEO | 1ST dui | 17 | 94.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 76.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 18 | 94.4 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 72.2 | 0.0 |
|  | so San FRANCISCO | ${ }^{\text {STT DUI }}$ | 1123 | 98.8 | 97.5 | 93.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 326 | 98.8 | 98.8 | 4.6 | 90.2 | 0.0 | 77.6 | 1.2 | 62.9 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 61 | 93.4 | 98.4 | 1.6 | 86.9 | 0.0 | 60.7 | 0.0 | 54.1 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 8 | 87.5 | 87.5 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 1518 | 98.5 | 97.8 | 69.8 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 20.2 | 0.4 | 16.1 |
|  | REDWOOD CITY | 1 1ST DUI | 875 | 98.9 | 98.5 | 92.9 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.9 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 257 | 97.3 | 97.7 | 5.4 | 88.7 | 0.0 | 71.2 | 0.8 | 56.4 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 58 | 96.6 | 96.6 | 1.7 | 86.2 | 0.0 | 55.2 | 0.0 | 48.3 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 5 | 80.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 |
|  |  | total | 1195 | 98.3 | 98.2 | 69.3 | 25.1 | 0.0 | 19.6 | 0.3 | 15.4 |
| SANTA BARBARA | SUP SANTA barbara | 1 1ST DUI | 7 | 71.4 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 66.7 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 19 | 89.5 | 89.5 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 5.3 | 10.5 |
|  | SUP SANTAMARIA | 1ST DUI | 6 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 4 | 50.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 14 | 42.9 | 92.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 14.3 | 7.1 |
|  |  | total | 26 | 50.0 | 92.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 11.5 | 3.8 |
|  | JUV SANTA BARBARA <br> JUV SANTA MARIA JUV LOMPOC | 15T DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | ${ }_{0} 0$ | 0.0 | ${ }_{0} 0$ | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 1 1ST DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 1 1ST DUI | 8 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 37.5 | 0.0 |
|  | SANTA barbara | TOTAL | 8 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 37.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 1ST DUI | 924 | 98.3 | 72.2 | 93.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 86.1 | 10.7 | 0.1 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 248 | 96.8 | 91.5 | 4.0 | 38.7 | 0.0 | 48.4 | 55.2 | 20.2 |
|  |  | ${ }^{3 R D D U I}$ | 48 | ${ }_{89} 89.6$ | 93.8 | 8.3 | ${ }_{6}^{66.7}$ | 0.0 | 12.5 | ${ }_{877}^{77.1}$ | 8.3 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 7 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | Total | 1227 | 97.6 | 77.1 | 71.7 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 75.1 | 22.7 | 4.5 |
|  | goleta bnch | ${ }^{2 N D}$ DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 100.0 |
|  |  | total | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
|  | SANTA MARIA | ${ }^{\text {1ST DUI }}$ | 506 | 98.8 | 96.4 98.3 | 68.8 | 2.4 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 19.2 | 22.7 | 0.6 223 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 175 42 | 98.9 92.9 | 98.3 100.0 | 4.0 4.8 | 71.4 52.4 | 0.0 0.0 | 37.1 26.2 | 49.1 61.9 | 22.3 21.4 |
|  |  | ${ }_{4}{ }^{\text {THTH }}$ DUI | 7 | 71.4 | 100.0 | ${ }_{0}^{4.0}$ | ${ }^{214.4}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0} 0$ | 14.3 | ${ }_{28.6}$ | ${ }_{14.3}^{21.4}$ |
|  |  | TOTAL | 730 | 98.2 | 97.1 | ${ }_{88.9}$ | 21.9 | 0.0 | 23.8 | 31.4 | 7.1 |
|  | LOMPOC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1ST DU } \\ & \text { 2ND DUI } \end{aligned}$ | 162 60 | 99.4 100.0 | ${ }_{91.7}^{16.0}$ | 84.6 10.0 | 0.6 75.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 1.2 61.7 | 4.9 15.0 | 0.6 10.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 10 | 100.0 | 90.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {TOTAL }}^{\text {4TH+ DUI }}$ |  | 50.0 99.1 | 100.0 39.3 | 0.0 61.5 | 0.0 21.4 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 18.4 | 50.0 9.0 | 0.0 4.3 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DUI } \\ \text { OFFENDER } \\ \text { STATUS } \end{array}$ | total | PROBATION | JAIL | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 1ST OFFENDER } \\ \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { SB 38 } \\ \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { 30-MONTH } \\ \text { PROGRAM } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LICENSE } \\ \text { RESTRICTION } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { COURT } \\ \text { SUSPENSION } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { IGNITION } \\ \text { INTERLOCK } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| SANTA CLARA | SUP SANTACLARA | 1ST DUI | 126 | 78.6 | 96.8 | 51.6 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 16.7 | ${ }^{0.0}$ |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 50 | 74.0 | 96.0 | 6.0 | 38.0 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 10.0 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 32 | 50.0 | 96.9 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 3.1 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 107 | 53.3 | 96.3 | 0.9 | 28.0 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 0.9 | 4.7 |
|  |  | total | 315 | 66.3 | 96.5 | 21.9 | 20.6 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 8.6 | 2.2 |
|  | JUV SANTA | 1ST DUI | 59 | 86.4 | 25.4 | 74.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 74.6 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 60 | 86.7 | 26.7 | 73.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 75.0 | 0.0 |
|  | palo alto | 1ST DUI | 379 | 98.7 | 95.5 | 86.3 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 0.3 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 125 | 93.6 | 99.2 | 8.8 | 74.4 | 0.0 | 77.6 | 4.0 | 8.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 33 | 100.0 | 93.9 | 0.0 | 24.2 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 3.0 | 9.1 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 538 | 97.6 | ${ }^{96.3}$ | 62.8 | 20.4 | 0.0 | 24.5 | 5.0 | 2.6 |
|  | SAN JOSE | 1 1St du | 3183 | 94.1 | 95.4 | 82.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 14.5 | 0.8 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 1109 | 92.1 | 93.7 | 7.8 | 67.0 | 0.1 | 72.0 | 13.8 | 39.9 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 321 | 94.1 | 77.6 | 2.8 | 20.2 | 0.0 | 37.7 | 5.6 | 30.5 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 17 | 94.1 | 64.7 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 47.1 | 11.8 | 29.4 |
|  |  | total | 4630 | 93.6 | 93.6 | 58.4 | 18.5 | 0.0 | 23.8 | 13.7 | 12.4 |
|  | SAN JOSE TRAF | 3RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Santa clara | 1ST DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | total | 2 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 |
|  | SUNNYVALE | 1 1ST DU | 511 | 96.9 | 91.2 | 85.7 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 10.0 | 0.4 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 154 | 97.4 | 96.8 | 12.3 | 72.7 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 10.4 | 26.6 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 30 | 100.0 | 96.7 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 23.3 | 6.7 | 3.3 |
|  |  | total | 695 | 97.1 | 92.7 | 65.8 | 19.1 | 0.0 | 22.4 | 9.9 | 6.3 |
|  | Gilroy | 1 1ST DUI | 416 | 98.1 | 95.4 | 83.9 | 2.4 | 0.0 | ${ }^{6.5}$ | 11.5 | 0.2 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 155 | 98.7 | 98.7 | 9.7 | 67.7 | 0.0 | 54.8 | 20.0 | 5.8 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 39 | 100.0 | 97.4 | 2.6 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 12.8 | 0.0 | 7.7 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 12 | 100.0 | 91.7 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 16.7 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 16.7 |
|  |  | total | 622 | 98.4 | 96.3 | 58.7 | 19.5 | 0.0 | 19.1 | 12.7 | 2.4 |
|  | JUV SANTA CRUZ <br> SANTA CRUZ | 1ST DUI | 14 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 78.6 | 0.0 |
| SANTA CRUZ |  | TOTAL | 14 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 78.6 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 1ST DUI | 820 | 99.4 | 98.0 | 91.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
|  | SANTA CRUZ | 2ND DUI | 281 | 99.3 | 989 | 2.1 | 69.4 | 0.0 | 70.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | ${ }^{\text {3RD DUI }}$ | 72 | 98.6 | 100.0 | 2.8 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 11.1 | $\begin{array}{r}1.4 \\ 148 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 4.2 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 27 | 77.8 | 77.8 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 14.8 | 3.7 |
|  |  |  | 1200 348 | 98.8 | 97.9 989 | 63.4 89.4 | 17.4 20 | ${ }_{0}^{0.0}$ | 21.2 5 5 | 1.2 | 0.3 0.0 |
|  | watsonville | 1ST DUI 2ND DUI | 348 152 | 98.3 | 98.9 98.7 | 89.4 3.3 | 2.0 50.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 5.7 52.0 | 1.1 2.6 | 0.0 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 40 | 97.5 | 95.0 | ${ }_{0}^{3.0}$ | 5.0 | ${ }_{0}^{0.0}$ | 7.5 | ${ }_{2}^{2.5}$ | 2.5 |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {TOTAL }}^{\text {4TH+ }}$ | 5 545 | 100.0 98.5 | 100.0 98.5 | 20.0 58.2 | 0.0 15.6 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 18.7 | 20.0 1.8 | 0.0 0.2 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| county | court | DUI <br> OFFENDER <br> STATUS | total | Probation | Jail | 1ST OFFENDER <br> ALCOHOL PROG | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB 38 } \\ \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { 30-MONTH } \\ \text { PROGRAM } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LICENSE } \\ \text { RESTRICTION } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { COURT } \\ \text { SUSPENSION } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| SHASTA | SUP REDDING | 15T DUI | 6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 5 | 60.0 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 15 | 60.0 | 100.0 | 40.0 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 0.0 |
|  | JUV SHASTA | 1ST DUI | 17 | 64.7 | 64.7 | 41.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 70.6 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 4 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 23 | 65.2 | 73.9 | 30.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 52.2 | 0.0 |
|  | Burney | 1ST DUI | 22 | 90.9 | 95.5 | 86.4 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 14 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 7.1 | 78.6 | 0.0 | 78.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | , | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 43 | 95.3 | 97.7 | 46.5 | 39.5 | ${ }_{0} 0$ | 27.9 | 7.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Redding | 1ST DUI | 476 | 96.8 | 97.5 | 91.6 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 6.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 177 | 98.3 | 97.2 | 14.1 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 76.8 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 56 | 89.3 | 98.2 | 5.4 | 57.1 | 0.0 | 23.2 | 42.9 | 1.8 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 14 | 57.1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 14.3 | 7.1 |
|  |  | total | 723 | 95.9 | 97.5 | 64.2 | 24.3 | 0.0 | 24.3 | 8.4 | 0.4 |
| SIERRA | Downieville | 1ST DUI | 9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 88.9 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 15 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 53.3 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 6.7 | 0.0 |
| SISKIYOU | SUP SISKIYOU | 1ST DUI | 4 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | , | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 5 | 60.0 | 80.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 |
|  | HAPPYCAMP <br> WEED | 1 1ST DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 1 1St du | 88 | 97.7 | 95.5 | 76.1 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
|  | weed | 2ND DUI | 33 | 97.0 | 100.0 | 30.3 | 57.6 | 0.0 | 51.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI |  | 88.9 | 88.9 | 11.1 | 55.6 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 132 | 97.0 | 96.2 | 59.8 | 22.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 2.3 | 0.0 |
|  | Yreka Jud dist | 1ST DUI | 109 | 98.2 | 97.2 | 87.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 0.9 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 24 | 95.8 | 95.8 | 33.3 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 4.2 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 10 | 90.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 4 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 147 | 96.6 | 97.3 | 70.1 | 12.9 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 0.7 |
| SOLANO | SUP SOLANO | 1ST DUI | 9 | 88.9 | 88.9 | 66.7 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 77.8 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 4 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 13 | 61.5 | 92.3 | 7.7 | 23.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.8 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 26 | 73.1 | 92.3 | 26.9 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.7 | 0.0 |
|  | juv solano | ${ }^{\text {1ST DUI }}$ | 16 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 68.8 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 16 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 68.8 | 0.0 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DUI } \\ \text { OFFENDER } \\ \text { STATUS } \end{array}$ | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { SB } 38 \\ \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \end{array}$ | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| SOLANO (cont.) | FAIRFIELD | 1ST DUI | 508 | 97.0 | 96.9 | 89.8 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 18.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 199 | 88.9 | 100.0 | 16.1 | 68.3 | 0.0 | 22.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 65 | 75.4 | 95.4 | 6.2 | 53.8 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 6 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 778 | 92.5 | 97.4 | 63.2 | 24.6 | 0.0 | 17.7 | 0.8 | 0.1 |
|  | BENICIA | 2ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
|  | VALLEJO | 1ST DUI | 219 | 98.6 | 88.1 | 95.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 13.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 100 | 100.0 | 98.0 | 9.0 | 87.0 | 0.0 | 78.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 34 | 94.1 | 94.1 | 2.9 | 73.5 | 0.0 | 41.2 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 354 | 98.6 | 91.5 | 61.9 | 32.5 | 0.0 | 34.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| SONOMA | SUP SONOMA | 1ST DUI | 18 | 83.3 | 94.4 | 33.3 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 32 | 46.9 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 54 | 59.3 | 98.1 | 11.1 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | JUV SONOMA | 1ST DUI | 30 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 43.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 31 | 48.4 | 0.0 | 41.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
|  | SANTA ROSA | 1ST DUI | 1280 | 98.2 | 96.9 | 83.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 450 | 96.7 | 97.1 | 12.0 | 58.0 | 0.0 | 49.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 167 | 96.4 | 95.2 | 6.6 | 24.6 | 0.0 | 22.8 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 61 | 78.7 | 98.4 | 1.6 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1958 | 97.1 | 96.8 | 58.1 | 16.3 | 0.0 | 15.7 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| STANISLAUS | SUP STANISLAUS | 1ST DUI | 35 | 77.1 | 97.1 | 65.7 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 2.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 38 | 92.1 | 97.4 | 10.5 | 71.1 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 6 | 83.3 | 100.0 | 16.7 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 21 | 57.1 | 95.2 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 19.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 100 | 79.0 | 97.0 | 28.0 | 34.0 | 0.0 | 23.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 |
|  | JUV STANISLAUS | 1ST DUI | 22 | 31.8 | 31.8 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 31.8 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 23 | 34.8 | 34.8 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 30.4 | 0.0 |
|  | MODESTO | 1ST DUI | 1038 | 98.0 | 97.4 | 90.8 | 3.9 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 313 | 98.7 | 98.1 | 7.3 | 85.9 | 0.0 | 42.5 | 22.7 | 2.2 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 96 | 96.9 | 96.9 | 1.0 | 84.4 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 10.4 | 2.1 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 30 | 90.0 | 93.3 | 0.0 | 63.3 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 6.7 | 3.3 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1477 | 97.9 | 97.4 | 65.4 | 27.8 | 0.1 | 12.4 | 6.9 | 0.7 |
|  | TURLOCK | 1ST DUI | 165 | 100.0 | 97.0 | 93.9 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 33 | 100.0 | 97.0 | 24.2 | 69.7 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 6.1 | 3.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 10 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 10.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 3 | 33.3 | 100.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 211 | 99.1 | 97.2 | 78.2 | 16.6 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 2.4 | 0.9 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { DUI } \\ \text { OFFENDER } \\ \text { STATUS } \end{array}$ | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { SB } 38 \\ \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \end{array}$ | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| SUTTER | YUBA SUP MUN | 1ST DUI | 9 | 55.6 | 100.0 | 44.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 4 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 7 | 42.9 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 22 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 18.2 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.9 | 0.0 |
|  | YUBA CITY | 1ST DUI | 233 | 97.4 | 97.9 | 94.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 6.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 56 | 94.6 | 98.2 | 17.9 | 73.2 | 0.0 | 51.8 | 14.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 14 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 71.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 305 | 97.0 | 98.0 | 75.1 | 17.0 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 11.1 | 0.0 |
| TEHAMA | SUP TEHAMA | 1ST DUI | 2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 4TH+ DUI | 6 | 16.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 8 | 12.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | JUV TEHAMA | 1ST DUI | 5 | 20.0 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 7 | 28.6 | 100.0 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 0.0 |
|  | CORNING | 1ST DUI | 82 | 96.3 | 95.1 | 93.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.5 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 23 | 78.3 | 91.3 | 0.0 | 73.9 | 0.0 | 69.6 | 13.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 7 | 57.1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 114 | 89.5 | 94.7 | 68.4 | 18.4 | 0.0 | 74.6 | 6.1 | 0.0 |
|  | RED BLUFF | 1ST DUI | 150 | 88.7 | 98.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 22.0 | 17.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 57 | 86.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 71.9 | 0.0 | 70.2 | 12.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 20 | 40.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 229 | 83.4 | 98.7 | 0.4 | 21.8 | 0.0 | 33.6 | 15.3 | 0.0 |
| TRINITY | SUP TRINITY | 1ST DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
|  | WEAVERVILLE TRINITY | 1ST DUI | 76 | 98.7 | 93.4 | 88.2 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 14.5 | 2.6 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 26 | 96.2 | 100.0 | 3.8 | 92.3 | 0.0 | 76.9 | 7.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 14.3 | 57.1 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 57.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 110 | 98.2 | 95.5 | 62.7 | 32.7 | 0.0 | 30.9 | 7.3 | 0.0 |
| TULARE | SUP TULARE | 1ST DUI | 43 | 74.4 | 90.7 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 20 | 75.0 | 85.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 5 | 80.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 22 | 45.5 | 95.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 13.6 | 4.5 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 90 | 67.8 | 91.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 7.8 | 2.2 |
|  | JUV TULARE | 1ST DUI | 22 | 40.9 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 24 | 45.8 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 41.7 | 0.0 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { DUI } \\ \text { OFFENDER } \end{array}$ | TOTAL | probation | Jall | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | $\begin{array}{c\|} \text { SB38 } \\ \hline \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \end{array}$ | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Status | N | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| TULARE <br> TUOLUMNE <br> (cont.) | DINUBA | 1 ST DUI | 192 | 99.5 | 99.5 | 91.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 61 | 96.7 | 98.4 | 6.6 | 26.2 | 0.0 | 24.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 18 | 72.2 | 100.0 | 5.6 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 3 | 33.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | total | 274 | 96.4 | 99.3 | 65.7 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
|  | EXETER | 1ST DUI | 79 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 30 | 96.7 | 96.7 | 6.7 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 3.3 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 116 | 99.1 | 99.1 | 67.2 | 25.9 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
|  | LINDSAYEXETER | 1ST DUI | 109 | 99.1 | 100.0 | 96.3 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 38 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 97.4 | 0.0 | 23.7 | 0.0 | 2.6 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 18 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 5.6 | 55.6 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 11.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | ${ }_{0} 0$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Porterville | TOTAL | 167 | 99.4 | 100.0 | 63.5 | 30.5 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 1.8 | 0.6 |
|  |  | 1ST DUI | 343 | 97.7 | 99.4 | 84.3 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 110 | 98.2 | 99.1 | 15.5 | 70.9 | 0.9 | 45.5 | 2.7 | 10.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 31 | 100.0 | 96.8 | 6.5 | 58.1 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 6.5 | 19.4 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 7 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 28.6 |
|  |  | total | 491 | 97.8 | 99.2 | 62.7 | 24.0 | 0.2 | 12.8 | 1.2 | 4.3 |
|  | tulare | 1ST DUI | 223 | 99.1 | 98.2 | 86.5 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 70 | 100.0 | 97.1 | 8.6 | 54.3 | 0.0 | 54.3 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 26 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 3.8 | 34.6 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 7.7 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 322 | 99.4 | 98.1 | 62.1 | 18.6 | 0.0 | 18.0 | 5.9 | 0.0 |
|  | VISALIA | 1STDU | 312 | 96.2 | 98.1 | 90.1 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 100 | 91.0 | 97.0 | 8.0 | 76.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 74.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 17 | 82.4 | 94.1 | 5.9 | 58.8 | 0.0 | 41.2 | 0.0 | 17.6 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 9 | 55.6 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 438 | 93.6 | 97.7 | 66.2 | 21.9 | 0.0 | 22.1 | 0.0 | 3.4 |
|  | $\underset{\substack{\text { WOODLAKE- } \\ \text { EXETER }}}{ }$ | 1ST DUI | 30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 90.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 13 | 92.3 | 100.0 | 23.1 | 69.2 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 7.7 |
|  |  | ${ }^{3 R D}$ DUI | ${ }_{1}$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | SUP SONORA | Total 1ST DU | 46 2 | 97.8 50.0 | 100.0 100.0 | 67.4 50.0 | 23.9 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 6.5 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 2.2 0.0 |
| tuolumine |  | 2ND DUI | 3 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 50.0 0.0 | 0.0 66.7 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 33.3 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI |  | 50.0 | 100.0 | ${ }_{0} 0$ | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 14 | 57.1 | 100.0 | 7.1 | 35.7 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | JUV SONORA <br> SONORA | 1ST DUI | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | Total |  | 0.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 1ST DUI 2ND DUI | 196 62 | 99.0 100.0 | 98.0 100.0 | 93.9 8.1 | 2.0 83.9 | 0.0 0.0 | 3.6 806 | 6.6 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 20 | 100.0 | 100.0 | ${ }_{0.0}$ | 25.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | ${ }_{0.0}^{1.6}$ | ${ }_{0.0}$ |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+\mathrm{DUI}$ |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | ${ }_{0} 0$ | 0.0 | ${ }_{0} 0$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 279 | 99.3 | 98.6 | 67.7 | 21.9 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 5.0 | 0.0 |

TABLE B4: 1997 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB 38 } \\ \text { ALCOHOL PROG } \end{gathered}$ | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | $\begin{gathered} \text { COURT } \\ \text { SUSPENSION } \end{gathered}$ | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $N$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| VENTURA | SUP VENTURA | 1ST DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | JUV VENTURA | 1ST DUI | 9 | 100.0 | 77.8 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 9 | 100.0 | 77.8 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 |
|  | VENTURA MUN | 1ST DUI | 2554 | 98.4 | 99.0 | 87.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 9.6 | 0.2 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 711 | 98.2 | 95.9 | 14.1 | 71.4 | 0.1 | 79.0 | 5.9 | 21.9 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 156 | 94.2 | 91.0 | 7.1 | 48.7 | 0.0 | 78.2 | 6.4 | 17.9 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 21 | 95.2 | 95.2 | 4.8 | 47.6 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 4.8 | 19.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 3442 | 98.1 | 98.0 | 68.0 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 8.7 | 5.6 |
| YOLO | SUP WOODLAND | 4TH+ DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | WEST SACTO | 2ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | WOODLAND | 1ST DUI | 482 | 99.0 | 97.3 | 86.5 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 0.2 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 111 | 97.3 | 98.2 | 10.8 | 82.0 | 0.0 | 75.7 | 3.6 | 0.9 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 32 | 100.0 | 96.9 | 3.1 | 40.6 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 34.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 18 | 83.3 | 77.8 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 5.6 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 643 | 98.3 | 96.9 | 66.9 | 22.2 | 0.2 | 18.8 | 6.4 | 0.3 |
| YUBA | SUP YUBA | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 3 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 3 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | JUV YUBA | 1ST DUI | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
|  | MARYSVILLE | 1ST DUI | 170 | 100.0 | 95.9 | 90.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2ND DUI | 68 | 100.0 | 98.5 | 2.9 | 77.9 | 0.0 | 76.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 3RD DUI | 18 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $4 \mathrm{TH}+$ DUI | 7 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 263 | 99.6 | 97.0 | 58.9 | 21.3 | 0.0 | 21.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | BEALE AFB | 1ST DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

TABLE B5: DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { YEAR } \\ & \quad \text { GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SAMPLE } \\ & \text { SIZE } \end{aligned}$ | PERCENT FEMALE | MEAN AGE | PERCENTCOMMERCIALDRIVERS | 2-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS PER 100 DRIVERS |  |  |  | ZIP CODE ACCIDENT AND CONVICTION INDICES** |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL ACCIDENTS | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALCOHOL } \\ \text { ACCIDENTS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MAJOR } \\ \text { CONVICTIONS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MINOR } \\ \text { CONVICTIONS } \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL ACCIDENTS |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MAJOR*** } \\ \text { VIOLATIONS } \end{gathered}$ | MOVING VIOLATIONS |
| 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Suspension | 4,878 | 8.9 | 35.0 | 2.3 | 2.96 | 1.85 | 3.95 | 9.15 | 1.46 | . 43 | . 06 | 2.86 |
| SB 38 program \& restriction | 7,726 | 11.4 | 35.9 | 2.6 | 3.00 | 1.89 | 2.85 | 7.71 | 1.53 | . 45 | . 07 | 2.86 |
| SB 38 program \& | 4,885 | 9.2 | 35.9 | 2.6 | 3.02 | 1.86 | 2.91 | 7.91 | 1.54 | . 45 | . 06 | 2.95 |
| Other | 7,450 | 10.8 | 35.4 | 2.4 | 2.96 | 1.85 | 3.60 | 8.18 | 1.49 | . 44 | . 06 | 2.84 |
| Statistical significance test |  | $X^{2}=27.2^{*}$ | $F=10.1$ * | $\mathrm{X}^{2}=2.0$ | $F=.19$ | $F=.11$ | $F=69.1$ * | $F=15.0$ * | $F=95.7$ * | $F=32.7$ * | $F=91.0$ * | $F=33.1$ * |
| $\underline{1997}$ |  |  |  |  | 1.5- | YEAR PRIOR IN | CIDENTS PER 100 | RIVERS |  |  |  |  |
| Suspension | 3,956 | 10.3 | 36.2 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 1.64 | 3.31 | 6.4 | 1.45 | . 41 | . 06 | 2.63 |
| SB 38 program \& restriction | 7,005 | 12.2 | 35.6 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 1.57 | 2.23 | 5.3 | 1.53 | . 42 | . 05 | 2.66 |
| SB 38 program \& Interlock | 5,935 | 9.4 | 36.4 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 1.73 | 2.28 | 5.6 | 1.52 | . 42 | . 05 | 2.76 |
| Other | 7,621 | 11.1 | 36.1 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 1.74 | 3.35 | 6.1 | 1.50 | . 42 | . 05 | 2.68 |
| Statistical significance test |  | $X^{2}=27.7^{*}$ | $F=5.9$ * | $X^{2}=10.5^{*}$ | $F=2.3$ * | $F=2.8{ }^{*}$ | $F=109.3$ * | $F=14.3$ * | $F=50.1$ * | $F=10.3$ * | $F=85.6$ * | $F=37.0$ * |

TABLE B6: ZIP CODE CENSUS VARIABLES (COVARIATES) BY YEAR AND SANCTION

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { YEAR } \\ & \quad \text { GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SAMPLE } \\ \text { SIZE } \end{gathered}$ | MONTHS IN STUDY | PERCENT SINGLE | PERCENT LEAVE WORK 4 PM | PERCENT ELEM. EDUCATION | PERCENT BLACK | PERCENT RENTING | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TRAVEL TIME TO } \\ \text { WORK (MIN.) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Suspension | 4,878 | 43.8 | NA | 5.8 | 15.3 | NA | 44.4 | 25.6 |
| SB 38 program \& restriction | 7,726 | 43.7 | NA | 5.7 | 13.2 | NA | 43.4 | 26.7 |
| SB 38 program \& interlock | 4,885 | 43.8 | NA | 5.7 | 15.2 | NA | 47.6 | 27.0 |
| Other | 7,450 | 43.8 | NA | 5.7 | 14.4 | NA | 43.7 | 26.4 |
| Statistical significance test |  | $F=1.97$ |  | $F=8.45$ * | $F=45.4$ * |  | $F=83.6$ * | $F=76.9^{*}$ |
| 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Suspension | 3,956 | 20.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| SB 38 program \& restriction | 7,005 | 20.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| SB 38 program \& interlock | 5,935 | 19.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other | 7,621 | 19.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Statistical significance test |  | $F=9.7^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Statistical significance at $p<.05$, (two-tailed)


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Conviction data by court are found in Appendix Table B3.
    ${ }^{2}$ This count includes misdemeanors which carried a felony disposition code. These counts do not include 4th offenses (in seven years) which are statutorily defined as felonies.
    ${ }^{3}$ These may include dismissals of prior failure to appear (FTA) abstracts.
    ${ }^{4}$ These 1997 arrestees showed prior DUIs declared unconstitutional on their records. The counties reported here are those in which the current DUI conviction occurred and not necessarily those in
    which a prior conviction was declared unconstitutional.
    ${ }^{5}$ The calculation of the conviction rate was based on total ar
    ${ }^{6}$ The calculation of the conviction rate was based on total arrests including federal DUI arrests (Yosemite National Park) not reported in the DOJ MACR system.

[^1]:    Note: The vast majority of convicted offenders also recens.
    *Includes referral to alcohol clinics and 30 -month programs.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Third-or-more offenders were not included because a previous study (Tashima \& Marelich, 1989) indicated serious confounding due to group differences on prior interventions. In addition, sanctions for these offenders do not vary much, due to the statutorily prescribed sanction requirements.

[^3]:    ${ }^{2}$ It should be noted, however, that a 1993 policy directive from DMV to the courts originally requested that only offenders who had shown proof of installation be reported as assigned to interlock. To the extent that this directive was followed by the courts (and there is evidence that it was not), the present evaluation would be assessing only those cases where the device was actually installed. This DMV policy directive has since been corrected.

[^4]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ Revised from prior reports.
    *The 1997/1998 counts reflect backlogged actions from 1997 that were processed in 1998.
    **From 1988-1990 these were 10 Implied Consent refusal suspensions/revocations.

[^5]:    $\mathbf{1}_{\text {Figures have been adjusted from prior reports for FY } 96 / 97 \text { to account for previously overcounted total actions (resulting from duplication among }}$ stayed cases), and undercounted hearings (resulting from excluded stayed cases). Copies of corrected reports are available upon request.
    ${ }^{2} .08$ refers to APS actions taken subsequent to obtaining evidence of a BAC equal to or in excess of the $.08 \%$ per se level or on the basis of a chemical test refusal. Such an action is taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.
    ${ }^{3} .01$ refers to APS suspensions taken against drivers under the age of 21 with BACs in excess of $.01 \%$, or on the basis of a chemical test refusal, and are not necessarily taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.
    ${ }^{4}$ All entries in this category exclude actions later set aside but, where possible, include actions taken on the basis of either a chemical test refusal or a BAC test result. This category does not include .01 actions, which are not varied by offender status or occupation.
    ${ }^{5}$ Prior DUI convictions or APS actions consist of any such conviction or action where the violation occurred within seven years prior to the current violation.
    ${ }^{6}$ Introduced 1/1/95 this restriction allows driving to, from, and during the course-of-employment.
    ${ }^{7}$ These figures include refusal hearings but exclude Driver Safety/Investigation hearings, subsequent APS dismissal hearings and departmental review hearings or procedures.
    ${ }^{8}$ Both numerator and denominator include those actions later set aside as a result of the hearing.

[^6]:    ${ }^{3}$ Among 1997 DUI arrests, 23,564 were associated with a reported traffic accident, with 11,033 involving an injury or fatality, and 12,531 being PDO.

[^7]:    *For each type of arrest, percentages are based on row totals.

