The following is only an abstract of one of our earlier reports. An email request for a printed or PDF copy of the complete report can be generated by clicking on the **Report Number** of this report in the table of reports on the <u>Research Studies and Reports</u> page. The PDF copy of the complete report was created by scanning an original, printed copy, and thus is only *partially* searchable and *is not* accessible, but is fully printable.

A printed or PDF copy of our studies and reports may also be requested by mail or phone at:

Department of Motor Vehicles Research and Development Branch 2570 24th Street, MS H-126 Sacramento, CA 95818-2606 (916) 657-5805

For a request by mail, please include the report number and your name, address, and phone number. Also, please state whether you are requesting a printed copy, a PDF copy, or both. For a PDF copy, please include your email address.

TITLE: An Evaluation of Some Additional Factors Influencing the Effectiveness of Warning Letters

DATE: May 1974

AUTHOR(S): William V. Epperson & Richard M. Harano

REPORT NUMBER: 45

NTIS NUMBER: PB-235724

FUNDING SOURCE: Federal Highway Administration

PROTECT OBTECTIVE:

To determine the effectiveness of two types of warning letters and an informational pamphlet in reducing the subsequent collision and conviction records of pre-negligent drivers. An additional study objective was to determine the effectiveness of a follow-up reinforcement letter sent to collision- and conviction-free drivers. These hypotheses were suggested by an earlier warning letter study (McBride & Peck, Report #30). This study was designed to attempt replication of the previous results.

SUMMARY:

The results six months subsequent to treatment showed no significant treatment effects on convictions, but a positive pamphlet effect on collisions. The reality of the pamphlet effect was considered questionable due to a reversed trend in the second six months. The follow-up reinforcement analysis for collision- and conviction-free drivers showed no significant treatment effects on collisions. On convictions, however, there was a main effect attributable to type of warning letter as well as an interaction between type of warning letter, pamphlet condition, and follow-up reinforcement. The treatment effects were not significantly influenced by subject characteristics.

IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

Based on these findings it was decided not to implement a reinforcement warning letter program or use different warning letters for different age-sex groupings.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Published in Accident Analysis and Prevention, 7(4), 239-247, 1975.